



SECRETARY

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

June 17, 2011

COMMISSION VOTING RECORD

DECISION ITEM: SECY-11-0058

TITLE: PROPOSED FINAL POLICY STATEMENT ON THE
PROTECTION OF CESIUM-137 CHLORIDE SOURCES

The Commission (with Commissioners Svinicki, Apostolakis, Magwood, and Ostendorff agreeing) approved the subject paper as recorded in the Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) of June 17, 2011. Chairman Jaczko approved in part and disapproved in part.

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Andrew L. Bates", written over a horizontal line.

Andrew L. Bates
Acting Secretary of the Commission

Attachments:

1. Voting Summary
2. Commissioner Vote Sheets

cc: Chairman Jaczko
Commissioner Svinicki
Commissioner Apostolakis
Commissioner Magwood
Commissioner Ostendorff
OGC
EDO
PDR

VOTING SUMMARY - SECY-11-0058

RECORDED VOTES

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIP	COMMENTS	DATE
CHRM. JACZKO	X	X			X	5/9/11
COMR. SVINICKI	X				X	5/27/11
COMR. APOSTOLAKIS	X				X	5/24/11
COMR. MAGWOOD	X				X	5/27/11
COMR. OSTENDORFF	X				X	5/9/11

COMMENT RESOLUTION

In their vote sheets, Commissioners Svinicki, Apostolakis, Magwood, and Ostendorff approved the staff's recommendation and Chairman Jaczko approved in part and disapproved in part and provided some additional comments. Subsequently, the comments of the Commission were incorporated into the guidance to staff as reflected in the SRM issued on June 17, 2011.

NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

TO: Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary

FROM: Chairman Gregory B. Jaczko

SUBJECT: SECY-11-0058 – PROPOSED FINAL POLICY
STATEMENT ON THE PROTECTION OF CESIUM-137
CHLORIDE SOURCES


Approved X (in part) Disapproved X (in part) Abstain _____

Not Participating _____

COMMENTS: Below ___ Attached X None ___



SIGNATURE



DATE

Entered on "STARS" Yes x No ___

**Chairman Jaczko's Comments on SECY-11-0058,
"Proposed Final Policy Statement on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources"**

As I stated in my vote on the draft Policy Statement in March 2010, I believe that the current security concerns posed by CsCl can only be sufficiently addressed by conducting a rulemaking to phase out the use of CsCl, and that a Policy Statement does nothing to address those security concerns. I agree with the 2008 National Academy of Sciences report (which was sponsored by the NRC itself) which determined that the U.S. government should take steps to promote the replacement of CsCl sources with lower risk alternatives.

In addition, I remain concerned that the actions directed by the Commission in the Staff Requirements Memorandum for SECY-08-0184 (Strategy for the Security and Use of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources) have not come to fruition. These actions, such as defining criteria for a dispersible source of concern and identifying a lead agency to conduct research into alternative chemical forms for CsCl, were meant to provide an additional level of comfort that some movement was being made towards eventually phasing out CsCl sources. Now we are in the position of having neither a regulation, nor these other actions that could be taken as signs of progress.

In any case, given the draft final Policy Statement currently in front of the Commission, I have the following comments:

1. The SECY paper inappropriately describes the role of the agency with respect to developing a disposal facility for greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste. Page 3 of the SECY states that, "The proposed Final Policy Statement recognizes DOE's issuance of the EIS and expresses the Commission's intent to interact with DOE to resolve the issue of waste disposal." It is not the agency's role to "resolve the issue of waste disposal." It is our role to regulate such a facility, and DOE's Draft EIS correctly states that, "...GTCC LLRW...is to be disposed of in a facility that is licensed by the NRC and that the NRC has determined is adequate for protecting public health and safety." This language, and other similar language regarding "actively interacting with DOE in all phases of the process to establish a storage facility," should be revised in the Federal Register Notice (FRN).
2. Similarly, the language on page 12 of the FRN should be revised so that it does not state that the agency is "continu[ing] to participate with...representatives of the private sector in initiatives ...to address the need for disposal and disposition of CsCl sources."
3. The final Policy Statement should retain the wording from the previous draft of the Policy Statement regarding whether objective measures of solubility and dispersibility "must be established," not the current wording of "may need to be." Establishing these measures is essential to providing manufacturers with quantitative material qualities that they should be aiming for when developing new chemical forms. The related text on solubility and dispersibility should also be restored in the section titled "The NRC's Perspective on Further Security Enhancements."

4. The new text added on page 10, from "Based on decades of use..." to "...or adversely impact ongoing studies related to human health and health care" should be deleted, as it is overly promotional as to why CsCl sources should not be replaced, and also discusses areas for which the agency has no expertise (e.g., whether "alternative technologies are less suitable for accommodating research specimens"). If not deleted altogether, this text should at least be significantly shortened.
5. The FRN format should be revised to clearly indicate that the text that comprises the actual statement of the Commission's policy is the bulleted list under the italicized heading of "Statement of Policy," and that everything else is not part of the Policy Statement itself, but rather provides background or context. Accordingly, statements such as the one on page 4 of the FRN that says "The Policy Statement recognizes DOE's issuance of the EIS..." should be revised because it is not the Commission's Policy Statement that discusses the draft EIS but rather the supplementary information.
6. The statement on page 8 of the FRN should be clarified to indicate that it is NNSA that is providing assist visits and table-top exercises to the licensees, not the NRC.
7. On page 6 of the FRN, the first sentence of the first full paragraph should be revised to read, "Effective regulatory requirements and strong security measures are currently in place..."



Gregory B. Jaczko

5/19/11

Date

NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

TO: Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary
FROM: COMMISSIONER SVINICKI
SUBJECT: SECY-11-0058 – PROPOSED FINAL POLICY
STATEMENT ON THE PROTECTION OF CESIUM-137
CHLORIDE SOURCES

Approved XX Disapproved _____ Abstain _____

Not Participating _____

COMMENTS: Below XX Attached XX None _____

I approve the staff's recommendation to publish the Final Policy Statement on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources in the *Federal Register*, subject to the attached edits. I approve the staff's recommendation not to develop dispersibility or solubility criteria for these sources at this time.



SIGNATURE

05/27/11

DATE

Entered on "STARS" Yes No _____

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0209]

**Policy Statement of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources**

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Issuance of Final Policy Statement on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a statement of policy on the protection of cesium-137 chloride (CsCl) sources. This statement sets forth the Commission's policy regarding secure uses of these sources at the present and states the Commission's readiness to respond with additional security requirements, if needed, should the threat environment change. The purpose of this policy statement is to delineate the Commission's expectations for security and safety of these sources.

DATES: This Policy Statement becomes effective [Insert date of publication in the Federal Register].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. John P. Jankovich, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, telephone (301) 415-7904, e-mail john.jankovich@nrc.gov, or Dr. Cynthia G. Jones, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response, telephone (301) 415-0298, e-mail cynthia.jones@nrc.gov.

Enclosure

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Certain radioactive sources, including CsCl sources, have been identified by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) *Code of Conduct on the Safety and Security of Radioactive Sources* (Code of Conduct) (see http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Code-2004_web.pdf) as sources that may pose a significant risk to individuals, society, and the environment if improperly handled or used in a malicious act.

X
Consequently, the NRC considers it prudent to express its views on the safe and secure use of these sources. CsCl sealed sources are used in many applications, ^{that have significant societal benefits} most commonly in irradiators, calibrators, and in devices for biological and medical research.

To develop its draft policy statement, the NRC initiated and completed a number of initiatives. A significant element of these initiatives was an Issue Paper which was published in the *Federal Register* on July 31, 2008 (73 FR 44780), and discussed with stakeholders in a public workshop held on September 29-30, 2008. The NRC also received numerous written comments on the Issues Paper. The oral and written comments as well as the transcript of the workshop, along with other relevant information, are accessible at <http://www.nrc.gov/materials/miau/licensing.html#cesium>. A study¹ on the use and replacement of radiation sources, conducted by the National Research Council of the National Academies in 2008, recommended the replacement or elimination of CsCl sources.

The NRC prepared a draft policy statement, which described issues related to safety and security associated with IAEA Category 1 and 2 CsCl sources². The Draft Policy Statement was published for public comment in the *Federal Register* on June 29, 2010 (75 FR 37483). The intent of this document was to foster discussion about these issues and to solicit comments on

¹ National Research Council of the National Academies, "Radiation Source Use and Replacement," The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, www.nap.org.

² An IAEA Category 1 cesium-137 source contains a minimum of 3000 Ci (100 TBq) and a Category 2 source contains a minimum of 30 Ci (1 TBq). See http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Code-2004_web.pdf.

the draft policy statement. NRC held a public meeting on November 8-9, 2010, to solicit comments on the Draft Policy Statement. The public meeting was announced in the *Federal Register* on September 29, 2010 (75 FR 60149), as well as in two NRC press releases issued June 28, 2010 (No. 10-117) and October 5, 2010 (No. 10-176). The public meeting included technical sessions with panel presentations, followed by facilitated discussion with the audience. The meeting was attended by the general public and representatives of licensees (users in the blood irradiation industry, biomedical research institutions, the pharmaceutical industry, and calibration laboratories), health and industry associations, source and device manufacturers, manufacturers of alternate technologies (x-ray and Cobalt-60), and Federal and State government agencies. The NRC developed a public Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/materials/miau/licensing.html#cc> to make documents, relevant to the draft policy statement and to the public meeting, accessible.

The NRC received written comments and a number of oral comments from the panelists and the audience at the public meeting. The majority of the comments supported the Draft Policy Statement. Many commenters recommended expanding the narrative regarding the areas of use of CsCl sources as well as recommendations to clarify statements in the policy. The comments and the submissions provided valuable information for the formulation of this Policy Statement regarding the use of CsCl sources, security issues, and the diversity of impacts that licensees could experience as a result of potential further regulatory requirements. In addition, there were recommendations to include the IAEA Category 3 CsCl sources in certain selected types of use. All of the written and oral comments were considered when finalizing the Policy Statement³. None of the comments resulted in changes to the basic principles that are in the Policy Statement. The changes to the Draft Policy Statement are limited. In response to public comments, the Final Policy statement contains expanded

³ See Summary of Comments on the CsCl Draft Policy Statement and Staff Resolutions (ADAMS Accession number ML110750506).

X discussions of the use of CsCl sources and of new developments in terms of security of ~~CsCl~~², in X
addition to clarifications. Changes were also made to address the new developments including
issuance of the Task Force Report and its implementation plan, and publication of the draft
environmental impact statement by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

In August 2010, the Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force (Task Force)
completed its quadrennial report (Task Force Report) to the President and Congress
(ML102230141). The Task Force Report addressed the security of all radioactive sources, but
singled out the issue of CsCl sources in several of the recommendations. As a follow-up to the
Task Force Report, the NRC developed an implementation plan for the Task Force Report
(ML103050432) in December 2010. The NRC implementation plan defined the
recommendations as tasks to be completed by the Task Force within the framework of their
upcoming activities including the issue of CsCl sources. The Policy Statement is consistent with
the conclusions and the recommendations of the Task Force Report.

Disposal of CsCl sources is addressed in the Policy Statement. Regarding disposal
of radioactive materials, DOE published, in February 2011, for public comment a "Draft
Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level
Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste" (accessible at <http://nepa.energy.gov/1653.htm>). The
Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) includes proposals for resolution of disposal issues for
sealed sources, including CsCl sources. The Policy Statement recognizes DOE's issuance of the
EIS and expresses the Commission's intent to interact with DOE to resolve the issue of waste
disposal.

II. Policy Statement of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources

Statement of Policy

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) issues this Policy
Statement to set forth its policy on the secure uses of sealed sources containing CsCl

and to describe potential Commission actions if changes in the security threat environment necessitate regulatory action. The Policy Statement also delineates the Commission's expectations for the secure and safe use of CsCl sources with activity levels of Category 1 and 2 as characterized by the IAEA Code of Conduct on the Safety and Security of Radioactive Sources.

It is the policy of the Commission that its mission of ensuring adequate protection of public health and safety, common defense and security, and the environment while enabling the use of radioactive materials for beneficial civilian purposes is best accomplished with respect to CsCl by implementing or promoting the following principles:

- The safety and security of risk significant sources is an essential part of the NRC's mission;
- Licensees have the primary responsibility to securely manage and to protect sources in their possession from misuse, theft, and radiological sabotage;
- Adequate protection of public health and safety is maintained if CsCl sources are managed in accordance with the safety and security requirements of the NRC and the Agreement States.⁴ These requirements are based on vulnerability assessments of the various sources and follow the principles of the Code of Conduct on the Safety and Security of Radioactive Sources of the IAEA;
- While these sources are adequately protected under the current NRC requirements, design improvements could be made that further mitigate or minimize the radiological consequences;
- The development and use of alternative forms of cesium-137 (Cs-137), while not required for adequate protection, are prudent and the NRC intends to monitor these developments closely. In addition, the NRC recognizes that objective measures of

⁴ Agreement States are those States that have entered into an agreement with the NRC to assume authority under Section 274b of the Atomic Energy Act to license and regulate by-product materials (radioisotopes), source materials (uranium and thorium), and certain quantities of special nuclear materials:

'solubility' and 'dispersibility' may need to be clarified as alternate forms of Cs-137 are developed by manufacturers;

- CsCl sources enable three specific classes of applications that benefit society: (a) blood irradiation, (b) bio-medical and industrial research, and (c) calibration of instrumentation and dosimetry;
- The NRC recognizes that currently there is no disposal capability for such commercial sources. The NRC considers it imperative to develop a pathway for the long term storage and disposal of these sources whether ^{not} or alternative forms are developed; and
- The NRC monitors the threat environment and maintains awareness of international and domestic security efforts. In the event that changes in the threat environment necessitate regulatory action, the NRC, in partnership with its Agreement States, would issue additional security requirements, if necessary, to apply appropriate limitations for the use of CsCl in its current form.

Security and Control of Radioactive Sources

Effective regulatory requirements and strong security measures are currently in place for ensuring security and control of radioactive sources. After the terrorist events of September 11, 2001, the NRC and Agreement States issued security requirements mandating that licensees who possess IAEA Category 1 or 2 quantities of radioactive materials implement increased security and control measures to reduce the risk of malevolent use and intentional unauthorized access to radioactive material. The additional requirements enhanced and supplemented existing regulations in 10 CFR 20.1801, "Security of Stored Material," and 10 CFR 20.1802, "Control of Material Not in Storage," which are primarily intended to prevent or mitigate unintended exposure to radiation.

Current security requirements include access controls and background checks for personnel; monitoring, detecting and responding to unauthorized access; delay; advance coordination with local law enforcement; and the tracking of transfers and shipments. The security requirements require licensees to establish and implement trustworthiness and reliability standards to determine who will have unescorted access to the radioactive material. An individual's trustworthiness and reliability is based upon a background investigation. The NRC and Agreement States have jointly developed materials protection and security regulatory requirements that reflect the experience gained through implementation of existing requirements.

In addition, the NRC has implemented new regulatory requirements for import/export licensing and for reporting to the National Source Tracking System (NSTS), which increase accountability of Category 1 and 2 radioactive material transactions and help to ensure that such transactions are only made by authorized entities.⁵ The NRC developed and maintains the NSTS, which provides information on sources from the time of manufacture through transportation and use to end-of-life disposition. The NSTS and other systems under development, such as Web-Based Licensing and License Verification System, are key components of a comprehensive program for the security and control of radioactive materials. When complete, these systems will include information on all NRC, Agreement State, import/export licensees, and risk-significant radioactive sources.⁶

The measures described above are in place to ensure the security of all Category 1 and 2 radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. Over the past six years, these measures have reduced the vulnerability for malevolent use of radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. In addition, the NRC and Agreement States are supporting DOE's National Nuclear Security

⁵ See 10 CFR 20.2207.

⁶ See <http://www.nrc.gov/security/byproduct/nsts.html>.

X Administration (NNSA) voluntary program to retrofit existing CsCl irradiators with ^{additional} physical security enhancements and to incorporate these improvements into the designs of newly manufactured units. These modifications extend beyond current regulatory requirements. These efforts are often complemented by expert security guidance to licensees (assist visits) and table-top exercises that allow participants to share best practices.

The NRC and Agreement States also support the Federal Bureau of Investigation's ongoing Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) countermeasure effort to reach out to certain communities of licensees (including the CsCl irradiator licensee community). A critical aspect of this WMD countermeasure effort is information sharing through visits to licensees. These visits encourage communication and allow regulators, law enforcement, and licensees to gain an understanding of a licensee's security arrangements and how and when law enforcement would be engaged if there were a threat or a security event at a licensee's site.

X on X To maintain security of sources, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPA) ^{established the Task Force} directed the NRC ~~to establish and lead the Task Force~~ ^{to be chaired by the Chairperson of the Commission (or a designee). The purpose of the Task Force is} to evaluate and provide recommendations to the President and Congress periodically relating to the security of radiation sources in the U.S. from potential terrorist threats, including acts of sabotage, theft, or use of a radiation source in a radiological dispersal device. The Task Force consists of representatives from 14 Federal agencies, the ^(11 of which were specified in the EPA Act) Organization of Agreement States, and the Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors. The Task Force issued its first report⁷ in 2006 and its quadrennial report⁸ in 2010. The 2010 Task Force Report, in a number of its recommendations, addressed the following issues associated with CsCl sources: export, end-of-life management, options for disposal, voluntary replacement with alternative technologies, and ^{Potential} licensing ~~for~~ discontinuation of use. The Task

^{of CsCl sources}
contingent upon the viability of alternative technologies and consideration of the threat environment.

⁷ Report to the President and the U.S. Congress Under Public Law 109-58, The Energy Policy Act of 2005, The Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML062190349.

⁸ Report to the President and the U.S. Congress Under Public Law 109-58, The Energy Policy Act of 2005, The 2010 Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML102230141.

Force also developed a plan to implement the recommendations of the report. The NRC's policy for CsCl sources is consistent with the recommendations of the Task Force reports.

The NRC supports the security initiatives of international organizations (e.g., IAEA), and other countries, as well as the initiatives of Federal agencies aimed to further increase the protection of risk-significant sources both domestically and overseas (e.g., NNSA's Global Threat Reduction Initiative). The NRC participates in the development of such protective measures in various international forums and will consider their applicability for use within the U.S. if the threat environment changes, which could warrant additional protective security measures.

Uses of CsCl Sources

CsCl sources comprise approximately 3 percent of the IAEA Category 1 and 2 quantity sources in the U.S. In comments at the public meetings and in written submissions, members of the medical and scientific communities stated that these CsCl sources are essential due to their applications in blood irradiation, bio-medical and industrial research, and calibration of instrumentation and dosimetry, especially for critical reactor and first responder equipment. CsCl is used for these applications because of the properties of the nuclide Cs-137, including its desirable single energy spectrum (662 keV), long half-life, low cost, and moderate shielding requirements relative to other nuclides. The CsCl used in these applications is in a compressed powder form that is doubly-encapsulated in two stainless steel capsules to ensure safety and security in normal use. This physical form is used because of its high specific activity (gamma emission per unit volume) and manufacturability. However, the powder is highly soluble and potentially dispersible, which could present security concerns if used in a malevolent manner. X

not properly secured and

Blood irradiation is medically essential to prevent transfusion-associated Graft-Versus-Host disease and the vast majority of hospitals use only irradiated blood. CsCl blood irradiators are used to irradiate over 90 percent of all irradiated blood because CsCl blood irradiators are the most reliable and efficient blood irradiation devices currently available.

In biomedical research, CsCl irradiation has been used for over 40 years in fields such as immunology, hematology, stem cell research, bone marrow transplantation, cancer research, in-vivo immunology, systemic drug research, chromosome aberrations, DNA damage/repair, human genome, and genetic factors. According to members of the medical community, the continuation of such research is crucial for advancing patient care, and for studies on medical countermeasures against radiation effects for the protection of the public, first responders and military personnel. For most research, there are no alternatives to Cs-137 irradiation because of the unique properties of Cs-137 radiation, such as high dose rates with uniform fields of linear energy transfer. No alternative technologies that can effectively replace CsCl sources for biomedical research have yet been developed. Based on decades of use, including trial use of certain x-ray machines for irradiation, the biomedical research community considers the Cs-137 irradiators optimal for providing effective, reliable, dependable, economical, and experimentally reproducible means of required health care equipment needed for research. Alternative technologies (e.g., cobalt-60 irradiators, linear accelerators, x-ray irradiators) are not as stable, more expensive, require more maintenance, are less suitable for accommodating research specimen, and require physical locations more robust than current irradiators. In addition, according to the medical community, the results of previous research with Cs-137 irradiators cannot be compared to results obtained from other types of irradiation due to differences in the energy spectra and dose distribution of the radiation sources. Conversion factors between biomedical experimental results of x-ray versus gamma-rays do not exist. The use of alternative technologies would necessitate extensive research to re-validate research models of diseases

NRC encourages stakeholders to take an active role in source security and continue their efforts in maintaining the current security environment. As is necessary and practical, and in response to any change in the threat environment, the NRC will work with other Federal agencies to further enhance the secure use of Cs-137 sources. The NRC recognizes that it is prudent to maintain awareness of the status of research to identify alternative forms of CsCl. The NRC will remain cognizant of these issues and appropriately consider whether there are safety and security benefits to further risk reduction. As part of the NRC's responsibility to ensure the security of these sources, the NRC, in coordination with its Federal partners, continuously monitors the national threat environment and is prepared to take further regulatory actions should this environment change. Just as it did following the events of September 11, 2001, the NRC is prepared to take immediate action such as issuance of additional security requirements with Orders or rulemaking, to address such security-related issues, if necessary.

While the current security requirements are adequate and provide sufficient safeguards, the NRC recognizes that if the use of CsCl in its current form is to continue, the NRC encourages the source and device manufacturers to implement design improvements that further mitigate or minimize the radiological consequences of misuse or malevolent acts involving these sources given that such events, while unlikely, cannot be dismissed.

Accordingly, the NRC supports efforts by manufacturers to develop alternate forms of Cs-137 and to strengthen device modifications that could further reduce the risk of malevolent use associated with CsCl. The National Research Council of the National Academies issued a report¹¹ that supported these efforts, recommended that the NRC consider the potential economic and social disruption that changes to the CsCl requirements could cause, and supported a research and development program for alternative "matrices" for high-activity Cs-137 sources, which could provide lower security hazards.

¹¹ National Research Council of the National Academies, "Radiation Source Use and Replacement," The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, www.nap.org

The NRC recognizes that objective measures of 'solubility' and 'dispersibility' may need to be clarified as alternate forms of Cs-137 are developed by manufacturers. While it is outside the scope of NRC's mission to conduct developmental research, the Commission encourages research to develop alternative chemical forms for large activity Cs-137 sources. Given the state of the current technology, and because a less dispersible form does not negate the risk or a potentially large cleanup and economic cost, the NRC believes that, for the near term, it is more appropriate to focus on continued enforcement of the U.S. security requirements and to mitigate risk through cooperative efforts and voluntary initiatives of industries that currently manufacture and use CsCl sources. While current NRC and Agreement State security requirements are in place to ensure the safety and security of these sources, additional voluntary security efforts by licensees and that of NNSA's security enhancement program help to enhance existing and future design improvements to minimize the potential misuse or malevolent acts involving these sources. ^{further}

Summary

The NRC is continually working with its domestic and international partners to assess, integrate, and improve its security programs, and to make risk-significant radiation sources more secure and less vulnerable to terrorists. ^{and the Agreement States have} The NRC ~~has~~ the responsibility to ensure the safe and secure use and control of radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. Both the NRC and the Agreement States have met this responsibility through imposition of additional security requirements. ^{The actions of the NRC and the Agreement States to date have resulted in a strong security program.} The NRC recognizes that near term replacement of devices or CsCl sources in existing blood, research, and calibration irradiators is not practicable or necessary due to implementation of the additional security requirements and lack of a disposal capacity. Many medical, research, and emergency response stakeholders have stated that short term replacement would be detrimental to existing medical programs, on-going biomedical research, and homeland response activities, respectively. Therefore, the NRC continues to believe that

the security of these facilities should be maintained and enhanced as practical through the implementation of the regulatory requirements and through voluntary actions such as the physical security enhancements of existing devices and future designs against intrusion. The NRC supports efforts to develop alternate forms of Cs-137 that would reduce the security risks and will monitor these developments closely. Regarding possible future regulatory actions affecting the use of Cs-137 irradiators in the three specific areas of application that benefit society, the NRC will solicit public input in the development of any rule or guidance for the use of CsCl devices. The NRC will continue to work with its Federal and State partners to ensure the safety and security of CsCl sources. In the event that changes in the threat environment necessitate regulatory action, the NRC, in partnership with its Agreement States, will be ready to issue additional security requirements to apply appropriate limitations for the use of CsCl, as necessary.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this ___ day of _____, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook
Secretary of the Commission

NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

TO: Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary
FROM: Commissioner Apostolakis
SUBJECT: SECY-11-0058 – PROPOSED FINAL POLICY
STATEMENT ON THE PROTECTION OF CESIUM-137
CHLORIDE SOURCES

Approved X Disapproved _____ Abstain _____

Not Participating _____

COMMENTS: Below X Attached X None _____

I approve staff's recommendation to publish the Final Policy Statement on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources in the *Federal Register* with the attached edits. I approve staff's recommendation to not develop dispersibility or solubility criteria for these sources at this time. I also support the Chairman's comments on the draft final Policy Statement identified as numbers 1-7.



SIGNATURE

5/24/11

DATE

Entered on "STARS" Yes X No _____

Commissioner Apostolakis' edits on SECY-11-0058

[7590-01-P]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0209]

**Policy Statement of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources**

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Issuance of Final Policy Statement on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a statement of policy on the protection of cesium-137 chloride (CsCl) sources. This statement sets forth the Commission's policy regarding secure uses of these sources at the present and states the Commission's readiness to respond with additional security requirements, if needed, should the threat environment change. The purpose of this policy statement is to delineate the Commission's expectations for security and safety of these sources.

DATES: This Policy Statement becomes effective [Insert date of publication in the Federal Register].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. John P. Jankovich, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, telephone (301) 415-7904, e-mail john.jankovich@nrc.gov, or Dr. Cynthia G. Jones, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response, telephone (301) 415-0298, e-mail cynthia.jones@nrc.gov.

Enclosure

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Certain radioactive sources, including CsCl sources, have been identified by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) *Code of Conduct on the Safety and Security of Radioactive Sources* (Code of Conduct) (see http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Code-2004_web.pdf) as sources that may pose a significant risk to individuals, society, and the environment if improperly handled or used in a malicious act. Consequently, the NRC considers it prudent to express its views on the safe and secure use of

~~CsCl sources. CsCl sealed sources are used in many applications, most commonly in irradiators, calibrators, and in devices for biological and medical research.~~

Deleted: these

To develop its draft policy statement, the NRC initiated and completed a number of initiatives. A significant element of these initiatives was an Issue Paper which was published in the *Federal Register* on July 31, 2008 (73 FR 44780), and discussed with stakeholders in a public workshop held on September 29-30, 2008. The NRC also received numerous written comments on the Issues Paper. The oral and written comments as well as the transcript of the workshop, along with other relevant information, are accessible at <http://www.nrc.gov/materials/miau/licensing.html#cesium>. A study¹ on the use and replacement of radiation sources, conducted by the National Research Council of the National Academies in 2008, recommended ~~eliminating Category 1 and 2 CsCl sources from use in the United States and to the extent possible elsewhere. The National Research Council also recommended that replacement of some sources with alternatives should be implemented with caution, ensuring that essential functions that the sources perform are preserved.~~

Deleted: the replacement or

Deleted: on

Deleted: of

Deleted: .

The NRC prepared a draft policy statement, which described issues related to safety and

¹ National Research Council of the National Academies, "Radiation Source Use and Replacement," The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, www.nap.org.

security associated with IAEA Category 1 and 2 CsCl sources². The Draft Policy Statement was published for public comment in the *Federal Register* on June 29, 2010 (75 FR 37483). The intent of this document was to foster discussion about these issues and to solicit comments on the draft policy statement. NRC held a public meeting on November 8-9, 2010, to solicit comments on the Draft Policy Statement. The public meeting was announced in the *Federal Register* on September 29, 2010 (75 FR 60149), as well as in two NRC press releases issued June 28, 2010 (No. 10-117) and October 5, 2010 (No. 10-176). The public meeting included technical sessions with panel presentations, followed by facilitated discussion with the audience. The meeting was attended by the general public and representatives of licensees (users in the blood irradiation industry, biomedical research institutions, the pharmaceutical industry, and calibration laboratories), health and industry associations, source and device manufacturers, manufacturers of alternate technologies (x-ray and Cobalt-60), and Federal and State government agencies. The NRC developed a public Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/materials/miau/licensing.html#cc> to make documents, relevant to the draft policy statement and to the public meeting, accessible.

The NRC received written comments and a number of oral comments from the panelists and the audience at the public meeting. The majority of the comments supported the Draft Policy Statement. Many commenters recommended expanding the narrative regarding the areas of use of CsCl sources as well as recommendations to clarify statements in the policy. The comments and the submissions provided valuable information for the formulation of this Policy Statement regarding the use of CsCl sources, security issues, and the diversity of impacts that licensees could experience as a result of potential further regulatory requirements. In addition, there were recommendations to include the IAEA Category 3 CsCl sources in certain selected types of use. All of the written and oral comments were considered when

² An IAEA Category 1 cesium-137 source contains a minimum of 3000 Ci (100 TBq) and a Category 2 source contains a minimum of 30 Ci (1 TBq). See http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Code-2004_web.pdf.

finalizing the Policy Statement³. None of the comments resulted in changes to the basic principles that are in the Policy Statement. The changes to the Draft Policy Statement are limited. In response to public comments, the Final Policy statement contains expanded discussions of the use of CsCl sources and of new developments in terms of security of CsCl, in addition to clarifications. Changes were also made to address the new developments including issuance of the Task Force Report and its implementation plan, and publication of the draft environmental impact statement by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

In August 2010, the Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force (Task Force) completed its quadrennial report (Task Force Report) to the President and Congress (ML102230141). The Task Force Report addressed the security of all radioactive sources, but singled out the issue of CsCl sources in several of the recommendations. As a follow-up to the Task Force Report, the NRC developed an implementation plan for the Task Force Report (ML103050432) in December 2010. The NRC implementation plan defined the recommendations as tasks to be completed by the Task Force within the framework of their upcoming activities including the issue of CsCl sources. The Policy Statement is consistent with the conclusions and the recommendations of the Task Force Report.

Disposal of CsCl sources is addressed in the Policy Statement. Regarding disposal of radioactive materials, DOE published, in February 2011, for public comment a "Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste" (accessible at <http://nepa.energy.gov/1653.htm>). The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) includes proposals for resolution of disposal issues for sealed sources, including CsCl sources. The Policy Statement recognizes DOE's issuance of the EIS and expresses the Commission's intent to interact with DOE to ~~monitor its program on waste disposal~~.

Deleted: resolve
Deleted: the issue of

³ See Summary of Comments on the CsCl Draft Policy Statement and Staff Resolutions (ADAMS Accession number ML110750506).

II. Policy Statement of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources

Statement of Policy

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) issues this Policy Statement to set forth its policy on the secure uses of sealed sources containing CsCl and to describe potential Commission actions if changes in the security threat environment necessitate regulatory action. The Policy Statement also delineates the Commission's expectations for the secure and safe use of CsCl sources with activity levels of Category 1 and 2 as characterized by the IAEA Code of Conduct on the Safety and Security of Radioactive Sources.

It is the policy of the Commission that its mission of ensuring adequate protection of public health and safety, common defense and security, and the environment while enabling the use of radioactive materials for beneficial civilian purposes is best accomplished with respect to CsCl by implementing or promoting the following principles:

- The safety and security of risk significant sources is an essential part of the NRC's mission;
- Licensees have the primary responsibility to securely manage and to protect sources in their possession from misuse, theft, and radiological sabotage;
- Adequate protection of public health and safety is maintained if CsCl sources are managed in accordance with the safety and security requirements of the NRC and the Agreement States.⁴ These requirements are based on vulnerability assessments of the various sources and follow the principles of the Code of Conduct on the Safety and Security of Radioactive Sources of the IAEA;

⁴ Agreement States are those States that have entered into an agreement with the NRC to assume authority under Section 274b of the Atomic Energy Act to license and regulate by-product materials (radioisotopes), source materials (uranium and thorium), and certain quantities of special nuclear materials.

- While these sources are adequately protected under the current NRC requirements, design improvements could be made that further mitigate or minimize the radiological consequences;
- The development and use of alternative forms of cesium-137 (Cs-137), while not required for adequate protection, are prudent and the NRC intends to monitor these developments closely. In addition, the NRC recognizes that objective measures of 'solubility' and 'dispersibility' may need to be clarified as alternate forms of Cs-137 are developed by manufacturers;
- CsCl sources enable three specific classes of applications that benefit society: (a) blood irradiation, (b) bio-medical and industrial research, and (c) calibration of instrumentation and dosimetry;
- The NRC recognizes that currently there is no disposal capability for such commercial sources. The NRC considers it imperative to develop a pathway for the long term storage and disposal of these sources whether or **not** alternative forms are developed; and
- The NRC monitors the threat environment and maintains awareness of international and domestic security efforts. In the event that changes in the threat environment necessitate regulatory action, the NRC, in partnership with its Agreement States, would issue additional security requirements, if necessary, to apply appropriate limitations for the use of CsCl in its current form.

Security and Control of Radioactive Sources

Effective regulatory requirements and strong measures are currently in place for ensuring security and control of radioactive sources. After the terrorist events of September 11, 2001, the NRC and Agreement States issued security requirements mandating that licensees

Deleted: security

who possess IAEA Category 1 or 2 quantities of radioactive materials implement increased security and control measures to reduce the risk of malevolent use and intentional unauthorized access to radioactive material. The additional requirements enhanced and supplemented existing regulations in 10 CFR 20.1801, "Security of Stored Material," and 10 CFR 20.1802, "Control of Material Not in Storage," which are primarily intended to prevent or mitigate unintended exposure to radiation.

Current security requirements include access controls and background checks for personnel; monitoring, detecting and responding to unauthorized access; delay; advance coordination with local law enforcement; and the tracking of transfers and shipments. The security requirements require licensees to establish and implement trustworthiness and reliability standards to determine who will have unescorted access to the radioactive material. An individual's trustworthiness and reliability is based upon a background investigation. The NRC and Agreement States have jointly developed materials protection and security regulatory requirements that reflect the experience gained through implementation of existing requirements.

In addition, the NRC has implemented new regulatory requirements for import/export licensing and for reporting to the National Source Tracking System (NSTS), which increase accountability of Category 1 and 2 radioactive material transactions and help to ensure that such transactions are only made by authorized entities.⁵ The NRC developed and maintains the NSTS, which provides information on sources from the time of manufacture through transportation and use to end-of-life disposition. The NSTS and other systems under development, such as Web-Based Licensing and License Verification System, are key components of a comprehensive program for the security and control of radioactive materials.

⁵ See 10 CFR 20.2207.

When complete, these systems will include information on all NRC, Agreement State, import/export licensees, and risk-significant radioactive sources.⁶

The measures described above are in place to ensure the security of all Category 1 and 2 radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. Over the past six years, these measures have reduced the vulnerability for malevolent use of radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. In addition, the NRC and Agreement States are supporting DOE's National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) voluntary program to retrofit existing CsCl irradiators with physical security enhancements and to incorporate these improvements into the designs of newly manufactured units. These modifications extend beyond current regulatory requirements.

NNSA's efforts are often complemented by expert security guidance to licensees (assist visits) and table-top exercises that allow participants to share best practices.

Deleted: These

The NRC and Agreement States also support the Federal Bureau of Investigation's ongoing Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) countermeasure effort to reach out to certain communities of licensees (including the CsCl irradiator licensee community). A critical aspect of this WMD countermeasure effort is information sharing through visits to licensees. These visits encourage communication and allow regulators, law enforcement, and licensees to gain an understanding of a licensee's security arrangements and how and when law enforcement would be engaged if there were a threat or a security event at a licensee's site.

To maintain security of sources, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAAct) directed the NRC to establish and lead the Task Force to evaluate and provide recommendations to the President and Congress periodically relating to the security of radiation sources in the U.S. from potential terrorist threats, including acts of sabotage, theft, or use of a radiation source in a radiological dispersal device. The Task Force consists of representatives from 14 Federal agencies, the Organization of Agreement States, and the Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors.

⁶ See <http://www.nrc.gov/security/byproduct/nsts.html>.

The Task Force issued its first report⁷ in 2006 and its quadrennial report⁸ in 2010. The 2010 Task Force Report, in a number of its recommendations, addressed the following issues associated with CsCl sources: export, end-of-life management, options for disposal, voluntary replacement with alternative technologies, and discontinuation of use. The Task Force also developed a plan to implement the recommendations of the report. The NRC's policy for CsCl sources is consistent with the recommendations of the Task Force reports.

Deleted: licensing for

The NRC supports the security initiatives of international organizations (e.g., IAEA), and other countries, as well as the initiatives of Federal agencies aimed to further increase the protection of risk-significant sources both domestically and overseas (e.g., NNSA's Global Threat Reduction Initiative). The NRC participates in the development of such protective measures in various international forums and will consider their applicability for use within the U.S. if the threat environment changes, which could warrant additional protective security measures.

Uses of CsCl Sources

CsCl sources comprise approximately 3 percent of the IAEA Category 1 and 2 sources in the U.S. In comments at the public meetings and in written submissions, members of the medical and scientific communities stated that these CsCl sources are essential due to their applications in blood irradiation, bio-medical and industrial research, and calibration of instrumentation and dosimetry, especially for critical reactor and first responder equipment. CsCl is used for these applications because of the properties of the nuclide Cs-137, including its desirable single energy spectrum (662 keV), long half-life, low cost, and moderate shielding requirements relative to other nuclides. The CsCl used in these applications is in a compressed powder form that is doubly-encapsulated in two stainless steel capsules to ensure safety and

Deleted: quantity

⁷ Report to the President and the U.S. Congress Under Public Law 109-58, The Energy Policy Act of 2005, The Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML062190349.

⁸ Report to the President and the U.S. Congress Under Public Law 109-58, The Energy Policy Act of 2005, The 2010 Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML102230141.

security in normal use. This physical form is used because of its high specific activity (gamma emission per unit volume) and manufacturability. However, the powder is highly soluble and potentially dispersible, which could present security concerns if used in a malevolent manner.

Blood irradiation is medically essential to prevent transfusion-associated

Graft-Versus-Host disease and the vast majority of hospitals use only irradiated blood. CsCl blood irradiators are used to irradiate over 90 percent of all irradiated blood because CsCl blood irradiators are the most reliable and efficient blood irradiation devices currently available.

In biomedical research, CsCl irradiation has been used for over 40 years in fields such as immunology, hematology, stem cell research, bone marrow transplantation, cancer research, in-vivo immunology, systemic drug research, chromosome aberrations, DNA damage/repair, human genome, and genetic factors. According to members of the medical community, the continuation of such research is crucial for advancing patient care, and for studies on medical countermeasures against radiation effects for the protection of the public, first responders and military personnel. For most research, there are no alternatives to Cs-137 irradiation because of the unique properties of Cs-137 radiation, such as high dose rates with uniform fields of linear energy transfer. No alternative technologies that can effectively replace CsCl sources for biomedical research have yet been developed. Based on decades of use, including trial use of certain x-ray machines for irradiation, the biomedical research community considers the Cs-137 irradiators optimal for providing effective, reliable, dependable, economical, and experimentally reproducible means of required health care equipment needed for research. Alternative technologies (e.g., cobalt-60 irradiators, linear accelerators, x-ray irradiators) are not as stable, more expensive, require more maintenance, are less suitable for accommodating research specimen, and require physical locations more robust than current irradiators. In addition, according to the medical community, the results of previous research with Cs-137 irradiators cannot be compared to results obtained from other types of irradiation due to differences in the

Deleted: ¶

¶

¶

energy spectra and dose distribution of the radiation sources. Conversion factors between biomedical experimental results of x-ray versus gamma-rays do not exist. The use of alternative technologies would necessitate extensive research to re-validate research models of diseases that have already been established using irradiation devices containing Cs-137. Numerous research studies would be needed to ensure that alternative technologies would not compromise or adversely impact ongoing studies related to human health and health care.

The U.S. and international systems of radiation measurements are based on the energy spectrum of Cs-137. All American National Standards Institute standards and their associated test-and-evaluation protocols for calibration of radiation detection, instrumentation, and personal dosimetry rely on the use of Cs-137. In addition, all U.S. Department of Homeland Security-related standards for calibration of first responder and emergency response equipment, such as personnel self-reading dosimeters, portal monitors, and portable survey instruments, also require the use of Cs-137 for calibration purposes. Cs-137 was selected by the national, Deleted: U.S and the international community as the basis of calibration because of the optimal single energy spectrum of this nuclide and its long half-life. The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) maintains the national measurement standards and calibrates the instruments for secondary laboratories which require the use of Cs-137. These instruments are then sent to secondary and tertiary laboratories that, in turn, calibrate the instruments for end users. This network of facilities ensures that every radiation detection instrument that is used in the country measures radioactivity and identifies isotopes correctly and is traceable to NIST.

Ensuring Secure Disposal for Disused CsCl Sources

The disposal of CsCl radioactive sources, which are currently in use, is a challenge because of the high cost of disposal and the lack of commercial disposal facilities. The vast majority of the CsCl sources in use today are classified as greater-than-Class C low-level radioactive waste. Today, used and unwanted CsCl sources are stored safely and securely at

the users' sites under the applicable NRC and Agreement State control and security requirements until options become available. To maintain source safety and security, the sites are routinely inspected in accordance with established NRC and Agreement State inspection procedures. The Commission considers it imperative to develop a pathway for the long term storage and disposal of these sources because extended storage at licensee facilities increases the potential for safety and security issues. The NRC will continue to monitor Federal and State activities and representatives of the private sector in initiatives as they explore medium- and long term-solutions to address the need for disposal and disposition of CsCl sources.

Deleted: commercial

- Deleted: To resolve these issues,
- Deleted: t
- Deleted: participate
- Deleted: with
- Deleted: its
- Deleted: partners
- Deleted: to

The Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985 assigned responsibility for providing disposal of this type of waste to DOE. However, pending the availability of a disposal capability, DOE is not responsible for accepting disused sources for storage, transportation or other activities related to disposal except under special circumstances.⁹ In February 2011, DOE published the "Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste (DOE/EIS-0375D, Draft EIS)"¹⁰ as required under the National Environmental Policy Act for public review and comment. DOE stated that in the coming years it plans to analyze public comments on its Draft EIS and finalize disposal alternative(s) for greater-than-Class C low-level radioactive waste, including CsCl sources. The Commission will actively monitor all phases of the DOE process to establish a storage facility for permanent, safe and secure disposal of used and unwanted sources.

- Deleted: interact
- Deleted: with
- Deleted: DOE in

The NRC's Perspective on Further Security Enhancements

The NRC believes that the current enhanced regulatory framework for security of

⁹ Under specified circumstances, and pursuant to other authority and responsibility under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, DOE may recover excess or unwanted sealed sources (including CsCl sources) for reuse, storage or disposal that present threats to public health, safety or national security.

¹⁰ See the DOE EIS at <http://www.gtcceis.anl.gov/>

radioactive sources has been very effective in enhancing and ensuring the security and control of IAEA Category 1 or 2 sources used in medical, industrial, and research activities in the U.S.

Deleted: risk-significant

The NRC encourages stakeholders to take an active role in source security and continue their efforts in maintaining the current security environment. As is necessary and practical, and in response to any change in the threat environment, the NRC will work with other Federal agencies to further enhance the secure use of Cs-137 sources. The NRC recognizes that it is prudent to maintain awareness of the status of research to identify alternative forms of CsCl. The NRC will remain cognizant of these issues and appropriately consider whether there are safety and security benefits to further risk reduction. As part of the NRC's responsibility to ensure the security of these sources, the NRC, in coordination with its Federal partners, continuously monitors the national threat environment and is prepared to take further regulatory actions should this environment change. Just as it did following the events of September 11, 2001, the NRC is prepared to take immediate action such as issuance of additional security requirements with Orders or rulemaking, to address such security-related issues, if necessary.

While the current security requirements and measures are adequate, the NRC recognizes that if the use of CsCl in its current form is to continue, the NRC encourages the source and device manufacturers to implement design improvements that further mitigate or minimize the radiological consequences of misuse or malevolent acts involving these sources given that such events, while unlikely, cannot be dismissed. Accordingly, the NRC supports efforts by manufacturers to develop alternate forms of Cs-137 and to strengthen device modifications that could further reduce the risk of malevolent use associated with CsCl. The National Research Council of the National Academies issued a report¹¹ that supported these efforts, recommended that the NRC consider the potential economic and social disruption that changes to the CsCl requirements could cause, and supported a research and development

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

Deleted: and provide sufficient safeguards

¹¹ National Research Council of the National Academies. "Radiation Source Use and Replacement," The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, www.nap.org

program for alternative "matrices" for high-activity Cs-137 sources, which could provide lower security hazards.

Deleted: ¶

The NRC recognizes that objective measures of 'solubility' and 'dispersibility' may need to be clarified as alternate forms of Cs-137 are developed by manufacturers. While it is outside the scope of NRC's mission to conduct developmental research, the Commission encourages research to develop alternative chemical forms for large activity Cs-137 sources. Given the state of the current technology, and because a less dispersible form does not negate the risk or a potentially large cleanup and economic cost, the NRC believes that, for the near term, it is more appropriate to focus on continued enforcement of the U.S. security requirements and to mitigate risk through cooperative efforts and voluntary initiatives of industries that currently manufacture and use CsCl sources. While current NRC and Agreement State security requirements are in place to ensure the safety and security of these sources, additional voluntary security efforts by licensees and that of NNSA's security enhancement program help to enhance existing and future design improvements to minimize the potential misuse or malevolent acts involving these sources.

Summary

The NRC is continually working with its domestic and international partners to assess, integrate, and improve its security programs, and to make risk-significant radiation sources more secure and less vulnerable to terrorists. The NRC and the Agreement States have the responsibility to ensure the safe and secure use and control of radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. Both the NRC and the Agreement States have met this responsibility through imposition of additional security requirements. The NRC recognizes that near term replacement of devices or CsCl sources in existing blood, research, and calibration irradiators is not practicable or necessary due to implementation of the additional security requirements and lack of a disposal capacity. Many medical, research, and emergency response stakeholders have

Deleted: has

stated that short term replacement would be detrimental to existing medical programs, on-going biomedical research, and homeland response activities, respectively. Therefore, the NRC continues to believe that the security of these facilities should be maintained and enhanced as practical through the implementation of the regulatory requirements and through voluntary actions such as the physical security enhancements of existing devices and future designs against intrusion. The NRC supports efforts to develop alternate forms of Cs-137 that would reduce the security risks and will monitor these developments. Regarding possible future regulatory actions affecting the use of IAEA Category 1 and 2 CsCl, the NRC will solicit public input in the development of any rule or guidance for the use of CsCl devices. The NRC will continue to work with its Federal and State partners to ensure the safety and security of CsCl sources. In the event that changes in the threat environment necessitate regulatory action, the NRC, in partnership with the Agreement States will be ready to issue additional security requirements to apply appropriate limitations for the use of CsCl, as necessary.

Deleted: closely

Deleted: Cs-137 irradiators in the three specific areas of application that benefit society,

Deleted: its

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this __ day of _____, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook
Secretary of the Commission

NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

TO: Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary
FROM: COMMISSIONER MAGWOOD
SUBJECT: SECY-11-0058 – PROPOSED FINAL POLICY
STATEMENT ON THE PROTECTION OF CESIUM-137
CHLORIDE SOURCES

Approved Disapproved Abstain

Not Participating

COMMENTS: Below Attached None



SIGNATURE

27 May 2011

DATE


Entered on "STARS" Yes No

**Commissioner Magwood's comments on
SECY-11-0058, "Proposed Final Policy Statement on
the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources**

I approve the staff's proposal to publish in the *Federal Register* the final policy statement on the protection of cesium chloride (CsCl) sources, subject to the attached edits. I applaud the staff for working with the various stakeholders to present the Commission with a clear policy statement. I believe that the policy statement will support our communication efforts with stakeholders regarding the use of CsCl.

I recognize that there is a lack of significant technical advances and lack of support within the Federal government for the development of alternative chemical forms of CsCl sources. I also understand that the scientific community believes that the potential security and safety advantages of alternative forms of CsCl are very limited and do not appear to enhance the protection of public health and safety as much as would further progress in the physical protection of current-technology CsCl sources. Given these considerations, it is reasonable to suspend the NRC's effort to develop dispersibility and solubility criteria for these sources. However, staff should maintain awareness of advances in research related to alternative forms of CsCl in the U.S. and overseas and be prepared to continue the development of criteria should events justify.

Finally, I believe staff should continue to evaluate whether or not enhancements made to the physical protection of CsCl sources on a voluntary basis should become part of the security requirements for newly installed irradiators.



William D. Magwood, IV Date 5/27/11

discussions of the use of CsCl sources and of new developments in terms of security of CsCl, in addition to clarifications. Changes were also made to address the new developments including issuance of the Task Force Report and its implementation plan, and publication of the draft environmental impact statement by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

In August 2010, the Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force (Task Force) completed its quadrennial report (Task Force Report) to the President and Congress (ML102230141). The Task Force Report addressed the security of all radioactive sources, but singled out the issue of CsCl sources in several of the recommendations. As a follow-up to the Task Force Report, the NRC developed an implementation plan for the Task Force Report (ML103050432) in December 2010. The NRC implementation plan defined the recommendations as tasks to be completed by the Task Force within the framework of their upcoming activities including the issue of CsCl sources. The Policy Statement is consistent with the conclusions and the recommendations of the Task Force Report.

Disposal of CsCl sources is addressed in the Policy Statement. Regarding disposal of radioactive materials, DOE published, in February 2011, for public comment a "Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste" (accessible at <http://nepa.energy.gov/1653.htm>). The **Draft** Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) includes proposals for resolution of disposal issues for sealed sources, including CsCl sources. The Policy Statement recognizes DOE's issuance of the **Draft** EIS and expresses the Commission's intent to interact with DOE **as they make a decision on a GTCC disposal facility which would require NRC review and approval to begin accepting waste materials** to resolve the issue of waste disposal.

II. Policy Statement of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission on the Protection of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources

Statement of Policy

reduced the vulnerability for malevolent use of radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. In addition, the NRC and Agreement States are supporting DOE's National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) voluntary program to retrofit existing CsCl irradiators with physical security enhancements and to incorporate these improvements into the designs of newly manufactured units. These modifications extend beyond current regulatory requirements. These efforts are often complemented by NNSA's expert security guidance to licensees (assist visits) and table-top exercises that allow participants to share best practices.

The NRC and Agreement States also support the Federal Bureau of Investigation's ongoing Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) countermeasure effort to reach out to certain communities of licensees (including the CsCl irradiator licensee community). A critical aspect of this WMD countermeasure effort is information sharing through visits to licensees. These visits encourage communication and allow regulators, law enforcement, and licensees to gain an understanding of a licensee's security arrangements and how and when law enforcement would be engaged if there were a threat or a security event at a licensee's site.

To maintain security of sources, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAAct) directed the NRC to establish and lead the Task Force to evaluate and provide recommendations to the President and Congress periodically relating to the security of radiation sources in the U.S. from potential terrorist threats, including acts of sabotage, theft, or use of a radiation source in a radiological dispersal device. The Task Force consists of representatives from 14 Federal agencies, the Organization of Agreement States, and the Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors. The Task Force issued its first report⁷ in 2006 and its quadrennial report⁸ in 2010. The 2010 Task Force Report, in a number of its recommendations, addressed the following issues associated with CsCl sources: export, end-of-life management, options for disposal, voluntary

⁷ Report to the President and the U.S. Congress Under Public Law 109-58, The Energy Policy Act of 2005, The Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML062190349.

⁸ Report to the President and the U.S. Congress Under Public Law 109-58, The Energy Policy Act of 2005, The 2010 Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML102230141.

security, the sites are routinely inspected in accordance with established NRC and Agreement State inspection procedures. The Commission considers it imperative to develop a pathway for the long term storage and disposal of these sources because extended storage at licensee facilities increases the potential for safety and security issues. To resolve these issues, the NRC will continue to participate with its Federal and State partners and representatives of the private sector in initiatives to explore medium- and long term-solutions to address the need for disposal and disposition of CsCl sources.

The Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985 assigned responsibility for providing disposal of this type of waste to DOE. However, pending the availability of a disposal capability, DOE is not responsible for accepting disused sources for storage, transportation or other activities related to disposal except under special circumstances.⁹ In February 2011, DOE published the "Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste (DOE/EIS-0375D, Draft EIS)"¹⁰ as required under the National Environmental Policy Act for public review and comment. DOE stated that in the coming years it plans to analyze public comments on its Draft EIS and finalize disposal alternative(s) for greater-than-Class C low-level radioactive waste, including CsCl sources. The Commission will actively interact with DOE in all phases of the process to establish a storage facility for permanent, safe and secure disposal of used and unwanted source as they make a decision on a GTCC disposal facility)which would require NRC review and approval to begin accepting waste materials).

The NRC's Perspective on Further Security Enhancements

The NRC believes that the current enhanced regulatory framework for security of

⁹ Under specified circumstances, and pursuant to other authority and responsibility under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, DOE may recover excess or unwanted sealed sources (including CsCl sources) for reuse, storage or disposal that present threats to public health, safety or national security.

¹⁰ See the DOE EIS at <http://www.gtceis.anl.gov/>

NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

TO: Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary
FROM: COMMISSIONER OSTENDORFF
SUBJECT: SECY-11-0058 – PROPOSED FINAL POLICY
STATEMENT ON THE PROTECTION OF CESIUM-137
CHLORIDE SOURCES

Approved Disapproved Abstain

Not Participating

COMMENTS: Below Attached None



SIGNATURE

5/9/11

DATE

Entered on "STARS" Yes No

Commissioner Ostendorff's Comments on SECY-11-0058

I approve of issuance of the final policy statement on the protection of cesium chloride (CsCl) sources, subject to the attached edits. I also approve, based on security risk considerations and the lack of significant technical advances, of the staff's recommendation to not develop dispersibility or solubility criteria for these sources. I commend the staff for its persistence and thorough work over the last several years in researching the issues associated with the use of CsCl sources and in developing the policy statement. I believe that the statement clearly articulates the Commission's policy for the secure use of CsCl sources and appropriately portrays the significant societal benefits offered by the use of these sources.

I continue to believe that, while security of these sources is critical, the policy should emphasize that the regulatory actions the NRC has taken to enhance the security of these sources provide adequate protection of public health and safety. Without a change in threat environment, further regulatory restrictions on the use of these sources are not necessary.

I commend the staff on its efforts through the radiation source protection and security task force to interact with other federal and state partners to facilitate a disposal solution for CsCl sources. The policy correctly recognizes that development of disposal capacity for these sources is imperative. The DOE's recent issuance of the draft EIS for the disposal of greater than class C waste is a positive step toward a disposal solution.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Certain radioactive sources, including CsCl sources, have been identified by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) *Code of Conduct on the Safety and Security of Radioactive Sources* (Code of Conduct) (see http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Code-2004_web.pdf) as sources that may pose a significant risk to individuals, society, and the environment if improperly handled or used in a malicious act. Consequently, the NRC has required additional security measures for these sources and considers it prudent to express its views on the safe and secure use of these sources. CsCl sealed sources are used in many applications that have significant societal benefits, most commonly in irradiators, calibrators, and in devices for biological and medical research.

To develop its draft policy statement, the NRC initiated and completed a number of initiatives. A significant element of these initiatives was an Issue Paper which was published in the *Federal Register* on July 31, 2008 (73 FR 44780), and discussed with stakeholders in a public workshop held on September 29-30, 2008. The NRC also received numerous written comments on the Issues Paper. The oral and written comments as well as the transcript of the workshop, along with other relevant information, are accessible at <http://www.nrc.gov/materials/miau/licensing.html#cesium>. A study¹ on the use and replacement of radiation sources, conducted by the National Research Council of the National Academies in 2008, recommended the replacement ~~or elimination~~ of CsCl sources, asked the NRC to consider the economic and societal disruption that changes to the requirements related to CsCl would cause, and supported research into alternative technologies.

The NRC prepared a draft policy statement, which described issues related to safety and

¹ National Research Council of the National Academies, "Radiation Source Use and Replacement," The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, www.nap.org.

finalizing the Policy Statement³. None of the comments resulted in changes to the basic principles that are in the Policy Statement. The changes to the Draft Policy Statement are limited. In response to public comments, the Final Policy statement contains expanded discussions of the use of CsCl sources ~~and of new developments in terms of security of CsCl~~, in addition to clarifications. Changes were also made to address the new developments including issuance of the Task Force Report and its implementation plan, and publication of the draft environmental impact statement by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

In August 2010, the Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force (Task Force) completed its quadrennial report (Task Force Report) to the President and Congress (ML102230141). The Task Force Report addressed the security of all radioactive sources, but singled out the issue of CsCl sources in several of the recommendations. As a follow-up to the Task Force Report, the NRC developed an implementation plan for the Task Force Report (ML103050432) in December 2010. The NRC implementation plan defined the recommendations as tasks to be completed by the Task Force within the framework of their upcoming activities including the issue of CsCl sources. The Policy Statement is consistent with the conclusions and the recommendations of the Task Force Report.

Disposal of CsCl sources is addressed in the Policy Statement. Regarding disposal of radioactive materials, DOE published, in February 2011, for public comment a "Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste" (accessible at <http://nepa.energy.gov/1653.htm>). The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) includes proposals for resolution of disposal issues for sealed sources, including CsCl sources. The Policy Statement recognizes DOE's issuance of the EIS and expresses the Commission's intent to interact with DOE to resolve the issue of waste disposal.

³ See Summary of Comments on the CsCl Draft Policy Statement and Staff Resolutions (ADAMS Accession number ML110750506).

security and control measures to reduce the risk of malevolent use and intentional unauthorized access to radioactive material. The additional requirements enhanced and supplemented existing regulations in 10 CFR 20.1801, "Security of Stored Material," and 10 CFR 20.1802, "Control of Material Not in Storage," which are primarily intended to prevent or mitigate unintended exposure to radiation.

Current security requirements include access controls and background checks for personnel; monitoring, detecting and responding to unauthorized access; delay; advance coordination with local law enforcement; and the tracking of transfers and shipments. The security requirements require licensees to establish and implement trustworthiness and reliability standards to determine who will have unescorted access to the radioactive material. An individual's trustworthiness and reliability is based upon a background investigation. The NRC and Agreement States have jointly developed materials protection and security regulatory requirements that reflect the experience gained through implementation of existing requirements.

In addition, the NRC has implemented new regulatory requirements for import/export licensing and for reporting to the National Source Tracking System (NSTS), which increase accountability of Category 1 and 2 radioactive material transactions and help to ensure that such transactions are only made by authorized entities.⁵ The NRC developed and maintains the NSTS, which provides information on sources from the time of manufacture through transportation and use to end-of-life disposition. The NSTS and other systems under development, such as Web-Based Licensing and License Verification System which will permit verification of the authority to possess radioactive sources, are key components of a comprehensive program for the security and control of radioactive materials. When complete,

⁵ See 10 CFR 20.2207.

these systems will include information on all NRC, Agreement State, import/export licensees, and risk-significant radioactive sources.⁶

The measures described above are in place to ensure the security of all Category 1 and 2 radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. Over the past six years, these measures have reduced the vulnerability for malevolent use of radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. In addition, the NRC and Agreement States are supporting DOE's National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) voluntary program to retrofit existing CsCl irradiators with additional physical security enhancements and to incorporate these improvements into the designs of newly manufactured units. These modifications extend beyond current regulatory requirements. These efforts are often complemented by expert security guidance to licensees (assist visits) and table-top exercises that allow participants to share best practices.

The NRC and Agreement States also support the Federal Bureau of Investigation's ongoing Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) countermeasure effort to reach out to certain communities of licensees (including the CsCl irradiator licensee community). A critical aspect of this WMD countermeasure effort is information sharing through visits to licensees. These visits encourage communication and allow regulators, law enforcement, and licensees to gain an understanding of a licensee's security arrangements and how and when law enforcement would be engaged if there were a threat or a security event at a licensee's site.

To maintain security of sources, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAAct) directed the NRC to establish and lead the Task Force to evaluate and provide recommendations to the President and Congress periodically relating to the security of radiation sources in the U.S. from potential terrorist threats, including acts of sabotage, theft, or use of a radiation source in a radiological dispersal device. The Task Force consists of representatives from 14 Federal agencies, the Organization of Agreement States, and the Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors.

⁶ See <http://www.nrc.gov/security/byproduct/nsts.html>.

The Task Force issued its first report⁷ in 2006 and its quadrennial report⁸ in 2010. The 2010 Task Force Report, in a number of its recommendations, addressed the following issues associated with CsCl sources: export, end-of-life management, options for disposal, voluntary replacement with alternative technologies, and licensing for discontinuation of use contingent upon the viability of alternative technologies and consideration of the threat environment. The Task Force also developed a plan to implement the recommendations of the report. The NRC's policy for CsCl sources is consistent with the recommendations of the Task Force reports.

The NRC supports the security initiatives of international organizations (e.g., IAEA), and other countries, as well as the initiatives of Federal agencies aimed to further increase the protection of risk-significant sources both domestically and overseas (e.g., NNSA's Global Threat Reduction Initiative). The NRC participates in the development of such protective measures in various international forums and will consider their applicability for use within the U.S. if the threat environment changes, which could warrant additional protective security measures.

Uses of CsCl Sources

CsCl sources comprise approximately 3 percent of the IAEA Category 1 and 2 quantity sources in the U.S. In comments at the public meetings and in written submissions, members of the medical and scientific communities stated that these CsCl sources are essential due to their applications in blood irradiation, bio-medical and industrial research, and calibration of instrumentation and dosimetry, especially for critical reactor and first responder equipment. CsCl is used for these applications because of the properties of the nuclide Cs-137, including its desirable single energy spectrum (662 keV), long half-life, low cost, and moderate shielding requirements relative to other nuclides. The CsCl used in these applications is in a compressed

⁷ Report to the President and the U.S. Congress Under Public Law 109-58, The Energy Policy Act of 2005, The Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML062190349.

⁸ Report to the President and the U.S. Congress Under Public Law 109-58, The Energy Policy Act of 2005, The 2010 Radiation Source Protection and Security Task Force Report, ADAMS Accession No. ML102230141.

powder form that is doubly-encapsulated in two stainless steel capsules to ensure safety and security in normal use. This physical form is used because of its high specific activity (gamma emission per unit volume) and manufacturability. However, the powder is highly soluble and potentially dispersible, which could present security concerns if not properly secured and used in a malevolent manner.

Blood irradiation is medically essential to prevent transfusion-associated Graft-Versus-Host disease and the vast majority of hospitals use only irradiated blood. CsCl blood irradiators are used to irradiate over 90 percent of all irradiated blood because CsCl blood irradiators are the most reliable and efficient blood irradiation devices currently available.

In biomedical research, CsCl irradiation has been used for over 40 years in fields such as immunology, hematology, stem cell research, bone marrow transplantation, cancer research, in-vivo immunology, systemic drug research, chromosome aberrations, DNA damage/repair, human genome, and genetic factors. According to members of the medical community, the continuation of such research is crucial for advancing patient care, and for studies on medical countermeasures against radiation effects for the protection of the public, first responders and military personnel. For most research, there are no alternatives to Cs-137 irradiation because of the unique properties of Cs-137 radiation, such as high dose rates with uniform fields of linear energy transfer. No alternative technologies that can effectively replace CsCl sources for biomedical research have yet been developed. Based on decades of use, including trial use of certain x-ray machines for irradiation, the biomedical research community considers the Cs-137 irradiators optimal for providing effective, reliable, dependable, economical, and experimentally reproducible means of required health care equipment needed for research. Alternative technologies (e.g., cobalt-60 irradiators, linear accelerators, x-ray irradiators) are not as stable, more expensive, require more maintenance, are less suitable for accommodating research specimen, and require physical locations more robust than current irradiators. In addition,

majority of the CsCl sources in use today are classified as greater-than-Class C low-level radioactive waste. Today, used and unwanted CsCl sources are stored safely and securely at the users' sites under the applicable NRC and Agreement State control and security requirements until commercial options become available. To maintain source safety and security, the sites are routinely inspected in accordance with established NRC and Agreement State inspection procedures. The Commission considers it imperative to develop a pathway for the long term storage and disposal of these sources because extended storage at licensee facilities increases the potential for safety and security issues. To resolve these issues, the NRC will continue to participate with its Federal and State partners and representatives of the private sector in initiatives to explore medium- and long term-solutions to address the need for disposal and disposition of CsCl sources.

The Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985 assigned responsibility for providing disposal of this type of waste to DOE. However, pending the availability of a disposal capability, DOE is not responsible for accepting disused sources for storage, transportation or other activities related to disposal except under special circumstances.⁹ In February 2011, DOE published the "Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste (DOE/EIS-0375D, Draft EIS)"¹⁰ as required under the National Environmental Policy Act for public review and comment. DOE stated that in the coming years it plans to analyze public comments on its Draft EIS and finalize disposal alternative(s) for greater-than-Class C low-level radioactive waste, including CsCl sources. The Commission will actively interact with DOE in all phases of the process during which DOE will~~te~~ establish a storage facility for permanent, safe and secure disposal of used and unwanted sources.

⁹ Under specified circumstances, and pursuant to other authority and responsibility under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, DOE may recover excess or unwanted sealed sources (including CsCl sources) for reuse, storage or disposal that present threats to public health, safety or national security.

¹⁰ See the DOE EIS at <http://www.gtcceis.anl.gov/>

report¹¹ that supported these efforts, recommended that the NRC consider the potential economic and social disruption that changes to the CsCl requirements could cause, and supported a research and development program for alternative “matrices” for high-activity Cs-137 sources, which could provide lower security hazards.

The NRC recognizes that objective measures of ‘solubility’ and ‘dispersibility’ may need to be clarified as alternate forms of Cs-137 are developed by manufacturers. While it is outside the scope of NRC’s mission to conduct developmental research, the Commission encourages research to develop alternative chemical forms for large activity Cs-137 sources. Given the state of the current technology, and because a less dispersible form does not negate the risk or a potentially large cleanup and economic cost, the NRC believes that, for the near term, it is more appropriate to focus on continued enforcement of the U.S. security requirements and to mitigate risk through cooperative efforts and voluntary initiatives of industries that currently manufacture and use CsCl sources. While current NRC and Agreement State security requirements are in place to ensure the safety and security of these sources, additional voluntary security efforts by licensees and that of NNSA’s security enhancement program help to enhance existing and future design improvements to further minimize the potential misuse or malevolent acts involving these sources.

Summary

The NRC is continually working with its domestic and international partners to assess, integrate, and improve its security programs, and to make risk-significant radiation sources more secure and less vulnerable to terrorists. The NRC and the Agreement States have the responsibility to ensure the safe and secure use and control of radioactive sources, including CsCl sources. Both the NRC and the Agreement States have met this responsibility through

¹¹ National Research Council of the National Academies, “Radiation Source Use and Replacement,” The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, www.nap.org

imposition of additional security requirements. The actions of the NRC and the Agreement States to date have resulted in a strong security program. The NRC recognizes that near term replacement of devices or CsCl sources in existing blood, research, and calibration irradiators is not practicable or necessary due to implementation of the additional security requirements and lack of a disposal capacity. Many medical, research, and emergency response stakeholders have stated that short term replacement would be detrimental to existing medical programs, ongoing biomedical research, and homeland response activities, respectively. Therefore, the NRC continues to believe that the security of these facilities should be maintained and enhanced as practical through the implementation of the regulatory requirements and through voluntary actions such as the physical security enhancements of existing devices and future designs against intrusion. The NRC supports efforts to develop alternate forms of Cs-137 that would reduce the security risks and will monitor these developments closely. Regarding possible future regulatory actions affecting the use of Cs-137 ~~irradiators in the three specific areas of application that benefit society~~, the NRC ~~will~~would solicit public input in the development of any rule or guidance for the use of CsCl devices if additional security measures are considered. The NRC will continue to work with its Federal and State partners to ensure the safety and security of CsCl sources. In the event that changes in the threat environment necessitate regulatory action, the NRC, in partnership with its Agreement States will be ready to issue additional security requirements to apply appropriate limitations for the use of CsCl, as necessary.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this ___ day of _____, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.