## APPENDIX A

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Boston Edison Company Plymouth, Massachusetts

302100281

Docket No. 50-293 License No. DPR-35

During an NRC inspection conducted from November 30 through December 18, 1992, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (Enforcement Policy 1992), the following violations were identified:

A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI states in part that measures shall be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality, such as failures and defective equipment are promptly identified and corrected to preclude repetition.

Contrary to the above, Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station had experienced numerous repetitive failures of the emergency diesel generator fuel oil booster pump belt and had identified the belt as an inadequate design in August, 1988. Failure of PNPS to complete recommended corrective actions for the inadequate design resulted in repetitive failures on July 18, 1991, and December 12, 1992.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

B. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V states in part that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures....and shall be accomplished in accordance with these....procedures.

Contrary to the above, as of November 30, 1992, the licensee's procedures were inadequate concerning an exhaust damper on the "B" emergency diesel generator west side plenum when the damper was found to be closed. Also, the licensee had not included this damper in a plant procedure to periodically verify its correct position.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Boston Edison Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice of Violation within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.