

Scott L. Batson Vice President Oconee Nuclear Station

Duke Energy

ON01VP | 7800 Rochester Hwy Seneca, SC 29672

o: 864.873.3274 f: 864.873.4208 Scott.Batson@duke-energy.com

ONS-2016-077

10 CFR 50.73

August 26, 2016

Attn: Document Control Desk
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2746

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy)
Oconee Nuclear Station Unit 3
Docket No. 50-287
Renewed License Number DPR-55

Subject: Licensee Event Report 287/2016-001, Revision 0 - Reactor Building Cooling Unit Inoperability Exceeds Technical Specification Completion Time

The enclosed Licensee Event Report (LER) describes the discovery of an inoperable Reactor Building Cooling Unit (RBCU) at Oconee Nuclear Station (ONS), Unit 3. It was determined that the inoperable condition had existed longer than the time allowed by the Technical Specification (TS) applicable to the RBCU. It was also determined that Unit 3 had entered the Modes of applicability while the inoperability existed which is prohibited by TS 3.0.4. These conditions constitute an "operation or condition prohibited by Technical Specifications" as described by 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), thus requiring an LER to be submitted.

There are no regulatory commitments associated with this LER.

If there are questions, or further information is needed, contact David Haile in ONS Regulatory Affairs at (864) 873-4742.

Sincerely,

Scott L. Batson Vice President

Oconee Nuclear Site

#### Enclosure

LER: Unit 3 Reactor Building Cooling Unit Inoperability Exceeds Technical Specification Completion Time

TENN

ONS-2016-077 August 26, 2016 Page 2

### cc:

Ms. Catherine. Haney Administrator Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Marquis One Tower 245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1257

Mr. James R. Hall, Project Manager (ONS) (by electronic mail only)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Mail Stop O-8B1
Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Eddy Crowe NRC Senior Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

INPO (Word File via E-mail)

ONS-2016-077 August 26, 2016 Page 3

### bxc:

### **ONS Site:**

Site PORC Members

Director, Organizational Effectiveness: D.M. Hubbard

RA MGR: C.J. Wasik

RA: Commitment Index/P Metler#

LER Book\*

ONSCommunications@Duke-Energy.com

EPIX Cord: S.F. Hatley NSRB/S. Harry/EC06L\*

ONS Document Management and Information Services (Master File) \*

Site Sections drive: \SA\ONS LERs\2016 (PDF copy)

ELL/EC27F\*

## GO and other sites:

Nuclear Reg Affairs: M.C. Nolan\*

NGO/SAA: B.C. Carroll NGO/SA: S.B. Thomas LEGAL: D.A. Cummings\*

Site Regulatory Affairs Managers:

- C. Fletcher CNS
- J. Robertson MNS
- L. Grzeck BNP
- J. Caves HNP
- A. Pilo RNP

<sup>\*</sup> Hardcopy (All others by E-Mail Distribution)

### NRC FORM 366

**U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION** 

11-2015)



# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

APPRO	VED BY	OMB:	NO. 3	150-0104	EXPIRES:	10/31/2

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not

(See Page 2	2 01 101111 300 10	n required fluiti	iber of digits/chai	acters for	each block)	required	to respond to,	are illioill	AUDIT CORECTOR					
1. FACILITY	Y NAME		•		-	2. DO	CKET NU	MBER		3. P <i>A</i>	\GE			
	Nuclear :	Station, Ur	nit 3				05000287 <b>1</b> of <b>3</b>							
4. TITLE Unit 3 Re	eactor Build	ding Cooling	g Unit Inoper	ability E	Exceeds T	echnic	al Specifi	cation	Complet	ion T	ïme			
5. EVEN	IT DATE	6. LER 1	NUMBER	7. RI	EPORT DA	TE		8. O	THER FA	CILIT	IES INVO	DLVE	D	
MONTH DA	AY YEAR	YEAR SEQ	UENTIAL REV JMBER NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY	NAME	NA		-	DOC 50		UMBER
06 2	8 2016	2016 - (	001 - 00	08	26	2016	FACILITY	NAME	NA		_	DOC 50		IUMBER
9. OPERAT	ING MODE	11. THIS RE	EPORT IS SU	BMITTE	D PURSU	ANT TO	THE REC	UIRE	MENTS O	F 10 (	CFR §: (C	heck	all tha	t apply)
		□ 20.22	01(b)		20.2203(a	)(3)(i)	□ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) □ 50.73(a)(2)						(2)(vi	ii)(A)
1		□ 20.2201(d) □ 20.2203(a)			)(3)(ii)	☐ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)				☐ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)				
	ı	□ 20.22	03(a)(1)		20.2203(a	)(4)	□ 5	0.73(	a)(2)(iii)		□ 50.	73(a)	(2)(ix	)(A)
		□ 20.22	03(a)(2)(i)		50.36(c)(1	)(i)(A)	□ 5	0.73(	a)(2)(iv)(A	۹)	□ 50.	73(a)	(2)(x)	) _
10. POWER	R LEVEL	□ 20.22	03(a)(2)(ii)		50.36(c)(1	)(ii)(A)	□ 5	0.73(	a)(2)(v)(A	()	□ 73.7	71(a)	(4)	
		□ 20.22	03(a)(2)(iii)		50.36(c)(2	)	_		a)(2)(v)(B		□ 73.7	71(a)	(5)	
		□ 20.22	03(a)(2)(iv)	□ 5	50.46(a)(3	)(ii)	□ 5	0.73(	a)(2)(v)(C	;)	□ 73.	77(a)	(1)	
10	00	□ 20.22	03(a)(2)(v)		50.73(a)(2	)(i)(A)	□ 5	0.73(	a)(2)(v)(D	))	□ 73.	77(a)	(2)(i)	
		☐ 20.2203(a)(2)(vi) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)			)(i)(B)	)(i)(B) □ 50.73(a)(2)(vii)					☐ 73.77(a)(2)(ii)			
		the Popular	□ 50.73(a)(2)			)(i)(C)	(i)(C)    OTHER Specify in Abst					tract below or in NRC Form 366A		
			12.	LICENS	EE CONT	ACT FO	R THIS L	ER						
LICENSEE C				_					TELEPHO		UMBER (			a Code)
David Haile, Regulatory Affairs Lead Engineer (864) 873-4742  13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT														
	13. C	OMPLETE				IENT F	<u> AILURE D</u>	ESCR	<u>IBED IN T</u>	HIS F				
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONE	NT MANU- FACTURE	REPOI R TO	RTABLE ICES	CAUS	E SYS	STEM	COMPON	IENT	MANU FACTUR			RTABLE ICES
Α	BK	CLR	J127	Y	′es									
14. SUPPLE														
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED  YES (If yes, complete 15. Expected Submission Date)  15. EXPECTED  SUBMISSION DATE														
ABSTRACT	(Limit to 14	00 spaces, i e	. approximately	15 single	-spaced fvn	ewritten	lines)							

On June 28, 2016, the 3C Reactor Building Cooling Unit (RBCU) was discovered to be running in reverse when operating in the low speed mode and Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.5, Condition B, was entered (only low speed is applicable to TS operability). The RBCU was restored to operable on June 29, 2016. It was determined that the inoperability was caused by a wiring error (rolled leads) that occurred during the preceding outage. This provides firm evidence that the RBCU was inoperable from the time Mode 2 was entered (May 15, 2016) until RBCU operability was restored on June 29, 2016. This duration exceeds the 7.5 day cumulative Completion Time allowed by TS 3.6.5. During start-up from the outage, the plant entered Modes 2 and 1 with the RBCU inoperable, which is prohibited by TS 3.0.4. These conditions constitute plant operation prohibited by Technical Specifications and are reportable as an LER per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

The cause was determined to be personnel error by the technicians' improper application of the configuration control process. The technicians received remedial training and a procedure change was initiated to enhance configuration control aspects in the maintenance procedure.

The safety function associated with TS 3.6.5 was available during the inoperability of the 3C RBCU.

NRC FORM 366A	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
(11-2015)								
1. FACILITY NAME		2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE			
Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 3		05000287	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	2	OF	3
Oconee Nuclear Station, Onit 3		03000207	2016	_	O.	3		

#### Narrative

#### Background

TS 3.6.5, "Reactor Building Spray and Cooling Systems" credits a combination of Reactor Building Cooling Units (RBCUs) and Reactor Building Spray (RBS) to ensure the reactor building remains below its design pressure and temperature in post-accident conditions. This event is reportable because the discovered rolled leads provides firm evidence that the 3C RBCU was inoperable for a duration that exceeded the TS allowed Completion Time. The inoperability also existed during plant start-up such that the plant entered the TS 3.6.5 modes of applicability without meeting the Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO). These conditions constitute operation prohibited by TS and are reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

The RBCU and RBS systems [BK] are Engineered Safeguards systems. They are designed to ensure that post-accident heat removal capability can be attained to limit and maintain the post-accident conditions to less than the containment design values.

The RBS system consists of two separate trains of equal capacity, each capable of meeting the design basis.

The RBCU system consists of three RBCU trains. Each cooling train is equipped with cooling coils, and a fan driven by a two-speed electric motor. During normal unit operation, typically two reactor building cooling trains operating at low or high speed cool the containment atmosphere. The third train is usually on standby. Upon receipt of an emergency signal, any operating trains will automatically trip, then all trains will start in low speed after a 3 minute delay. Low speed operation during post-accident conditions prevents motor overload from the higher density atmosphere. Analysis results of RBCU train performance for post-accident conditions supports that any combination of two trains can provide 100% of the required cooling capacity during the post-accident conditions.

## **EVENT DESCRIPTION**

On June 28, 2016, a Unit 3 Reactor Building entry was made to investigate anomalies in the RBCU inlet temperature readings. Specifically, the inlet temperature to the 3C RBCU were reading low. Maintenance and Engineering determined that the 3C RBCU fan was running in the reverse direction in low speed. Based on this discovery, the 3C RBCU was declared inoperable and Condition B of TS 3.6.5 was entered (a 7 day Completion Time).

An onsite investigation team determined that, during the preceding outage, the RBCU motor control center (MCC) contactor leads were inadvertently "rolled" during routine maintenance. The rolled leads created an incorrect order of the electrical phase connections to the motor, which changed the rotational direction of the motor. The terminations were corrected and the fan was observed and tested to verify proper operation. TS 3.6.5 was exited on June 29, 2016.

The determination that the error (rolled leads) occurred during the outage constitutes firm evidence that the RBCU was inoperable while the plant was operating in Mode 2 or higher for approximately 45 days before the condition was corrected. The maximum Completion Time allowed by TS 3.6.5 for one inoperable RBCU is 7 days, after which Condition D would be entered. Condition D allows an additional 12 hours to be in Mode 3.

Also, because TS 3.0.4 is applicable to TS 3.6.5 for Unit 3, entry into a Mode of applicability for TS 3.6.5 without meeting the LCO is prohibited.

NRC FORM 366A (11-2015)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATE LICENSEE EVENT F CONTINUATIO	REPORT	(LER)		EX	PIRES 10	/31/2018
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	(	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE			
Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 3	05000287	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	3	OF	3
Oconee Nuclear Station, Onit 5	03000207	2016	- 001 -	00	lĭ	O.	3

#### Narrative

Therefore, entering a Mode of applicability (Modes 2 and 1) with the 3C RBCU inoperable is prohibited by TS 3.0.4, and the span of a 45 day inoperability is prohibited by TS 3.6.5.

During the 45 day span, the other two RBCU trains remained operable. Also both RBS trains remained operable, except for two occasions when a RBS train was declared inoperable to facilitate pump and valve testing. The duration of each RBS inoperability was less than the 24-hr Completion Time allowed by TS 3.6.5, Condition C which applies to the inoperability of one RBS train and one RBCU train.

#### **CAUSAL FACTORS**

The cause is attributed to human error in the technician's improper application of the configuration control process.

A contributing factor was that a recent change to the maintenance procedure for this activity did not integrate the maintenance standard for configuration control.

### **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

### **Immediate**

The 3C RBCU terminations were restored and returned to service.

## Result of Cause Analysis

- 1. The technicians involved received remedial training/counseling from supervision.
- 2. A procedure change has been initiated to enhance configuration control for the lifted lead aspects in the maintenance procedure for this activity.

## SAFETY ANALYSIS

A probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) evaluation was conducted for the period of RBCU inoperability to determine the significance of this event. The Oconee PRA model credits the 3A, 3B and 3C RCBUs to operate on low speed for containment heat removal. The analysis considered internal events, high winds, flood and fire risk. Due to the multiple failures of other equipment that must occur in order for an 3C RBCU failure to be of any consequence, the PRA analysis determined that the increase in core damage and large early release frequencies due to a failure of 3C RBCU are negligible. Therefore, the risk impact associated with this event report is well below any risk significance threshold.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Similar events for the preceding three year period:

LER 270/2015-001, reported a valid Emergency Feedwater actuation, due to a personnel error with the improper positioning of an electrical sliding link. No other LERs were found to be caused by similar personnel errors.

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].