June 19, 1997

Mr. John K. Wood
Vice President - Nuclear, Davis-Besse
Centerior Service Company
c/o Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
5501 North State Route 2
Oak Harbor, OH 43449-9760

SUBJECT: DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, PROPOSED CHANGE TO QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM (TAC NO. M98623)

Dear Mr. Wood:

The NRC staff has completed its initial review of your 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3) request for changes to the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, Updated Safety Analysis Report, Section 17.2, "Quality Assurance During the Operating Phase," contained in your letter dated April 25, 1997. Based on the staff's preliminary review of this submittal, several issues have been identified which require clarification in order for the staff to complete its evaluation. Details are provided in the enclosure.

Please contact me at 301-415-1390 if you have any questions related to this request.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Allen G. Hansen, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-346

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	OGC
PUBLIC	ACRS
PD3-3 R/F	JRoe
EAdensam (EGA1)	SBlack
GMarcus	
GGrant, RIII	

DFO1

230091

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DAVISBES\DB98623.RAI

OFFICE	LA:PD3-3	E	PM:PD3-3	E
NAME	CBoyle		AHansen	
DATE	6/19/97		6/19/97	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

June 19, 1997

Mr. John K. Wood
Vice President - Nuclear, Davis-Besse
Centerior Service Company
c/o Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
5501 North State Route 2
Oak Harbor, OH 43449-9760

SUBJECT: DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, PROPOSED CHANGE TO QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM (TAC NO. M98623)

Dear Mr. Wood:

The NRC staff has completed its initial review of your 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3) request for changes to the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, Updated Safety Analysis Report, Section 17.2, "Quality Assurance During the Operating Phase," contained in your letter dated April 25, 1997. Based on the staff's preliminary review of this submittal, several issues have been identified which require clarification in order for the staff to complete its evaluation. Details are provided in the enclosure.

Please contact me at 301-415-1390 if you have any questions related to this request.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Allen G. Hansen, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-346

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	OGC
PUBLIC	ACRS
PD3-3 R/F	JRoe
EAdensam (EGA1)	SBlack
GMarcus	
GGrant, RIII	

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DAVISBES\DB98623.RAI

OFFICE	LA:PD3-3	E	PM:PD3-3	E
NAME	CBoyle		AHansen	
DATE	6/19/97		6/19/97	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

John K. Wood
Toledo Edison Company

cc:
Mary E. O'Reilly
Centerior Energy Corporation
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

James L. Freels
Manager - Regulatory Affairs
Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
5501 North State - Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449-9760

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts
and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Regional Administrator
U.S. NRC, Region III
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, Illinois 60523-4351

Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation Division
1700 Rockville Pike, Suite 525
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
5503 North State Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

James H. Lash, Plant Manager
Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
5501 North State Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449-9760

Donna Owens, Director
Ohio Department of Commerce
Division of Industrial Compliance
Bureau of Operations and Maintenance
6606 Tussing Road
P.O. Box 4009
Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068-9009

Robert E. Owen, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
Service
Ohio Department of Health
P. O. Box 118
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0118

James R. Williams
Chief of Staff
Ohio Emergency Management Agency
2855 West Dublin Granville Road
Columbus, Ohio 43235-2206

Roy P. Lessy, Jr., Esq.
Andrew G. Berg, Esq.
Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer
& Feld, L.L.P.
1333 New Hampshire Ave., NW, Ste. 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
DERR--Compliance Unit
ATTN: Zack A. Clayton
P. O. Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0149

State of Ohio
Public Utilities Commission
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573

Attorney General
Department of Attorney
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216

President, Board of County
Commissioner of Ottawa County
Port Clinton, Ohio 43252

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING

PROPOSED CHANGE TO QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1

1. Please provide a list of audit findings documented during the last 2 years and identify from this list each finding that would continue to be treated as an "audit finding" (that is, a Category 1 or 2 Potential Condition Adverse to Quality [PCAQR]) and each that would be treated as a Category 3 or 4 PCAQR under the proposed process.

2. Please explain how audit findings that are not classified as "significant conditions adverse to quality" would be uniquely identified, tracked, and trended under the proposed process.

3. Would audit findings that are identified as "significant conditions adverse to quality" be tracked or trended differently than other PCAQRs classified as Category 1 or 2?

4. Under the provisions of the proposed audit finding system, please describe how you would determine the need for a follow-up audit of deficient findings as required by Criteria XVI and XVIII of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50.

5. The proposed approach would establish a new threshold for the identification and reporting of audit findings (that is, significant conditions adverse to quality), and audit issues that did not satisfy this new threshold would be processed separately in accordance with your PCAQR program. Given that one of the primary objectives of the QA audit process is to independently identify programmatic weaknesses and deficiencies for management attention, please describe how the proposed process would evaluate audit issues which are no longer identified as "audit findings."