U4/24/91, THU 14:01 FAX 1 412 643 8376 DLC NUC OPS

P21 97331 2002

32216

301 816 5151

IEM,

C FORM 361

.....

1

)	EVEN		ICATIO			OPE	R REGULATORY COMMISSION RATIONS CENTER		
NOTIFICATION TIME FACILITY OF ORGANI 04/24/97 hours Beaver Valley Power Sta			UNIT 2	CALLER'S NAME R. VALLEOESA			CALL BACK #: ENS or (412) 643 - 8002		
EVENT TIME & ZONE	EVENT DATE 03/ 19/ 97	1-Kr Non-Emergency 10 CFR \$0.72(b)(1)				(M) (M)	Lost Offsite Comme Fine Toxic Ges		
POWER/MODE BEFORE	POWER/MODE AFTER	(1)(B) Talleviation (1)(B) Talleviation (4) Degradiati Condition (1)(A) Unansityped Condition				(vi) (vi)	Town: Ges Rad Release Oth Hampering Safe Op.		
EVENT CLAS	(45)(49)	(N)(8) Outside Design Basis (N)(C) Not Covered by OP1(9)s			4-Hr Non-Emergency 10 CFR 60.72(b)(2)				
GENERAL EMERGEN	(1)(C) (H) 6H)		Earthquetes			Degrade White S/D RPS Actuation (extrum) EBF Actuation			
UNUSUAL EVENT		C (10)	Humisane ice/Hs/i			(H) (H)(A) (旧)(图)	Safe B/O Cesability RHR Cepability		
50.72 NON-EMERGEN			Lightning Tomado				Control of Rad Refease Accident Mitigation		
ZO TRANSPORTATION			ne Phenemenon scharge to RCS	B	(N)(A) (N)(图)	Air Release > 2X App B Liq Release > 2X App B			
TOUR TOUR PARTY	Notification	0 M	Contraction of the local division of the loc	s erg: Aasesament	HE	(v) (w)	"flaite Medice. Offsite Netification		

DESCRIPTION

Initial 10CFR Part 21 Notification by facsimile- see attached. Follow-up written report will be submitted in 30 days.

Include: Systeme affected, actuations & their initiating signals, causes, affect of event on plant, actions taken or planned, etc.												
NOTIFICATIONS NRC RESIDENT	YES	NO	WILL BE	ANYTHING UNUSUAL OR NOT UNDER	A NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	0	YES (Exciain above)	X	NO			
STATE (8)	0	Ø		DID ALL SYSTEMS FUNCTION AS REQUIRED?			YES		NO			
LOCAL		8							(Explain above)			
ER GOV AGENCIES	0	10	D	MODE OF OPERATION	ESTMATE	EFOR			ADDITIONAL INFO			
INPRESS RELEASE	G	2	0	UNTIL CORRECTED: N/A	RESTART	DATE	N/A	0	ON BACKT NO			

NRC FORM 381 (8-84)

9705300003 970424 PDR ADOCK 05000412 S PDR

DLC NUC OPS

04/24/97

\$ 003

P21 97-33-1

Attachment to NRC Form 361 - Event Notification Worksheet Initial Notification 10CFR Part 21 - BVPS Unit 2 Auxiliary Feedwater Check Valve Failure

During the March 19, 1997 Beaver Valley Power Station (BVPS) Unit 2 trip (previously documented in Licensee Event Report 1-97-005-00, dated April 14, 1997), Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) anomalies were observed. The AFW flow through the "B" steam generator was lower (150 vs. 280 GPM) than expected. Flow through the "A" and "C" steam generators was as expected. The performance of all three AFW Pumps was normal for the trip conditions. Subsequent inspection of the "B" steam generator check valve (2FWE-100) revealed that the seat ring had partially moved into the flow stream, decreasing the available opening for flow to pass through the valve. The three Unit 2 AFW check valves were shipped to the vendor's facility for further examination and analysis. The resulting investigation concluded that the thermal gradient conditions created by flowing cold water through the hot valve created a rapid cooldown of the seat ring, allowing it to displace. All three of the subject check valves were modified to prevent reoccurrence. The valves were then shipped back to the site and reinstalled.

The check valves are normally held shut by steam generator pressure. Failed check valve 2FWE-100, is located in close proximity to the main feedwater header, and is at approximately 430 degrees F. The other two check valves are below 300 degrees F. The differences in the temperatures are attributed to the distance and location of the valves with respect to the main feedwater header. During a reactor trip, AFW at approximately 60 degrees F is injected. It is estimated that it takes approximately 5 seconds for the seat to cool down, whereas the massive valve body stays relatively hot. It appears that the valve seat loosened because of cold water passing through the valve. The massive valve retained its shape, whereas the seat shrunk. This relative shrinkage allowed the seat to displace and move into the flow stream.

An extent of condition evaluation has shown that other Enertech nozzle check valves of this design in service at Unit 2 are not subject to thermal gradients of s. fficient magnitude to induce the condition observed for 2FWE-100. Unit 1 does not have Enertech nozzle check valves.

A similar failure of AFW check valve 2FWE-100 would have resulted in a reduction of AFW flow to the "B" steam generator during a postulated design basis accident. The reduction in flow caused by the defect would have resulted in AFW flows less than analyzed for the Unit 2 Accident Analysis. Therefore, for the postulated accidents, the ability to provide adequate AFW cooling would be adversely affected and the system may not have performed its safety function.

An evaluation of this event, completed on April 24, 1997, has determined that a substantial safety hazard could be created as the result of the identified valve defect and that it is, therefore, reportable pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR Part 21.

Component Description:

The component is a nozzle check valve intended for use with water service.

Supplier:

Enertech (BW/IP) 2950 Birch Street Brea, CA 92621

Type:

Enertech "4" Nozzle Check Valve, ANSI Class 600, Type DRV-Z

Valve Body - Dwg, # PD96227, ASME SA105 Seat - Dwg, # PB96233, ASTM A479 Type 316