The Executive Director, U.S. MRC, Washington D.C. 20555-0001



PAMELA BLOCKEY-O'BRIEN D23 Golden Valley, Douglasville, GA 30134

March 28th, 1995

1134 PERCH EVERY I

Dear Director,

Re: My 2.206 Petition to the NRC Concerning the Georgia Tech Nuclear Research Reactor etc.

I have just found out that some information I sent you in my letter of Feb. 21st, 1995 is wrong. On page two, there is a section on the R.M. Clayton Sewer Plant and cancer rates. I got those rates from a press release on the subject issued by Commissioner Darmell (5th District). From what I have been told by another scientist, those figures were put together from hospital records. In the meanwhile, I found out (by accident actually) that they are wrong. You see, the State uses a whole combination of sources, and until fairly recently, if someone HAD cancer, but died of pneumonia due to their weakened immune system, the pneumonia would appear as cause of death. This has all been changed.

According to the Health Assessment Services Unit /Statistics of the Division of Public Health of

the State of Georgia, Susan S. Deaver, the figures should be as follows:

For 1990 to 1993 (inclusive, ie. four years)

Total Cancer Deaths (all body sites) 4,777
Total Lung Cancer Deaths 1,255
Total Breast Cancer Deaths 449
Total Leukemia Deaths 193

This is obviously far higher than the figures I had. The problem is this: the State /I myself do not have it now broken down into Commission District. This can be done, but in order to do that, it will cost up to \$50 for computer time etc. I don't have that money at present. If I can get it, I will get that done. Of Course the NRC could request it and pay for it perhaps. The further problem is this: while it is possible that the cancer deaths increase accordingly with these higher numbers, in District 5, it is also possible that it may not be the case. It could remain the same, could show another area higher, or this area plus another wind path area higher. I just don't know without the data needed. Commissioner Darmells' office did the right thing with the information they were working to it seems, however it is not the complete story. In any event, it is a lot of cancers.

With regard to the BE-7 (radioactive Beryllium) contamination, I would draw your attention to the fact that EPA classifies NON-radioactive beryllium dust as a hazardous waste ,plus there are laws regarding its discharge to waters and sewage treatment facilities. The fact that what we have is radioactive only makes the problem worse. The Dept. of Health and Human Services and the International Agency for Research on Cancer and the EPA have determined that (non-radioactive) beryllium and beryllium compounds are are probably carcinoge—enic, besides causing a host of other problems. Radioactive beryllium would obviously have even worse effects. Beryllium is extremely toxic to warmwater fish in soft water. According to the Toxicological Profile on Beryllium (i.e. not radioactive and from the reactor obviously) application of sewage sludge containing higher than background concentrations of beryllium or land can be a beryllium source in soil. From there you get the uptake to plants of course. The radioactive beryllium must have come from the reactor, there is no other way to explain its presence in soil and vegetation near the reactor, that I am aware of. If it is in the soil and vegetation, how do we know it was not also released to the sewers? I would like that answered. If it was, how much/what concentrations/when? The soil must also be cleaned up, and extreme care be taken not to raise dust in doing so. Such dust/soil should not be incinerated either according to the toxicological profiles on beryllium, that would apply even more as this is radioactive.

With regard to the contamination of sludges from NRC allowing sewer dumping (even if local ordinances prohibit it, or use drinking water guidelines,) what NRC fails perhaps to understand, is that one must look not just at the effect on humans when this crud winds up in water, but at all aquatic species, micro-organisms and such, as well as animals and birds who will drink from open bodies of water. NRC, as well as other agencies does not seem to understand the inter-relatedness of all life. This is the most important thing to understand. On that fact depends the survival of the planet. Period. Visualize all life forms as a suspension bridge, then remove a tiny rivet in the middle of the bridge. Over time, the effects on different parts of the structure will wind up with the whole thing collapsing into the garge.

9504070225 950328 PDR ADDCK 05000160 If nuclear facilities were the only facilities dumping to sawers and releasing to air etc. it would be bad enough, but this is not the case. For example, in Fulton County, Georgia alone, of those companies required to report their toxic releases (and hundreds are not required to do so) a total of 140,956 pounds of toxic chemicals were dumped to the sewage treatment plants, 98,586 pounds dumped to surface waters, and 3,189,780 pounds emitted to the air. This assumes that the reporting companies are being honest. All this is legal one way or the other. (Which may be why there is a saying "the law is an ass" in certain circumstances.) Every state and county in the nation is doing similar. Those figures are for '93. What each agency does, does not exist by itself. Hence the outrage (in part) over NRC wanting to declare vast amounts of the nations radioactive waste "Below Regulatory Concern" so it could have been dumped to sewers, landfills and such , and public health and the environment be dammed. — A reminder here -don't regurgitate that in any form as I have heard NRC might. I can't stress that enough.

The fact that NRC allows discharges to sewers (which it should not) does not make it right that Georgia Tech (or any lab etc.) dumps radioactive waste to the sewers when local ordinances are violated. I would like to remind the NRC, that if there were a major accident causing massive discharges into the sewer system - possibly even of boiling, radioactive water - to say that Tech violated Ciy Ordinances would be the understatement of the century. This scenario is possible.

NRC should grant my petition. Thank you.
Panula Blockey - O Bruen

Pamela Blockey-O'Brien.

Sw-placed to petition of By
RIMES 4/6/95

REF: EDO 10598

NRR ACTION:

DOPS/GRIMES

NRR ROUTING:

RUSSELL/MIRAGLIA

THADANI ZIMMERMAN CRUTCHFIELD BOHRER



PAMELA BLOCKEY-O'BRIEN D23 Golden Valley, Douglavville, GA 30134







The Executive Dieches, M.S. N.R.C. Washington, D.C. 20555-0001