

May 11, 2016

Dr. John Foster, Interim Director  
of Reactor Operations  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Nuclear Reactor Laboratory  
Research Reactor  
138 Albany Street, MS NW12-116A  
Cambridge, MA 02139

SUBJECT: MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY – U.S. NUCLEAR  
REGULATORY COMMISSION ROUTINE INSPECTION REPORT  
NO. 50-020/2016-201

Dear Dr. Foster:

From April 4-7, 2016, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) conducted an inspection at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Research Reactor facility. The enclosed report documents the inspection results, which were discussed on April 7, 2016, with you and members of your staff.

The inspection examined activities conducted under your license as they relate to safety and compliance with NRC's rules and regulations and with the conditions of your license. The inspector reviewed selected procedures and records, observed activities, and interviewed personnel. Based on the results of this inspection, no findings of significance were identified. No response to this letter is required.

In accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* Section 2.390, "Public inspections, exemptions, requests for withholding," a copy of this letter, its enclosure, and your response (if any) will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the NRC's document system (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS)). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

J. Foster

- 2 -

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, please contact Mr. Johnny H. Eads at (301) 415-0136 or by electronic mail at [Johnny.Eads@nrc.gov](mailto:Johnny.Eads@nrc.gov).

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

Anthony J. Mendiola, Chief  
Research and Test Reactors Oversight Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-020  
License No. R-37

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc: See next page

J. Foster

- 2 -

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, please contact Mr. Johnny H. Eads at (301) 415-0136 or by electronic mail at [Johnny.Eads@nrc.gov](mailto:Johnny.Eads@nrc.gov).

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Anthony J. Mendiola, Chief  
Research and Test Reactors Oversight Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-020  
License No. R-37

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

PUBLIC	PROB r/f	RidsNrrDprPrta Resource
RidsNrrDprPrtb Resource	MNorris (MS T3B46M)	MCompton, NRR
AAdams, NRR	JEads, NRR	AMendiola, NRR
NParker, NRR		

**ADAMS Accession No.: ML16127A359; \*concurred via e-mail**

**NRC-002**

<b>OFFICE</b>	NRR/DPR/PROB*	NRR/DPR/PROB/LA*	NRR/DPR/PROB/BC
<b>NAME</b>	JEads	NParker	AMendiola
<b>DATE</b>	05/09/2016	05/09/2016	05/11/2016

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Docket No. 50-020

cc:

City Manager  
City Hall  
Cambridge, MA 02139

Department of Environmental Protection  
One Winter Street  
Boston, MA 02108

Mr. Jack Priest, Director  
Radiation Control Program  
Department of Public Health  
529 Main Street  
Schrafft Center, Suite 1M2A  
Charlestown, MA 02129

Mr. John Giarrusso, Chief  
Planning and Preparedness Division  
Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency  
400 Worcester Road  
Framingham, MA 01702

Ms. Sarah M. Don (Interim) Superintendent  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Nuclear Reactor Laboratory  
Research Reactor  
138 Albany Street, MS NW12-116A  
Cambridge, MA 02139

Test, Research, and Training  
Reactor Newsletter  
University of Florida  
202 Nuclear Sciences Center  
Gainesville, FL 32611

**U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION**

Docket No. 50-020

License No. R-37

Report No. 50-020/2016-201

Licensee: Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Facility: Nuclear Reactor Laboratory

Location: Cambridge, Massachusetts

Dates: April 4-7, 2016

Inspector: Johnny Eads

Approved by: Anthony J. Mendiola, Chief  
Research and Test Reactors Oversight Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Nuclear Reactor Laboratory  
NRC Inspection Report No. 50-020/2016-201

The primary focus of this routine, announced inspection was the onsite review of selected aspects of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (the licensee's) Class I six megawatt research reactor safety program including: (1) organization and staffing, (2) reactor operations, (3) operator requalification, (4) maintenance and surveillance, (5) fuel handling, (6) experiments, (7) procedures, and (8) emergency preparedness since the last U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection of these areas. The licensee's program was acceptably directed toward the protection of public health and safety and in compliance with NRC requirements.

### Organization and staffing

- Organizational structure and staffing were consistent with Technical Specifications (TS) requirements.

### Reactor Operations

- Reactor operations were conducted in accordance with procedure and the appropriate logs were being maintained.

### Operator Requalification

- Operator requalification was conducted as required by the Requalification Program and the program was being maintained up-to-date.
- Operators were receiving biennial medical examinations as required.

### Maintenance and Surveillance

- The system for tracking and completing maintenance items and surveillance checks and calibrations was adequate and was being maintained as required.
- Maintenance and surveillance records, performance, and reviews satisfied TS and procedure requirements.

### Fuel Handling

- Fuel was being controlled as required and fuel movements were conducted in accordance with TS and procedural requirements.

### Experiments

- The program for reviewing and conducting experiments satisfied procedural and TS requirements.

Procedures

- The procedure review, revision, control, and implementation program satisfied TS requirements.

Emergency Preparedness

- The emergency preparedness program was conducted in accordance with the Emergency Plan (E-Plan).
- Emergency response equipment was being maintained and inventoried as required.
- Emergency drills were being conducted annually as required by the E-Plan.
- Emergency preparedness training for licensed operators and personnel from various support organizations was being completed as required.

## REPORT DETAILS

### Summary of Facility Status

The Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT or the licensee) Nuclear Reactor Laboratory (NRL) six megawatt research reactor continued to be operated in support of experiments, research and service irradiations, reactor operator training, and periodic equipment maintenance and surveillance activities. The reactor has recently been operating Monday through Friday with operations running 24 hours a day. During the inspection the reactor was shutdown for maintenance.

#### 1. Organization and Staffing

##### a. Inspection Scope (Inspection Procedure (IP) 69006)

The inspector reviewed the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Reactor (designated as MITR-II) organization and staffing to ensure that the requirements of Technical Specification (TS) 7.1, "Organization," Revision 6, implemented through renewed Facility Operating License R-37, Amendment 40 issued August 13, 2015, were being met regarding the following:

- Management responsibilities
- Qualifications of facility operations personnel
- MIT NRL Organization Chart, dated April 1, 2016
- Reactor Digital Logbook covering the period from March 2015 to present
- Staffing requirements for reactor operation stated in TS 7.1.3
- "MIT Research Reactor, Nuclear Reactor Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Annual Report to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission for the Period January 1, 2015, to December 31, 2015."

##### b. Observations and Findings

The inspector noted that the Director of Reactor Operations (DRO) continued to report to the Director of the MIT NRL, who in turn reported to the President of the university through the Vice President for Research. This organization was consistent with that specified in the TS. The organizational structure and the responsibilities of the reactor staff had not changed since the last inspection.

Staffing levels remained consistent with those noted during the last inspection of the facility. The current reactor operations organization consisted of the Interim Director of Reactor Operations, the Assistant Director of Operations and Requalification Program Coordinator, the Interim Superintendent of Operations, the Training Coordinator, a Quality Assurance Supervisor, and various reactor supervisors, and reactor operators (ROs). Since the last inspection, a Director of Reactor Operations – Designate has been assigned. The DRO-Designate is currently completing necessary training per TS to assume the role of DRO. The Interim Director of Reactor Operations, the Assistant Director of Reactor Operations, the Interim Superintendent of Operations, the Quality Assurance



Supervisor, the Training Coordinator, and the majority of the reactor supervisors were licensed senior reactor operators (SROs). In addition to the operations staff, there were various support groups, including a research staff, a research development group, a reactor engineering staff, maintenance personnel, and a reactor radiation protection group.

Through a review of reactor operations logs for the period from March 2015 through the present, and through interviews with operations personnel, the inspector determined that the licensee normally operated Monday through Friday, 24 hours a day with three crews and no shift rotation. Each operating crew was staffed with various personnel (with at least two licensed operators on duty at the MITR-II per shift). Operations shifts were scheduled for a period of 8 hours. The review of the reactor (console) logbooks and associated records confirmed that shift staffing during reactor operations met the minimum requirements for duty and on-call personnel specified in TS 7.1.3.

c. Conclusion

The licensee's organization and staffing were in compliance with the requirements specified in TS 7.1.

**2. Reactor Operations**

a. Inspection Scope (IP 69006)

To verify that the licensee was conducting reactor operations in accordance with TS Sections 2 and 3 and procedural requirements, the inspector reviewed selected portions of the following:

- Reactor Digital Logbook covering the period from March 2015 to present
- "MIT Research Reactor, Nuclear Reactor Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Annual Report to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission for the Period January 1, 2015, to December 31, 2015."

b. Observations and Findings

(1) Reactor Operation

The inspector observed facility activities on various occasions during the week including routine reactor operations and updating the console logs while the reactor was shutdown for maintenance. Written procedures and checklists were used for each activity as required. It was noted that the reactor operators followed the appropriate procedures, were knowledgeable of the required actions, and professional in the conduct of their duties.

(2) Staff Communication

During the inspection, the inspector observed reactor operator turnover activities during the shift. The status of the reactor and the facility was discussed on each occasion as required. The oncoming personnel were briefed on the upcoming activities and scheduled events before assuming the operations duty. Through direct observation and records review, the inspector verified that the content of turnover briefings was appropriate and that shift activities and plant conditions were discussed in sufficient detail.

c. Conclusion

MITR-II reactor operations, as well as turnovers and operator cognizance of facility conditions during routine operations, were acceptable.

**3. Operator Requalification**

a. Inspection Scope (IP 69003)

To verify that the licensee was complying with the requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 55 and TS 7.2.3.b and conforming to Chapter 12, Sections 12.1 and 12.10 of the facility Safety Analysis Report, the inspector reviewed selected aspects of the following:

- Current status of operator licenses
- Reactor Digital Logbook covering the period from March 2015 to present
- Results of the annual written examinations completed in 2015
- Reactor operator files maintained in the operations office
- Medical examination records for selected operators for the past 3 years
- Procedure Manual (PM) 1.16, "Requalification and Qualification," latest revision dated February 20, 2013.

b. Observations and Findings

There were 22 individuals licensed to operate the reactor at MIT. Of those personnel, 15 were qualified SROs and 7 were ROs. A review of various Requalification Program records indicated that the program was maintained up-to-date and that SRO and RO licenses were current. MITR-II operator files and reactor logs also showed that all operators maintained active duty status with the exception of one SRO and one RO who were designated as inactive by the facility. A review of the MITR Safety Committee (MITRSC) meeting minutes and independent audit results indicated that the program was being audited annually as required by TS 7.2.3.b.

A review of the pertinent logs and records also showed that training was being conducted in accordance with the licensee's requalification and training program. A series of lectures were given to operators during the 2 year training and requalification cycle. Information regarding facility changes, procedure changes,

and other relevant information was routinely routed to all licensed operators for their review. The inspector verified that the required reactor operations, reactivity manipulations, other operations activities, and reactor supervisor activities were being completed and the appropriate records were being maintained. The inspector also noted that all operators were receiving biennial medical examinations within the time frame allowed as required by the program.

c. Conclusion

Operator requalification was up-to-date and being completed as required by the MITR-II Operator Requalification Program. Operators were receiving biennial medical examinations as required.

**4. Maintenance and Surveillance**

a. Inspection Scope (IPs 69006 and 69010)

To verify that the licensee was meeting the surveillance requirements specified in TS Section 4 and that maintenance was being conducted, the inspector reviewed selected aspects of the following:

- MITR-II Job Workbook
- MITR-II Daily Operations Schedule
- Reactor Digital Logbook covering the period from March 2015 to present
- "MIT Research Reactor, Nuclear Reactor Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Annual Report to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission for the Period January 1, 2015, to December 31, 2015."

b. Observations and Findings

(1) Maintenance

The inspector reviewed the system that the licensee had developed to track and complete maintenance activities. The system was designed to ensure that all maintenance activities were planned and completed as scheduled, that post maintenance testing was conducted, and that the entire process was documented appropriately. The licensee used a locally developed system called the "Test and Calibration Tracker" which listed nearly all the tests, checks, and calibrations that were due on a monthly basis, as well as MITR-II "Systems, Tests, and Calibrations" notebooks to document completion of the various periodic maintenance and surveillance activities. The inspector noted that all such tasks were tracked through this system. The program appeared to be effective.

(2) **Surveillance**

Various periodic surveillance verifications and calibration records of equipment, including the testing of various reactor systems, instrumentation, and auxiliary systems were reviewed by the inspector. TS surveillance items were completed on schedule as required by TS and in accordance with licensee procedures. The results of selected tests, checks, and calibrations reviewed by the inspector were noted to be within the TS and procedurally prescribed parameters.

c. Conclusion

The system for tracking and completing maintenance items and surveillance checks and calibrations was adequate and was being maintained as required. Maintenance and surveillance records, performance, and reviews satisfied TS and procedure requirements.

**5. Fuel Handling**

a. Inspection Scope (IP 69009)

To ensure that the licensee was following the requirements of TS 3.1.4, 3.1.6, 4.1.5, and 5.4, the inspector reviewed selected aspects of the following:

- Reactor Digital Logbook covering the period from March 2015 to present
- Approved packets for core configurations completed in 2015 and 2016, including:
  - “Fuel Loading Permission” Form (form revision dated February 20, 2013), completed for fuel element transfers in 2015 and 2016 to date

b. Observations and Findings

The inspector reviewed the fuel movement process and verified that fuel moves were conducted according to established procedure and documented on specific fuel movement sheets developed by the previous Director of Reactor Operations or the current Reactor Engineer. The inspector reviewed selected fuel movement sheets for 2015 and to date in 2016. They had been developed and used for each specific core refueling as required.

c. Conclusion

Fuel was being controlled as required and fuel movements were performed in accordance with approved procedures and TS requirements.

## 6. Experiments

### a. Inspection Scope (IP 69005)

To verify compliance with the licensee's procedures, TS Section 6 and TS 7.5, and 10 CFR 50.59, Changes, Tests and Experiments," the inspector reviewed the following:

- Reactor Digital Logbook covering the period from March 2015 to present
- Experiment Review Process documented in PM 1.10, "Experiment Review and Approval," latest revision dated February 20, 2013.

### b. Observations and Findings

The inspector reviewed the experimental review and approval process described in PM 1.10. The inspector reviewed selected safety review forms and irradiation request forms for experiments that were currently active. The experimental facilities and/or equipment had been evaluated in accordance with TS requirements and the associated data sheets indicated that the experiments would be within the specified limits. The analysis for each had been performed and the reviews and approvals completed. The appropriate reviews and approvals had also been completed for the samples and/or materials to be irradiated and the experiments were conducted under the cognizance of the reactor supervisor and in accordance with the specified requirements.

### c. Conclusion

Conduct and control of experiments met the requirements of the TS and the applicable facility procedures.

## 7. Procedures

### a. Inspection Scope (IP 69008)

To verify that the licensee was meeting the requirements of TS Section 7.4, "Procedures," the inspectors reviewed selected aspects of the following:

- PM 1.4, "Review and Approval of Plans, Procedures and Facility Equipment and Changes Thereto," which included:
  - PM 1.4.1, "Plan, Procedure, and Equipment Change Classification," latest revision dated February 20, 2013
  - PM 1.4.2, "Class C Review and Approval," latest revision dated February 20, 2013
  - PM 1.4.3, "Class B Review and Approval," latest revision dated February 20, 2013
  - PM 1.4.4, "Class A Review and Approval," latest revision dated February 20, 2013

- PM 1.4.5, "Safety Review Form," latest revision dated February 20, 2013
- PM 1.4.6, "Procedure Manuals," latest revision dated February 20, 2013
- PM 1.5, "Procedure Adherence and Temporary Change Method," latest revision dated February 20, 2013

b. Observations and Findings

The inspector noted that procedures had been developed for reactor operations and safety as required by the TS Section 7.4. The licensee's procedures were found to be acceptable for the current facility status and staffing level. The inspector noted that the administrative procedure specified the responsibilities of the various positions and for the MITRSC.

Operations procedures were typically reviewed by operators and support personnel prior to being used/implemented and were revised as needed. The inspector noted that abnormal and emergency procedures were reviewed annually by all licensed operators as required and revised when needed. Major procedure revisions were reviewed and approved by the Director of Reactor Operations and submitted to the MITRSC for review. All procedure changes were routinely routed to all operators for review as well.

It was also noted that management and supervisory oversight was focused on proper implementation and adherence to procedures. Through observation of various activities in progress during the inspection, the inspector noted that adherence to procedures was adequate.

c. Conclusion

Procedures were properly prepared and implemented in compliance with license requirements.

**8. Emergency Preparedness**

a. Inspection Scope (IP 69011)

The inspector reviewed selected aspects to verify compliance with TS 7.2.3.d and the licensee's Emergency Plan and associated procedures of the following:

- Training records for MITR Support Personnel
- Review and Critique of the 2015 Emergency Exercise conducted on December 16, 2015
- Review and Critique of the 2015 Medical Emergency Drill conducted on December 8, 2015
- PM 4.0, "MITR-II Emergency Plan and Procedures," revision dated June 20, 2013
- PM 4.4.4, "Emergency Operating Procedures"

b. Observations and Findings

The inspector reviewed the Emergency Plan (E-Plan) and implementing procedures in use at the reactor and verified that the procedures were reviewed annually by all licensed operators in accordance with the Operator Requalification Program.

Through records reviews and interviews with facility emergency personnel (i.e., licensed operators or emergency responders), the inspector determined that they were knowledgeable of the proper actions to take in case of an emergency. Training for staff members had been conducted annually as required and documented acceptably.

Emergency training for MIT Police Department personnel was required to be conducted annually by E-Plan Section 4.10.1.1. The inspector reviewed the training records and noted that the most recent training had been completed as required.

The inspector verified that letters of agreement with various emergency support organizations were on file and being maintained.

Communications capabilities with support groups were acceptable and were verified annually through a communications check with the various organizations. Emergency call lists had been revised and updated as needed and were available in various areas of the facility, including in controlled copies of the Emergency Procedures Manuals. The inspector also verified that emergency equipment was being inventoried quarterly as required.

The inspector verified compliance with the E-Plan requirement for annual emergency plan drills. The licensee met this requirement by conducting radiological emergency and medical emergency drills each year or by taking credit for an actual emergency. Following each drill, a critique was conducted to identify areas of strength and weakness. Drills and critiques were documented in writing as referenced above. The drills appeared to be challenging and provided a good indication of each organization's responsiveness and capabilities.

c. Conclusion

The licensee was maintaining acceptable emergency preparedness in accordance with TS and E-Plan requirements.

**9. Exit Interview**

The inspection scope and results were summarized on April 7, 2016 with members of licensee management. The inspector described the areas inspected and discussed the preliminary inspection findings. The licensee acknowledged the results of the inspection and did not identify as proprietary any of the material provided to or reviewed during the inspection.

## **PARTIAL LIST OF PERSONS CONTACTED**

### Licensee Personnel:

J. Bernard	Senior Advisor, Research Staff
S. Don	Interim Superintendent Operations
J. Foster	Interim Director of Reactor Operations
E. Lau	Assistant Director of Reactor Operations and Requalification Program Coordinator
W. McCarthy	Reactor Radiation Protection Officer and Deputy Director, MIT Environment, Health, and Safety Office
A. Queirolo	Director of Reactor Operations - Designate
S. Tucker	Quality Assurance Supervisor

## **INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED**

IP 69003	Class 1 Research and Test Reactor Operator Licenses, Requalification, and Medical Examinations
IP 69005	Class 1 Research and Test Reactor Experiments
IP 69006	Class 1 Research and Test Reactors Organization and Operations and Maintenance Activities
IP 69008	Class 1 Procedures
IP 69009	Class 1 Research and Test Reactor Fuel Movement
IP 69010	Class 1 Research and Test Reactor Surveillance
IP 69011	Class 1 Research and Test Reactor Emergency Preparedness

## **ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED**

### Opened:

None

### Closed:

None



## LIST OF ACRONYMS USED

10 CFR	Title 10 of the <i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
E-Plan	Emergency Plan
DRO	Director of Reactor Operations
IP	Inspection Procedure
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
MITR	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Reactor
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRL	Nuclear Reactor Laboratory
PM	Procedure Manual
RO	Reactor Operator
SRO	Senior Reactor Operator
TS	Technical Specification