RELATED CORRESPONDENCE DOCKET NUMBER SO 201 183 NPR 18 OCOP SWORN STATEMENTS of VIRGIL H. TENNYSON and RICHARD E. ROAM Taken before BONNIE L. WAGNER CSR License No. 5881 A Duly Qualified Notary Public State of California April 5, 1983 ------8304190428 830405 PDR ADUCK 05000275 PDR JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE SES ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAVETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

| 1 | APPEARANCES |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | VALE I JONES Attorney at Low |
| 4 | YALE I. JONES, Attorney at Law, representing the |
| 5 | law offices of JONES, BROWN, CLIFFORD & McDEVITT, 100 Van Ness |
| | Avenue, 19th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | SUSAN L. DURBIN, Deputy Attorney General, represent- |
| 8 | ing the office of the ATTORNEY GENERAL, 3580 Wilshire Boule- |
| 9 | vard, Los Angeles, California 90010. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | PETER H. KAUFMAN, Deputy Attorney General, repre- |
| 12 | senting the office of the ATTORNEY GENERAL, 3580 Wilshire |
| 13 | Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90010. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | 000 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | VIRGIL H. TENNYSON and RICHARD E. ROAM, |
| 18 | having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public |
| 19 | to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing |
| 20 | but the truth, testified as follows: |
| 21 | |
| 22 | MR. KAUFMAN: On the record. My name is Peter |
| 23 | Kaufman and I'm a Deputy Attorney General with the State |
| 24 | of California. |
| 25 | There will be a set of the set of |
| | I have with me today Susan Durbin from the Attorney |
| 26 | I have with me today Susan Durbin from the Attorney General's Office as well. We're here on behalf of Governor |
| 26 27 | General's Office as well. We're here on behalf of Governor |
| | |

2

1 licensing proceedings.

| 2 | One of the principal issues involved in those pro- |
|----|---|
| 3 | ceedings is quality assurance in the construction of the |
| 4 | Diablo Canyon plant. In reading press accounts of the con- |
| 5 | struction of Diablo Canyon we became aware that you gentlemen |
| 6 | had a role in quality assurance at the plant. |
| 7 | We would like to ask you some questions today about |
| 8 | your job with The Howard P. Foley Construction Company. |
| 9 | Why don't you state your names for the record, first. |
| 10 | MR. TENNYSON: Virgil H. Tennyson. |
| 11 | MR. ROAM: Richard E. Roam. |
| 12 | MR. KAUFMAN: Both Susan and myself will be asking |
| 13 | you questions. |
| 14 | I think I will take the lead in asking these ques- |
| 15 | tions and Susan may step in from time to time where I falter. |
| 16 | The questions I ask I will ask of both of you and |
| 17 | you can decide amongst you whether you want to answer each |
| 18 | question separately or you can come to a consensus answer |
| 19 | or you can elaborate on an answer that either one of you gives. |
| 20 | MR. JONES: Why don't we, as a matter of procedure, |
| 21 | have Virgil answer primarily since he was the senior person |
| 22 | there both in terms of position and years of service and then |
| 23 | if Mr. Roam has anything to add, he can go ahead and indicate |
| 24 | to you that he wants to indicate something. |
| 25 | Also, if you have direct information or direct per- |
| 26 | ceptions that Virgil didn't have, then you pipe in. |
| 27 | MR. ROAM: Okay. |
| 28 | MR. KAUFMAN: Mr. Tennyson, let me ask you first, |
| | |

3

| | 4 |
|----|---|
| 1 | as a preliminary matter, what is your occupation? |
| 2 | MR. TENNYSON: I was the quality manager, both |
| 3 | quality control and quality assurance for a few years at |
| 4 | Diablo. |
| 5 | MR. KAUFMAN: How many years was that? |
| 6 | MR. TENNYSON: A total of nine years that I was |
| 7 | with the company and eight of those years was as quality con- |
| 8 | trol and quality assurance. |
| 9 | MR. KAUFMAN: So you began working at Diablo at |
| 10 | what time? |
| 11 | MR. TENNYSON: February 4th, 1974. |
| 12 | MR. KAUFMAN: At that time what was your position? |
| 13 | MR. TENNYSON: I was hired as a quality engineer. |
| 14 | MR. KAUFMAN: And you advanced to the position you |
| 15 | last held? |
| 16 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. I advanced to chief inspector |
| 17 | and then to quality manager which was quality control manager |
| 18 | at that time. |
| 19 | MR. KAUFMAN: Before I ask you what each of those |
| 20 | entails, what positions have you held prior to that time, |
| 21 | prior to your working with Diablo? |
| 22 | MR. TENNYSON: I worked with Litton Industries in |
| 23 | the ship building portion of the company as sort of a quality |
| 24 | engineering coordinator which encompassed reviewing all the |
| 25 | purchase documents that were to go out for bids prior to them |
| 26 | being let out to a company to insure that they had all the |
| 27 | quality requirements within them. It was in coordination |
| 20 | with engineering quality assurance and all of that. |
| | |

5 MR. KAUFMAN: How long were you employed with 1 Litton? 2 MR. TENNYSON: A total of about a year. 3 MR. KAUFMAN: And before that? 4 MR. TENNYSON: I was with Todd Shipyard for about 5 13 years, approximately. 6 I went to Todd as an electrician and then advanced 7 to management over the electric shop and fabrication and did 8 some engineering and was awarded a quality control stamp from 9 the Quality Control Department at that time to stamp and 10 inspect material through the shop going to the ships to be 11 built which was on Navy vessels and civilian. 12 13 MR. KAUFMAN: Thank you. Mr. Roam, can I ask you the same series of questions. 14 15 When did you first start working at Diablo? 16 MR. ROAM: First started working there in July or August of '73. Then I left in August of '74 after I brought 17 18 Virgil Tennyson. 19 In between I spent several years at different jobs. 20 You want them too? 21 You know, I worked at Diablo twice. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: So you left in '74 and when did you 23 come back again? 24 MR. ROAM: Come back about August 24th of '82. 25 MR. KAUFMAN: Why did you come back? 26 MR. ROAM: Why? 27 MR. JONES: I don't think that is relevant. 28 MR. KAUFMAN: All right. I'm just curious.

You were in a senior position in '74? 1 MR. ROAM: Yes. 2 3 MR. KAUFMAN: What was your position at that time? MR. ROAM: Assistant mapager. 4 MR. JONES: Quality? 5 6 MR. ROAM: (Witness nodding.) 7 MR. JONES: She cannot take down nods of the head. You have to use words. 8 MR. ROAM: Oh. Assistant manager quality control, 9 yes. 10 MS. DURBIN: What was your position at Diablo just 11 until recently? 12 13 MR. ROAM: Well, until recently it was assistant manager quality control working under Virgil Tennyson. 14 MR. KAUFMAN: What are the Foley Construction 15 16 responsibilities at the Diablo Canyon plant? 17 MR. TENNYSON: They are subcontracted by PG&E as 18 the general contractor. 19 They were up until, I would say, around '77 or some-20 thing like that, they were just an electrical contractor. 21 Since that time they have acquired the tail ends 22 of contracts of Guy F. Atkinson which is a structural steel 23 builder out there and S&Q Corporation which was the instru-24 mentation group. Also from W. Becker which was mechanical, 25 you know, pumps and things of that nature. 26 MR. KAUFMAN: So from '78 on the Foley Company was 27 doing the electrical work at the plant for some or all of 28 instrumentation?

6

| 1 | MR. TENNYSON: All of the instrumentation. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. KAUFMAN: All of the instrumentation? |
| 3 | MR. TENNYSON: With the exception of what PG&E might |
| 4 | do on its own out there and their operations group. |
| 5 | I guess Foley was exempted from some of the work |
| 6 | and it depended on management deciding what was to be pat |
| 7 | or let out to the Foley Company to work on. |
| 8 | MR. KAUFMAN: Well, can you give me an example of |
| 9 | some of the instrumentation that Foley was responsible for? |
| 10 | MR. TENNYSON: Can you, Rick, name anything right |
| 11 | off the top of your head? |
| 12 | MR. ROAM: What we was working on when we left was |
| 13 | the rivulet system for Unit 1 and Unit 2. |
| 14 | MR. KAUFMAN: Can you tell me what that is? |
| 15 | MR. ROAM: No, I couldn't, to tell you the truth. |
| 16 | MR. TENNYSON: It was a little hard for the quality |
| 17 | control and quality assurance department to determine certain |
| 18 | systems out there due to the fact that we had procedures which |
| 19 | told us how to inspect a certain joint or configuration, things |
| 20 | of that nature. |
| 21 | We did not file our documents or we did not document |
| 22 | things per a system. So it was a little hard to tell exactly |
| 23 | what system you were working on at all times because the paper- |
| 24 | work that the engineers well, the engineering section would |
| 25 | be able to take you and lead you right into a system and tell |
| 26 | you when they would complete a system but Foley QC department |
| 27 | could not do this. |
| 28 | Like I said, it was because of the nature of the |
| | |

8 documentation being separate in the file and we didn't put 1 it together as a system. 2 MS. DURBIN: You were working to inspect various 3 components or various systems without really understanding 4 the working of the system you inspected? 5 6 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. MR. KAUFMAN: All right. 7 8 MR. ROAM: Well, if you're through with that I was just going to say the other system we were working on. 9 10 MR. KAUFMAN: Why don't you. MR. ROAM: The other system was our modifications 11 12 and design changes that were created due to safety changes 13 from other plants. 14 MS. DURBIN: In other words, you were kept updated 15 and if something didn't work over at one plant you would change that so you wouldn't have that same problem at Diablo? 16 17 MR. ROAM: That was basically it. 18 MR. KAUFMAN: That was for instrumentation? 19 MR. ROAM: Yes. 20 MR. KAUFMAN: So the Foley Company was doing the 21 work mandated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the correc-22 23 far as instrumentation was concerned? 24 Would that be a fair characterization? 25 MR. TENNYSON: Through PG&E. We didn't work with 26 NRC or anybody else. They might have communicated that to 27 PG&E and PG&E would redesign something or say, "Change this." 28 I couldn't tell you about the other part. We just

took our directions from PG&E. 1 2 MR. KAUFMAN: You were working on change orders then? 3 MR. TENNYSON: Right. 4 5 MR. KAUFMAN: There was an instrumentation system there and Foley was going in and changing an existing system? 6 MR. TENNYSON: Correct. 7 8 MR. KAUFMAN: Is that correct? 9 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. Also the installation of new systems too, such as 10 the rivulets Some of them were changes. Some of them were 11 12 for new systems. MS. DURBIN: I'd like to ask a couple questions. 13 14 I'm interested in what you said about taking over 15 the tail ends of various people's contracts. 16 What did you mean by that? 17 MR. TENNYSON: Well, it was like Guy F. Atkinson more or less completed the big portion of their work but there 18 19 may have been outstanding smaller portions of work to pick 20 up like a few minor modifications or just anything that would 21 have to be done. 22 It would be impossible for a company to kind of 23 close out their books and their records and not have something 24 outstanding. 25 What I mean by that is there may have been little 26 platform changes or things that PG&E maybe had not gotten 27 any design or engineering done on it prior to the contractor 28 moving out of his position and someone would have to pick

9

1 this up. I don't know how this came about but our company, 2 evidently, was awarded what we call the "cleanup contract" 3 to clean up the tail ends of other various types of work. 4 5 MS. DURBIN: I see. 6 Had Foley had much experience in the past in doing 7 work on structural steel contracts? 8 MR. TENNYSON: That I really could not tell you. 9 MS. DURBIN: How about instrumentation contracts? 10 MR. TENNYSON: I know nothing about Foley other than being connected with them at Diablo Canyon. 11 12 MS. DURBIN: I see. 13 MR. TENNYSON: So 1 couldn't really say what they 14 were involved in or capable of prior to that. 15 MS. DURBIN: Let me ask you something else. It 16 sounds like to me that most of your prior past experience 17 has been in the electronics field for --18 MR. TENNYSON: No. 19 MS. DURBIN: That is not correct? 20 MR. TENNYSON: No. 21 MS. DURBIN: I'm sorry. 22 MR. TENNYSON: I did work electronics, electrical 23 shipbeard and in the aircraft company. 24 Also I worked structural with Todd Shipyard for 25 many years in designing and engineering the installation of 26 equipment, foundations, inspecting, welding, things of this 27 nature. 28 I have had numerous years of structural steel, you

10

might say, because on ships there is quite a bit of it and 1 also with structural aircraft. I worked for Douglas. 2 MS. DURBIN: And I assume the same would be true 3 of pump systems that Foley was working on in Diablo. Had 4 you worked with pumps before? 5 MR. TENNYSON: Yes, installation of pumps, valves, 6 7 piping, small tubing. Yes, I think I was very familiar with that. 8 MS. DURBIN: Were you inspecting anything at Diablo, 9 any types of systems with which you had not worked before? 10 MR. TENNYSON: I would say no, that I had been 11 familiarized with most of the things that I was involved in 12 due to the --13 Well, I was in the Air Force for four years and 14 I had an extensive training course in A&E which covers all 15 16 that. MS. DURBIN: You'll have to tell us what A&E is. 17 18 MR. TENNYSON: Aircraft and engineering mechanics. I completed the school during the four years in the service 19 which covered hydraulics, pneumatics, instrumentation, 20 21 electrical and skin, you know, fabrication of the plane. 22 So I was familiar with it even though maybe I hadn't 23 had "hands-on" in some areas. MS. DURBIN: Your experience seems very broad. 24 25 Were the other inspectors, the people who worked 26 for you at Diablo, similarly qualified or were people inspect-27 ing things with which they had no previous experience or 28 familiarity with?

11

MR. TENNYSON: Well, I would say that there must 1 2 have been some situations where people were involved in their inspection that had no prior experience in it other than the 3 training period we might have put them through. 4 5 MS. DURBIN: Is that your experience? Did you ever 6 have that experience that you were working on a system you had no previous familiarity with or types of equipment with 7 8 which you had no familiarity about? MR. ROAM: Me? 9 10 No, most everything I've touched at one time or 11 another. 12 MR. KAUFMAN: Now, you indicated earlier that you 13 had three positions as engineer, chief inspector and quality 14 manager. 15 What's the full hierarchy of that, if you understand 16 my question, starting from the bottom to the top? 17 MR. TENNYSON: Rick, why don't you start. You 18 started in the very beginning of '73 and take it through that 19 portion as to who you were working for, what you did. 20 MR. JONES: Do you want to go off the record for 21 a second? Maybe I can save some time here. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. 23 Off the record. 24 (Discussion off the record.) 25 MR. KAUFMAN: What is the entry level position in 26 your department? 27 MR. TENNYSON: You mean, as far as qualification 28 or requirement to become an inspector?

12

MR. KAUFMAN: Well, is an inspector the -- I guess, for want of a Letter term -- the lowest position in the guality control department?

MR. TENNYSON: Well, we tried very hard to set up
a program and did have it set up to have a trainee inspector
trained.

7 When I would bring a trainee in we would put them 8 with a qualified inspector, one that had been in the field 9 enough times where we felt that they could handle their job 10 properly out there and do the procedure well enough.

We always tried to indoctrinate a new inspector or a trainee, you might say, with the proper procedures and procedures that you would expect and put them with a qualified person in the field until we felt they were ready to go on their own in the inspection field.

16MR. KAUFMAN: What kind of background did you look17for in hiring a trainee or in undertaking to train someone?

18 MR. TENNYSON: In some cases we really didn't have -19 we didn't have any background search on it.

20 Numerous times someone was just brought into the 21 office and they said, "This is going to be a new inspector 22 for you."

I would put them to work after a little questioning to find out where they were best fitted and I tried to work them into the areas that I could work them into, as best I could.

27 MR. KAUFMAN: Who would bring these people into 28 the office for you?

14 MR. TENNYSON: That would be my project manager who 1 2 was my boss, Skip Moses. MR. KAUFMAN: These were other workers within the 3 Foley Construction Company? 4 5 MR. TENNYSON: No. They would be new hires from various places. 6 7 Foley did bring in some of their own people: Sons, daughters, things of that nature, from the Martinez office 8 9 and they would be brought in and used in various positions. 10 MR. KAUFMAN: Did some of these people have no 11 previous construction background? 12 MR. TENNYSON: It would be hard for me to say at 13 this point. Sometimes I didn't even see their application 14 or resume or whatever, if they had one. 15 That went straight to the front office file and 16 they were given to me to train and make an inspector out of. 17 MR. KAUFMAN: What were the qualifications for an 18 inspector? 19 MR. TENNYSON: There were no written procedures 20 as to what gualifications had to be. 21 I was told numerous times that we were not trying 22 to hire the inspectors in accordance with the ANSI 4526 docu-23 ment or in accordance with 10 CFR 50 because we were not 24 obligated, evidently, to those documents at that time. 25 MR. KAUFMAN: That was at what time? 26 MR. TENNYSON: Well, that was during, I would say, 27 hiring up until the last year and a half or so. 28 MS. DURBIN: Until 1982?

15 MR. TENNYSON: Up until approximately that time, 1 2 ves. MS. DURBIN: You'll have to forgive us for our 3 ignorance. 4 What is that ANSI document, whatever, that you 5 referred to? 6 MR. TENNYSON: Your ANSI documents are the more 7 pronounced versions of the 10 CFR 50 which is your 18-point 8 9 criteria for building of a nuclear plant. MS. DURBIN: So the ANSI requirements are published 10 by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission? 11 MR. TENNYSON: I'm sure it is. 12 The plant inspectors were to be qualified to certain 13 levels or degree of levels by ANSI 4526 and your audit team, 14 which is an audit of your quality assurance group, were to 15 be qualified to ANSI 45223. 16 17 Wasn't it, Rick? 18 MR. ROAM: Yes. MR. KAUFMAN: What is your understanding of the 19 specifics of those requirements? 20 MR. TENNYSON: Well, up until, like I say, approxi-21 22 mately the last year and a half, until we started getting 23 audits from Pacific Gas & Electric's QA team who, at that 24 time, Mr. Dick Twitty was in charge. 25 Well, we were really not complying with the ANSI 26 requirements because we were told by Pacific Gas & Electric 26 27 that we didn't have to. 28 MS. DURBIN: Who told you that?

| 1 | MR. TENNYSON: Well, this was numerous times from |
|----|---|
| 2 | the beginning since I went to work in '74. |
| Э | Every time it would come up through the QA depart- |
| 4 | ment, "Should we level our reople?" Well, we would mention |
| 5 | ANSI 26 and we would mention Appendix B of 10 CFR 50. |
| 6 | Well, it was either by PG&E inspectors or by their |
| 7 | resident engineer up there, who was a coordinator with us |
| 8 | between PG&E and the Foley Company. |
| 9 | It was by word of mouth that we were not subject |
| 10 | to all of those rules and regulations and that PG&E would |
| 11 | tell us what portions of it we had complied with and they had |
| 12 | the option to comply with the rest of it. |
| 13 | MS. DURBIN: Who was the most recent resident |
| 14 | engineer who told you something about that? Do you have a |
| 15 | name? |
| 16 | MR. TENNYSON: That would be Mr. Don Rockwell. |
| 17 | MR. JONES: Do you want to add something, Rick? |
| 18 | MR. ROAM: I was just going to say that in 1974 |
| 19 | when we first started the program Virg and I and the others |
| 20 | tried leveling people, ABC-type inspectors. |
| 21 | MS. DURBIN: Could you explain to us a bit more |
| 22 | what "leveling" means? |
| 23 | MR. ROAM: In other words, more qualified inspectors. |
| 24 | In other words, you got a trainee like a D or an E inspector |
| 25 | and after a certain amount of time, testing and checking out |
| 26 | then we can level him to A, B or C or D-type inspector. |
| 27 | In other words, he's qualified to do certain types |
| 28 | of inspections and only those. After a certrin period of |
| | |

16

time, he can go to another step. 1 We started that and what it was, if PG&E -- well, 2 we wrote it into a procedure and if they didn't like it they 3 4 would take it out of the procedure. This was one of the ways of telling us what we will 5 and will not have to do. 6 See, like Virg said, sometimes in the process of 7 8 saying, "Well, we don't want you to do something." 9 Instead of coming out and telling us they would write a new procedure. That's one way how they did some of 10 11 that. 12 MS. DURBIN: Were you ever dissatisfied, Mr. Tennyson, with the performance of any of the employees 13 that were presented to you as opposed to the ones that you 14 15 had hired yourself, the ones whose qualifications you really 16 couldn't check on? 17 MR. TENNYSON: I don't think I could honestly answer 18 that. 19 MS. DURBIN: Okay. 20 MR. TENNYSON: No more so than any of some of the 21 others I might have brought in myself. 22 But that's kind of a general thing. 23 MR. KAUFMAN: Off the record for a second. 24 (Discussion off the record.) 25 MR. KAUFMAN: Back on the record. 26 You indicated that until recently you were not 27 qualifying inspectors to the standards set forth in Appendix B 28 of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's regulations for quality

17

assurance.

1

| 2 | What standards were you using prior to 1982? |
|----|---|
| 3 | MR. TENNYSON: If I may correct you on that. |
| 4 | Really, other than 10 CFR 50, Appendix B require- |
| 5 | ments, it would have been ANSI 4526 which would be your |
| 6 | leveling of your personnel. 26 for quality control people |
| 7 | and 223 for quality assurance auditors, lead auditor and so |
| 8 | on. |
| 9 | MR. JONES: I think what he's asking you though, |
| 10 | before that point in time where you started in the last year |
| 11 | and a half complying with those regulations, what standard |
| 12 | did you use to guage the experience of new hires? |
| 13 | Is that correct? |
| 14 | MR. KAUFMAN: Correct. |
| 15 | MR. JONES: Or did someone else do that for you? |
| 16 | MR. TENNYSON: I had to more or less base, I guess, |
| 17 | my opinion based on the supervision that I had over those |
| 18 | personnel to keep me filled in as to whether they were quali- |
| 19 | fying properly or not, if they could handle the position that |
| 20 | we had put them in. |
| 21 | There was no written procedure or basic steps that |
| 22 | you had to qualify to other than your documentation was to |
| 23 | be filled out properly and you knew your procedure, you |
| 24 | inspected to your procedures. |
| 25 | MR. KAUFMAN: Would it be fair to say then you based |
| 26 | it on your judgment? |
| 27 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. |
| 28 | MR. JONES: And I think you said the judgment of |

19 1 the supervisory people working for you? 2 MR. TENNYSON: Right. 3 MR. KAUFMAN: The next position above inspector 4 is what? 5 MR. TENNYSON: Supervisor. 6 MR. KAUFMAN: And let me ask the same question about 7 that: Did you apply similar judgment standards as to the 8 qualifications for supervisors? 9 MS. DURBIN: Before 1982? 10 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. It was pretty much the same 11 as qualifying inspectors. 12 MR. KAUFMAN: How many years' experience did supervisors have as a rule, if you can answer? 13 14 MR. TENNYSON: Some not too many. 15 MR. ROAM: A lot of it deals with the fact that 16 you only get what you pay for. 17 If you give a guy seven, eight dollars an hour you 18 ain't going to get anybody with any experience. The person 19 with experience is going to go down the road and get fifteen, 20 twenty dollars an hour. 21 That was one of the handicaps we had. There was 22 no judgment really on salaries. 23 We had a maximum of -- what did I hire in at? 24 Well, I think it was \$9.83. 25 MR. TENNYSON: \$9.86, I think. 26 MR. ROAM: Yes. That was top wages. 27 MR. KAUFMAN: How much were the people whose work 28 you were inspecting, how much were they making?

| | 20 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. JONES: You mean like welders and craftsmen? |
| 2 | MR. KAUFMAN: Correct. |
| 3 | MR. TENNYSON: With benefits some, on an average |
| 4 | of \$20 an hour or more. |
| 5 | MR. ROAM: Better than twenty plus a per diem. |
| 6 | MS. DURBIN: You indicated that salaries you were |
| 7 | hiring people in at were not up to some other companies' |
| 8 | salaries. |
| 9 | Were they up to an industry average based on your |
| 10 | experience? |
| 11 | MR. ROAM: Everybody wanted to switch and go to |
| 12 | work for Pullman. They were making about \$15.45 an hour or |
| 13 | so. |
| 14 | MS. DURBIN: And Pullman was working where? |
| 15 | MR. ROAM: At Diablo. |
| 16 | MS. DURBIN: At Diablo? |
| 17 | MR. ROAM: Yes. |
| 18 | MR. TENNYSON: During this time, speaking on this |
| 19 | salary range here, during the time the big push on the modi- |
| 20 | fications came out there, we could not hire inspectors nor |
| 21 | make supervisors fast enough to cover the influx of welding |
| 22 | personnel, iron workers and other craftsmen that were in the |
| 23 | field. |
| 24 | The quality department was so small at that time. |
| 25 | They were hiring a hundred, like a hundred or a hundred and |
| 26 | fifty a day of iron workers alone and in trying to put a |
| 27 | program together to get the quality well, the quality |
| 28 | control department was responsible for qualifying these |
| | |

1 particular welders.

| | Parter and a second sec |
|----|--|
| 2 | In trying to get enough people in the field to do |
| 3 | all of these functions plus cover the everyday work that we |
| 4 | had already been saddled with, well, you had a lot of inexper- |
| 5 | ienced people out there, inasmuch as inspectors. I mean, |
| 6 | they were just not used to that kind of pressure and push |
| 7 | and the enormous amount of people that were calling them for |
| 8 | inspections. |
| 9 | We had to call in a subcontractor by the name of |
| 10 | Cataract. |
| 11 | Cataract started sending in |
| 12 | MR. KAUFMAN: Excuse me. Is that spelled like the |
| 13 | eye cataract? |
| 14 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. |
| 15 | Cataract started sending in people to us. |
| 16 | I know nothing of the contract negotiations or any- |
| 17 | thing or how this came about. This was all taken care of |
| 18 | by Skip Moses. |
| 19 | But the people started coming in to me with a |
| 20 | certification paper that qualified them, leveled them to an |
| 21 | ANSI 4526 level. |
| 22 | These people were put into the field with a very |
| 23 | brief indoctrination period and were to start inspecting iron |
| 24 | workers' weldments and other various functions out there. |
| 25 | MS. DURBIN: We'd like to really ask in detail about |
| 26 | that period. |
| 27 | When did the "big push," as you described it, begin? |
| 28 | MR. TENNYSON: Let me finish first about what Rick |
| | |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 AR®OWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172 21

| bit about the disgruntled personnel out there. I was sitting there myself making \$21.80 an hour. Rick, as a supervisor, was making 15. MR. ROAM: 15.50. MR. TENNYSON: 15.50 an hour and we had this Cataract Corporation sending in inspectors to us who were relatively new people. They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | | 22 |
|--|----|--|
| I was sitting there myself making \$21.80 an hour. Rick, as a supervisor, was making 15. MR. ROAM: 15.50. MR. TENNYSON: 15.50 an hour and we had this Cataract Corporation sending in inspectors to us who were relatively new people. They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 1 | was talking about concerning wages and it may explain a little |
| Rick, as a supervisor, was making 15. MR. ROAM: 15.50. MR. TENNYSON: 15.50 an hour and we had this Cataract Corporation sending in inspectors to us who were relatively new people. They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 2 | bit about the disgruntled personnel out there. |
| MR. ROAM: 15.50. MR. TENNYSON: 15.50 an hour and we had this Cataract Corporation sending in inspectors to us who were relatively new people. They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 3 | I was sitting there myself making \$21.80 an hour. |
| MR. TENNYSON: 15.50 an hour and we had this Cataract Corporation sending in inspectors to us who were relatively new people. They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 4 | Rick, as a supervisor, was making 15. |
| Cataract Corporation sending in inspectors to us who were relatively new people. They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 5 | MR. ROAM: 15.50. |
| relatively new people. They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 6 | MR. TENNYSON: 15.50 an hour and we had this |
| 9 They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. 10 They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company 11 MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. 12 MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? 13 MR. ROAM: Something like that. 14 MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to 15 put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the 16 field prior to them coming in. 17 It wasn't long until the word got around the 18 tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus 19 the wages that our own Foley people were making. 20 We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal 21 with it because the people were very upset. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. 23 MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's 24 an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 7 | Cataract Corporation sending in inspectors to us who were |
| They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 8 | relatively new people. |
| MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 9 | They had to be broke in, indoctrinated and so on. |
| MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 10 | They came in with a rate of 15.50 an hour paid by our company. |
| MR. ROAM: Something like that. MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 11 | MR. ROAM: Plus 27.50 per diem. |
| MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 12 | MR. TENNYSON: Was it 27.50 a day per diem? |
| put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 13 | MR. ROAM: Something like that. |
| field prior to them coming in. It wasn't long until the word got around the tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus the wages that our own Foley people were making. We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 14 | MR. TENNYSON: These men came in new. We had to |
| 17 It wasn't long until the word got around the 18 tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus 19 the wages that our own Foley people were making. 20 We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal 21 with it because the people were very upset. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. 23 MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's 24 an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 15 | put them with an experienced inspector that had been in the |
| 18 tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus 19 the wages that our own Foley people were making. 20 We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal 21 with it because the people were very upset. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. 23 MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's 24 an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 16 | field prior to them coming in. |
| 19 the wages that our own Foley people were making. 20 We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal 21 with it because the people were very upset. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. 23 MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's 24 an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 17 | It wasn't long until the word got around the |
| We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal with it because the people were very upset. MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 18 | tremendous amount of wages that Cataract was making versus |
| 21 with it because the people were very upset. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. 23 MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's 24 an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 19 | the wages that our own Foley people were making. |
| MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 20 | We had quite a problem with that in trying to deal |
| 23 MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's 24 an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 21 | with it because the people were very upset. |
| 24 an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need | 72 | MR. KAUFMAN: I can imagine. |
| and inspector one i is supervising him, corring him while i need | 23 | MR. ROAM: Same way with me. Here's a guy that's |
| 25 to have done | 24 | an inspector and I'm supervising him, telling him what I need |
| to have done. | 25 | to have done. |
| 26 He's making more than me and I'm the one getting | 26 | He's making more than me and I'm the one getting |
| 27 chewed up every time something goes wrong. | 27 | chewed up every time something goes wrong. |
| 28 After a while you just say, "Well, what's the use," | 28 | After a while you just say, "Well, what's the use," |

| | 23 |
|----|--|
| 1 | you know. |
| 2 | MR. KAUFMAN: What kind of ratio of inspectors to |
| 3 | workers did you have in 1973? Do you recall? |
| 4 | MR. ROAM: 1973 when I went there, I think, I was |
| 5 | the fourth person. |
| 6 | There was a manager, assistant manager, two or three |
| 7 | inspectors, something like that in 1973. |
| 8 | MS. DURBIN: Inspecting how many people's work? |
| 9 | MR. ROAM: Well, at that time all we had was |
| 10 | electrical. It must have been two or three hundred electri- |
| 11 | cians. |
| 12 | MR. KAUFMAN: And that ratio continued for how long? |
| 13 | MR. ROAM: Until 1974, first part of '74 when the |
| 14 | AEC performed their first audit on Diablo Canyon. |
| 15 | As I understand it, they told PG&E to shape up. |
| 16 | Basically it was the fact that there was no real effective |
| 17 | quality program at Diablo Canyon in the electrical aspect. |
| 18 | I understand, as was told to me, that they gave |
| 19 | supposedly Foley 30 days to write a quality program, develop |
| 20 | a quality control program, implement some program or they'd |
| 21 | be removed from the site. That was the first part of '74. |
| 22 | That's when i called Virgil and a few of the other |
| 23 | guys 1 knew of and we sat down and started developing a |
| 24 | quality control program. |
| 25 | MR. KAUFMAN: At that point, Virgil, when you came |
| 26 | on how many people did you bring with you? |
| 27 | MR. TENNYSON: No. I didn't bring anyone with me. |
| 28 | MR. KAUFMAN: How many did you bring in? |
| | JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE |

JACK EGAN "EPORTING SERVICE See ANNOWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 223-3172

24 MR. JONES: How many came on with him, would be 1 a better question. 2 MR. ROAM: I hired him. 3 MR. KAUFMAN: All right. 4 MS. DURBIN: How much did the staff expand at that 5 6 point? MR. KAUFMAN: I knew he left in '74. 7 MR. ROAM: I left about the end of '74. 8 MS. DURBIN: How much did the staff expand at that 9 10 time? An estimate is fine. MR. TENNYSON: Some of those records that you have 11 12 there might show. Was there an old crganizational chart with them? 13 14 MR. JONES: No. MR. TENNYSON: None that old? Well, I don't think 15 16 my department ever was over 45 people. 17 MS. DURBIN: So it increased perhaps tenfold? 18 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. MR. JONES: No. That's not right. That would be 19 20 up to 400 when you left. 21 MR. KAUFMAN: Well, prior to 1974 there were four 22 people in the quality control department and now we're at --23 MR. JONES: You got up to 45. When did you jump 24 from 40 or 45 to over a hundred that they were when you left? 25 MR. TENNYSON: That was in '82. December, October, 26 November it started. 27 MR. ROAM: What was it when I came in there? I 28 was only about the sixth or eighth inspector of electrical.

25 1 So your department when I came in was about 30 some 2 pecple? 3 MR. TENNYSON: Close to 40, I think. 4 MR. ROAM: Really? 5 MS. DURBIN: What year was that? 6 MR. TENNYSON: 1982. 7 MR. ROAM: I came on in August 24th so somewhere 8 around that period. 9 MR. TENNYSON: From August it started increasing 10 and when we left in March '83 it was probably standing at --11 Well, I don't know if the totals are on there or 12 not but a hundred and forty or a little better. 13 MR. ROAM: There's guite a few on there that does 14 not show which was more documentation control and record 15 systems. 16 All I was interested in was the inspectors. 17 MR. KAUFMAN: All right. 18 Now, let me go back to 1973. What kind of program 19 did you have for quality assurance? 20 MR. ROAM: Well, one day I'm sitting there in the 21 office and Virg comes up to me and says he had a phone call. 22 He says, "There's a guy here from PG&E who wants 23 to talk about NCR's." 24 Well, he's talking to Virg and Virg says, "Well, 25 why don't you talk to the man who was there. Maybe he can 26 explain why." 27 Anyway, his question was, "How come there was no 28 NCR's prior to 1974?"

| | 26 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. DURBIN: Could you tell us what an NCR is? |
| 2 | MR. TENNYSON: Non-conformance report. |
| 3 | The only thing we ever wrote is against a vendor |
| 4 | if a piece of equipment came in damaged. So that will give |
| 5 | you an idea of what kind of program it was. |
| 6 | MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. |
| 7 | I take it there were inspectors out there who were |
| 8 | checking the work. Is it that there are no records kept for |
| 9 | non-conforming work or what? |
| 10 | MR. JONES: Well, maybe you should answer first |
| 11 | whether his assumption is correct that prior to 1974 there |
| 12 | were inspectors out there inspecting the work. |
| 13 | MR. ROAM: Yes. We did certain types of inspections. |
| 14 | MS. DURBIN: What types of inspections did you do? |
| 15 | NR. ROAM: Just cable pulls, cable pulling wire, |
| 16 | some foundations and stuff. |
| 17 | That was about it. Very general. |
| 18 | MR. TENNYSON: Electrical equipment, foundations. |
| 19 | MS. DURBIN: What proportion of the work that was |
| 20 | done, would you say, was inspected at that time in 1973? |
| 21 | Did you inspect ten percent of the work, 20 percent, |
| 22 | a hundred percent? |
| 23 | MR. ROAM: Some percentage but I couldn't tell you |
| 24 | now what it was. |
| 25 | MS. DURBIN: Less than a hundred percent? |
| 26 | MR. ROAM: Oh, yes. |
| 27 | MS. DURBIN: Less than 50 percent, would you say? |
| 28 | MR. KOAM: Yes. We didn't even have calibrations |

| 1 | set up, did we? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. TENNYSON: You set it up when I came in. |
| 3 | MR. ROAM: So it was less than that. |
| 4 | MR. KAUFMAN: Can you give us an example of the |
| 5 | kinds of things you did not inspect? |
| 6 | MR. JONES: In 1973? |
| 7 | MR. KAUFMAN: In 1973. |
| 8 | MR. ROAM: Tool calibrations, probably a hundred |
| 9 | percent of the raceway installation. |
| 10 | MR. TENNYSON: You might clarify and say electrical |
| 11 | raceways. |
| 12 | MR. ROAM: Material receiving, there was no control |
| 13 | on that. No control on the material itself coming into the |
| 14 | plant to be used for installation. |
| 15 | Rail rod control, welding procedures, welding |
| 16 | applications, all that we didn't do. |
| 17 | In fact, that's what we set up in '74 as one of |
| 18 | the first three projects I put Virgil on was welding |
| 19 | procedures: rod control, terminal blocks. |
| 20 | MR. KAUFMAN: After 1974 when you were required |
| 21 | to adopt a detailed quality control program, what kind of |
| 22 | a program did you adopt? |
| 23 | MR. TENNYSON: Well, in the beginning, right at |
| 24 | the beginning we started establishing procedures in accordance |
| 25 | with the specifications, the PG&E specifications for building |
| 26 | the plant. |
| 27 | MR. ROAM: And 10 CFR 50. |
| 28 | MR. KAUFMAN: All right. |
| | |

27

1 MR. TENNYSON: And in doing this the specifications called out certain codes and standards to be followed which 2 we had to research and develop the procedures based on, you 3 4 know, the codes and standards, too. 5 So as procedures were established, I think, there 6 was --7 Well, we had an interim period of time that we had a strike, a labor strike out there which put us off six months. 8 9 I say "put us off," it put the production forces 10 off. 11 The quality control department, not being in the union, crossed the line and came on in and performed their 12 13 functions and research and evaluation and procedures and so on, as the procedures were written, to cover all aspects of 14 15 the job that we were told to write. 16 They were submitted to Pacific Gas & Electric and 17 Pacific Gas & Electric would approve them or tell us how they 18 wanted them changed, you know, certain portions of them. 19 By the time the production forces came back to work 20 we pretty much had all our procedures established and inspec-21 tion criteria set up. 22 We had probably enough inspectors at that time, 23 I'd say, to --24 Rick, you were still there after the strike, weren't 25 vou? 26 MR. ROAM: Yes, I think just a few days after that 27 I quit. 28 MR. KAUFMAN: The program that you eventually

28

| 1 | adopted did not meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50 Part B, |
|----|--|
| | adopted and not meet the requirements of to CFR 50 Part B, |
| 2 | did it? |
| 3 | MS. DUREIN: You mean his understanding of it? |
| 4 | MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. |
| 5 | Your understanding if I can testify here for |
| 6 | a second the way I understood you to say it was you started |
| 7 | with an attempt to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50 and |
| 8 | PG&E specifications for the plant and during the course of |
| 9 | your attempt to adopt this program you had discussions with |
| 10 | Pacific Gas & Electric Company and they made modifications |
| 11 | to the program that you had proposed to them? |
| 12 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. |
| 13 | MR. KAUFMAN: My question then is: Did those |
| 14 | modifications result in a program which required less than |
| 15 | 10 CFR 50 Part P? |
| 16 | MR. TENNYSON: The reason I hesitate to answer this |
| 17 | is because of not knowing or never seeing a contract between |
| 18 | Foley Company and PG&E as to what our contractual obligations |
| 19 | were to PG&E. |
| 20 | PG&E claims that they could appoint the contractor |
| 21 | for a certain portion of 10 CFR 50 and they could absorb or |
| 22 | be responsible for other portions through their monitoring |
| 23 | of The Howard P. Foley Company with their own people. |
| 24 | MS. DURBIN: You weren't sure if your program had |
| 25 | to meet all of the requirements independently or if PG&E could |
| 26 | satisfy some of those requirements apart from what Foley did? |
| 27 | MR. TENNYSON: Right. |
| 28 | MR. ROAM: Right. |

MS. DURBIN: You mentioned before that PG&E
 instructed you to write quality control programs for certain
 portions of the work.

4I assume that means they instructed you not to write5quality control programs for other portions of the work?

Am I stating that correctly?

6

22

25

28

MR. TENNYSON: No. I didn't mean it that way.
Anything that The Howard P. Foley Company was
awarded to work on did require a procedure and procedures
were established and inspection forms were drawn up and put
with these procedures and documentation was required upon
the completion of an inspection of a certain item.

For instance, a termination procedure was written
and there were various forms within this procedure which -depending on the portion. That's what I meant by the portion
of work you were doing to the procedure because it did cover
many portions of work within the electrical termination.

If you were terminating a wire to a terminal block you would use one particular form or if you were installing a jumper you would use another form because there may have been six, eight forms.

MR. ROAM: At that time, right.

23 MR. TENNYSON: That would cover different portions
 24 of the work.

MS. DURBIN: Thank you.

26 MR. KAUFMAN: Has that procedure been changed, the 27 procedure you were utilizing after 1974?

Is that procedure still being utilized now?

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172 30

MR. TENNYSON: Yes.

1

28

2 That procedure is being utilized. It's been changed many times and all of the changes are on record, in most 3 cases, as to who requested the change and in some cases why. 4 5 Those would be found in the procedure files along 6 with the most current one. 7 MR. KAUFMAN: Have you ever received any instructions from anyone to deviate from the written or adopted 8 9 procedures? 10 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 11 Skip Moses directed me to pull some red tags on structural steel work that was in the field which were the 12 result of a non-conformance or many non-conformances written 13 14 regarding various non-conformances with weldments or maybe modification plate installations, things of that nature. 15 16 The red tags were written by the inspector and hung 17 on a particular area which was considered by the quality con-18 trol department to be non-conforming at that time either to 19 design or to procedural requirements. 20 These red tags, in essence, did slow down the work 21 because maybe the red tag would cover only one weld out of maybe ten, fifteen welds or would restrict a person from 22 23 installing a plate possibly closer to that or in relation 24 to it. 25 The new personnel had not enough field work to know 26 the procedures well enough to work on or through a red tag 27 and this would cause a lot of confusion in the field and was

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

possibly slowing the work down.

31

We were told to pull the red tags down and don't 1 write red tags on anything that we could possibly have 2 answered from Pacific Gas & Electric engineering department 3 through another method like an engineering disposition 4 request -- EDR -- or writing up a certain problem you had 5 run onto and could possibly be answered by PG&E through their 6 engineering division, evidently in San Francisco. 7 These things were easier and much quicker to process 8 than a non-conformance was because of the signatures that 9 had to be applied to it and the agreement between the two 10 companies. 11 So, in essence, the red tag was accused of slowing 12 13 the work down. MR. KAUFMAN: Let me see if I understand this 14 correctly. You were told by Mr. Moses to remove red tags 15 that were placed on otherwise non-conforming work because 16 it would slow down the work of --17 MR. TENNYSON: Production. 18 MR. KAUFMAN: The construction people who were not 19 experienced enough to be able to work around the red tag? 20 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 21 MR. KAUFMAN: You were still, however, to report 22 23 the --MR. TENNYSON: Non-conforming items? 24 25 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, to PG&E's engineering department for them to determine whether they could correct it in some 26 27 other fashion? 28 MR. JONES: You've got two things confused here

32

33 actually because, I think, what he's saying -- correct me 1 if I'm wrong in my understanding -- what you're saving is 2 3 with respect to those particular non-conformances that the red tags related to they were still taken care of, isn't that 4 5 right? MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 6 MR. JONES: And it was also said to you by 7 Mr. Moses that if it was possible not to write red tags or 8 9 NCR's at all but to go directly to PG&E's engineering department he would prefer that? 10 11 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. MR. JONES: So those were two separate things. 12 13 MS. DURBIN: So when you hung a red tag the work 14 actually was fixed? 15 MR. JONES: You want to ask him about the work that 16 related to that particular set of red tags that were pulled? 17 MS. DURBIN: That's what I'm trying to ask about. 18 If you hung a particular red tag, was that work 19 fixed later? 20 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. The work was fixed. 21 MS. DURBIN: Just a difference in how you were going 22 to get the work fixed? 23 MR. TENNYSON: A matter of procedure when the red 24 tag was to be removed and that was after the work had been 25 reworked and the inspector had inspected or approved the situ-26 ation. 27 New documentation would be made showing the results 28 of this inspection and this rework and the non-conformance

1 would be signed off and at that time be ready to put in the file and the red tag could be pulled off of the item which 2 3 would clear the non-conforming item. 4 MS. DURBIN: What happened when you reported it 5 to PG&E's engineering department instead? 6 MR. JONES: That assumes that he followed that pro-7 cedure. 8 I don't know if his department ever did that. 9 MS. DURBIN: Thank you for that correction. 10 Did you, in fact, stop hanging red tags and contact 11 PG&E's department instead on some items? 12 MR. TENNYSON: I didn't personally. Our engineering 13 department did which was within The Howard P. Foley Company. 14 We had a group of engineers who were working in 15 the field on problems between production and quality control 16 and they, in turn, would write up a problem on an EDR -- we 17 called them that -- and they would be taken to PG&E for quick 18 disposition as to how they wanted to accomplish this situation. 19 MR. JONES: Those people didn't work for you? 20 MR. TENNYSON: No. They were working strictly under 21 Mr. Moses and Mr. Ray Lathrum who, at that time, was the 22 assistant project manager. 23 MS. DURBIN: However, people continued to hang red 24 tags, didn't they? 25 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 26 MR. KAUFMAN: How did you make a determination that 27 -- I'm sorry to be slow about this. This is a significant 28 item. I just want to make sure we're all clear on this.

34

You received instructions from Mr. Moses to deviate 1 2 from the program and to, in fact, establish another program 3 or parallel program for certain types of discrepancies. A separate unit was established for dealing and 4 reporting these kinds of discrepancies to PG&E's engineering 5 6 department; is that correct? MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 7 8 MR. KAUFMAN: And these people were not under your control, they were under Mr. Moses' control? 9 10 MR. TENNYSON: The engineering group that wrote the EDR's you're speaking of? 11 12 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. The group that reported these types of errors to PG&E's engineering department? 13 14 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 15 I don't think you wrote any, did you, Rick? 16 MR. ROAM: No. 17 Strictly an engineering function. 18 MR. KAUFMAN: How were these decisions made to not 19 red tag but do an EDR? 20 MR. JONES: Again you're assuming he made that 21 decision or anyone that worked for him did. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: I'm sorry about the question. Let 23 me try it again. 24 Were all these requests made by Mr. Moses? Was 25 he the one who made this determination? 26 MR. JONES: I'm not trying to be difficult but --27 MS. DURBIN: Let's go off the record for just a 28 moment.

35

| | 36 |
|----|---|
| 1 | (Discussion off the record.) |
| 2 | MR. KAUFMAN: Back on the record. |
| 3 | And the question is: Did you follow the procedure |
| 4 | that Mr. Moses asked you to follow? |
| 5 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes, I think I did. |
| 6 | No, no. I'm sorry. |
| 7 | Not the one Mr. Moses asked me to follow. I |
| 8 | followed the procedure which was established and is a QCP3 |
| 9 | reporting of non-conforming conditions. |
| 10 | MR. KAUFMAN: So your department continued to red |
| 11 | tag everything that was non-conforming? |
| 12 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. |
| 13 | MS. DURBIN: And Mr. Moses' department apparently |
| 14 | carried on a parallel program at the same time? |
| 15 | MR. TENNYSON: Mr. Moses was over he is the |
| 16 | project manager of The Howard P. Foley Company. |
| 17 | MS. DURBIN: Well, other people who reported to |
| 18 | Mr. Moses who did not report to you engaged in other procedures |
| 19 | that Mr. Moses had suggested of reporting certain items as |
| 20 | engineering deviation rather than as non-conforming work? |
| 21 | How was it decided who would evaluate which work |
| 22 | or certain types of work assigned to you or certain work |
| 23 | assigned to other staff? |
| 24 | MR. TENNYSON: I think that could be determined |
| 25 | by well, if the quality control department were to be called |
| 26 | out on a particular installation and found something non- |
| 27 | conforming we would write a non-conformance on it, if it |
| 28 | warranted it. |
| | |

Now, if the quality control department had not been 1 called out on that particular function yet and it maybe was 2 reported to PG&E or to one of our engineering staff the deci-3 sion was made somewhere other than in the quality control 4 department to make that an engineering disposition request. 5 MS. DURBIN: So, in other words, you red tagged 6 everything that was not conforming that you saw but there 7 were many items of work your department did not see and the 8 decision as to what you would see and what you would not see 9 was not made by you? 10 MR. TENNYSON: No. It is strictly determined by 11 the procedure as to when you would be called for a particular 12 type of inspection. 13 MR. ROAM: You'd be called in by production, "We 14 finished X amount of work. Come look at it." 15 MS. DURBIN: So they decided when to call you in? 16 MR. ROAM: By procedures, yes. 17 In other words, you can't go from Point A to Point B 18 without somebody in there looking at it. 19 MS. DURBIN: What I'm trying to get at is: When 20 did the engineering staff go out and write up an EDR and who 21 decided that they would look at the work rather than you look-22 23 ing at the work? MR. ROAM: Well, their looking at the work would 24 not buy-off that work. We had to buy-off the work whether 25 26 right or wrong. In other words, we had final say. Engineering might 27 be there and say, "Oh, we got a problem. Write an EDR and 28

37

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE N68 ARNOWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

clear it." 1 When our people come out they might show us this 2 EDR which accepted the change and we just attach it together 3 4 with our paperwork and put it in the file. MR. KAUFMAN: In other words, nobody in the construc-5 6 tion end deviated from the procedures of calling you in. You saw everything. It's just that there was an EDR going on 7 independently as well? 8 9 MS. DURBIN: Before you got there? 10 MR. JONES: As far as you know? MR. TENNYSON: We think that's the situation. 11 Now, we had no way of knowing what we weren't called 12 13 in on. 14 MR. ROAM: Correct. MS. DURBIN: Obviously. 15 MR. ROAM: Because we don't know the whole scope 16 17 of what all is going on becaus 18 MR. TENNYSON: Our procedures governed us. That's 19 why --20 MR. ROAM: Like you asked me: "What's the rivulet 21 system? What does the rivulet system totally contain?" 22 Do you see? 23 MR. KAUFMAN: Well, my next question on that is: 24 For this program what percentage of the work are you looking 25 at when production calls you in? Are you examining 100 per-26 cent of the work or are you examining a portion of that work? 27 MS. DURBIN: I've gotten a little lost here. 28 What point in time are we talking about? Pre-'82

38

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

or post-'82? 1 2 MR. KAUFMAN: 1974. 3 MR. ROAM: 1974. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes and on until the present you're 4 using the same program up until -- well, my understanding 5 is that you're using the same procedures as in 1974? 6 MR. ROAM: Not the same procedures. The same 7 philosophy possibly but the procedures change like the first 8 procedure I wrote was writing inspections of raceways. 9 We're now on 11 or 12 revision, somewhere in that 10 area and since the time we wrote it there have been many, 11 12 many changes. To say that we're inspecting the same way then and 13 14 now or now as then, no. 15 MR. JONES: I think what Mr. Kaufman's question went to though is what percentage of the production work was 16 inspected once these procedures and philosophies were 17 18 established? 19 MR. RCAM: Oh. 20 MR. JONES: If you know. MR. TENNYSON: In some cases like weldments, welding 21 on Class 1 installations a hundred percent of the installations 22 23 were inspected that we were called on. 24 We have no idea whether we were called on all of them or not because of, like I say, we did not work to a 25 26 system. 27 Production triggered us as to when they had completed something or by the procedure it required an inspection 28

39

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 368 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

40 and then they would notify us that they were ready for an 1 inspection in the field. 2 MS. DURBIN: Could you tell us who in production 3 we might talk to to find out when you were called and when 1 you were not called? 5 MR. TENNYSON: I can give you superintendents' names. 6 MS. DURBIN: That would be nice. 7 MR. TENNYSON: Mr. Harold Roland. 8 MS. DURBIN: For whom does he work? 9 MR. TENNYSON: Howard P. Foley Company, electrical 10 superintendent. He works for Howard F. Foley Company, Skip 11 Moses. 12 MR. JONES: Would this be a good time to break for 13 lunch? 14 MS. DURBIN: Yes. Fine. 15 (The luncheon recess was held from 12:30 16 until 1:45.) 17 18 MR. KAUFMAN: Back on the record. What I'd like to explore now are the circumstances 19 in which you were asked to deviate from the described pro-20 cedures for quality assurance. 21 Now, you've already indicated the instance where 22 23 Skip Moses asked you to stop putting tags on work. You've indicated that you did not comply with that request and con-24 tinued to follow the QA procedures and tag the work. 25 26 Are there any other instances where you were asked 27 to deviate from procedures? 28 MS. DURBIN: Could I stop you for a moment.

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 9-549 1415/ 283-3172

Off the record for a second. 1 (Discussion off the record.) 2 MR. KAUFMAN: On the record. 3 Let me strike the last question and start over. 4 Were there any other circumstances in which red 5 tags were pulled, any other circumstances? 6 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 7 There was a situation where Ship Moses asked me 8 to pull some red tags down so that production could work on 9 those non-conforming items. 10 I refused to pull the red tags until the conformance 11 or disposition was to the point of where corrective action 12 could be taken. 13 14 Later, I don't know if it was a day or two later, my night assistant quality manager -- they called him night 15 shift -- was evidently called into Mr. Moses' office and 16 informed that he was to go out and pull the red tags so that 17 production could perform work on them, on the non-conforming 18 19 items. 20 Can we go off the record? 21 MR. JONES: Yes. 22 (Discussion off the record.) 23 MR. KAUFMAN: We're going to go back and start from 24 the beginning with this thing because I'm confused now. 25 MR. JONES: I got confused there, too. 26 MR. KAUFMAN: Let's start where we were, I believe, 27 before we adjourned for lunch. 28 Sometime before that the question was asked: Were

41

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

| | 42 |
|----|--|
| 1 | you ever asked to deviate from the established procedures? |
| 2 | And you answered initially that Skip Moses had asked you to |
| 3 | pull red tags from non-conforming work because the production |
| 4 | workers were too inexperienced to be able to work around those |
| 5 | red tags. |
| 6 | Now, I'm going to ask you on what date was this |
| 7 | conversation with Skip Moses, approximately? |
| 8 | MR. TENNYSON: It couldn't have been over three |
| 9 | weeks before we left, could it? |
| 10 | MR. ROAM: No. It was recent, within three weeks. |
| 11 | MR. JONES: February? |
| 12 | MR. ROAM: Yrs. |
| 13 | MR. TENNYSON: Had to be in February. |
| 14 | MR. KAUFMAN: 1983? |
| 15 | MR. TENNYSON: Mid-February 1983. |
| 16 | MR. KAUFMAN: All right. |
| 17 | What was the result of that conversation with |
| 18 | Mr. Moses? |
| 19 | MR. TENNYSON: Well, I refused to pull the red tags |
| 20 | until they were at proper or by procedure at the proper |
| 21 | point of corrective action. |
| 22 | Then just shortly after that, a day or two, I found |
| 23 | the stack of red tags in my office where my assistant manager, |
| 24 | Bob Carter, who was the night man, found the stack of red tags |
| 25 | in his shelf. |
| 26 | I asked him what they were and he said that Skip |
| 27 | Moses had called him in the office and told him to pull all |
| 28 | those red tags down and keep them in the shelf back there so |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE See ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE, CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

43 that production could work on the non-conforming items out 1 there. 2 MR. KAUFMAN: What did you do after that? 3 MR. TENNYSON: There wasn't a lot I could do at 4 5 that point. I knew I was treading on thin ice. My full inten-6 tion was -- and there was no way to avoid it in any way --7 Well, the fact the red tags were pulled was a pro-8 cedure violation but the non-conformances could not be closed 9 out and accepted by me until the corrective action had been 10 performed. 11 MR. KAUFMAN: Am I correct in assuming that when 12 a tag is placed on work a record is made of the fact that 13 14 the tag has been placed on the work? MR. TENNYSON: Yes. The non-conformance procedure 15 establishes the fact that you are to hang a red tag on a non-16 17 conforming item when possible. 18 That red tag is to remain on that item until a proposed disposition is made either by the customer or agreed 19 20 to by the customer. 21 When the non-conformance is written the proposed 22 disposition is typed in and a work copy sent to production. That's their authority to work on that particular item with 23 24 the red tag on it to perform corrective action. 25 When the corrective action has been performed they 26 are to notify quality control department. Quality control will then go out inspect to the proposed disposition and the 27 28 work in accordance with the procedures and at that time either

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172 1 accept it or reject it.

| 2 | If it is accepted the non-conformance is sent back |
|----|--|
| 3 | into the quality control department or quality assurance |
| 4 | department, whomever is in charge of the non-conformances |
| 5 | at that time, and they will type it up and officially the |
| 6 | corrective action will be signed off by various people. |
| 7 | When the last signature is on it PG&E signs off |
| 8 | the non-conformance and then the red tag can come down. |
| 9 | The non-conformance is filed in its official place. |
| 10 | MS. DURBIN: May I interrupt? |
| 11 | MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. |
| 12 | MS. DURBIN: How long does all that usually take? |
| 13 | MR. TENNYSON: It could go through I've seen |
| 14 | them go through in a day's time on an item. |
| 15 | In fact, the one that we were the reason Rick |
| 16 | and I was terminated well, it went through in one day's |
| 17 | time, didn't it? |
| 18 | MR. ROAM: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. DURBIN: What was the average time for such |
| 20 | an item to be handled? |
| 21 | MR. TENNYSON: Depends on Pacific Gas & Electric |
| 22 | and their agreement with the proposed disposition that we |
| 23 | put on it to correct the situation. |
| 24 | In most cases we would negotiate with them before |
| 25 | we put the proposed disposition on it so that there may be |
| 26 | no delay in getting it processed. |
| 27 | Sometimes when it got to PG&E for their acceptance |
| 28 | of the proposed disposition it might stay up there for a |
| | |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

| | 45 |
|----|---|
| 1 | month. It could stay up there for two months or it could |
| 2 | come back down that day. |
| 3 | It depended on whether they had to go to |
| 4 | San Francisco to acquire the information they needed or the |
| 5 | approval to accept it. |
| 6 | MR. KAUFMAN: With respect to these red tagged items, |
| 7 | what corrective action, if any, was taken with respect to |
| 8 | them? |
| 9 | MR. TENNYSON: The particular ones that were found? |
| 10 | MR. KAUFMAN: The ones that were pulled, the pulled |
| 11 | red tagged items? |
| 12 | MR. TENNYSON: We have no way of knowing that at |
| 13 | this point. |
| 14 | That happened and just a few days later we were |
| 15 | terminated. |
| 16 | MR. KAUFMAN: And what were the portions of the |
| 17 | plant what systems were those that the red tags were hanged |
| 18 | on? |
| 19 | MR. ROAM: It basically looked like tags from the |
| 20 | fuel-handling building. |
| 21 | MR. KAUFMAN: Do you recall what systems? |
| 22 | MR. ROAM: It would be probably the structural steel. |
| 23 | I just looked at the tags and wondered why they |
| 24 | was there. |
| 25 | Virg told me and I put them back on the desk. It's |
| 26 | not my problem. I got enough of my own. That's his'n. |
| 27 | MR. KAUFMAN: If the tags were on structural steel |
| 28 | what could that involve? What kind of |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

MR. TENNYSON: That was modification, 1 MR. ROAM: Modification, seismic support. 2 3 MR. KAUFMAN: Strictly welding? MR. ROAM: And probably material, too. Welds, 4 reinforcinc gussets, plates, could have been any one of the 5 things that the modification involved and what they called 6 the modification, the big push on this last annulus steel 7 8 modification. MR. KAUFMAN: Subsequen to this first instance 9 in which Mr. Moses asked you to deviate from procedures, was 10 there another instance in which he came to you and asked you 11 to deviate from procedures? 12 MS. DURBIN: Do you really want to ask subsequently? 13 MR. KAUFMAN: Off the record. 14 15 (Discussion off the record.) 16 MR. KAUFMAN: Back on the record. Did Mr. Moses' request to you about the red tags 17 reflect standing orders from him with respect to the way the 18 19 quality assurance operation should be conducted at Diablo? 20 MR. TENNYSON: No, it didn't. 21 MR. KAUFMAN: Let me ask the question another way, 22 then. 23 Did --24 MS. DURBIN: Let me try it. 25 MR. KAUFMAN: All right. 26 MS. DURBIN: Mr. Tennyson, did Mr. Moses ever 27 express to you any desire that you work faster or that you 28 find fewer errors?

46

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE, CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

1 MR. TENNYSON: Well, generally the subject of most of the meetings that we had in his office was over the fact 2 3 that the quality control department was slowing down the job. 4 MS. DURBIN: What would be the substance of a typical meeting of that type? What would usually be discussed? 5 6 MR. TENNYSON: Normally it was due to a non-7 conformance that had been written which had possibly slowed 8 the job down or someone in production disagreed with the 9 subject and at that time it would be discussed more heavier that they wanted non-conformances lightened up on. 10 You know, less things written up on non-conformances 11 12 and more problems covered on EDR's. 13 MS. DURBIN: Did Mr. Moses ever tell you you should 14 write up fewer non-conforming reports? 15 MR. TENNYSON: He indicated this very strongly. 10 I don't know if he told us directly. 17 MS. DURBIN: How did he indicate it? 18 MR. ROAM: "You're holding up the job, man. We 19 got to perform. We got to get it done. We got to get it 20 done." 21 MS. DURBIN: You repeated those phrases several 22 times. Does that mean it was repeated to you over again on 23 many occasions? 24 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 25 MR. ROAM: Yes. 26 MS. DURBIN: Did Mr. Moses ever indicate to you 27 that your job might depend on how quickly production moved 28 or how much the NCR's slowed it down?

47

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 548 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYLTTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283 3172

1 MR. ROAM: How much my job depended? MS. DURBIN: Did he ever indicate to you that his 2 job might depend on how guickly production moved? 3 MR. ROAM: I can't think of any. 4 5 MR. TENNYSON: I was told numerous times that if we slowed down the job, if we didn't -- that is, The Howard P. 6 Foley Company -- didn't perform as PG&E thought we should 7 there was a good chance we were all out and down the road. 8 MS. DURBIN: Does "down the road" mean out of a 9 job? 10 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 11 I was told by Mr. Moses that if the chances were 12 that he was going down the road that I was going down about 13 14 ten minutes before he did. MS. DIRBIN: Which you took to mean he would fire 15 16 you first? 17 MR. TENNYSON: Very definitely. MS. DURBIN: How much control did you feel that 18 the production department or how much influence did you feel 19 20 that the production had over Mr. Moses and the directions 21 he gave you? 22 MR. JONES: You've got it backwards. He was head 23 of production. 24 MS. DURBIN: He was your boss and head of the 25 production department? 26 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 27 MS. DURBIN: He put pressure on you to help him 28 speed up production by writing fewer NCR's or being a little

48

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

49 less careful than you felt you should be, is that true? 1 MR. TENNYSON: My feeling it is, yes. 2 -MR. KAUFMAN: Did you feel --3 MS. DURBIN: Wait a minute. I have one other 4 question. 5 Did you ever get that feeling from any person other 6 than Mr. Moses? Was there any pressure put on you or any 7 dissatisfaction indicated to you about your performance in 8 9 that you were being too careful? MR. TENNYSON: Yes, many times. 10 MS. DURBIN: By whom? 11 MR. TENNYSON: By Mr. Don Rockwell of Pacific Gas 12 & Electric. 13 MS. DURBIN: What was his position? 14 MR. TENNYSON: Electrical resident engineer and 15 16 contract administration to Howard P. Foley. 17 MS. DURBIN: Anyone else? 18 MR. TENNYSON: Mr. Forrest Russell. MS. DURBIN: Who was he and what position did he 19 hold? 20 21 MR. TENNYSON: Civil resident engineer of PG&E, 22 Pacific Gas & Electric. Then I have to name a Mr. Vick Smart who I dealt 23 24 with quite extensively for the last nine years. 25 He was under pressure to get the job done and, in 26 turn, did cause me to be under a lot of pressure and my 27 department. 28 MS. DURBIN: How much pressure did you feel you

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

| | 50 |
|----|---|
| 1 | were under? Can you compare it with other jobs you have held? |
| 2 | MR. TENNYSON: I feel that the pressure was more |
| 3 | much more than any job I've ever been on before. |
| 4 | MS. DURBIN: Have you ever been on jobs where you |
| 5 | were under intense pressure other than Diablo? |
| 6 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. |
| 7 | MS. DURBIN: What type of job would that be? |
| 8 | MR. TENNYSON: Would have been aircraft, Douglas |
| 9 | Aircraft Company in meeting production schedules. |
| 10 | Also Todd Shipyard, working on ships for commercial |
| 11 | and military vessels. |
| 12 | MS. DURBIN: How would you compare the degree of |
| 13 | pressure to get the job finished that you experienced at |
| 14 | Diablo with your other situations? |
| 15 | Would you say it was as intense, more intense, much |
| 16 | more intense? I realize this is qualitative. |
| 17 | MR. TENNYSON: Much more intense. |
| 18 | MR. JONES: At Diablo? |
| 19 | MR. TENNYSON: At Diablo. |
| 20 | MS. DURBIN: Did you feel your department of quality |
| 21 | control was truly independent there? |
| 22 | MR. TENNYSON: Not in any way. |
| 23 | MS. DURBIN: Did you feel that production was very |
| 24 | much in control of your department or slightly in control? |
| 25 | MR. TENNYSON: I feel that production's influence |
| 26 | on the project manager determined pretty much the pressures |
| 27 | that were put on the quality control department. |
| 28 | MS. DURBIN: Where did the pressure from production |
| | |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

come? Was it from Foley internally or did PG&E exercise any 1 influence? 2 3 MR. JONES: If you know. MS DURBIN: Yes, if you know. 4 What was your impression? 5 6 MR. TENNYSON: Rick, what do you think? MR. ROAM: Well, I think a lot of it had to come 7 from PG&E because every morning at 7:30 sitting there is 8 Forrest Russell. 9 He's badgering me, how come I'm still hanging tags? 10 How come I haven't removed any red tags? How much did I 11 remove? 12 13 MS. DURBIN: Who was Forrest Russell, by the way? 14 MR. ROAM: PG&E's civil resident engineer who was evidently hounding production and hounding me so that they 15 can get the work done, production can get the work done. 16 17 MS. DURBIN: How often did that happen that he put 18 pressure on you to not hang so many tags? 19 MR. ROAM: 7:30 every morning. 20 MS. DURBIN: Every morning? 21 MR. ROAM: Yes. 22 MS. DURBIN: What a lovely way to start your morning. 23 MR. ROAM: You bet and I'd come down and cry on 24 Virg's shoulders. 25 MS. DURBIN: What time period was this that this 26 was happening? Was it on an extremely regular basis? 27 MR. ROAM: Whenever I made assistant. 28 MR. TENNYSON: Had to be two months after Christmas

51

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 068 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

o: before. 1 MR. ROAM: After Christmas. 2 MS. DURBIN: Christmas of 1982? 3 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 4 MS. DURBIN: So this is the beginning of 1983 when 5 you experienced this intense pressure? 6 MR. ROAM: After Christmas is when they started 7 the build-up. 8 MS. DURBIN: What was it like during 1982? Did 9 you experience much pressure in that year? 10 MR. ROAM: Me? 11 MS. DURBIN: Either of you. 12 MR. JONES: Rick only started in August of '82, 13 isn't that right? 14 MR. TENNYSON: He came in under pressure though. 15 MS. DURBIN: During the last half of 1982? 16 17 MR. ROAM: In the last half of '82, yes, pressure 18 was starting to build as I was going to Virg explaining to 19 him, "We need the people. We know what is happening and we 20 need the people now to get them prepared and ready for the influx." 21 22 Virg said, "Hey, my hands are tied. I can't do 23 anything. Do the best you can." 24 So the first thing we did was start trying to train 25 the people, upgrading them, keeping them familiar with pro-26 cedure changes, philosophies of inspection as to how it was 27 to be done. 28 MR. KAUFMAN: Did either Skip Moses or the people

53 from PG&E, who you talked to about the red tags, attempt to 1 distinguish between the kinds of items that you were red 2 tagging? 3 Was there one particular kind of NCR that they 4 didn't want you to hand a tag on whereas others they would 5 allow you to hang a tag on? 6 MR. RCAM: No. Just red tags in general. 7 MS. DURBIN: Any distinction made between Class 1 8 and Class 2 equipment in regard to --9 MR. ROAM: For Class 2 we did no inspection. On 10 Class 1 we did an inspection. 11 On Class 2 I should take that back except for 12 Class 2 raceways. 13 14 MS. DURBIN: Electrical raceways? MR. ROAM: We'd only check those if they crossed 15 over a Class 1 raceway or piece of equipment and then we would 16 17 just walk it down and if there was no Class 1 under it we forgot about it. 18 19 MR. KAUFMAN: Let's get to the types of NCR's that 20 you were finding. 21 Where did you find the majority of NCR's? 22 MR. ROAM: Structural steel welding. 23 MR. KAUFMAN: Could you assign a percentage to that, 24 say, 50 percent of your errors, 60 percent of your errors? 25 MR. ROAM: I would say about 65 percent. 26 MR. TENNYSON: Probably was. 27 MS. DURBIN: What kind of errors were you seeing 28 there?

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

MR. ROAM: In fact, I'd say it was about 80 percent. 1 We didn't have that much administration when --2 MR. TENNYSON: Yes, that's when the big influx of 3 non-conformances started during the big push and the heavy 4 push on the structural annulus steel modifications. 5 6 MS. DURBIN: Which started when? MR. TENNYSON: Actually it started before Christmas 7 but the build-up got bigger and the influx of new people that 8 we're talking about -- when it went to like, I think, they 9 said 700, 800 iron workers and the company went up to some-10 where around close to 1900 people in just a matter of a couple 11 of months there. 12 MR. KAUFMAN: From the time that big push started 13 to the time just before you left the site, had the error rate 14 changed in any way? 15 16 MR. TENNYSON: Not to my estimation. MR. ROAM: Well, not until the last couple of days. 17 They was trying to change it. 18 19 We was trying to get the foreman to do his job, 20 inspect the work before he called QC. 21 In other words, you're the foreman and you got a welder. You should be sure that your welder did the work 22 23 right then call QC. 24 QC would inspect it and if he was satisfied with 25 it, accept it or 1f not, reject it. 26 But the foremen would not check the work. They 27 called QC so QC would come up and no choice; it's either right 28 or wrong.

54

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

| | 55 |
|----|---|
| 1 | If it's wrong, you write it up. If it's right, |
| 2 | we had to write it up also but accept the work and then go |
| 3 | to the next stage of work. |
| 4 | MR. KAUFMAN: How many times had you approached |
| 5 | the foremen on the job? |
| 6 | MR. ROAM: To do that? |
| 7 | MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. |
| 8 | MR. ROAM: We didn't approach the foremen. We |
| 9 | approached management which was Skip Moses and get their |
| 10 | people to do their side of the work first. |
| 11 | Then when they were satisfied call us and that would |
| 12 | cut down your red tag situation. |
| 13 | In other words, until it's turned over to us it's |
| 14 | in process and, therefore, they didn't do virtually anything |
| 15 | with it to make it right. |
| 16 | If the guy has burned through, undercut or whatever, |
| 17 | he can go ahead and repair that but once we have looked at |
| 18 | it it's out of the process. It's now into the final stage |
| 19 | of that portion of the work. |
| 20 | At that time it's either right or wrong. |
| 21 | MR. KAUFMAN: What was their reaction to your |
| 22 | request? |
| 23 | MR. ROAM: "Yes, that's what we're going to do." |
| 24 | MS. DURBIN: And did they do it? |
| 25 | MR. ROAM: NO |
| 26 | Therefore, it stayed the same and the other thing |
| 27 | was PG&E had me write a procedure called a "quick fix design |
| 28 | change" because the designs were so bad in a lot of cases and |
| | |

55

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

| what was supposed to be there was not there and what wasn't |
|---|
| supposed to be there was there. |
| MR. KAUFMAN: What time frame are we talking about? |
| MR. ROAM: At the end. In fact, the EDR you |
| signed it, didn't you? |
| Well, the day before we got fired was the fact that |
| they was going to put a resident engineer in the field with |
| our QC people which he would then give it the magic wand. |
| MS. DURBIN: What does that mean? |
| MR. ROAM: Magic signature. PG&E accepting |
| responsibility, relieve Foley of any responsibility of any |
| design changes or modifications that have to be made in the |
| field to get the iron job done. |
| MS. DURBIN: That was not a normal procedure, was |
| it? |
| MR. ROAM: NO. |
| What it did then was exempt the implementation of |
| QCP3 which was the NCR-type process. |
| As long as my inspector had that change and they |
| worked with that change, you put it with his report and it |
| went in our file. |
| MS. DURBIN: How many of those were you seeing on |
| an average day? |
| MR. ROAM: We hadn't seen any because we got-fired |
| the day after. |
| MS. DURBIN: The day after this started? |
| MR. ROAM: Right. |
| So I don't know what they're doing now but I talked |
| |

-

56

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 566 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 263-3172

to -- well, just what people have told me. 1 MS. DURBIN: Off the record for a moment, please. 2 (Discussion off the record.) 3 MS. DURBIN: What was occurring right before you 4 left? What happened the day before you left? 5 MR. KAUFMAN: Could you explain the procedure that 6 was being used just before you left with respect to NCR's? 7 MR. ROAM: To eliminate writing an NCR they used 8 9 a courie different memos. 10 One of them was the "quick fix design change" method. 11 12 MS. DURBIN: Was that what it was actually called, 13 the guick fix? 14 MR. ROAM: Yes. What it is, it is a process used by PG&E to expedite 15 16 field design changes required to get the job done on schedule. 17 This was versus waiting to send the information 18 back up to San Francisco so they said, "We're going to 19 as-built the job here because what you showed us on the draw-20 ing don't match. We cannot do it." 21 MS. DURBIN: What does "as-built the job" mean? 22 MR. ROAM: Well, it's like you design that door 23 there to be six foot tall but along the way you find you got 24 an eight-foot door. So you put in an eight-foot door. 25 I'm going to make up another sketch now showing 26 an eight-foot door instead of a six-foot door. 27 MS. DURBIN: So you change the drawing to conform 28 to what's physically there?

57

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94349 (415) 283-3172 MR. ROAM: Right.

1

2

8

9

You see, this is an as-built situation.

MS. DURBIN: So just before you left the resident engineer would, when he saw something that would otherwise be a non-conformance, write up a new design, okay that design and you would then inspect the work on that new design rather than the original design?

MR. ROAM: Correct.

MS. DURBIN: Thank you.

10 MR. KAUFMAN: Do you know what PG&E's procedure 11 was for sending the new drawing back to its headquarters? 12 MR. ROAM: This is part of what we put into the 13 procedure, that it would be done onsite this way.

Whatever way they had drawn up was sent up to PG&E in San Francisco and incorporated into the drawing and they had supposedly gone through their calculations and then their final as-built drawing was made and then sent back down to Diablo Canyon.

At that time to assure ourselves I talked with Virgil about it. I said, "We got to assure ourselves somewhere that it is done."

So we left a clause in the procedure that we will check our records against these as-built drawings to assure ourselves that that's what we really got.

In other words, that as-built sheet did not get
left out of the master drawings.

27 MS. DURBIN: You were obviously taking great pains
28 to make sure this was done correctly.

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

Did you get the feeling within the company that 1 your work as quality control and quality assurance people 2 was valued? 3 MR. TENNYSON: Pardon me? 4 MS. DURBIN: Did you get the feeling in your own 5 company that your work was being valued, that your work was 6 a value and appreciated? 7 MR. TENNYSON: No. I never did have that feeling. 8 MS. DURBIN: What feeling did you get? 9 MR. TENNYSON: I've always had the feeling that 10 the only reason the whole quality department was there was 11 merely because it was a contractual obligation on Foley's 12 behalf to have, I guess, a guality department. 13 14 The contract required Foley to establish a quality That's the way it was. 15 program. I felt the only reason we were there in the first 16 place was to fulfill those obligations. 17 18 MR. KAUFMAN: What kind of errors were you finding in the instrumentation work that you were looking at? 19 20 MR. ROAM: Errors? 21 MS. DURBIN: We use that term generically. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: What kinds of NCR's were you finding 23 in instrumentation? 24 MR. ROAM: Very little because there was really 25 not anything to go by. You had a standard set of details 26 and if it didn't meet the detail you just tell engineering 27 and engineering would draw up some new details. 28 So you never had anything wrong.

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

MR. KAUFMAN: Could you give me an example of that? 1 MR. ROAM: Supports for tubing, instrumentation 2 3 tubing. There was never any type of a layout or sketch to say how you're going to get from Point A to Point B. 4 You just go from Point A to Point B. How you get 5 6 there, I don't give a darn. Just put it in. 7 MS. DURBIN: How long did that go on? Was it a standard operating procedure since -- well, since when? 8 9 MR. ROAM: Yes, since '73-74 until recently. Vance 10 Parker, who I put in charge of our welders' certification 11 program for a while and --12 You won't see him on that work chart there. I 13 switched him over to instrumentation engineering because that 14 was his major background was hangar supports. 15 With his aid and me constantly trying to pressure 16 construction they were starting to come up with sketches to 17 show not only construction but our inspectors what they're 18 doing. 19 You know, a detail, a sketch to go by of what you 20 need to do, what they intended to do and where we're going 21 to put in a new tubing or change things. 22 Prior to that there was no sketches. 23 MS. DURBIN: How could you possibly inspect work 24 when you had no sketch to compare it to? 25 MR. ROAM: They had like a similar type isometric 26 drawing but it doesn't really show you much. 27 It was just for typical installation of equipment 28 which is: "Put this equipment on a wall and install it this

60

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 263-2572 1 way." That's the typical installation.

Now, not each installation is the same or was it shown as to how it is. It was just typicals. Everything was typically done.

5 MR. KAUFMAN: Did you use the same procedure that 6 you used with the structural design changes?

7 Did you wait to determine whether these design
8 changes had been incorporated into the master design for the
9 plant before you signed off on the NCR?

MR. ROAM: Well, we never had any real NCR's in the instrumentation that I knew of while I was there in charge of it.

Most everything in that case was -- well, we was working with production engineering and if we saw a problem or a problem coming up we would inform them before we'd get there to get the situation corrected or something to cover the situation.

18 In other words, we had a little more lax time in 19 instrumentation to look farther ahead than we did in the civil 20 or structural steel work.

Therefore, we could sort of try to see what was
happening a little bit ahead of time instead of hindsight.

23 MR. KAUFMAN: Mechanical; what kind of errors were 24 you finding, what kind of NCR's were you finding in mechanical 25 work?

26 MR. ROAM: Well, mechanical, there again we didn't 27 have too many NCR's that I knew of because if we would come 28 to a situation where there was anything wrong we would just

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 588 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 1415/283-3172

hold up inspection until they corrected it. 1 If it's right or wrong we're not going to buy it. 2 Therefore, if we're not going to buy it they can't sell it 3 and they have to rework it to make it satisfactory. 4 So then they would either rework it, scrap whatever 5 they're doing and start over or seek PG&E engineering waiver 6 of whatever it is saying, "Yes, that's okay. We'll buy that." 7 So if we buy it that's fine. We put it with our 8 report and we put it in the files and say, "Here it is. It's Q yours." 10 MS. DURBIN: How often did that occur? 11 MR. ROAM: I don't know. Maybe 20 percent of the 12 time. 13 MS. DURBIN: Again, what time period are we talking 14 about? 15 MR. ROAM: I can't give you the date. Whatever 16 the date was that Virg put me over in instrumentation. 17 MS. DURBIN: That's fine. 18 MR. ROAM: I can't tell you that exact date. 19 MS. DURBIN: But in the latter part of 1982? 20 MR. ROAM: Latter part around Christmas time. 21 MR. KAUFMAN: Let me ask you the same question about 22 the pumps. Same things? 23 MR. ROAM: Well, rotating equipment was a little 24 bit different. See, we had rotating equipment which was main-25 26 ly just torques and modifications or maintenance. 27 There was more on the maintenance side. Remember, 28 everything was virtually at Diablo Canyon already. That was

62

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE See ARHOWHEAD DRIVE LAFAVETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

| | 63 |
|----|---|
| 1 | about the most smoothest running deal we had in rotating equip- |
| 2 | ment. |
| 3 | MR. KAUFMAN: How about strictly electrical work? |
| 4 | MR. ROAM: Strictly electrical, well, electrical, |
| 5 | all we did was installation. We did nothing on checking, |
| 6 | testing operations or anything like that. |
| 7 | In other words, any of the megor tests. |
| 8 | MS. DURBIN: What does that mean? |
| 9 | MR. ROAM: You test continuity or test the insula- |
| 10 | tion in your wire. |
| 11 | MS. DURBIN: And that's so you don't |
| 12 | MR. ROAM: To make sure you don't go to ground or |
| 13 | have a fault in your system. |
| 14 | That was done by PG&E directly themselves. They |
| 15 | were responsible for that. |
| 16 | MR. RAUFMAN: So the result of all the work you |
| 17 | were inspecting at the plant, the principal area in which |
| 18 | you were writing NCR's was in welding? |
| 19 | MR. ROAM: Correct. |
| 20 | MS. DURBIN: But often that was because that was |
| 21 | the only area where you had an objective standard or objective |
| 22 | drawing to which to compare work you were seeing, isn't that |
| 23 | true? |
| 24 | MR. ROAM: Well, no. We had standards for raceway |
| 25 | supports. |
| 26 | And in electrical we'd have a raceway support and |
| 27 | they'd put a detail on it and it would say Detail S-269. |
| 28 | We would try to look at the sketch and yes, that's |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172 1 | an S-269.

24

It's bolted and it looks okay and doesn't look like it's going to fall down.

Then we'd complete the documentation and that was it basically on electrical. The conduit was there. It was the right size and right color code and went from Point A to Point B.

MR. TENNYSON: I think the reason it was smoother 8 9 in electrical is because everyone was more familiar with it because we started there as the electrical contractor and 10 the electrical details were much clearer as to how the raceway 11 under the -- the notes and so on were clearer as to how the 12 raceway was to be laid out, what sequence of events was to 13 take place and procedure was clearly defined, more clearly 14 defined than the others as to what you were looking for. 15

16 Also the people were more familiar with it because17 they had worked longer with it.

18 So consequently it did go a little smoother in that 19 respect.

20 MS. DURBIN: Do you think your people were more 21 able to use their own judgment and experience in evaluating 22 electrical rather than going purely by drawings and so forth? 23 MR. TENNYSON: Yes.

Well, not so much, no. I'm sorry.

It did go strictly by the drawing but the drawings and details were clearly defined to us as to what each individual support was to consist of, how many bolts, how many cross-members, what size the cross-member was, exactly what

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

1 it was to look like.

| 1 | it was to look like. |
|----|---|
| 2 | I mean, they were drawn on a book that was like |
| 3 | six inches thick and had 63% pictures in it of various types |
| 4 | and each picture was clearly defined with notes on it of |
| 5 | substance and so on, type of material, the whole works. |
| 6 | MR. KAUFMAN: Am I correct in understanding that |
| 7 | the design work was better for electrical, therefore, it made |
| 8 | your job and the job of those people who were installing |
| 9 | electrical work easier? |
| 10 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. |
| 11 | MR. KAUFMAN: And you would not say that for the |
| 12 | structural stall aspects of the job? |
| 13 | MR. ROAM: They were good drawings probably but |
| 14 | they still tried to use the mirror image. |
| 15 | MR. KAUFMAN: They were still using the old drawings? |
| 16 | MR. ROAM: You had a left and right power plant. |
| 17 | MR. KAUFMAN: At what time were they using the mirror |
| 18 | image drawings? |
| 19 | MR. ROAM: At what time? |
| 20 | MR. KAUFMAN: Were they using it in 1982? Did they |
| 21 | ever stop using the mirror image drawings that you knew of? |
| 22 | MR. ROAM: They continued. |
| 23 | MS. DURBIN: They were still using the mirror |
| 24 | image drawings when you left? |
| 25 | MR. ROAM: That's what it appeared to me, a left |
| 26 | and right. |
| 27 | They used maybe I'm using the wrong terminology. |
| 28 | They make up a typical and this typical could be |
| | |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 1415) 283-3172

used seven different places within that plant or within this building. 2 Now, you take that typical to this installation 3 and you could be looking at it from the inside or looking 4 at it from the outside, in which case if we found the whole 5 thing wrong getting ready to put the whole thing together 6 we'd say, "Darn, we did it on the wrong side. We were supposed 7 to be standing inside the building looking out when actually 8 we were on the outside of the building looking in." 9 10 Anyhow, we'd rip it out and redo it. MR. KAUFMAN: Whose responsibility is it for apply-11 ing the drawings to the jobsite? 12 13 MR. ROAM: Well --MS. DURBIN: If you know. 14 MR. ROAM: I really don't know. 15 16 I know we got drawings from PG&E but they come to our angineers and what our engineering department did, I could 17 18 not tell you. 19 MS. DURBIN: Who was responsible for furnishing you with the drawings against which you compared the work 20 21 that you inspected, the standards against which you compared 22 the work you inspected? 23 MR. TENNYSON: The customer, which would be PG&E, 24 was responsible for all design to us. 25 MS. DURBIN: And up to the time you left they were 26 still furnishing you drawings which were not absolutely clear 27 as to whether they were left-hand or right-hand Unit 1 or 28 Unit 2?

66

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

67 MR. TENNYSON: I'm not familiar with that portion 1 of it because of the fact that, I think, the situation that 2 Rick is talking about -- and I did overhear a certain conver-3 sation out there when we called the engineer over to ask him A for clarification on it. 5 Anyway, Rick Brun is the engineer who is the civil 6 engineer at The Howard P. Foley Company. He was called over 7 for discussion on a drawing that Bob Carter found. 8 MR. ROAM: I think it was Bob. 0 MR. TENNYSON: Bob Carter found it along with one 10 or two other people and they had gone out to inspect it. 11 It was found to be not like the installation or 12 it was the wrong drawing and that's about all I know about 13 it. 14 MR. ROAM: We fabbed up some T-braces. 15 MS. DURBIN: Can you answer my question, Mr. Roam? 16 MR. ROAM: What? 17 18 MS. DURBIN: Were you ever supplied with drawings that were consistently right-handed or left-handed for the 19 appropriate units that you were inspecting or were you con-20 stantly working with mirror image drawings up until the time 21 22 you left? MR. ROAM: I can't really say because I think I 23 24 got it confused with a typical detail installation. 25 MR. TENNYSON. Could we go off the record for a 26 second here? 27 MS. DURBIN: Of course. 28 (Recess taken.)

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 9 (549 1415) 283-3172

| | 68 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. KAUFMAN: Back on the record. |
| 2 | During the break Mr. Roam wanted to clarify about |
| 3 | the mirror image drawings so let me see if we can clear this |
| 4 | up. |
| 5 | When we're talking about the design sketch and the |
| 6 | errors coming from the design sketch where are those errors |
| 7 | occurring? |
| 8 | MR. ROAM: Where? Well, in some cases the structural |
| 9 | steel members that were not of a configuration engineering |
| 10 | thought they were for these modifications. |
| 11 | MS. DURBIN: Is it sometimes unclear from the design |
| 12 | sketch whether you should be looking straight at the member |
| 13 | from the inside or whether you should be looking at the member |
| 11 | from the outside of the building? |
| 15 | MR. ROAM: True. |
| 16 | MS. DURBIN: I think we got that clarified. |
| 17 | Let's go on. |
| 18 | MR. KAUFMAN: Let me go on to a slightly different |
| 19 | topic. |
| 20 | Have you or any of the people working for you been |
| 21 | harassed by workers at the production end of the Foley |
| 22 | Construction Company? |
| 23 | MR. ROAM: Yes. |
| 24 | MR. KAUFMAN. Could you give us some examples of |
| 25 | that harassment? |
| 26 | MR. ROAM: One was of the new Cataract people that |
| 27 | came in well, Richard Spencer, a welding inspector that |
| 28 | I had on the mechanical side, was harassed quite a bit by |
| | |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE S88 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172 1 construction at first.

| 1 | construction at first. |
|----|---|
| 2 | He was inforcing them to try to meet code and the |
| 3 | procedure and they didn't want to because it was costing them |
| 4 | X amount of rework. |
| 5 | MR. KAUFMAN: When you say "them," who? |
| 6 | MR. ROAM: Construction. |
| 7 | MR. KAUFMAN: Who specifically? |
| 8 | MR. ROAM: You mean the construction foreman? |
| 9 | MS. DURBIN: Either that or a company name? |
| 10 | MR. ROAM: Well, it's Foley. We were all Foley |
| 11 | people there. |
| 12 | MR. TENNYSON: What superintendent would be in charge |
| 13 | of that? |
| 14 | MR. ROAM: I don't know. I was just trying to think |
| 15 | of the guy's name. |
| 16 | MR. KAUFMAN: When this harassment occurred |
| 17 | Mr. Spencer, I take it, reported this to you? |
| 18 | MR. ROAM: Correct. |
| 19 | MR. KAUFMAN: And what did you do when you received |
| 20 | his report? |
| 21 | MR. ROAM: Went up and talked with the foreman, |
| 22 | told him, "He's here to do his job. We will meet code. We |
| 23 | will meet the specifications." |
| 24 | They didn't really like it but realized that they |
| 25 | had to try to meet some of it. |
| 26 | The thing that was bothering them was that the |
| 27 | inspection intensity was not that strong before. |
| 28 | Now, all of a sudden, how come it is? |
| | |

JACK EGAN 独色PORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

| | 70 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. DURBIN: What time was this? What year? What |
| 2 | month? |
| 3 | MR. ROAM: First part of '83. |
| 4 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. |
| 5 | MR. KAUFMAN: Did anything change after you talked |
| 6 | to the superintendent? |
| 7 | MR. ROAM: Not really. They just more or less |
| 8 | tolerated each other but they managed to work some things |
| 9 | out. |
| 10 | When I finally had to remove Richard and put him |
| 11 | over at the welders' certification program, they was very |
| 12 | upset with it because they learned that he was picking out |
| 13 | things in advance to help them so they wouldn't have a delay. |
| 14 | Then we had the situation with Roger Meek and I |
| 15 | really don't know. |
| 16 | MR. TENNYSON: He was a quality control inspector |
| 17 | for civil at that time inspecting on welding and structural. |
| 18 | He had been in the field numerous times and been |
| 19 | harassed by a production person, unknown to me. |
| 20 | MR. KAUFMAN: Could you indicate what type of |
| 21 | harassment that was being done to him? |
| 22 | MR. TENNYSON: Roger it's kind of touchy. I |
| 23 | think they were playing with him. |
| 24 | There was an iron worker out there evidently that |
| 25 | would go around patting him and calling him "fat boy" and |
| 26 | threatening to kiss him on the cheek and things of that nature. |
| 27 | Roger tried to avoid him and he finally told him |
| 28 | that if he didn't leave him alone, he was going to have to |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172 1 | tell his foreman.

| 1 | tell his foreman. |
|----|---|
| 2 | He finally did have to go to the foreman and tell |
| 3 | him that the man was harassing him or bothering him. |
| 4 | Roger had many inspections to perform out there |
| 5 | and he was limited in the time that he had to do them. |
| 6 | Anyhow, the production man was quite upset, I guess, |
| 7 | because Roger Meek had gone to the foreman on him and he told |
| 8 | him if he ever went to the foreman he was going to whip him |
| 9 | or something, threatened him in some way. |
| 10 | Well, he kept grabbing him or holding onto him and |
| 11 | Roger kept trying to get away. Finally he did get away from |
| 12 | the man and he come into the office to explain it to me. |
| 13 | So I, in turn, took the situation up to Mr. Moses. |
| 14 | Moses, as far as I know, did absolutely nothing about it. |
| 15 | It was dropped at that point and Roger was left |
| 16 | on the job and I changed him around, put him in another area |
| 17 | or something. |
| 18 | MR. ROAM: You put him in another area to get him |
| 19 | away from the guy to divert the situation. |
| 20 | MR. KAUFMAN: Was harassment a problem for your |
| 21 | people in preventing them from doing their job? |
| 22 | MR. ROAM: We just found ways of getting around it. |
| 23 | MS. DURBIN: It was a problem, though? |
| 24 | MR. ROAM: Oh, yes. Basically with the new people |
| 25 | coming in it was. |
| 26 | The old-timers that had been there for years, they |
| 27 | understood the situation in some aspects. They didn't like |
| 28 | it but they knew they had to live with certain things. |

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

72 But a lot of new travelers coming in did have 1 problems. 2 MR. KAUFMAN: What was your relationship to the 3 Nuclear Regulatory Commission inspectors? 4 MR. JONES: Could you be a little more explicit. 5 What do you mean by that? 6 MS. DURBIN: Did you ever meet any Nuclear Regulatory 7 Commission inspectors? Did you ever see them? 8 MR. TENNYSON: Well, I understood that we had two 9 resident NRC people at Diablo but, I think, the only time 10 they ever came to see me or to negotiate at any time with 11 me was during a time when we had an NRC audit. 12 Then they might come along with the offsite NRC 13 people or in one or two cases they came down with PG&E quality 14 assurance personnel on an audit. 15 Other than that I had no communication much at all 16 with them. 17 MR. KAUFMAN: The only time that either of you saw 18 the NRC inspectors or anyone from NRC was during these audits; 19 is that correct? 20 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 21 I had many more dealings with Dennis Kirsch, who 22 was an offsite Nuclear Regulatory Commission inspector and 23 John Burdoin. They were fairly regular to come in every few 24 months and weeks in some cases to either do an audit or a 25 follow-up on a previous audit to see if we conformed to certain 26 -- well, maybe it was design changes and things of that nature. 27 How they determined what they're going to audit 28

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 588 ARNOWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

73 when they come in to see us I don't know. 1 We are told what they're going to audit. 2 MR. KAUFMAN: Did the NRC individual inspect actual 3 work or only the records? 4 MR. TENNYSON: They did go out and inspect actual 5 work and then in most cases balanced their inspections against 6 the findings in the documentation. 7 MR. KAUFMAN: How much of the work did they look 8 at when they did this? 9 MR. TENNYSON: Normally they're down there a couple 10 days, three or four days at a time when they came. 11 They would pick a particular area out. I mean, 12 one individual NRC man would pick a particular area like termi-13 nations or maybe welding or something. 14 Then he would go into that particular phase and 15 go out and inspect. How much really in regard to percentage 16 or anything I couldn't say. I wouldn't have the slightest 17 idea. 18 I'd say the percentage is pretty small. 19 20 MR. KAUFMAN: Did they ever consult with you about areas in which you were having a particularly high run of 21 22 NCR's? MR. TENNYSON: No, not to my knowledge. I don't 23 recall anything like that. 24 25 MR. KAUFMAN: Before 1974 did the NRC inspectors 26 coming out to the site ---27 MR. JONES: Before when? 28 MR. KAUFMAN: 1974.

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283:3172

-- to review your work --1 MR. JONES: I don't see how he can answer that. 2 MR. KAUFMAN: I was asking Mr. Roam. 3 MR. ROAM: I remember one time when the AEC came 4 out. At that time it was AEC -- not NRC -- that brought about 5 the original change. 6 MS. DURBIN: In the procedures? 7 MR. ROAM: In developing a quality program. 8 At that time what they looked at I don't know because 9 I didn't talk to them. 10 MS. DURBIN: Were these audits or inspections 11 announced to you in advance? Did you know when they were 12 coming out? 13 MR. TENNYSON: Most times we knew they were coming 14 in. 15 MS. DURBIN: Was anything special done to prepare 16 for these audits? 17 MR. TENNYSCH: I can only answer for my department 18 and nothing special was done at that time that hadn't already 19 been done to satisfy any requirements or anything. 20 Our documentation was there and open to them. 21 MS. DURBIN: Do you know if production knew in 22 advance which particular work the NRC inspectors might be 23 looking at? 24 25 If you don't know, you don't know. 26 MR. TENNYSON: I don't know. MR. KAUFMAN: Did the NRC inspectors ever find any 27 28 NCR's in areas where you hadn't found them?

74

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

MR. TENNYSON: They have picked up -- well, what 1 they did was, they would do an audit. 2 They have picked up minor problem areas and through 3 an audit given them back to PG&E. PG&E, in turn, would write 4 their own non-conformance against The Howard P. Foley Company 5 for not documenting certain problems. 6 They have been very minor, as far as non-conformances 7 8 qo. One particular that I remember was a detail of the 9 way an electrical support was installed. Out of numerous 10 welds, which were called out by size and configuration, one 11 weld was left off of the PG&E document not stating the proper 12 size. 13 The man who bought that weld, along with the rest 14 of the welds, which were supposed to have been typical was 15 questioned and at that time we did go back a year in the files 16 17 and check out the work that he did and everything as a result of the audit. 18 19 MR. KAUFMAN: Do you have a procedure for checking 20 the work of your inspectors? 21 MR. TENNYSON: I don't think there was. No, there 22 wasn't. 23 MR. ROAM: Basically just trying to get the super-24 visors to get out there to check to see the man is doing the 25 job. 26 MR. TENNYSON: The way the system was set up really 27 was through a document system and the supervisor I had over 28 document control and management.

75

JACK EGAN REPORTING SE VICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

| | 76 |
|----|---|
| 1 | He would inspect all of the necessary paperwork |
| 2 | and review it to see if the necessary documentation was with |
| 3 | that particular package and all the requirements were fulfilled |
| 4 | on it so that it could be signed off. |
| 5 | Then there was a QC stamp that went on it before |
| 6 | it went into the file and signed off by the supervisor of |
| 7 | that department. |
| 8 | MR. KAUFMAN: Did you have any contact with the |
| 9 | independent design verification program for Diablo? |
| 10 | MR. TENNYSON: Not to my knowledge, no. |
| 11 | MR. KAUFMAN: So they were not reviewing any of |
| 12 | your work? |
| 13 | MR. TENN SON: They could have been under another |
| 14 | program but as far as me being connected with that portion |
| 15 | of it, no. |
| 16 | MR. KAUFMAN: I think someone mentioned earlier |
| 17 | that you did review the quality of materials that were coming |
| 18 | to the site; is that correct? |
| 19 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes. |
| 20 | MR. ROAM: Yes, materials received, yes. |
| 21 | MR. KAUFMAN: What kind of material is that? |
| 22 | MR. TENNYSON: Anything that had a Class 1 require- |
| 23 | ment placed on the purchase order of which could be used in |
| 24 | a Class 1 installation. |
| 25 | MR. KAUFMAN: What were you checking? What standards |
| 26 | were you checking that material with? |
| 27 | MR. TENNYSON: Well, in most cases the material |
| 28 | was checked for an approved vendor to buy from. Also certain |

....

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 565 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94545 14151 283-3172 requirements concerning the steel, that the requirements be
 listed on the purchase order to call out the type of steel
 it was and the configuration.

We could check for a letter of compliance on the material and mill test reports in areas where they were required.

7 These requirements are pretty well spelled out in 8 the various specifications depending on the particular job 9 that you're doing in the field or buying the material for.

10 So each specification calls out the requirements 11 of the material to be bought.

MR. KAUFMAN: Were you finding a large number of
 NCR's with respect to quality of the material to be bought?

MR. TENNYSON: No. Any material that came in we had a procedure set up that if the material came in and did not meet the requirements of the purchase order it would be red tagged at that time and placed in a holding area until it was completely approved.

This was done either by returning it to the vendor
or the necessary paperwork and proper paperwork was received
before it would be released, in most cases.

22 MR. KAUFMAN: Were you ever asked to accept material 23 that did not meet the appropriate standards?

MR. TENNYSON: Yes, we were.

24

25

MR. KAUFMAN: When was that?

26 MR. TENNYSON: The year I cannot tell you. It could
27 have been a year and a half, two years ago that we started
28 receiving material in from the Bostrom Bergen Company.

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

78 MR. KAUFMAN: What kind of material is that? MR. TENNYSON: That was fabricated steel and steel 2 shapes and configurations for various installations in the 3 plant. 4 These were fabricated to Pacific Gas & Electric's 5 design drawings which were sent to Bostrom Bergen and also 6 negotiated through their San Francisco office back and forth 7 with the company. 8 The materials were coming in in some cases without 9 the necessary paperwork that we were required to have for 10 the installation. 11 MR. KAUFMAN: What kind of paperwork was that? 12 MR. TENNYSON: In some cases it might have been 13 your letter of compliance. In some cases a mill test report, 14 test results of the steel and showing the type that it was 15 and so on. 16 This material was released to the field to speed 17 up production -- prior to receiving the proper paperwork on 18 it -- by memo from Skip Moses, signed by him, which overrode 19 my authority to hold it. 20 21 Now, where he got his information or direction to 22 release, I'm not real sure of. 23 There was an audit performed by the NRC, I thirk, and there was an allegation made by somebody saying that this 24 25 had happened. I don't know how it came about. 26 But they came out to look for this particular situation where items were being released prior to paperwork 27 received and they did find all of the memos in the file -- as 28

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94529 14151 283-3172

I had in my documentation -- signed by Mr. Moses releasing 1 2 the materials to the field. We set up quite a review program and reviewed all 3 of the purchase orders at the request of the NRC and pulled out 4 all of the memos releasing items and getting with Bostrom 5 Bergen, dealing back and forth with them, getting all of the 6 necessary paperwork brought up to date to close out this nor-7 conformance. 8 We had to write a non-conformance against The 9 Howard P. Foley Company for doing this. That's about all 10 I can remember about that part of it. 11 It was finally closed out by the Pacific Gas & 12 13 Electric Company. 14 MR. KAUFMAN: By that you mean it was determined 15 that the material met the standards? 16 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 17 MR. KAUFMAN: But you haven't seen the documentation 18 for that, have you? 19 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. We reviewed the documentation 20 as it came in and I had help from our engineering staff in 21 correlating the paperwork back and forth from Bostrom Bergen 22 to The Howard P. Foley Company and in building the necessary 23 packages with the necessary paperwork to satisfy the require-24 ments of it. 25 MR. KAUFMAN: Off the record. 26 (Discussion off the record.) 27 MR. KAUFMAN: Did you have a relationship with the 28 quality assurance management of the other companies at the

79

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

| 1 | site? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. TENNYSON: No. |
| 3 | MR. KAUFMAN: You didn't talk to them at all? |
| 4 | MR. TENNYSON: No. |
| 5 | MR. KAUFMAN: I don't think I have any more questions |
| 6 | other than the catchall question, that is, at the time you |
| 7 | left the site, how many NCR's were outstanding? If you can |
| 8 | answer that question. |
| 9 | MR. TENNYSON: I would say in the neighborhood of |
| 10 | 80, wouldn't you? |
| 11 | MR. ROAM: Somewhere in that area. |
| 12 | MR. TENNYSON: We had a hundred and twenty some |
| 13 | when we were working on that Saturday and by working on them |
| 14 | we brought them down. |
| 15 | MR. ROAM: Sunday. |
| 16 | MR. TENNYSON: Yes, Sunday. |
| 17 | MR. KAUFMAN: Could you give us a breakdown of where |
| 18 | these NCR's were located? What areas do they involve? |
| 19 | MR. TENNYSON: You wrote them. |
| 20 | MR. ROAM: Virtually all disciplines that we handled. |
| 21 | The majority of them were in the civil structural site. |
| 22 | MR. KAUFMAN: You're going to have to break that |
| 23 | down a little bit for me. |
| 24 | MR. ROAM: Some in termination and calibration which |
| 25 | would have been along the electrical side. |
| 26 | Then some mechanical, some on the instrumentation, |
| 27 | old ones that weren't in the limelight. |
| 28 | Then the rest were like on the civil structural |
| | |

80

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARHOWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

site. 1 MR. KAUFMAN: Had you been asked by your own produc-2 tion management or PG&E to remove any of these tags? 3 4 MR. ROAM: No. Not on the electrical or mechanical and stuff like that, no. 5 MR. KAUFMAN: Thank you. 6 MS. DURBIN: I have a few more questions. 7 You said you were working on a Sunday. Was it 8 9 common for you to work on weekends? 10 MR. TENNYSON: We were working guite a few hours at that time. Myself, when I started in with the overtime 11 I was putting anywhere from 60 to 65, 70 hours a week. 12 Then it went up to 70 and 75 in a week or so. One 13 week or two I put in 80 some hours, 85. 14 15 MS. DURBIN: What time period was that? 16 MR. TENNYSON: Right after the first of the year. 17 January, February. 18 MS. DURBIN: Were your people working for you putting 19 in equivalent hours? 20 MR. TENNYSCN: Yes. MS. DURBIN: You were all putting in 60, 70 hours 21 22 a week on a regular basis? 23 MR. TENNYSON: Well, no. All of the inspectors 24 were putting in a 60-hour week. 25 MR. ROAM: Yes. 26 MR. TENNYSON: They were required to work -- it 27 was mandatory to work ten hours a day, six days a week. 28 MS. DURBIN: Is this common in the construction

81

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARHOWHEAD DRIVE LAPAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 1415) 283 3 12 1 industry?

MR. TENNYSON: Oh, I think it does happen throughout 2 the construction industry if they get a big push on something 3 but this one lasted so long people were getting pretty tired. 4

MS. DURBIN: Did you ever feel that your people 5 were working when they oughtn't to have been? They were 6 overly tired or overly stressed? 7

8 MR. TENNYSON: It was hard for me to tell. I was 9 pretty tired myself.

It would be hard for me to answer. It's hard to 10 put a time limit on how long a person can stand up. Some 11 people can do 60, 70, 80 hours a week for weeks after weeks 12 and others will break down under the strain. 13

MS. DURBIN: Lawyers have the same experience. MR. TENNYSON: Everybody was showing -- including 15 production forces in the field -- which you've seen in the 16 newspapers -- where they refused to go into work. 17

18 They were tired of six and seven days a week. 19 MS. DURBIN: Was it common to have a night shift 20 of quality assurance people also?

21 MR. TENNYSON: Any time there were production people 22 doing Class 1 installation or non-Class 1 that would require 23 inspection, yes.

24 MS. DURBIN: Is that common in the construction 25 industry?

26 MR. TENNYSON: Not generally in construction, I 27 don't think.

28

14

MR. ROAM: When you say "construction industry,"

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE SEN ARROWHEAT DRIVE AFAYETTE CALIFO ANIA 94549 (415) 283 3172

you mean nuclear power plant construction industry or construc-1 tion industries in general? 2 3 MS. DURBIN: Nuclear power plant construction. MR. ROAM: Most of them would have a requirement 4 for that. 5 MS. DURBIN: How about construction in general, 6 7 non-nuclear power plant construction? MR. ROAM: Then it depends totally on the contract. 8 Some require; some don't. 9 MS. DURBIN: Sounds like construction was really 10 at a feverish pitch by the time you were leaving? 11 12 MR. ROAM: Still is. 13 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 14 MR. ROAM: They just now wound down and went back 15 to a 40-hour week recently. After we guit they went to a 70-hour work week. 16 MR. JONES: This is based on information others 17 18 have told you? 19 MR. ROAM: Yes. Sorry. I wasn't there so --20 MR. JONES: Let me caution you to answer of your own knowledge. That will keep things shorter, too. 21 22 MR. KAUFMAN: Let me ask you this: We also are involved with OSHA. We are not representing them in this 23 24 particular instance, but I feel some need to just ask you 25 a few questions about the other reports we've seen in the 26 newspapers about high amounts of ezone from welding at the 27 site. 28 Were your people -- and you in particular -- required

84 to work in circumstances where it was difficult to breathe, 1 where you were wheezing and coughing during your inspections? 2 3 MR. ROAM: Yes. I had lost time by inspectors of 4 being sick from being up in there. MR. KAUFMAN: When did these problems start? 5 6 MR. ROAM: When they started welding in there. They started from then. 7 MR. KAUFMAN: And that's welding in the fuel-handling 8 9 building? 10 MR. ROAM: Yes. MS. DURFIN: Has that been a consistent problem? 11 12 MR. ROAM: Consistent with crafts as well as our 13 own people. They get sick and you just try to shuffle them 14 around, put somebody else in there and let him clear his lungs 15 out. 16 MR. KAUFMAN: Do you believe this had an impact 17 on the quality of work that production was performing? 18 MR. ROAM: No, I don't. I really don't want to 19 answer that. 20 MR. JONES: If you don't know, you don't have to 21 answer. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: That's right. 23 Well, did it have any impact on the quality of work 24 that your own people were doing? 25 MS. DURBIN: Was it substantially harder for them 26 to do their job? 27 MR. JONES: That's a different question. 28 MS. DURBIN: I was just trying to help.

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 (415) 283-3172

85 1 MR. ROAM: Only from the grumblings of the people 2 themselves but as to say whether they did it good or bad, 3 I could not say. 4 All I can do is listen to their grumbles and com-5 plaints co me. 6 MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. One last series of questions. 7 Are you familiar with the intake cove at the plant? 8 That's where the water comes in from the ocean to the cooling 9 pumps that sends the sea water through the plant? 10 MR. ROAM: I'm not real familiar with it. I've 11 been down there several times. 12 MR. KAUFMAN: Have you been there during the storms 13 which have recently occurred this year? That's a convoluted question. 14 15 MR. POAM: Well, I've been down there once during 16 the storm, yes. It was the earlier part of the storms. 17 MR. KAUFMAN: Were waves breaking over the revet-18 ment? 19 MR. ROAM: I really wasn't paying much attention 20 to the waves. 21 I was looking at the water coming down the goddamr 22 conduits and wondering about all the connections inside. I 23 wasn't worried about the waves outside. 24 MS. DURBIN: You didn't happen to see how far up 25 towards the plant the waves were breaking on the shore? 26 MR. ROAM: Most of them were breaking on the break-27 water themselves. 28 I wasn't paying that much attention to whether they

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 588 SROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94549 14151 283-3172

was carrying in there at the screens. 1 MR. KAUFMAN: Off the record. 2 (Discussion off the record.) 3 MS. DURBIN: When you were hiring people fairly 4 close to the end of the big push were you hiring some people 5 who had no previous experience as quality control inspectors? 6 10 MR. TENNYSON: You're saying near the end. What 8 I was referring to a while ago was, you know, earlier. MS. DURBIN: At any point during the time you worked 9 there, did you hire people who had no experience as inspectors? 10 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 11 MS. DURBIN: Did you hire people who had no previous 12 experience with construction or in the nuclear industry? 13 MR. TENNYSON: Yes, in some cases, yes. 14 15 MS. DURBIN: How much training did they get? MR. TENNYSON: Other than possibly maybe some 16 17 schooling along the way, engineering training or something to that effect? 18 MS. DURBIN: Well, how much training did you give 19 20 them? 21 MR. TENNYSON: They were trained with an experienced inspector for sometimes maybe 30 days before they were really 22 23 turned out by themselves to perform inspections and not then 24 if we felt that they were still weak in certain areas. 25 MS. DURBIN: Okay. Thank you. 26 MR. KAUFMAN: I promise you, just this last ques-27 tion. 28 Is it common practice in quality assurance programs

86

JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE SEB ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYI TTE CALIFOFNIA 94549 14151 783-3172

87 to check the work of the inspectors on a formal basis, the 1 11 work that the inspectors have done on a formal basis? In other words, does the quality assurance program 3 in most industries require that the work the inspector does 4 5 is, in turn, inspected by someone else in the quality assurance organization? 6 MS. DURBIN: Is there any quality assurance for 7 8 quality assurance? 9 MR. ROAM: You got two phases. You got quality 10 control and quality assurance. That's where everybody is mistaken. Quality control 11 12 is the inspection. Quality assurance is the assurance that 13 the inspection and procedure is correct. 14 There's no quality assurance of the quality assur-15 ance. 16 MR. TENNYSON: Your quality assurance is the monitor-17 ing device to see to it that you're adhering to your procedural 18 requirements. 19 MS. DURBIN: Well, is there quality control of 20 quality control? 21 MR. ROAM: What you're saying basically: Is there 22 direct supervision or monitoring of inspectors? 23 MS. DURBIN: Right. 24 MR. TENNYSON: Yes. 25 MR. ROAM: Yes. Not per se. It's written up and 26 that's your job, you know. 27 MS. DURBIN: To go out and do it? 28 MR. ROAM: Right.

> JACK EGAN REPORTING SERVICE 568 ARROWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYETTE CALIFORNIA 94349 (415) 283-3172

MR. KAUFMAN: Do you do that on a judgmental basis or do you have any --MR. ROAM: Same as you would do with your secretary working for you is how you do it. The same thing. MR. KAUFMAN: Are there any areas in which you inspect more than others? MR. ROAM: Depends on the position of the man. If I'm strapped behind a desk I'm trying to look at all the paper-work and judge it from that. MR. KAUFMAN: All right. Thank you very much for coming. We appreciate all the time you have taken to help us in this matter. MS. DURBIN: The statement is now closed. (The sworn statements concluded at 3:30 p.m.) -----

| | 89 |
|-----|---|
| 1 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA) |
| 2 |) ss. COUNTY OF MARIN) |
| 3 | |
| 4 | I, BONNIE L. WAGNER, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, |
| 5 | License No. 5881, and duly qualified Notary Public of the |
| 6 | County of Marin, State of California, do hereby certify: |
| 7 | That the foregoing transcript of sworn statements |
| 8 | of Virgil M. Tennyson and Richard E. Roam, taken on Tuesday, |
| 9 | the 5th day of April, 1983, is a true, accurate, and complete |
| 10 | transcription of my shorthand notes. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | DATED this 12th day of April, 1983. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | BONNIE L. WAGNER, CSR #5881 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 1.1 | |

the second stress is a second second

JACK ECAN RI PORTING SERVICE THE ANNOWHEAD DRIVE LAFAYLITE CALIFORNIA 94549 1415: 283-3172

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

*83 APR 18 A10:04

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Docket No. 50-275 Docket No. 50-323

Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The following document of the State of California has been served today on the following by deposit in the United States mail, properly stamped and addressed:

Judge John F. Wolf Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Judge Glenn O. Bright Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Judge Jerry R. Kline Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mrs. Elizabeth Apfelberg c/o Betsy Umhoffer 1493 Southwood San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Janice E. Kerr, Esq. Public Utilities Commission State of California 5246 State Building 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Mrs. Rave Fleming 1920 Mattie Road Shell Beach, CA 93449 Mr. Frederick Eissler Scenic Shoreline Preservation Conference, Inc. 4623 More Mesa Drive Santa Monica, CA 93105

Mrs. Sandra A. Silver 1760 Alisal Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Mr. Gordon Silver 1760 Alisal Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

John Phillips, Esg. Joel Reynolds, Esg. Center for Law in the Public Interest 10951 West Pico Boulevard Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90064

David F. Fleischaker, Esq. P.O. Box 1178 Oklahoma City, OK 73101

Arthur C. Gehr, Esq. Snell & Wilmer 3100 Valley Bank Center Phoenix, AZ 85073 Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Requatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Attention: Docketing and Servicing Section

Lawrence J. Chandler, Esq. Jack R. Goldberg, Esg. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Executive Legal Director Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Richard B. Hubbard MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamiton Avenue, Suite K San Jose, CA 95125

Mr. Carl Neiberger <u>Telegram Tribune</u> P.O. Box 112 San Luis Obispo, CA 93402

Commissioner Thomas M. Roberts U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N. W. Washington, D.C. 20555

Judge Thomas S. Moore Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

DATED: April 14, 1983.

Judge W. Reed Johnson Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Washington, D.C. 20555

Judge John H. Buck Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Commissioner Nunzio J. Palladino Chairman U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20555

Commissioner John R. Ahearne U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20555

Commissioner Victor Gilinsky U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20555

Commissioner James K. Asselstine U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20555

PG&E P.O. Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120

Bruce Norton, Esq. Norton, Burke, Berry & French, P.C. P.O. Box 10569 Phoenix, AZ 85064

Curl

SUSAN L. DURBIN