

Commonwealth Edison

Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station 22710 206 Avenue North Cordova, Illinois 61242-9740 Telephone 309/654-2241

RLB-93-108

August 13, 1993

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Reference: Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station Docket Number 50-254, DPR-29, Unit One

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 93-011, Revision 00, for Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station.

This report is submitted in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). The licensee shall report any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specification.

Respectfully,

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY QUAD CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION

R. L. Bax Station Manger

RLB/TB/plm

Enclosure

cc: J. Schrage T. Taylor INPO Records Center NRC Region III

# 240010

STMGR/10893.RLB

9308240021 930818 PDR ADDCK 05000254 PDR

*				LICENSE	e ev	ENT REP	ORT (LER	0						ave be cheed on			Fo	rm Re	v. 2.0	)	
Facility Name (1)		In the second	Contraction of the second							Doc	ket h	lumb	or (2	) -	and the summer	Charles results Married	ini i fereneza	Pag	ie (3)	and and which	
Quad Cities Unit One										0	15	р	ю	ю	12	ß	н	1	of	р	β
Title (4)	ev System	Inon Due	ToR	ndom Bresker F	ailur									occasillo pres							
Event Date (	5)	T T	10 254	LER Number (6)			R	leport Da	1e (7)	i consideration				Oth	er Fa	cilitie	s Invo	ilved	8)		
Month Day	Year	Year	Τ	Sequential Number		Revision Number	Month	Day	Y	CBT	Facility Names			F		D	ocket	Num	ber(s)		
									1		t			0	15	р	ю	р	1	Ì	1
0  7 2  1	9 3	ор		0  1  1	-	a p	o ja	1 18	9	р				0	15	р	ю	ю	I.	1	1
POWER LEVEL (10)	p	<u>к</u> 4	(Che	ck one or more o 20.402(b) 20.405(a)(1)(i) 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 20.405(a)(1)(iii) 20.405(a)(1)(iv) 20.405(a)(1)(v)	UBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQU of the following) (11) 20.405(c) 50.36(c)(1) 50.36(c)(2) 0) X 50.73(e)(2)(i) 0) 50.73(e)(2)(ii) 0) 50.73(e)(2)(ii)						50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50.	73(a) 73(a) 73(a) 73(a) 73(a) 73(a)	(2)(i) (2)(v (2)(v (2)(v (2)(v (2)(x	v) ) iii)(A iii)(B)	)		73 73 Ott tn bel Ter				
and the second			iter mart	L	ICEN	SEE CON	TACT FO	R THIS	LER (1	2)	******										
NAME Mark L. Huhn	E	a. 2117 Compl	ETE	ONE LINE FOR	EAG	CH COMP	ONENT F	AILURE	DESCI	CIBE	AR 3 D IN	EA C p THI		E 6	5 15 13	HON M	E NU	MBE P	R   2	۴	μ
CAUSE SYSTEM	СОМР	ONENT	IMA	NUFACTURER	REP TC	ORTABLE NPRDS	CA	USE SY	STEM	0	MO	PON	ENT	M	ANU	FACT	URE	RET	POR1	RDS	Ē
у в рм	JB.	KR	G	io pe po		Y			1		1	1	1		1	1	1.				_
		SUPPI	LEME	NTAL REPORT	EXI	PECTED	4)		_		1	+	.1 E	xpect	l	1	I		Day	-	Year
YES (If yes, cor	nplete EX	PECTED	SUBM	ISSION DATE)		X NO							Su D	bmiss ate (1	ion 5)		1		1	1	L

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

# A. ABSTRACT:

At 0917 hours on July 21, 1993, Unit One was in the RUN mode at 25 percent of rated core thermal power. It was discovered that 4KV breaker [BKR] #68, feeding the 18 Core Spray [BM] Pump Motor, was open and discharged. This resulted in the 18 Core Spray loop being declared inoperable. Since the High Pressure Coolant Injection System [BJ] (HPCI) was also inoperable at the time, this placed Unit One in a 24 hour Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) per Technical Specification section 3.5.C.4.

The breaker was changed out and the 1B Core Spray loop was tested satisfactorily and the LCO was exited at 1017 on July 21, 1993. Troubleshooting indicated the breaker malfunction was caused by a random component failure of the 52-SM/LS (Spring Monitor Limit Switch) switch [33]. This prevented the breaker from recharging because this switch controls the operation of the charging motor.

Since this condition was determined to have existed prior to reactor startup, this report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(b) due to unintentional operation outside of Technical Specification section 3.5.A.1.



# PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION:

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor - 2511 MWt rated core thermal power.

EVENT IDENTIFICATION: Unit One A Core Spray System inop due to random breaker failure.

# A. CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT:

Unit:	One		Event	Date:	July	21,	1993	Event	Time:	0917
Reactor	Mode:	4	Mode	Name:	Run			Power	Level:	2.5%

This report was initiated by Licensee Report 254/93-011.

RUN (4) - In this position the reactor system pressure is at or above 825 psig, and the reactor protection system is energized, with APRM protection and RBM interlocks in service (excluding the 15% high flux scram).

	LICENSEE EVENT F	EPO	RT (I	LER)	TEX	TCC	NTIN	UAT	ION								For	m R	ev. 2.	0	
FACILITY NAME (1)	[DO	CKE	TNU	MBE	R (2)	-		and a support to the	LE	RNU	MBE	R (6)						PA	GE (	3)	
										Year			eque Nun	ntial aber		Re	ivisios unibe	n r			
Quad Cities Unit One	0	ß	ю	р	ю	p	15	14	9	р	-	b	μ	11	-	0	p	2	OF	p	ß

PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION:

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor - 2511 MWt rated core thermal power.

EVENT IDENTIFICATION: Unit One A Core Spray System inop due to random breaker failure.

A. CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT:

Unit: One	Event Date:	July 21,	1993	Event	Time:	0917
Reactor Mode: 4	Mode Name:	Run		Power	Level:	25%

This report was initiated by Licensee Report 254/93-011.

RUN (4) - In this position the reactor system pressure is at or above 825 psig, and the reactor protection system is energized, with APRM protection and RBM interlocks in service (excluding the 15% high flux scram).

#### B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On July 21, 1993 at 0917, Unit One was in the RUN mode at 25 percent of rated core thermal power. An operator, while performing rounds, discovered that 4KV breaker [BKR] #68, feeding the 1B Core Spray [BM] Pump Motor, was open and discharged. The normal configuration is open and charged. This condition resulted in the 1B Core Spray system being declared inoperable. Since the High Pressure Coolant Injection [BJ](HPCI) system was also inoperable at the time, this placed Unit One in a 24 LCO per Technical Specification section 3.5.C.4.

It was determined that the only time the breaker could have been discharged was following Core Spray system testing at 0245 hours on July 20, 1993. Unit One reactor startup commenced at 0435 hours on July 20, 1993. Technical Specification section 3.5.A.1 states that, "Both core spray subsystems shall be operable whenever irradiated fuel is in the reactor vessel and prior to reactor startup from a cold condition." Since the unit was started up with one of the Core Spray loops inoperable, this resulted in operation outside of Technical Specification section 3.5.A.1, and this report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(b).

In order to restore the 1B Core Spray system to operability, the Operations department attempted unsuccessfully to recharge the breaker. The Electrical Maintenance (EM) department was contacted to assist in the breaker troubleshooting. Since Unit Two was in cold shutdown, the breaker for the 2B Core Spray pump cubicle from bus 24-1 was installed in the bus 14-1 cubicle for the 1B Core Spray Pump. Following breaker replacement, QCOS 1400-4, Monthly Core Spray Pump Operability, was performed satisfactorily to prove operability of the 1B core spray system. The LCO was exited at 1017 on July 21, 1993.

L	CENSEE EVENT	REP	DRT (	LER)	TEX	T CO	NTD	TAU	ION								Form	Rev	v. 2.0		
PACILITY NAME (1)	ÞX.	XCKI	TN	MBE	R (2)		and an end of a		TLE	RNI	UMBE	R ((	6)	A P PARAMAN				PAC	GE (3	)	
										Yoar		1	Seque	ential aber		Revi	nber	1		one (r see	
Quad Cities Unit One	0	ß	ю	p	ю	12	15	14	9	р	-	0	ţ1	μ	-	0	0	3	OF	ю	β

#### C. APPARENT CAUSE OF EVENT:

The cause for operation outside of Technical Specification section 3.5.A.1. is due to an inadequate procedure. Currently, no requirement exists to inspect the Core Spray pump breakers following the performance of operability surveillances. A breaker inspection is performed daily by Equipment Operators (EO). This inspection did not occur during the short time between system testing at 0235 hours and reactor startup at 0445 hours on July 20, 1993.

An apparent contributing cause is due to personnel error in the form of lack of attention to detail. The breaker was discovered uncharged at 0917 hours on July 21, 1993. Operator surveillance sheets for July 20 indicate that this breaker was verified to be charged on shift 3 (1500-2300). Based on the only possible discharge mode available for the breaker charging spring, the system operability test completed at 0235 on July 20, it appears that the uncharged breaker condition was overlooked by the operator during the shift three rounds on July 20. This event was discussed with the operator who performed the shift three rounds on July 20. He said he inspects both the toggle switch and the breaker status window, and was unable to explain why he did not identify the uncharged breaker.

The cause of the breaker malfunction was due to a random component failure of one of the breaker charging motor switches.

## D. SAFETY ANALYSIS OF EVENT:

The safety significance of this event was minimal. The A loop of Core Spray was operable throughout the time period that the B loop was considered inoperable. One loop of Core Spray is sufficient to accomplish the intended safety function of the Core Spray system. Also, both loops of the Low Pressure Coolant Injection [BO](LPCI) system were operable.

Since HPCI was also inoperable at the time the Core Spray loop was determined to be inoperable. Unit One entered a 24 LCO per Tec ical Specification section 3.5.C.4.

# E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

The immediate corrective action was to declare the 1B Core Spray loop inoperable and write a work request to investigate and repair.

Currently the breaker status windows, which indicate if the breaker is charged or uncharged, are not consistent with respect to window color. The "Charged" windows are either yellow or red while the "Uncharged" windows are black. The charged window colors will be standardized in order to make it more apparent when one of the breakers is uncharged. A procedure change will be processed to standardize the window colors as part of the normally scheduled breaker PM program per QCEPM 200-1, Inspection and Maintenance of 4KV Horizontal Circuit Breakers Type 4.76-250 (NTS# 2541809301101).

	LICENS	EE EVENT I	LEPO	KT (	LER)	TEX	TCC	NTD	UAT	ION								Forn	n Re	ev. 2.0	£	
FACILITY NAME (1)		DO	CKE	TNU	MBE	ER (2)				LE	RNU	MBE	R. (6)						PA	GE (	)	
											Year		S	eque Num	ntial ber		Re	vision imber				
Quad Cities Unit One		0	15	ю	р	ю	12	15	4	9	р		0	11	р.	-	p	ю	4	<b>IOF</b>	p	ß

Troubleshooting was performed on the failed breaker under Work request Q08741. The faulty breaker was replaced with the breaker from the 2B Core Spray Pump and the Monthly Core Spray surveillance was performed and the LCO was exited. During initial inspection, it was determined that the 52-SM/LS (Spring Monitor Limit Switch) switch contacts 1 and 2 were open. This is a normally open switch which is actuated when the breaker springs are discharged. Switch contacts 1 and 2 close to supply power to the breaker charging motor and open to remove power from the motor when the breaker springs are charged. The failed breaker was manually charged and the switch operated well enough for breaker operation in subsequent attempts. Failure of the breaker to operate is directly attributable to the intermittent operation of the 52-SM/LS contacts 1 and 2. This switch was disassembled and it was found that the contact carrier had melted due to overcurrent. The melted contact carrier caused a misalignment of the contact points preventing the switch from actuating to supply power to the breaker charging motor. An additional switch in series to the 52-SM/LS switch was inspected and did not show any similar damage leading to a conclusion that this was a random failure of the 52-SM/LS switch.

While this event was considered a random failure, the EM department checked similar switches on this breaker and found three of them to have erratic resistance readings. The switches appeared to have a dirt accumulation that would wipe clean with repeated cycling. These switches were replaced with spares.

As a result of this event, the EM department will incorporate into QCEPM 200-1, Inspection and Maintenance of 4KV Horizontal Circuit Breakers Type 4.76-250, a requirement to perform resistance checks on these switches as part of future breaker inspections (NTS# 2541809301102).

The failed switch on this breaker was original equipment. Based on this fact and the results of the previous events search for this type of failure, no further corrective actions are necessary at this time.

Procedure changes will be processed to require the inspection of ECCS Pump breakers following operability testing.

## F. PREVIOUS EVENTS:

A TJM search on all items with an "S35-" equipment code (breakers) did not indicate any related failures. The replacement switches had to be obtained from Dresden Station because they had not been stocked at Quad Cities with the required safety classification since the parts classification program began in 1988, indicating zero usage during that period.

A Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System (NPRDS) nationwide search was conducted for 4KV breaker failures, Model ID no. AMH-4.76-250, dating back to 1988. Two events were identified which were caused by similar switch failures of the charging motor circuit, neither of which occurred at Quad Cities Station.

An LER previous events search for similar breaker failures revealed no similar events.

I	JCENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) T	EXT CONTINU	ATI	ON						F	orm	Rev	v. 2.0	£	
FACE ITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	adament from	ILER N	UMB	ER (6)					<b>GE (3</b>	)			
				Year		S	Num	nüzl ber		Revis Num	ion ber				
Ousd Cities Unit One	0 15 10 10	10 12 15 1	4	9 B	-	0	11	11	-	0 10		5	OF	p	15

# G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA:

This switch is a model CR2940U310 manufactured by General Electric.