

EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER (Written Exam Before the Op Test)

Chief:	Michael Bloodgood	Facility:	DC	Date of Written Exam:	10/14/2016
U/I:		Start of Op Test:	10/17/2016	End of Op Test:	10/21/2016
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility			Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
4/15/2016	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	4/7/16	MB		
5/13/2016	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	4/7/16	MB		
5/13/2016	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	4/7/16	MB		
5/13/2016	Corporate Notification Letter sent	5/9/16	MB	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
6/10/2016	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A	—	ES-201 Att-3	
7/15/2016	Integrated exam outlines due	7/15/16	MB		
7/22/2016	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	7/22/16	MB	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
7/22/2016	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	7/22/16	MB		
8/12/2016	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	8/12/16	MB		
8/19/2016	Peer review of written exam complete	8/19/16	MB	Document review on ES-401-9	
8/27/2016	Written Exam and operating test review completed	9/2/16	MB	Document review on ES-401-10	
9/9/2016	Preliminary license applications due	9/9/16	MB	NRC Forms 398/396	
9/16/2016	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	9/16/16	MB		
9/16/2016	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	9/6/16	MB		
9/16/2016	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	9/6/16	MB		
9/19/2016	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	9/23/16	MB		
9/30/2016	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	9/30/16	MB	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
9/30/2016	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	10/3/16	MB	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
10/7/2016	Facility Licensee management queried regarding the licensee's views on the examination	10/4/16	MB		
10/7/2016	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent Final Exam due (if significant changes made from draft)	10/3/16	MB		
10/7/2016	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	10/7/16	MB		
10/7/2016	Exam material to exam team	10/7/16	MB		
10/17/2016	Administer Operating Test	10/20/16	MB		
10/28/2016	Facility post-exam documentation due	10/27/16	MB		
10/28/2016	NRC written exam grading completed	10/25/16	MB	ES-403-1 to BC	
10/28/2016	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	10/28/16	MB		
11/4/2016	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	10/26/16	MB	Signed ES 303's to BC	
11/11/2016	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	10/27/16	MB		
11/18/2016	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	10/27/16	MB		
11/18/2016	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	11/17/16 10/20/16	MB		
11/18/2016	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	10/20/16	MB	print Report-21	
12/2/2016	Examination Report Issued	12/1/16	MB	produced by CE	
12/9/2016	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	12/2/16	MB	SUNSI checklist to LA	
12/16/2016	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	12/2/16	MB		

Facility: DCPD		Date of Examination: 10/14/16		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	PF		MB
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	PF		MB
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	PF		MB
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	PF		MB
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	CSM	CSM	MB
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	CSM	CSM	MB
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	CSM	CSM	MB
3. W A L K T H R O U G H	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	CSM	CSM	MB
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	CSM	CSM	MB
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	CSM	CSM	MB
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	CSM	CSM	MB
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	CSM	CSM	MB
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	CSM	CSM	MB
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	CSM	CSM	MB
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	CSM	CSM	MB
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	CSM	CSM	MB
a. Author: <u>Printed Name/Signature</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*): <u>CARIS McMillan</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#): <u>Flaming Bloodgood</u> d. NRC Supervisor: <u>Vincent Canady</u>		Date: 9/20/16 9/20/16 10/8/16 10/17/16		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.				

Facility: Diablo Canyon		Date of Examination: 10/14/16		Operating Test Number: L161	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	OK	CSM	ND	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	OK	CSM	ND	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	OK	CSM	ND	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	OK	CSM	ND	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	OK	CSM	ND	
2. Walk-Through Criteria		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initial conditions • Initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - Identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	OK	CSM	ND	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	OK	CSM	ND	
3. Simulator Criteria		--	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		OK	CSM	ND	
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a.	Author <u>LISA TORRESIO / Lisa Torresio</u>	<u>9/30/16</u>			
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>CHRIS MCHUGHAN / Chris McHughan</u>	<u>9/30/16</u>			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>MICHAEL BLOODGOOD / Michael Bloodgood</u>	<u>10/3/16</u>			
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>VINCENT CANTY / Vincent Canty</u>	<u>10/14/16</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer Initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility:Diablo Canyon		Date of Exam: 10/14/2016		Scenario Numbers: S1 / S3 / S4		Operating Test No.: L161			
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials			
						a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.					GT	CSM	ND	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.					GT	CSM	ND	
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated • the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event • the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew • the expected operator actions (by shift position) • the event termination point (if applicable) 					GT	CSM	ND	
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.					GT	CSM	ND	
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.					GT	CSM	ND	
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.					GT	CSM	ND	
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.					GT	CSM	ND	
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.					GT	CSM	ND	
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.					GT	CSM	ND	
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).					GT	CSM	ND	
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)					GT	CSM	ND	
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).					GT	CSM	ND	
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.					GT	CSM	ND	
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)						Actual Attributes			
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)					3 / 2 / 1	GT	CSM	ND
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)					3 / 4 / 4	GT	CSM	ND
3.	Major transients (1-2)					1 / 2 / 2	GT	CSM	ND
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)					1 / 2 / 1	GT	CSM	ND
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)					1 / 0 / 2	GT	CSM	ND
6.	EOP based Critical tasks (2-3)					2 / 3 / 2	GT	CSM	ND
NOTE:	* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer Initial Items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.								

Facility:Diablo Canyon		Date of Exam: 10/14/2016		Scenario Numbers: S2 (Spare) / /		Operating Test No.: L161		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials		
						a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	kt	CSM	nb				
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	kt	CSM	nb				
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	kt	CSM	nb				
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	kt	CSM	nb				
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	kt	CSM	nb				
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	kt	CSM	nb				
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	kt	CSM	nb				
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	kt	CSM	nb				
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	kt	CSM	nb				
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	kt	CSM	nb				
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)	kt	CSM	nb				
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	kt	CSM	nb				
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	kt	CSM					
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		--	--	--		
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 1		kt	CSM	nb		
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3 / 1		kt	CSM	nb		
3.	Major transients (1-2)	2 / 1		kt	CSM	nb		
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 1		kt	CSM	nb		
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	nb 2 / 1		kt	CSM	nb		
6.	EOP based Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 1		kt	CSM	nb		
NOTE:	* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.							

Facility: Diablo Canyon		Date of Exam: 10/14/16		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c*#			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	RF	CSM	A			
2. a. NRC KIAs are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	RF	CSM	nb			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	RF	CSM	nb			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office).	RF	CSM	nb			
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate ___ The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	RF	CSM	nb			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right	Bank	Modified	New	RF	CSM	nb
	26.7 / 26.0	9.3 / 4.0	64.8 / 1.0			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected KIAs support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	CIA		RF	CSM	nb
	46.3 / 32.0	58.7 / 68.0				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	RF	CSM	nb			
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	RF	CSM	nb			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	RF	CSM	nb			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	RF	CSM	nb			
Printed Name / Signature			Date			
a. Author	<i>Roni Fortner</i>			9/30/16		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<i>CHRIS MENTIGAN</i>			9/30/16		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<i>Michael Bloodgood</i>			10/3/16		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	<i>Vincent Gandy</i>			10/4/16		
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: DCPP	Date of Exam: 10/14/16	Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	RF	CSM	MB
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	RF	CSM	MB
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	RF	CSM	MB
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	RF	CSM	MB
Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a. Grader	<u>ROAL FORTER</u>	<u>10/14/16</u>	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>CHRIS MEEGAN</u>	<u>10/17/16</u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>MICHAEL BLOODGOOD</u>	<u>10/25/16</u>	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Vincent Gaddy</u>	<u>10/28/16</u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 10/01/2016 To 12/01/2016

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
03/01/2016	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2016302 TAC #: X02600		Prep		BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R.	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. KENNARD, MICHAEL N. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.
10/17/2016	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2016302 TAC #: X02599	SROI - 1 SROU - 8	Admin	NFF	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R.	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. KENNARD, MICHAEL N. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.
10/17/2016	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2016302 TAC #: X02600		Admin	NFF	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R.	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. KENNARD, MICHAEL N. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.

Page 2 of 4
 00/00/0000 00:00:00
 Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2016 To 12/01/2016

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Date

10/2016	DIAB - Diablo Canyon	SROI - 1	SROI - 1	SROU - 8	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 9
	RO - 0					
10/2016						
	RO - 0	SROI - 1	SROI - 1	SROU - 8	LSRO - 0	Total for 10/2016: 9
03/2016	DIAB - Diablo Canyon	SROI - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 0
	RO - 0					

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 10/01/2016 To 12/01/2016

Page 3 of 4
00/00/0000 00:00:00
Report 21
Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Site

DIAB - Diablo Canyon SROI - 1 SROU - 8 LSRO - 0 Total for Diablo Canyon: 9

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 10/01/2016 To 12/01/2016

Page 4 of 4
00/00/0000 00:00:00
Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Region

Region 4	SROI - 1	SROU - 8	LSRO - 0	Total for Region 4: 9
RO - 0				

L161

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/14-10/22/16 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 10/14-10/22/16. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>Bert Tozier</u>	<u>NRC Exam Lead</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4/12/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
2. <u>LISA TORIBIO</u>	<u>NRC EXAM DEVELOPER</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4/19/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
3. <u>John Becerra</u>	<u>NRC EXAM SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/10/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
4. <u>David Richards</u>	<u>NRC Exam Developer</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/18/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
5. <u>SARAH SAUER</u>	<u>SIM SPECIALIST</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/23/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
6. <u>TOM LUMIENSKI</u>	<u>SIM SPECIALIST</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/24/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
7. <u>Dale Lessard</u>	<u>SIM SPECIALIST</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/24/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
8. <u>WES WEEMS</u>	<u>Ops INSTR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/31/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
9. <u>Inger L. Hudson</u>	<u>Admin Specialist</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-3-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
10. <u>CHRIS MEEHAN</u>	<u>Operations Rep</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/3/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
11. <u>Aaron Rawls</u>	<u>SFM Ops</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-25-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
12. <u>James Haren</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-25-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
13. <u>Aaron R. Coates</u>	<u>SRO / SFM</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-25-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
14. <u>Kevin A. Dumas</u>	<u>SRO / SFM</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>7-5-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	
15. <u>Michael R. Noy</u>	<u>SRO / SFM</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>7-5-16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/16</u>	

NOTES: 1 EMAIL CONFIRMATION 10/23/16
2 Verbor CONFIRMATION 10/20/16

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/14-10/22/16 of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 10/14-10/22/16. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. SAMUEL WILLIAMS	SRO/SFM	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/5/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/21/16	
2. KAREL STRASBAUGH	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/14/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/23/16	
3. JOSHUA MEADE	WCL	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/15/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/16	
4. HARM GOSWAMI	WCL	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/19/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/16	
5. MICHAEL COX	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/19/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/16	
6. JEFF KOEHN	SFM	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/19/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/16	
7. BRIAN SIZEMORE	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/20/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/30/16	
8. JAMES B DILLIS	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/20/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/16	
9. BRANDY	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	06 Aug 16	<i>[Signature]</i>	25 Oct 16	
10. DARREN KANEK	WCL	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/20/16	
11. MICHAEL JACKSON	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/16	
12. KEATHER MATEAN	EOP COORD.	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/17/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/20/16	
13. EUGLETON	SFM	<i>[Signature]</i>	08-18-2016	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-26-2016	
14. ERIC WERNER	ops Training Manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/26/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/16	
15. ERIC WERNER	ops Training Manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/16/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/29/16	

NOTES: EMAIL CONFIRMATION 10/23/16
EMAIL CONFIRMATION 10/24/16

1161

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/14 - 10/22/16 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Lance William	RP Supervisor		9/16/16		10/26/16	
2. Karl Anderson	B Crew R.O		9/19/16		10/24/16	
3. Alex Brown	Shift Foreman		9/20/16		10/24/16	
4. Dan Perry	E Crew R.O		9-20-16		10-20-16	
5. Mark Burton	B Crew R.O		9-21-16		10-20-16	
6. Mark Frantz	C Crew S.A.O		10/17/16		10-24-16	
7. H. Ahmad Durachick	OPS INSTRUCTOR		10/17/16		10/25/16	
8. Matt Sage	OPS INSTRUCTOR		10/17/16		10/25/16	
9. Pat Lucas	BOOTH		10/19/16		10-25-16	
10. James Diller						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: ② Verbor Confirmation 10/24/16

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/14 - 10/22/16 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 10/14 - 10/22/16. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. JOHN RODRIGUEZ	EXAM ANCHOR - NNGS	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/14/16	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/20/16	①
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: ① EARLY CANCELLATION