PETITIONS CLOSED DURING THIS PERIOD			
	PETITIONER/EDO No.	Page	
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3	Friends of the Earth G20120891	3	
North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2	Beyond Nuclear G20110757	4	
General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Mark I and Mark II Units	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists G20110563	5	
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	Mary Lampert LTR-14-0535	6	
Columbia Generating Station	Charles Johnson OEDO-15-00369	7	
CURRENT STATUS OF OPEN PETITIONS			
St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy OEDO-15-00026	8	
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station and Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant	Michael Mulligan OEDO-15-00251	9	
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant	Beyond Nuclear et al. G20120172	10	

CURRENT STATUS OF OPEN PETITIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION			
	PETITIONER/EDO No.	Page	
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	Mary Lampert LTR-15-0319	11	
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists OEDO-15-00479	12	
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant	Friends of the Earth CLI-15-14	13	
All operating reactor licensees	Thomas Saporito, Saprodani Associates OEDO-15-00654	14	
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant	Claire Malley, for Bullard High School students LTR-15-0337-1	15	

For each petition listed above, the individual status page summarizes the issues raised by the petitioner, the current status, and the next steps. When a petition is received, it is reviewed against criteria to determine if it should be accepted for evaluation or rejected; a petition undergoing this review is referred to as a petition under consideration. Rejection of a petition is communicated in a closure letter. If a petition has been accepted for further evaluation, it is considered an open petition until the staff formally grants or denies the requested action in a Director's Decision (DD).

Timeliness goals are established for each open petition as follows:

- Issue an acknowledgment letter within 35 days of the date of the incoming petition.
- Issue a proposed DD within 120 days of the acknowledgment letter.
- Issue a final DD within 45 days of the end of the comment period.

EDO # G20120891 (Petition Age: 42 months)

Facility: San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS), Units 2

and 3

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Friends of the Earth Date of Petition: June 18, 2012

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance: February 27, 2015
Final DD Issuance: July 28, 2015
Revised Final DD Issuance October 2, 2015
Last Contact with Petitioner October 2, 2015
Petition Manager: Thomas Wengert

Case Attorney: Christopher Hair/David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) order Southern California Edison (SCE) to submit a license amendment application for the design and installation of the SONGS, Units 2 and 3, replacement steam generators. The petitioner also requested that the NRC suspend SCE's licenses until they are amended. In short, as the basis for the petition request, the petitioner stated that SCE violated Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.59 when it replaced its steam generators in 2010 and 2011 without first obtaining NRC approval of the design changes via a license amendment.

Background:

- On June 18, 2012, the petitioner submitted a request under 10 CFR 2.309, stating that the
 licensee was required to obtain a license amendment when it replaced the original steam
 generators at SONGS. In its order (CLI-12-20) signed on November 8, 2012, ruling on the
 petition, the Commission referred this claim to the staff to process.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through March 2015, see the January–March 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (Agencywide Documents Access Management System (ADAMS)) Accession No. ML15097A477).
- On April 14, 2015, the Petition Review Board (PRB) met to review the petitioner's comments on the proposed DD.
- On May 7, 2015, the Office of Executive Director for Operations (OEDO) approved an extension until July 30, 2015, to issue a final DD.
- On June 29, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the target date for a final DD is July 30, 2015.
- On July 28, 2015, the NRC issued a final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15183A164), which stated that the NRC would not be instituting the requested proceeding because the licensee had notified the NRC that it had permanently ceased power operation.

Current Status/Next Steps:

 On October 2, 2015, the NRC issued a revised final DD to the petitioner that clarified portions of the final DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML15267A158). All actions on this petition are closed.

EDO # G20110757 (Petition Age: 50 months)

Facility: North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Beyond Nuclear Date of Petition: October 20, 2011

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance: April 17, 2015
Final DD Issuance: August 21, 2015
Revised Final DD Issuance October 30, 2015
Last Contact with Petitioner: October 30, 2015
Petition Manager: Venkataiah Sreenivas
Case Attorney: David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

In the wake of the August 23, 2011, earthquake at the North Anna Power Station, which exceeded the design basis earthquake peak ground acceleration for the plant, and for reasons described in the petition, the petitioner requested suspension of the operating license and restart, contingent upon specific actions listed in the petition.

Background:

- On October 20, 2011, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2014, see the July-September 2014 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML14274A475).
- On December 29, 2014, the OEDO granted an extension until April 1, 2015, to issue a proposed DD.
- On April 2, 2015, the OEDO granted an extension until August 3, 2015, to issue a final DD.
- On April 17, 2015, the NRC issued a partial proposed DD that covered requests not addressed in an earlier partial proposed DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML14311A616).
- On August 18, 2015, the OEDO granted an extension until August 24, 2015, to issue a final DD.
- On August 21, 2015, the NRC issued a final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15175A465). The final DD determined that the petitioner's four remaining concerns had been adequately addressed based upon actions taken in response to the Fukushima event.

Current Status/Next Steps:

 On October 30, 2015, the NRC issued a revised final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15293A454) that clarified and updated certain portions of the final DD issued on August 21, 2015. All actions on this petition are closed.

EDO # G20110563 (Petition Age: 53 months)

Facility: General Electric (GE) Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) Mark I

and Mark II Units

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists

Date of Petition: July 29, 2011

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance: April 17, 2015
Final DD Issuance: November 2, 2015
Last Contact with Petitioner: November 2, 2015

Petition Manager: John Lamb
Case Attorney: Christopher Hair

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC issue a demand for information to the licensees of GE BWRs with Mark I and Mark II containment designs on how the facility complies with General Design Criterion 44, "Cooling Water," of Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to 10 CFR Part 50, and 10 CFR 50.49, "Environmental qualification of electric equipment important to safety for nuclear power plants," with respect to spent fuel pools.

Background:

- On July 29, 2011, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through December 2013, see the October–December 2013 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML14006A280).
- The NRC staff conducted an integrated review of Fukushima-related 2.206 petitions to identify opportunities for closure of identified issues, either wholly or in-part.
- On February 27, 2014, May 7, 2014, September 4, 2014, and November 18, 2014, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.
- On April 16, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until October 30, 2015, to issue a final DD.
- On April 17, 2015, a proposed DD was issued that documented the NRC's response to issues raised in the petition (ADAMS Accession No. ML12215A314).
- On September 28, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.

Current Status/Next Steps:

On November 2, 2015, the NRC issued a final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15132A633) denying the petition because the NRC staff has reasonable assurance that the design and operation of the spent fuel pool cooling systems for BWRs with Mark I and Mark II containment designs satisfy the current design and licensing basis. All actions on this petition are closed.

EDO # LTR-14-0535 (Petition Age: 15 months)

Facility: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Mary Lampert

Date of Petition: September 16, 2014

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance:
Final DD Issuance:
Last Contact with Petitioner:
Petition Manager:
Case Attorney:
Not Applicable
October 9, 2015
Richard Guzman
Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take enforcement action to ensure that Pilgrim updates its onsite land- and water-based security so that checkpoints are manned at all times, and that owner-controlled areas are under sufficient surveillance to ensure that intruders will be detected and prevented from entering.

Background:

- On September 16, 2014, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action.
- On November 12, 2014, the petition manager offered the petitioner a chance to address the PRB.
- On December 3, 2014, the petition manager discussed teleconference dates with the petitioner.
- On February 25, 2015, the PRB held a teleconference with the petitioner.
- On May 5, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial decision on the petition.
- On May 19, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the initial decision to reject the petition.
- On June 4, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until October 30, 2015, to provide sufficient time to complete process activities and issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On June 9, 2015, a second teleconference was held with the petitioner.

Current Status/Next Steps:

 On October 9, 2015, the NRC issued a closure letter to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15223A002) rejecting the petition for review. The petitioner failed to provide sufficient facts to support the idea that a safety concern existed, and the issues raised have been the subject of NRC staff review and evaluation for which resolution has been achieved. All actions on this petition are closed.

CLOSED PETITION EDO # OEDO-15-00369 (Petition Age: 8 months)

Facility: Columbia Generating Station (CGS)

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Charles Johnson Date of Petition: May 13, 2015

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance:

Final DD Issuance:

Last Contact with Petitioner:

Petition Manager:

Case Attorney:

Not Applicable

N

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC modify the CGS operating license and/or suspend the restart of the CGS until a known crack in a riser weld on the jet pumps was repaired.

Background:

- On May 13, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an immediate enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On May 22, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On May 26, 2015, the PRB met to make a decision on the request to prevent restart of the facility. The PRB decided to reject the request to immediately suspend the restart of CGS.
- On June 1, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his request for immediate action was rejected.
- On June 12, 2015, the PRB held a teleconference with the petitioner.
- On August 27, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition and decided to reject the petition.
- On September 8, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the decision to reject the petition and offered a second opportunity to address the PRB, which the petitioner refused.

Current Status/Next Steps:

On December 17, 2015, the NRC issued a closure letter to the petitioner (ADAMS
Accession No. ML15345A322) rejecting the petition for review. The petitioner failed to
provide sufficient facts to support the idea that a safety concern existed, and the issues
raised have been the subject of NRC staff review and evaluation for which resolution has
been achieved. All actions on this petition are closed.

OPEN PETITION

EDO # OEDO-15-00026 (Petition Age: 13 months)

Facility: St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

Date of Petition: December 19, 2014

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance:

Final DD Issuance:

Last Contact with Petitioner:

Petition Manager:

Case Attorney:

To Be Determined

To Be Determined

December 7, 2015

Dennis Galvin

Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) originally requested a hearing (dated March 10, 2014) regarding St. Lucie's Unit 2 steam generators. The organization did not ask for any enforcement actions against the licensee. Rather, SACE argued that the staff's inspection and oversight of the replacement steam generators constituted a de facto license amendment.

Background:

- On December 19, 2014, the Commission denied SACE's request and referred it to the OEDO for dispositioning under the 10 CFR 2.206 process (Memorandum and Order CLI-14-11).
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through March 2015, see the January-March 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15097A477).
- On April 16, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until June 19, 2015, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On April 29, 2015, the PRB met again to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On June 3, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until September 30, 2015, to provide sufficient time to complete process activities, and issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On August 4, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- On September 28, 2015, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioner that
 partially accepted the petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML15205A313).
 Specifically, the PRB determined the concern regarding the licensee's application of the
 10 CFR 50.59 process to the steam generator replacement, with respect to a change in a
 methodology as described in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report, met the criteria for
 accepting the petition.

- On December 7, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- The next step is to develop and issue a proposed DD to the petitioner.

OPEN PETITION

EDO # OEDO-15-00251 (Petition Age: 9 months)

Facility: Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Michael Mulligan
Date of Petition: March 26, 2015

DD to be Issued by: Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance:
Final DD Issuance:
Last Contact with Petitioner:
Petition Manager:
Case Attorney:

To Be Determined
To Be Determined
August 20, 2015
Stephen Koenick
Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested emergency inspections of reactor vessels of the Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant and Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station because of cracks that were found in the Belgium Doel 3 and Tihange 2 Nuclear Power Stations in Belgium. Furthermore, the petitioner requested that if serious flaws were discovered, that vessels in all U.S. plants be inspected within 6 months.

Background:

- On March 26, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On April 28, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On May 8, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until July 25, 2015, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On May 19, 2015, the PRB held a teleconference with the petitioner.
- On June 24, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was being accepted, and established that the petitioner did not wish to address the PRB a second time.
- On August 20, 2015, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioner that accepted his petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML15181A099).

Current Status/Next Steps:

The next step is to develop and issue a proposed DD to the petitioner.

OPEN PETITION

EDO # G20120172 (Petition Age: 46 months)

Facility: James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (FitzPatrick)

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Beyond Nuclear et al. (Joint Petitioners)

Date of Petition: March 9, 2012

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance: To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance: To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner: November 12, 2015
Petition Manager: Alexander Chereskin

Case Attorney: Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The joint petitioners requested that the FitzPatrick operating license be immediately suspended because they believe the operator relies on non-conservative and wrong assumptions for the analysis of the capability of FitzPatrick's pre-existing ductwork containment vent system. The petitioners requested that the suspension of the operating license be in effect pending final resolution of a public challenge to the adequacy of the pre-existing vent line in light of the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear accident. The joint petitioners did not seek or request that FitzPatrick operators install the Direct Torus Vent System since it was demonstrated to have experienced multiple failures to mitigate the severe nuclear accidents at Fukushima Dai-ichi.

Background:

- On March 9, 2012, the petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2014, see the July-September 2014 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML14274A475).
- On December 29, 2014, the petition manager informed the petitioners that the PRB was still
 waiting for FOIA 2013-0010 to be completed. The FOIA requested NRC communications
 related to FitzPatrick and Fukushima-Daichi. The petitioners did not want to address the
 PRB a second time until they obtained the FOIA results.
- On February 5, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioners that FOIA 2013-0010 was complete, and offered the petitioners a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- On June 29, 2015, a second public meeting was held with the petitioners.
- On July 15, 2015, the PRB met to make a final decision on the petition, which remained unchanged from the initial recommendation to partially accept the petition. The initial recommendation, which the petition manager conveyed to the petitioner on October 4, 2012, was to accept and hold in abeyance three issues addressing containment venting under severe accident conditions and the design of vent systems being able to accommodate hydrogen gas.

- On November 12, 2015, the petitioner manager informed the petitioners that the petition was still under review.
- The next step is to issue an acknowledgement letter to the petitioners, partially accepting the petition.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION *EDO # LTR-15-0319 (Petition Age: 7 months)*

Facility: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Mary Lampert Date of Petition: June 11, 2015

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance:
Final DD Issuance:
Last Contact with Petitioner:
Petition Manager:
Case Attorney:

To Be Determined
October 13, 2015
Richard Guzman
Emily Monteith

<u>Issues/Actions Requested:</u>

The petitioner requested that the NRC evaluate the adequacy of Pilgrim's Radiological Emergency Plan and Procedures due to alleged deficiencies in Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) reasonable assurance assessments. The petitioner is also concerned with Pilgrim switchyard vulnerability to flashovers, requesting that the NRC require Pilgrim to shut down as a precautionary measure when severe weather conditions are forecasted.

Background:

- On June 11, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On June 11, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On July 9, 2015, a teleconference was held between the petitioner and the PRB.
- On July 13, 2015, the petitioner submitted Supplement 1 to her petition.
- On September 10, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition.

- On October 13, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that her petition is still under review.
- On December 9, 2015, the PRB met to discuss an initial recommendation on the petition. The PRB is reviewing the petition to further consider its initial recommendation.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION OEDO-15-00479 (Petition Age: 6 months)

Facility: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists

Date of Petition: June 24, 2015

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance:

Final DD Issuance:

Last Contact with Petitioner:

Petition Manager:

Case Attorney:

To Be Determined

To Be Determined

December 14, 2015

Booma Venkataraman

Robert Carpenter

<u>Issues/Actions Requested:</u>

The petitioners requested that NRC take enforcement action regarding the inclusion of heavy rainfall events within the licensing basis for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station. The petitioners cite a letter dated March 12, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15075A082), from Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee), to NRC, which contained a flood re-evaluation report in response to NRC's 50.54(f) letter, dated March 12, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12073A348), to satisfy one of NRC's post-Fukushima mandates. The petitioners note that doors exist at Pilgrim to protect equipment from submergence during heavy rainfall events, but such events are not included in the current licensing basis.

Background:

- On June 24, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On July 16, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On July 23, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until January 1, 2016, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On August 5, 2015, a teleconference was held with the petitioner and the PRB.
- On August 21, 2015, the project manager informed the petitioner that his petition was under review.
- On September 8, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition.

- On October 9, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still
 under review, to which the petitioner replied on the same day that he was discussing with
 co-petitioners whether to withdraw the petition considering the licensee's recent
 announcement of plans to permanently close Pilgrim by June 2019.
- On November 16, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the NRC was accepting the petition and offered him a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- On December 14, 2015, the petitioner informed the petition manager he did not wish to address the PRB a second time.
- The next step is to prepare an acknowledgement letter accepting the petition.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION CLI-15-14 (Petition Age: 7 months)

Facility: Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Friends of the Earth Date of Petition: May 21, 2015

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance: To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance: To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner: September 30, 2015

Petition Manager: Lisa Regner Case Attorney: Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petition was referred to the 10 CFR 2.206 process by the Commission. The petitioner claimed that the NRC was allowing the licensee to operate Diablo Canyon outside of the plant's licensing basis with respect to the analysis of new seismic data following discovery of the Shoreline Fault in 2008.

Background:

- On May 21, 2015, the Commission referred by way of SECY-15-0028, "Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Units 1 and 2), Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing by Friends of the Earth," a request, in part, to the 10 CFR 2.206 process.
- On July 27, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition.
- On August 10, 2015, the petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On September 16, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged the petitioner's request to address the PRB by written communication instead of addressing the PRB in person.
- On September 30, 2015, the petitioner submitted a supplement to the petition.

- On November 3, 2015, the PRB met to make a recommendation on whether to accept the petition for review.
- The next step is for the petition manager to inform the petitioner of the initial recommendation to accept the petition and offer a second opportunity to address the PRB.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION OEDO-15-00654 (Petition Age: 5 months)

Facility: All Operating Reactor Licensees

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Thomas Saporito Date of Petition: July 30, 2015

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance: To Be Determined Final DD Issuance: To Be Determined To Be Determined October 20, 2015 Petition Manager: Merrilee Banic Robert Carpenter

<u>Issues/Actions Requested:</u>

The petitioner requested that the NRC take enforcement action to require licensees to determine how they could prevent drone attacks. The petition also applies to facilities that may not be currently operating, but continue to store nuclear fuel at the facilities.

Background:

- On July 30, 2015, during a transcribed teleconference concerning another petition, the petitioner requested enforcement action be taken regarding drones.
- On September 8, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition.
- On September 25, 2015, the petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On September 28, 2015, the petitioner accepted an opportunity to address the PRB

- On October 20, 2015, the petitioner addressed the PRB via a teleconference and supplemented his petition. He also requested that the NRC require that licensees shutdown until they perform certain actions.
- On November 3, 2015, the PRB met to make a recommendation on the request for immediate action and to make an initial recommendation on whether to accept the petition.
- The next step is to inform the petitioner of the PRB's recommendation to reject the petition, based on the Federal Aviation Administration's authority to regulate aircraft operations, including those of unmanned aircraft systems. The petition manager will also offer the petitioner a second opportunity to address the PRB.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION LTR-15-0337-1 (Petition Age: 8 months)

Facility: Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant

Licensee Type: Reactor

Petitioner(s): Claire Malley, for Bullard High School students, Fresno,

California

Date of Petition: May 19, 2015

DD to be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Proposed DD Issuance: Not Applicable Final DD Issuance: Not Applicable

Last Contact with Petitioner: November 23, 2015 (attempted contact)

Petition Manager: Siva Lingam
Case Attorney: Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested closure of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant citing seismic and health concerns.

Background:

- On May 19, 2015, the petitioner submitted a letter to the Chairman requesting closure of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant because of seismic and health (cancer) concerns.
- On June 19, 2015, the OEDO ticketed the letter for appropriate action.
- Since the petitioner is no longer a student at Bullard High School, the PRB made efforts to find contact information for the petititoner in order to ask if she wanted her request to be processed as a 2.206 petition.
- On August 10, 2015, PRB members contacted the petitioner's high school teacher, who had been listed as a point of contact. The teacher did not respond.
- The PRB members made other efforts, which turned out to be unsuccessful, to contact the
 petitioner through the high school teacher and the school principal after the school year
 started.
- On October 23, 2015, the principal provided Ms. Malley's contact information and requested that the PRB contact her directly.
- On November 23, 2015, PRB members called the petitioner's cell phone number and left a message to call the PRB chairman. The petitioner did not respond.
- The PRB decided to close the petition by an inter-office memorandum to file. The
 petitioner's concerns have been the subject of NRC review and evaluation for which
 resolution has been achieved.

Current Status/Next Steps:

The next step is to prepare the memorandum closing the petition.