## Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Public Meeting RE Request by the Omaha

Public Power District (OPPD) for a Partial Site Release from NRC Regulatory Control of the Fort Calhoun Station, Blair, Nebraska

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: Omaha, Nebraska

Date: Wednesday, November 28, 2018

Work Order No.: NRC-4014 Pages 1-27

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	<u> </u>
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	PUBLIC MEETING REGARDING THE REQUEST BY THE OMAHA
5	PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT (OPPD) FOR A PARTIAL SITE
6	RELEASE FROM NRC REGULATORY CONTROL OF THE FORT
7	CALHOUN STATION, BLAIR, NEBRASKA
8	+ + + +
9	WEDNESDAY
10	NOVEMBER 28, 2018
11	+ + + +
12	The Public Meeting was convened in the
13	Steele Meeting Room of the Residence Inn Omaha/Old
14	Market Area, 106 South 15th Street, Omaha, Nebraska,
15	at 7:00 p.m., Jack Parrott, NRC Licensing Project
16	Manager for Fort Calhoun, Facilitator.
17	
18	PRESENT:
19	JACK PARROTT, Facilitator
20	STEVE GIEBEL, NRC, Reactor Decommissioning
21	TROY W. PRUETT, Director, Region IV, Division of
22	Nuclear Materials Safety
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS

(7:00 p.m.)

MR. PARROTT: We need to go ahead and get started. Thank everybody -- thank you, everybody, for coming to this public meeting. This is for the partial site release request from the Fort Calhoun Station of OPPD. My name is Jack Parrott. I'm the NRC, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Project Manager -- Licensing Project Manager for Fort Calhoun. This public meeting is required under our regulations for a partial site release. So, that's why we're doing this, here, today.

This is an NRC Category 3 public meeting.

And what that means is that this is for the purpose of discussing and obtaining public comment on the request from OPPD to release part of the Fort Calhoun Station for uncont- -- for -- part of their controlled property for unrestricted use.

A little bit of meeting logistics. In the extremely unlikely event that we have to evacuate the room, the door to outside is -- you can either go out this door or the door you came in and then take a left and there's a door that goes right outside. And the restrooms are just right down the hall and to your left. Also, we're going to be taking a transcript of

1 the meeting tonight, so there's some directional 2 microphones around here on the tables. So just make sure, if you do speak that, number one, you give your 3 4 name and that you speak loudly so that it's picked up 5 by the microphone. Did I miss --6 7 THE REPORTER: And it would also 8 helpful if you spelled your name for me. 9 MR. PARROTT: Okay, yeah. That would help 10 too. Okay. Out of this meeting, we'll -- NRC 11 will produce a meeting summary that will contain a 12 copy of the transcript and the signup sheet, so we'll 13 14 all know who was here. And, let's see, I think I'll go ahead and 15 introduce the other NRC folks that are here. We have 16 17 Troy Pruett. I'll let you go ahead and introduce 18 19 yourself. MR. PRUETT: 20 I'm Troy Pruett. I'm the Director for Nuclear Materials Safety for NRC Region 21 We're located in Arlington, Texas. Fort Calhoun 22 just happens to be one of the sites that we inspect 23 24 under the decommissioning piece of the branch. But we

also inspect all nuclear material use from the state

1	of Mississippi to Guam.
2	MR. PARROTT: And, in back, I've got Steve
3	Giebel.
4	Steve, you want to introduce yourself?
5	MR. GIEBEL: Hi. My name is Steve Giebel.
6	I work at NRC Headquarters in the Reactor
7	Decommissioning Branch with Mr. Jack Parrott. And I'm
8	out here in addition to this meeting, I'm also
9	doing confirmatory surveys.
10	MR. PARROTT: And, you know, actually, we
11	have such a small group, I think maybe I'll just
12	let's just go through the room and have everybody
13	introduce themselves. Then, we'll just then we'll
14	have an idea who's all here.
15	So, go ahead, sir. We'll start with you.
16	MR. SHUMAN: I'm Howard Shuman, S-h-u-m-a-
17	n, with the State of Nebraska's Office of Radiological
18	Health. I'm a health physicist for the program.
19	MS. STODOLA: I'm Kathy Stodola, S-t-o-d-
20	o-l-a, from State of Iowa, Homeland Security Emergency
21	Management.
22	MR. PARROTT: Thank you.
23	MR. VOVOLKA: Alan Vovolka from Omaha.
24	MR. PARROTT: Thank you.
25	MS. CRAM: Danielle Cram from OPPD, just

1	here to observe.
2	MR. LOHMULLER: Kris Lohmuller, K-r-i-s,
3	L-o-h-m-u-l-l-e-r. I'm an assistant to Danielle at
4	Fort Calhoun.
5	MR. BLOME: Brad Blome, B-l-o-m-e. I'm
6	the Director of Licensing and Reg. Assurance for OPPD.
7	MR. UEHLING: Tim Uehling, U-e-h-l-i-n-g.
8	I'm a Senior Director of Decommissioning at Fort
9	Calhoun.
10	MS. BARKER: I'm Andi Barker. It's A-n-d-
11	i. And I'm an RP Supervisor Radiation Protection
12	Supervisor at Fort Calhoun.
13	MR. MAINE: Good evening. My name is Ted
14	Maine, M-a-i-n-e. I am the Decommissioning Plant
15	Manager at Fort Calhoun.
16	MR. NOWAK: Good evening. My name is
17	Joseph Nowak, Energy Solutions, Director of
18	Decommissioning.
19	MR. MEISINGER: My name is Devin
20	Meisinger. I'm a Senior Economic Development
21	Coordinator at OPPD.
22	MR. O'BRIEN: Tim O'Brien, O, apostrophe,
23	B-r-i-e-n. I'm the Director of Economic Development,
24	External Relations, with the Omaha Public Power
25	District.

1 MS. FISHER: Good evening. My name is Mary Fisher, F-i-s-h-e-r, Vice President, Energy 2 3 Production and Nuclear Decommissioning. MR. AVERETT: I'm Chris Averett, A-v-e-r-4 5 e-t-t, with Communications from OPPD. MS. DVORAK: I'm Morgan Dvorak, D-v-o-r-a-6 7 k, and I'm just an observer. 8 DVORAK: Kevin Dvorak, father of Morgan, resident of Nebraska, observer as well. 9 MR. PARROTT: Okay. Did we get everybody? 10 (No response.) 11 12 Okay. Great. Thank you. All right, so I was going to ask if 13 14 anybody -- usually, or sometimes, we'll -- at these kind of meetings we'll have, maybe, representatives of 15 elected officials or something. 16 We usually invite 17 them to make a statement if they want. And I don't see any of them here tonight, so we'll skip that part. 18 19 The meeting agenda, there will be -- after this introductory part, there will be a presentation 20 by OPPD, Tim Uehling, about their request for a 21 22 partial site release. And then, I'll give presentation on what NRC does to review that request. 23 24 And then, we'll have -- after that, we'll have a public comment period for any public comments or 25

questions or any other kind of discussion you want to have on that. And then, we'll adjourn the meeting. I've got it going until 8:30. I don't know if it'll go that long, but we'll see.

Okay. So, again, this meeting is an invitation to stakeholders to engage with the NRC staff, primarily. If the -- if you have questions for the NRC staff, that's what we're here to answer. If you have questions for OPPD, they're under no obligation to respond unless they want to. So, it's -- but the structure of this meeting is that it's with the NRC and the interested stakeholders.

And, also, this -- the request by OPPD was noticed in the Federal Register on Monday, and what that provides for is a public comment period of 30 days. So, the directions for commenting in writing are in that Federal Register, and I've got copies of them in the back alcove back there if you're interested in seeing how to submit written comment or written questions.

So, before I go to the next slide, we're going to back up and go get -- have Tim Uehling give the OPPD presentation about the request for the partial site release.

Tim?

MR. UEHLING: Thank you. As Mr. Parrott said, I'm Tim Uehling. I'm the Senior Director of Decommissioning for Fort Calhoun Station. I've got a short presentation tonight to provide some background on the two parcels for which we are requesting release for unrestricted use.

Next slide, please.

This first slide has an overhead view of the station. If you're not familiar with where we are located, we are between the towns of Fort Calhoun and Blair. You can see the Missouri River is on one side of us and Highway 75 is on the other. Up here in the red boundary is the OPPD-owned parcel. So, it's about 660 acres, give or take. And you can see, inside, about in the middle, is where the plant proper sits. on the northwest edge in the blue Uр approximately 120 acres for which we are requesting that release for unrestricted use. As of this time, we don't know what we will do with that land once it is released. We'll go through our evaluations, what's best for the district, our customer-owners, and the community. One of the possible options is to release it for economic development.

Next slide, please.

The other parcel for which we're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

requesting release is not and was not owned by the district. This land, though, was under a perpetual easement by OPPD, so we had access to that land to do samples and surveys, as needed, during the plant operation. That's about 475 acres on the Iowa side of the border.

Next slide, please.

So, that gets us to: How did we determine that these are the right parcels to release? How did we determine that now is the right time that they're appropriate to release? This really just walks through the series of things that we did. The first bullet talks about an historical site assessment. That assessment really was a look-back on what was this land used for, is there any potential impediment to releasing the land. It had two major pieces as part of that. One was interviews with our employees, both current and former, and with the individuals who farmed the land. And, by and large, that property has been used for row crop since the plant has been in place. So that's the look-back.

The next bullet talks about current state.

What is that land right now? So that also had two
pieces to it. One piece included taking instruments
out into the field and doing direct measurements in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

these different parcels in various locations. And the second piece was actually collecting soil samples and having those sent off to an independent laboratory to do the analysis. So, those two things tell us what we expected to see, is that there is no indication of radioactivity that's detectible from Fort Calhoun Station operations and, right along with that, the radioactivity in that area is consistent with what you would expect for natural background levels. So, this is not an impacted area from plant operations.

Once we knew those two things, then we could look at that third bullet there: Is there going to be any impact from releasing this land from our ability to protect the health and safety of the public? Based on what we found on those first two areas, the location of the land, and the status of the plant being shut down at this time, there is no impact. We will continue to protect the health and safety of the public even after these two parcels are released.

So, that really gets us to that last bullet. We had to convince ourselves it was the right time and the right thing to do to release this land; there would be no impact. Based on the work that we've done, we are confident in that assessment. That

1 is why we submitted to the NRC our proposal to release 2 these two items for unrestricted -- for release for unrestricted use. And, with that, I'll turn it back 3 4 to why we're here today and Mr. Parrott. 5 MR. PARROTT: Thank you, Tim. 6 So, go two more. Right there. Okay. So, let me give you a little bit of status 7 8 on the Fort Calhoun Station. It permanently ceased 9 operation October 24th, 2016. Entered decommissioning spent nuclear 10 when the spent fuel -fuel certified to have been permanently removed from the 11 That was on November 13th, 2016. 12 reactor vessel. 13 Αt the time the plant went into 14 decommissioning, their decommissioning strategy was 15 called SAFSTOR, which is the long-term decommissioning 16 strategy that is allowed under our regulations. 17 Recently, they've announced a plan, I quess, to change that strategy to an active -- to do an active 18 19 decommissioning of the site, which will later be submitted to the NRC for our review. But we do not 20 approve those plans; we just review them. 21 strategy, apparently, will be changing in the future. 22 Next slide. 23 24 So, as Tim pointed out, there was two

areas that are being requested for the partial site

release, the one on the north and east side on the Nebraska side of the Missouri River, and the -- this other parcel that's on the east -- north and east of the plant and is on the Iowa side. Even though part of it is on the west side -- I guess you could call it the west side of the Missouri River, it's still in Iowa because of the way that the original border went, like this. So, later on, when I show some pictures of the different areas, this will -- I'll be referring to this as the Nebraska side and this as the Iowa side.

Okay. So, our regulations for the partial site release, in general, the NRC, in 10 CFR, Part 50, covers licensing of nuclear power reactors. Section 50.82 is the section for termination of license that governs the decommissioning of the facility. So, that's what -- for the decommissioning, that's what they're working towards right now. They're in the process of fulfilling those requirements.

But for partial site release, it's 50.83 for releasing part of a power reactor facility or site for unrestricted use. And this applies to reactors that can be in operation or decommissioning, so it's written to cover, you know, both scenarios. So, 50.83, it's used for the unrestricted release of areas before license termination. So the long-term goal of

the decommissioning of the site is to terminate the license, but, in the meantime, if the licensee wants to get rid of smaller, outlying areas of their property before they terminate the license, this regulation allows them to do that. They do have to get prior written approval from the NRC before they can release those areas. Just like in this case, typically applied to outlying, unused areas.

And if the site to be re- -- the area to be released was impacted versus non-impacted, there's different requirements. What they requested is release of non-impacted areas, and these are areas with no reasonable potential for residual radioactivity in excess of natural background or fallout levels.

So, for the non-impacted areas, these are some of the requirements for the partial site release: The licensee has to evaluate that there would be no reduction in the effectiveness of the emergency planning or physical security plans for the site; the -- that the effluent releases will remain within license condition -- current license condition; that environmental monitoring program and off-site dose calculations are revised to account for the changes in the land area; and all other applicable statutory and

regulatory requirements continue to be met. So, they've evaluated that in their submittal.

Next slide.

The licensee also performs a historical site assessment for the part of the facility to be released. They'll look at all the records of during operations to see if there's any possibility that there could have been a release of radioactive material to that property. They'll factor that into their review.

They'll also look at -- evaluate the changes to the configuration of the property against Regulation 50.59 that will look at -- see if there's any safety impact to any changes in their site configuration. They would assess the impact of the change and, for instance, on things like dose -- offsite dose calculation and effluent releases and, perhaps, like areas used for operations or envir- -- emergency planning.

Next slide.

So, the other NRC requirements for approval of partial site release is 10 CFR, Part 20, Subpart D. These give you the radiation dose limits for individual members of the public. So, they want to make sure that the areas they release are -- have

15 1 doses below those. And, in this case, they might be well below those dose limits for unrestricted release. 2 3 And they also look at 50.75, because they 4 have to -- once they do the partial site release, they 5 want to make sure that they've got good records of what they've released and how they released it so 6 7 that, when they go back and try to terminate the license for the entire site, they'll have -- they'll 8 9 know what they did on these other parcels that have 10 already been released. Won't have to go back and redo

11 those. And, also, they have to evaluate the 10 CFR,

12 Part 100, requirements. These are reactor-siting

criteria. These really are primarily for operating

reactors, so it's -- shouldn't be hard criteria to

15 meet.

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. So, what NRC does once we get these requests is we put a notice -- we notice the receipt of the request in the Federal Register and we have a period for public comment, which we're in right now. And we also conduct a public meeting, which we're doing tonight, in the vicinity of the facility for the purpose of, well, discussing the proposal and obtaining any public comments that way.

Okay, next slide.

Let's see, the radiological surveys. So,

1	OPPD conducted their own surveys of these areas to
2	support the fact that they were classified as
3	unimpacted. They also conducted environmental site
4	assessments to verify that the properties were not
5	impacted by any previous operations or releases. And
6	then, NRC will come in and do a confirmatory survey of
7	those areas to be released to confirm the licensee's
8	findings, and that's what we were doing this week.
9	And we'll go ahead with the first ques
10	and before you ask a question, ask your state your
11	name so we know it.
12	MR. VOVOLKA: Alan Vovolka, V-o-v-o-l-k-a.
13	THE REPORTER: Excuse me, did you say D?
14	MR. VOVOLKA: V, as in Victor.
15	THE REPORTER: Okay. Spell it again.
16	MR. VOVOLKA: V-o-v-o-l-k-a. Rhymes with
17	polka.
18	Did we skip a slide?
19	MR. PARROTT: Yeah, we may have. Yeah,
20	okay, this is the
21	Go one more, please. No, back the other
22	way. Yeah, this is the one. Okay.
23	So, what we would do after we've finished
24	the confirmatory surveys, we'll evaluate the
25	licensee's evaluation of how their this change will

impact these different areas of emergency planning, security, environmental, and other regulatory requirements; evaluate the licensee's classification of any release areas as non-impacted, that it's properly justified. And upon determining that the licensee's submittal is adequate, we'll inform the licensee in writing that the release is approved.

Okay, next slide.

And so, we did our confirmatory surveys.

Go to the next slide.

So, we were here this week to -- with our contractor, Oak Ridge Associated Universities, to do the confirmatory surveys. ORAU, as we call them, is independent contractor with experience and an equipment and trained staff to conduct radiological surveys. They've done many of these in the past. ORAU developed and submitted a proposed sampling plan to the NRC, which we reviewed, and that will be made publicly available with the rest of the package once it's released. And, again, we were out here this week confirm- -- doing the confirmatory surveys, which consist of surface scans and soil sampling.

Okay, so now, the fun part: pictures. So, we were out this week, and these are pictures we took just the other day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Yesterday, was it, Steve? 2 MR. GIEBEL: Yeah. 3 MR. PARROTT: So, this is the 120 acres on the Nebraska side. 4 This is on the northeast side of 5 the site looking towards the facility there. And you see the power lines, of course, you'd expect in the 6 7 vicinity. And just like -- all the areas are similar. 8 They've got a portion that's sort of farm fields with, 9 maybe, some tree stands interspersed. But this is kind of what it looks like in that area. 10 Next slide. 11 And you turn around the other way, similar 12 features. This is --13 14 It's ConAgra, correct? 15 MULTIPLE VOICES: Carqill. 16 MR. PARROTT: Cargill. Cargill plant 17 that's just to the north and east of the site. It was a cold day, so lots of steam was coming out. 18 19 And then, on the other side -- on the Iowa side, rather, this is 475-acre area. 20 Aqain, similar. You've got open farm fields with tree 21 stands. There's the plant, and in between the plant 22 and here is the Missouri River, which you can't see, 23 24 but this just gives you an idea of what that area was like. 25

19 1 Next slide. 2 Some of these areas, I guess, recent 3 flooding or high water, and there's still ponding in 4 certain parts of the field there that were close to 5 the river. Next slide. 6 7 Going a little bit north and looking back south, again, this is -- it was just open fields and 8 9 there's the plant. Turn around and look towards the 10 bridge -- the railroad bridge that crosses Missouri River, and you get an idea of, again, what 11 that area was like. 12 I tried to get some reference point in 13 14 every picture, so -- but looking to the east, there 15 really wasn't anything. There's a house, I think, right there or maybe a center pivot. But, anyway, I 16 think you get the idea of what --17 And then, the final area here was the --18 19 still on the Iowa side, although it's west of the Missouri River, a little parcel of land that, again, 20 was tree stands and open fields. I think some of this 21 area is, maybe, used for goose hunting. 22 Next slide. 23

standing water. Some dense brush. And, again, close

And some area -- again, some areas of

24

1 to the river, you get these flooded areas. 2 Okay, so, as I had mentioned, we were out earlier this week doing confirmatory surveys. Here's 3 4 some pictures from that. Here's Steve, who ably was 5 out there in the cold, swinging a meter and, on his back there, is a GPS unit. So, every --6 7 How often do they take a reading? 8 MR. GIEBEL: Every second. 9 Every second it takes a MR. PARROTT: reading and then gets a GPS fix, and then that all --10 all that data goes into the data-logger and they can 11 12 print out maps. Also, they were taking soil samples. 13 14 once they broke through the frozen part of the ground, they could get some dirt out and break it up. 15 And, next slide. 16 17 Then, put it in the sample bag. And this is the licensee's soil samples in 18 19 their lab. And I think that we -- ORAU was going to be doing some split samples with some of these just to 20 verify the licensee's reading. 21 And this is an ISOC, that I don't know 22 anything about this, but it's a really interesting 23 24 piece of equipment. And if you have any questions -well, you probably already know how it works, so --25

but we were using that out in the field.

So, what will happen, forthcoming, after today? Once ORAU completes their evaluation, they'll send a report to the NRC. It will have the scan survey maps, location of their soil samples, and the soil sample results. NRC will write a safety evaluation that evaluates the ORAU survey results and what OPPD has sent in for their assessment, and we will issue the -- all this and it will be publicly available with the NRC decision on the request for Phase 1, which is the Nebraska side parcel, by the end of March 2019. And for Phase 2, the Iowa side, is by May 2019.

Next slide.

And that's it for me. If you have any questions or comments, I'd appreciate them now.

Anybody have any? I'll wait. Okay, sir.

MR. VOVOLKA: Alan Vovolka. I notice the Nebraska portion that you're referring to upstream from the plant is right along the river. It's on the outside of the curve of the river. The river's eroded to the outside. So, will the fact that OPPD no longer has control of that portion have any effect on the stability of the bank there, their ability to look and check and make sure that, you know, this river isn't

	moving any taster than it already is?
2	MR. PARROTT: That's sort of outside the
3	scope of what we regulate. But if OPPD would like to
4	answer that?
5	MS. FISHER: What I would say is, we're
6	asking for release of the property from NRC
7	jurisdiction, not that we're giving up the property.
8	So, we still own the property. And then, as we look
9	forward to what we might want to do with that
10	property, then we would be willing you know, then
11	we would be looking at, you know, how is that going to
12	affect it in any way. But, at this point, I don't see
13	any impacts at all.
14	MR. VOVOLKA: So, all right. It could be
15	perfectly reasonable for you to decide to keep five
16	acres in a strip along the river?
17	MS. FISHER: It could be. It just would
18	no longer be under NRC jurisdiction as part of the
19	overall site.
20	MR. VOVOLKA: Thank you.
21	MS. FISHER: Sure.
22	MR. PARROTT: And I should have mentioned,
23	once NRC gives an unrestricted release of those
24	properties, then it's outside of our concern what they
25	do with those properties.
	I and the second

1 Any other questions? Comments? MR. PRUETT: Can I ask one? 2 3 MR. PARROTT: Yeah. 4 MR. PRUETT: Troy Pruett with the NRC. 5 What about vegetation samples? Do we take those or is it just soil and direct measurement? 6 7 MR. PARROTT: I would have to defer to 8 Steve on this, but I'm pretty sure we only take soil 9 samples and the scans. That's all we do. 10 Steve, is there --MR. GIEBEL: Yes, I can address that. 11 know what the site does, is -- for vegetation, as part 12 of their normal routine, radiological environmental 13 14 monitoring program. Obviously, at this time of year, 15 we can't really do any vegetation. But that's part of 16 their routine, and they do an annual report every year 17 that's publicly available through ADAMS. And it's actually available for any nuclear power plant in the 18 19 country. 20 MR. PARROTT: Any other questions? Again, if you're interested in submitting 21 written comments, the directions for how to do that 22 are in the Federal Register notice, which I've got 23 24 copies of in back there. So, please take one of those if you feel the need to do that. 25

1	Do we have any question back there in the
2	corner?
3	MR. DVORAK: We're debating whether we
4	want to ask one or not.
5	MS. DVORAK: I'm Morgan Dvorak, D-v-o-r-a-
6	k. Over the past couple years, other than soil
7	testing and just observing the land, were there any
8	other steps or procedures that were that happened?
9	MR. PARROTT: Well, so let's try to narrow
10	your question down a little bit. So, for the partial
11	site release, they recently went out, probably within
12	the last year, I would guess, and took samples of
13	those areas and scans. Historically, they've I
14	would have to defer to OPPD about any kind of sampling
15	they've done in those areas.
16	But, if you would like to address that if
17	you would?
18	MS. FISHER: I want to draw on Andi's
19	expertise here.
20	Andi, can you just talk a little bit about
21	our environmental monitoring program over the years
22	and what we do, just as a normal power reactor?
23	MS. BARKER: Sure. So, as an operating
24	station and we've continued to do the same thing
25	since we shut down we do take samples of vegetation
I	I control of the cont

in the area, grass, things like that, within a certain radius and look at them for the same kinds of things that we looked at with the soil. Maybe a little bit more focused, because we would have more idea what to look for, in particular, in those samples. So, we look through vegetation. A lot of those are quarterly samples, so four times a year. More during peak season.

So we also look at milk of animals that would have milk in the area. If the animals aren't available for milk, then we would go to vegetation again, so what they would have eaten if there had been a milk cow or a goat in that area and check for any radioactivity in the milk or the vegetation that they would have eaten. And we do that quarterly as well, except it goes to weekly during that -- like, the summer months, essentially.

And then, there's water samples that we also do monthly. And then a roll-up one every quarter as well. And we keep track of those throughout the year, and all of that activity gets rolled up into an annual report, which is the one that Steve was talking about that's available online that says how much or what we saw in the environment.

MR. PARROTT: And that annual report is

1	sent in to the NRC, and it forms the basis for some of
2	our inspections that we do during the year.
3	Sir?
4	MR. SHUMAN: Howard Shuman, S-h-u-m-a-n.
5	The vegetation also included crops? Like, field corn,
6	anything like that that might be ingested by cattle?
7	MR. UEHLING: No. But it includes garden
8	vegetables, but I can't say with certainty whether it
9	included field corn or not.
10	MR. SHUMAN: And I know, historically, the
11	State of Nebraska did have some direct reading
12	dosimetry out around the plant.
13	MR. UEHLING: And we also have dosimetry
14	that's out in the environment that we monitor as well.
15	MR. SHUMAN: And air sampling.
16	MR. UEHLING: Yes, thank you.
17	MR. PARROTT: And, also, if there's
18	anybody from State agencies who are interested in any
19	of the documents that we've put out for this, let me
20	know and I can get you on the mailing list for
21	distribution list for those when they come out.
22	Okay. Any other questions or comments?
23	Going once.
24	(No response.)
25	All right. Well, I guess we'll call it a
ļ	

1	night. And thank you all for coming. Again, Federal
2	Register notice copies are in the back and you can get
3	the information for submitting your comments. Thank
4	you.
5	(The meeting concluded at 7:34 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	