RESPONSE SHEET

Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary

TO:

FROM:	CHAIRMAN JACZKO	
SUBJECT:	COMSECY-09-0022 – RESPONSE TO STAFF REQUIREMENTS MEMORANDUM SECY-06-0144 – PROPOSED REORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION AND REGION II	
Approved X	Disapproved Abstain	
Not Participatin	g	
COMMENTS:	Below Attached None _X	
SIGNATURE Lo/13/09 DATE Entered on "STAPS" Vos. X. No.		
Entered on "STARS" Yes X No		

RESPONSE SHEET

TO:	Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary	
FROM:	Commissioner Klein	
SUBJECT:	COMSECY-09-0022 – RESPONSE TO STAFF REQUIREMENTS MEMORANDUM SECY-06-0144 – PROPOSED REORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION AND REGION II	
Approved XX	Disapproved Abstain	
Not Participating		
COMMENTS:	Below XX Attached None	
I approve the staff's plan to discontinue the periodic organizational self-assessments called for in the SRM to SECY-06-0144 on the basis that the NRC offices subject to these assessments have matured since their inception (or reorganization) and that they continue to fulfill their respective mission. Therefore, I see no need for these organizations to continue to justify their existence by way of continued periodic self-assessments. Notwithstanding the discontinuation of these formal self-assessments, I support the staff's plan to continue to keep a pulse on the organizational effectiveness of these and other NRC offices through their normal evaluation processes.		
(This confirms my original vote, which was signed on my behalf, by Doug Weaver on October 23, 2009). SIGNATURE		
11/2/09		
DATE		
Entered on "ST	ARS" Yes <u>XX</u> No	

RESPONSE SHEET

TO:	Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary	
FROM:	COMMISSIONER SVINICKI	
SUBJECT:	COMSECY-09-0022 – RESPONSE TO STAFF REQUIREMENTS MEMORANDUM SECY-06-0144 – PROPOSED REORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION AND REGION II	
Approved	Disapproved XX Abstain	
Not Participating		
COMMENTS:	Below Attached XX None	
	SIGNATURE	
	10/27/09 DATE	
Entered on "STARS" Yes No		

Commissioner Svinicki's Comments on COMSECY-09-0022 Proposed Reorganization of The Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation and Region II

I see value in the Commission receiving at least one more assessment of the effectiveness of NRC's major reorganization of recent years. Such an assessment need not have been an exercise in justifying the offices' existence but rather could have allowed the Commission to consider any proposals for "tweaks" or modifications to the structure that was put in place. I recognize, however, the staff's clear disinterest in preparing a follow-up to the initial reports, as evidenced by the submittal of this request after the deadline for submitting these reports to the Commission had already passed. Such disinterest was not likely to result in a thoughtful assessment.

Kristine I. Svinicki

10/20/09