

Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

✓ President of the Senate Speaker	of the House of Representatives GAO
Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 penc	il.
1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
3. Rule Title Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events	
4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (f applicable) 3150-AJ49
5. Major Rule ○ Non-major Rule ●	
6. Final Rule ■ Other ○	
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comm	ents? Yes ● No ○ N/A ○
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one) Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant	 Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) 30 days after publication in	the Federal Register
10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided?	S ○ No ●
Submitted by: Name: Eugene Dacus Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	(signature)
For Congressional Use Only:	
Date Received:	
O = : : : : : - : - : - : : - : : - : : - : : - : : - : : - :	



	Yes	No	N/A
With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	•	0	0
B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?		0	0
2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0		0
C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	•	0	0
E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?		0	0
F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?			
• E.O. 13132, Federalism	0	•	0
 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	•	0
E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	0		0
E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	•	0
 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	•	0
 Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) 			
National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995			



Tresident of the Senate Speaker of	of the nouse of kepresentatives GAO
Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil	I.
Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
3. Rule Title	
Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events	
4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if	fapplicable) 3150-AJ49
5. Major Rule ○ Non-major Rule ●	
6. Final Rule ● Other ○	
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comme	ents? Yes ● No ○ N/A ○
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)	
 Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant 	 Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) 30 days after publication in t	the Federal Register
10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided? Yes	○ No ●
Submitted by:	(signature)
Name: Eugene Dacus	
Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	
For Congressional Use Only:	
Date Received:	
Committee of Jurisdiction:	



	Yes	No	N/A
With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	•	0	0
B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?		0	0
2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0		0
C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	•	0	0
E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?		0	0
F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?			
• E.O. 13132, Federalism	0	•	0
 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	•	0
E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	0		0
E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	•	0
 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	•	0
 Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) 			
National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995			



Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

President of the Senate Speaker	of the House of Representatives
Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 penci	I.
1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
3. Rule Title	
Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events	
4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (i	fapplicable) 3150-AJ49
5. Major Rule ○ Non-major Rule ●	
6. Final Rule ● Other ○	
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comme	ents? Yes ● No ○ N/A ○
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one) Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant	 Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side
	of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) 30 days after publication in	the Federal Register
10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided?	○ No ●
Submitted by:	(signature)
Name: Eugene Dacus	
Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	
For Congressional Use Only:	
Date Received:	
Committee of Jurisdiction:	



	Yes	No	N/A
With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	•	0	0
B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?		0	0
2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0		0
C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	•	0	0
E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?		0	0
F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?			
• E.O. 13132, Federalism	0	•	0
 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	•	0
E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	0		0
E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	•	0
 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	•	0
 Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) 			
National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995			