



Luminant

> Timothy A. Hope Manager, Regulatory Affairs Luminant P.O. Box 1002 6322 North FM 56 Glen Rose, TX 76043 o 254.897.6370

CP-201800310 TXX-18034

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Ref 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(ii)

6/19/2018

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446 ANNUAL REPORT OF CHANGES IN PEAK CLADDING TEMPERATURE

REFERENCES: 1. Letter logged TXX-12146, dated October 18, 2012, from Rafael Flores of Luminant Power to the NRC regarding "30-Day Report for Significant Change in Peak Clad Temperature"

> 2. Letter logged TXX-14058, dated April 22, 2014, from Rafael Flores of Luminant Power to the NRC regarding "30-Day Report for Significant Change in Peak Clad Temperature"

Dear Sir or Madam:

Pursuant to 10CFR50.46(a)(3)(ii), Vistra Operations Company LLC (Vistra OpCo) hereby submits the attached peak cladding temperatures (PCT) for Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant (CPNPP), Units 1 and 2. The Large-Break Loss-of-Coolant-Accident (LBLOCA) and Small-Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident (SBLOCA) analyses for Units 1 and 2 were performed for CPNPP with the approved Westinghouse methodologies listed in Technical Specification 5.6.5. Per Reference 1, Vistra OpCo previously submitted information regarding fuel pellet thermal conductivity with fuel burnup in the Westinghouse Best Estimate LBLOCA analysis methodology for CPNPP Units 1 and 2. Also, per Reference 2, Vistra OpCo submitted information regarding an evaluation of revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions, changes to Grid Blockage Ratio and Porosity, and application of a corrected Burst Strain in the Westinghouse Best Estimate LBLOCA analysis methodology for CPNPP Unit 2 and its effect on Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT).

Vistra OpCo has reviewed the notification of 10CFR50.46 reporting information pertaining to the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) Evaluation Model changes that were implemented by Westinghouse for 2017. The review concludes that the effect of additional changes to, or errors in, the Evaluation Models on the limiting transient PCT were not significant for 2017.

ADOZ NRR

6555 SIERRA DRIVE

TXX-18034 Page 2 of 2

This report of the ECCS Evaluation Model changes provides an update on an annual basis. Attachment 1 provides an assessment of the specific changes and enhancements to the Westinghouse Evaluation Models for 2017.

Attachment 2 provides the calculated LBLOCA and SBLOCA PCT margin allocations in effect for the 2017 Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 Evaluation Models. There were no changes, error corrections, or enhancements to the 1985 Westinghouse Small Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident Evaluation Model with NOTRUMP. The PCT values determined in the LBLOCA analysis of record, combined with all of the PCT allocations, remain well below the 10CFR50.46 regulatory limit of 2200 degrees Fahrenheit. Therefore, CPNPP Units 1 and 2 are in compliance with 10CFR50.46 requirements and no other action is required.

This communication contains no new commitments regarding CPNPP Units 1 and 2.

Should you have any questions, please contact Ken Vehstedt at (254) 897-6296.

Sincerely,

nosty D. Ho.

1. Assessments of Specific Changes and Enhancements to the Westinghouse Evaluation Models Attachments 2. CPNPP Units 1 and 2 Peak Cladding Temperatures

Kriss Kennedy, Region IV C -Margaret M. O'Banion, NRR Resident Inspectors, Comanche Peak

# GENERAL CODE MAINTENANCE

# Background

Various changes have been made to enhance the usability of codes and to streamline future analyses. Examples of these changes include modifying input variable definitions, units and defaults; improving the input diagnostic checks; enhancing the code output; optimizing active coding; and eliminating inactive coding.

These changes represent Discretionary Changes that will be implemented on a forward-fit basis in accordance with Section 4.1.1 of WCAP-13451.

## Affected Evaluation Model(s)

1996 Westinghouse Best-Estimate Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model
2004 Westinghouse Realistic Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model Using ASTRUM
1981 Westinghouse Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model with BASH
1985 Westinghouse Small Break LOCA Evaluation Model with NOTRUMP

## **Estimated Effect**

The nature of these changes leads to an estimated Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT) impact of 0°F.

# COMANCHE PEAK UNIT 2 CYCLE 17 PBOT/PMID VIOLATIONS

#### Background

The Comanche Peak Unit 2 Cycle 17 reload core design resulted in several violations of the PBOT/PMID box used in the Large Break LOCA analysis. These violations were evaluated for Comanche Peak Unit 2 Cycle 17 operation.

This item represents a change in plant configuration or associated set points, distinguished from an evaluation model change in Section 4 of WCAP-13451.

#### Affected Evaluation Model(s)

2004 Westinghouse Realistic Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model Using ASTRUM

#### **Estimated Effect**

The impact of the PBOT/PMID violations for Comanche Peak Unit 2 Cycle 17 was determined via a plant-specific evaluation to be 0°F.

# ERROR IN THE UPPER PLENUM FLUID VOLUME CALCULATION

#### Background

An error was found in the fluid volume calculation in the upper plenum where the support column outer diameter was being used instead of the inner diameter. The correction of this error lead to a reduction in the upper plenum fluid volume used in the Appendix K Large Break LOCA and Small Break LOCA analyses. The corrected values represent a less than 1% change in the total RCS fluid volume and will be incorporated on a forward-fit basis, based on the evaluated impact on the current licensing basis analysis results.

These changes represent a Non-Discretionary Change in accordance with Section 4.1.2 of WCAP-13451.

#### Affected Evaluation Model(s)

1981 Westinghouse Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model with BASH. 1985 Westinghouse Small Break LOCA Evaluation Model with NOTRUMP

#### **Estimated Effect**

The differences in the upper plenum fluid volume are relatively minor and have been evaluated to have a negligible effect on large and small break LOCA analysis results, leading to an estimated PCT impact of 0°F.

# COMANCHE PEAK UNIT 1 CYCLE 20 PBOT/PMID VIOLATION

## Background

The Comanche Peak Unit 1 Cycle 20 reload core design resulted in several violations of the PBOT/PMID box used in the Large Break LOCA Analysis. These violations were evaluated for Comanche Peak Unit 1 Cycle 20 operation.

This item represents a change in plant configuration or associated set point, distinguished from an evaluation model change in Section 4 of WCAP-13451.

## Affected Evaluation Model(s)

2004 Westinghouse Realistic Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model Using ASTRUM

## **Estimated Effect**

The impact of the PBOT/PMID violation for Comanche Peak Unit 1 Cycle 20 was determined via a plant-specific evaluation to be 0°F.

# INCONSISTENT APPLICATION OF NUMERICAL RAMP APPLIED TO THE ENTRAINED LIQUID / VAPOR INTERFACIAL DRAG COEFFICIENT

## Background

A numerical ramp which was used to account for the disappearance of the entrained liquid phase was applied to the entrained liquid / vapor interfacial drag coefficient. The numerical ramp was applied such that the interfacial drag coefficient used in the solution of the entrained liquid and vapor momentum equations was not consistent. WCOBRA/TRAC was updated to apply the numerical ramp prior to usage of the interfacial drag coefficient in the momentum equations, such that a consistent interfacial drag coefficient was used in the entrained liquid and vapor momentum equations.

This item represents a Non-Discretionary Change in accordance with Section 4.1.2 of WCAP-13451.

## Affected Evaluation Model(s)

1996 Westinghouse Best Estimate Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model 2004 Westinghouse Realistic Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model Using ASTRUM

## **Estimated Effect**

Based on the code validation results, the impact of correcting the error is estimated to have a 0°F impact on PCT.

## INAPPROPRIATE RESETTING OF TRANSVERSE LIQUID MASS FLOW

#### Background

In the <u>WCOBRA/TRAC</u> routine which evaluates the mass and energy residual error of the time step solution, the transverse liquid mass flow is reset as the liquid phase disappears. The routine is updated to remove the resetting of the transverse liquid mass flow since the routine is to only evaluate the residual error based on the time step solution values.

This item represents a Non-Discretionary Change in accordance with Section 4.1.2 of WCAP-13451.

## Affected Evaluation Model(s)

1996 Westinghouse Best Estimate Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model 2004 Westinghouse Realistic Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model Using ASTRUM

#### **Estimated Effect**

Based on the code validation results and limited applicability of the logic removed, correcting the error is estimated to have a 0°F impact on PCT.

# STEADY-STATE FUEL TEMPERATURE CALIBRATION METHOD

#### Background

In the Automated Statistical Treatment of Uncertainty Method (ASTRUM) Best-Estimate (BE) Large-Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident (LBLOCA) Evaluation Model (EM), the steady-state fuel pellet temperature calibration method involves solving for the hot gap width (AGFACT) to calibrate the fuel temperature for each fuel rod. In some infrequent situations, small non-conservatisms can occur in the calibration process such that the resulting fuel pellet temperature will be slightly lower than intended and outside the acceptable range defined by Table 12-6 of WCAP-16009-P/NP-A [1].

This issue has been evaluated to estimate the impact on ASTRUM BE LBLOCA analysis results. The resolution of this issue represents a Non-Discretionary Change in accordance with Section 4.1.2 of WCAP-13451.

#### Affected Evaluation Model(s)

2004 Westinghouse Realistic Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model Using ASTRUM

#### **Estimated Effect**

A review of licensing basis analyses concluded that the potential non-conservatisms in the fuel pellet temperature calibration did not occur for the limiting analysis cases. Therefore, an estimated PCT impact of 0°F is assigned for 10 CFR 50.46 reporting purposes.

#### Reference(s)

1) WCAP-16009-P/NP-A, "Realistic Large-Break LOCA Evaluation Methodology Using the Automated Statistical Treatment Of Uncertainty Method (ASTRUM)," January 2005.

Plant Name:Comanche Peak Unit 1Utility Name:LuminantRevision Date:2/1/2018

**Analysis Information** 

EM:	ASTRUM (2004)	Analysis Dat	e: 7/27/2007	Limiting Break Size:	Guillotine
FQ:	2.5	FdH:	1.6	C	
Fuel:	OFA	SGTP (%):	10		
Notes:					

	Clad Temp (°F)	Ref.	Notes
LICENSING BASIS			
Analysis-Of-Record PCT	1492	1	
PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT)			
A. PRIOR ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS			
1. Evaluation of Fuel Pellet Thermal Conductivity	122	2	(a)
Degradation and Peaking Factor Burndown			
2. Revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions	-6	3	
3. Error in Burst Strain Application	21	4	
<b>B. PLANNED PLANT MODIFICATION EVALUATIO</b>	NS		
1. None	0		
C. 2017 ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS			
1. None	0		
D. OTHER			
1. None	0		
LICENSING BASIS PCT + PCT ASSESSMENTS	<b>PCT =</b> 1629		

# References

- 1. WCAP-16762-P, Revision 1, "Best-Estimate Analysis of the Large-Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident for the Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1 Using the ASTRUM Methodology," March 2009.
- 2. LTR-LIS-12-410, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2, 10 CFR 50.46 Notification and Reporting for Fuel Pellet Thermal Conductivity Degradation and Peaking Factor Burndown," September 20, 2012.
- 3. LTR-LIS-13-359, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for Revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions," July 2013.
- 4. LTR-LIS-14-43, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for the HOTSPOT Burst Strain Error Correction," January 2014.

Notes:

Plant Narr	e: Comanche Pea	k Unit 1				
Utility Na	me: Luminant		Cycle 20			
<b>Revision</b> I	Date: 2/1/2018					
Analysis I	nformation					
EM:	ASTRUM (2004)	Analysis Dat	te: 7/27/2007	Limiting Break Size:	Guillotine	3
FQ:	2.5	FdH:	1.6			
Fuel:	OFA	SGTP (%):	10			
Notes:						
				Clad Temp (°F)	Ref.	Notes
LICENSIN	NG BASIS					
An	alysis-Of-Record PC	T		1492	1	
PCT ASSE	ESSMENTS (Delta P	CT)				
	A. PRIOR ECCS M	ODEL ASSESSN	MENTS			
	1. Evaluation o	f Fuel Pellet The	rmal Conducti	vity 122	3	(a)
	Degradation	and Peaking Fac	ctor Burndowr	L		
	2. Revised Hea	t Transfer Multij	olier Distributi	ons -6	4	
	3. Error in Burs	st Strain Applicat	tion	21	5	
	B. PLANNED PLA	NT MODIFICA	TION EVALU	JATIONS		
	1. PBOT/PMI	D Violation		0	2	
	C. 2017 ECCS MO	DEL ASSESSM	ENTS			
	1. None			0		
	D. OTHER					
	1. None			0		
	LICENSING BASI	S PCT + PCT AS	SSESSMENTS	<b>PCT</b> = 1629		

## References

- 1. WCAP-16762-P, Revision 1, "Best-Estimate Analysis of the Large-Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident for the Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1 Using the ASTRUM Methodology," March 2009.
- 2. LTR-LIS-17-322, "10 CFR 50.46 Reporting Text and LBLOCA PCT Rackup Update for the Evaluation of the Comanche Peak Unit 1 Cycle 20 PBOT/PMID Violations," September 2017.
- 3. LTR-LIS-12-410, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2, 10 CFR 50.46 Notification and Reporting for Fuel Pellet Thermal Conductivity Degradation and Peaking Factor Burndown," September 20, 2012.
- 4. LTR-LIS-13-359, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for Revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions," July 2013.
- 5. LTR-LIS-14-43, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for the HOTSPOT Burst Strain Error Correction," January 2014.

## Notes:

Plant Name: Utility Name:	Comanche Peak Ur Luminant	uit 1	Cycle 1	9		
Revision Date:	2/1/2018		Retired	l		
Analysis Inform	mation					
EM: AST	TRUM (2004)	Analysis Date	e:7/27/2007	Limiting Break Si	<b>ze:</b> Guillotin	e
<b>FQ:</b> 2.5		FdH:	1.6	-		
Fuel: OF.	A	SGTP (%):	10			
Notes:		. ,				
				Clad Temp (°F)	Ref.	Notes
LICENSING B	ASIS					
Analysi	s-Of-Record PCT			1492	1	
PCT ASSESSM	IENTS (Delta PCT)					
A. PR	IOR ECCS MODEL	ASSESSMEN	TS			
1. 1	Evaluation of Fuel Pe	ellet Thermal C	onductivity	122	3	(a)
1	Degradation and Pea	king Factor Bu	rndown			.,
2.	Revised Heat Transfe	er Multiplier D	istributions	-6	4	
3. 2	Error in Burst Strain	Application		21	5	
R DI	ΑΝΙΝΙΈΓΙ ΡΙ ΑΝΤΈΝΙΟ		1 637 8 1 1 1 8 7 1	ONS		
D. FL/		ODIFICATION on	EVALUATI	0	C	
1.	r boll / r wild v lolati	on		0	Z	
C. 2012	7 ECCS MODEL AS	SESSMENTS				
1. ]	None			0		
D. OT	HER					
1. 1	None			0		
				-		
LICENSING B	ASIS PCT + PCT AS	SSESSMENTS		PCT = 1629		

# References

- 1. WCAP-16762-P, Revision 1, "Best-Estimate Analysis of the Large-Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident for the Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1 Using the ASTRUM Methodology," March 2009.
- 2. LTR-LIS-16-170, "LBLOCA 10 CFR 50.46 Reporting Text and PCT Rackup Sheet Update for the Evaluation of the Comanche Peak Unit 1 Cycle 19 PBOT/PMID Violations," April 2016.
- 3. LTR-LIS-12-410, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2, 10 CFR 50.46 Notification and Reporting for Fuel Pellet Thermal Conductivity Degradation and Peaking Factor Burndown," September 20, 2012.
- 4. LTR-LIS-13-359, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for Revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions," July 2013.
- 5. LTR-LIS-14-43, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for the HOTSPOT Burst Strain Error Correction," January 2014.

# Notes:

Notes

# Westinghouse LOCA Peak Clad Temperature Summary for Appendix K Small Break

**Plant Name:** Comanche Peak Unit 1 Utility Name: Luminant Revision Date: 2/1/2018 Analysis Information EM: NOTRUMP Analysis Date: 6/8/2007 Limiting Break Size: 4 inch 2.5 FQ: FdH: 1.6 Fuel: OFA SGTP (%): 10 Notes: Clad Temp (°F) Ref. LICENSING BASIS Analysis-Of-Record PCT 1013 1 PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT) A. PRIOR ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS 0 1. None **B. PLANNED PLANT MODIFICATION EVALUATIONS** 0 1. None C. 2017 ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS 1. None 0 D. OTHER 1. None 0 LICENSING BASIS PCT + PCT ASSESSMENTS **PCT =** 1013

## References

 WCAP-16840-P, "Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Stretch Power Uprate Licensing Report," August 2007. (Results are included in TXX-07107, "Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446, Submittal of the CPSES Units 1 and 2 Large and Small Break LOCA Analyses," July 31, 2007.)

Notes:

None

Plant Name:Comanche Peak Unit 2Utility Name:LuminantRevision Date:2/1/2018

**Analysis Information** 

EM:	ASTRUM (2004)	Analysis Dat	e: 7/27/2007	Limiting Break Size: Guillotine
FQ:	2.5	FdH:	1.6	-
Fuel:	OFA	SGTP (%):	10	
Notes:				

	Clad Temp (°F)	Ref.	Notes
LICENSING BASIS	_ · ·		
Analysis-Of-Record PCT	1632	1	
PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT)			
A. PRIOR ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS			
1. Evaluation of Fuel Pellet Thermal Conductivit	y 190	2	(a)
Degradation and Peaking Factor Burndown			
2. Revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions	<b>-</b> 17	3	
3. Changes to Grid Blockage Ratio and Porosity	24	4	
4. Error in Burst Strain Application	21	5	
<b>B. PLANNED PLANT MODIFICATION EVALUAT</b>	TIONS		
1. None	0		
C. 2017 ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS			
1. None	0		
D. OTHER			
1. None	0		
LICENSING BASIS PCT + PCT ASSESSMENTS	<b>PCT = 1850</b>		

## References

- 1. WCAP-16763-P, Revision 1, "Best-Estimate Analysis of the Large-Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident for the Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 2 Using the ASTRUM Methodology," March 2009.
- 2. LTR-LIS-12-410, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2, 10 CFR 50.46 Notification and Reporting for Fuel Pellet Thermal Conductivity Degradation and Peaking Factor Burndown," September 20, 2012.
- 3. LTR-LIS-13-359, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for Revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions," July 2013.
- 4. LTR-LIS-13-472, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Reports for Changes to Grid Blockage Ratio and Porosity," October 2013.
- 5. LTR-LIS-14-43, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for the HOTSPOT Burst Strain Error Correction," January 2014.

## Notes:

Plant Name	e: Comanche	Peak Unit 2					
Utility Nan	ne: Luminant		Cycle 16				
Revision D	ate: 2/1/2018		Retired				
<u>Analysis Ir</u>	formation						
EM:	ASTRUM (2004)	Analysis Date	: 7/27/2007	Limiting	g Break Size	: Guillotine	2
FQ:	2.5	FdH:	1.6				
Fuel:	OFA	SGTP (%):	10				
Notes:							
				Clad Te	emp (°F)	Ref.	Notes
LICENSIN	G BASIS						
Ana	alysis-Of-Recor	d PCT			1632	1	
PCT ASSES	SSMENTS (Del	ta PCT)					
I	A. PRIOR ECC	S MODEL ASSESS	MENTS				
	1. Evalua	tion of Fuel Pellet T	hermal Condu	ctivity	190	3	(a)
	Degra	dation and Peaking I	Factor Burndo	wn			
	2. Revise	d Heat Transfer Mul	ltiplier Distribı	ations	-17	4	
	3. Chang	es to Grid Blockage	Ratio and Porc	osity	24	5	
	4. Error i	n Burst Strain Appli	cation		21	6	
	B. PLANNED	PLANT MODIFICA	TION EVAL	UATIONS			
	1. PBOT	& PMID Evaluation			0	2	
	C. 2017 ECCS	MODEL ASSESSM	ENTS				
	1. None				0		
	D. OTHER						
	1. None				0		
I	LICENSING BA	SIS PCT + PCT AS	SESSMENTS	РСТ	= 1850		

## References

- 1. WCAP-16763-P, Revision 1, "Best-Estimate Analysis of the Large-Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident for the Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 2 Using the ASTRUM Methodology," March 2009.
- 2. LTR-LIS-15-317, "LBLOCA PCT Rackup Sheet Update for the Evaluation of the Comanche Peak Unit 2 Cycle 16 PBOT/PMID Violations," September 2015.
- 3. LTR-LIS-12-410, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2, 10 CFR 50.46 Notification and Reporting for Fuel Pellet Thermal Conductivity Degradation and Peaking Factor Burndown," September 20, 2012.
- 4. LTR-LIS-13-359, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for Revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions," July 2013.
- 5. LTR-LIS-13-472, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Reports for Changes to Grid Blockage Ratio and Porosity," September 2013.
- 6. LTR-LIS-14-43, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for the HOTSPOT Burst Strain Error Correction," January 2014.

# Notes:

Plant Nan Utility Na Revision I	ne: ime: Date:	Comanche Peak Luminant 2/1/2018	Unit 2	Cycle 17				
Analysis I	[nform	nation						
EM:	AST	RUM (2004)	Analysis Date:	7/27/2007	Limiting	Break Size: (	Guillotin	е
FQ:	2.5		FdH:	1.6	-			
Fuel:	OFA	L	SGTP (%):	10				
Notes:								
					Clad Temp	(°F)	Ref.	Notes
LICENSIN	NG B.	ASIS			1	~ /		
An	alysi	s-Of-Record PCT				632	1	
PCT ASSI	ESSM	ENTS (Delta PC)	Г)					
	A. I	PRIOR ECCS MO	DEL ASSESSM	ENTS				
		1. Evaluation of	of Fuel Pellet Th	ermal Conduct	tivity	190	3	(a)
		Degradatior	n and Peaking Fa	actor Burndow	'n			
		2. Revised Hea	at Transfer Mult	iplier Distribut	tions	-17	4	
		3. Changes to	Grid Blockage R	atio and Poros	ity	24	5	
		4. Error in Bur	st Strain Applica	ation		21	6	
	B.	PLANNED PLAN	NT MODIFICA	FION EVALU	ATIONS			
		1. PBOT/PMI	O Violation			0	2	
	C.	2017 ECCS MOD	EL ASSESSME	NTS				
		1. None				0		
	D.	OTHER						
		1. None				0		
	LIC	CENSING BASIS	PCT + PCT AS	SESSMENTS	PCT = 13	850		

# References

- 1. WCAP-16763-P, Revision 1, "Best-Estimate Analysis of the Large-Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident for the Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 2 Using the ASTRUM Methodology," March 2009.
- 2. LTR-LIS-17-124, "10 CFR 50.46 Reporting Text and LBLOCA PCT Rackup Update for the Evaluation of the Comanche Peak Unit 2 Cycle 17 PBOT/PMID Violations," March 2017.
- 3. LTR-LIS-12-410, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2, 10 CFR 50.46 Notification and Reporting for Fuel Pellet Thermal Conductivity Degradation and Peaking Factor Burndown," September 20, 2012.
- 4. LTR-LIS-13-359, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for Revised Heat Transfer Multiplier Distributions," July 2013.
- 5. LTR-LIS-13-472, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Reports for Changes to Grid Blockage Ratio and Porosity," September 2013.
- 6. LTR-LIS-14-43, "Comanche Peak Units 1 and 2 10 CFR 50.46 Report for the HOTSPOT Burst Strain Error Correction," January 2014.

# Notes:

# Westinghouse LOCA Peak Clad Temperature Summary for Appendix K Small Break

Plant Name:Comanche Peak Unit 2Utility Name:LuminantRevision Date:2/1/2018

Analysis InformationEM:NOTRUMPFQ:2.5FdH:1.6Fuel:OFASGTP (%):10

Limiting Break Size: 4 inch

.

	Clad Temp (°F)	Ref.	Notes
LICENSING BASIS			
Analysis-Of-Record PCT	1210	1	
PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT)			
A. PRIOR ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS			
1. None	0		
<b>B. PLANNED PLANT MODIFICATION EVALUAT</b>	IONS		
1. None	0		
C. 2017 ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS	0		
1. None	U		
D. OTHER			
1. None	0		
LICENSING BASIS PCT + PCT ASSESSMENTS	<b>PCT</b> = 1210		

## References

Notes:

 WCAP-16840-P, "Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Stretch Power Uprate Licensing Report," August 2007. (Results are included in TXX-07107, "Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446, Submittal of the CPSES Units 1 and 2 Large and Small Break LOCA Analyses," July 31, 2007.)

#### Notes:

None