Risk-Informed Regulatory Approaches

NRC Meeting with Stakeholders July 2016

Bryan Hanson
Chief Nuclear Officer



Key Points

Implementation of risk-informed initiatives has slowed due to increased focus on issues with minimal safety impacts

 Limited guidance on the role of risk insights in decisionmaking hinders the implementation of risk-informed initiatives

Successful risk-informed licensing requires

clear, reliable, and efficient reviews

 Time and resource expenditures are not always commensurate with safety benefit

Risk-informed decision-making improves safety



Ongoing Risk-Informed Initiatives

NRC approach to risk-informed decision-making

 Review of PRA technical adequacy for risk-informed applications

Consideration of lowrisk/low-safety-significant issues

 Process for treating and resolving these issues should be clearly defined based on risk considerations

Fire PRA realism

 Challenges to timely NRC acceptance of more realistic PRA resulted in resource expenditures that were not commensurate with safety benefits

Industry risk-informed steering committee

 Continue to await NRC position on crediting use of FLEX equipment in risk-informed decisions



Recommendations for Improving Risk-Informed Approaches

Clarify risk-informed decision-making to establish a disciplined, reliable, and predictable process

- Provide uniform guidance to NRC staff on risk-informed decision-making
- Industry standards for PRA peer reviews should be appropriately credited

Expedite development and implementation of a process to evaluate and resolve issues that have little or no safety impact

 Redirect resources from no/low-safety significant issues to safety significant issues

Place high-priority on improving fire PRA realism

- Industry has performed technical work necessary to improve fire PRA realism
- NRC acceptance of new methods would improve safety focus and efficiency

