## Expanded Policy for Staff Review of Applications Involving Digital I&C Systems from SECY-22-0076

1. The applicant <u>shall\_must\_assess</u> the defense in depth and diversity of the facility incorporating the proposed digital I&C system to demonstrate that vulnerabilities to digital CCFs have been adequately identified and addressed.

The defense-in-depth and diversity assessment shall-must be commensurate with the risk significance of the proposed digital I&C system.

2. In performing the defense-in-depth and diversity assessment, the applicant shall <u>must</u> analyze each postulated CCF. This assessment may use <u>using</u> either best-estimate methods or a risk-informed approach <u>or both</u>.

When using best-estimate methods, the applicant <u>shall-must</u> demonstrate adequate defense in depth and diversity within the facility's design for each event evaluated in the accident analysis section of the safety analysis report.

When using a risk-informed approach, the applicant shall-must include an evaluation of the approach against the Commission's policy and guidance, including any applicable regulations, for risk-informed decision-making. The NRC staff will review applications that use risk-informed approaches for consistency with established NRC policy and guidance on risk-informed decision-making (e.g., Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.174, "An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," <u>RG 1.233</u>, "Guidance for a Technology-inclusive, Risk-informed, and Performance-based Methodology to Inform the Licensing Basis and Content of Applications for Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Non-Light-Water Reactors).

3. The defense-in-depth and diversity assessment <u>may-must</u> demonstrate that a postulated CCF can be reasonably prevented or mitigated or is not risk significant. The applicant <u>shall-must</u> demonstrate the adequacy of any design techniques, prevention measures, or mitigation measures, other than diversity, that are credited in the assessment. The level of technical justification demonstrating the adequacy of these techniques or measures, other than diversity, to address potential CCFs <u>shall</u> <u>must</u> be commensurate with the risk significance of each postulated CCF.

A diverse means that performs either the same function or a different function is acceptable to address a <u>postulated</u> CCF, provided that the assessment includes a documented basis showing that the diverse means is unlikely to be subject to the same CCF. The diverse means may be performed by a system that is not safety-related if the system is of sufficient quality to reliably perform the necessary function under the associated event conditions. Either automatic or manual actuation within an acceptable timeframe is an acceptable means of diverse actuation.

If a postulated CCF is risk significant and the assessment does not demonstrate the adequacy of other design techniques, prevention measures, or mitigation measures, then a diverse means <u>shall-must</u> be provided.

4. Main control room displays and controls that are independent and diverse from the proposed digital I&C system (i.e., unlikely to be subject to the same CCF) shall-must be provided for manual, system-level actuation of risk-informed critical safety functions and monitoring of parameters that support the safety functions. These main control room displays and controls may be used to address point 3, above. The applicant may alternatively propose a different approach to this point in the policy if the plant design has a commensurate level of safety.