OPERATING DATA REPORT

Notes

None

capacity.

 DOCKET NO.
 50-269

 DATE
 9/14/79

 COMPLETED BY
 J. A. Reavis

 TELEPHONE
 (704)
 373-8552

Year-to-date and cumulative capacity factors are calculated using a weighted average for maximum dependable

OPERATING STATUS

| 1. Unit Name:Oconee Unit | 1 | • |
|---------------------------------------|------|---|
| 2. Reporting Period: August, | 1979 | |
| 3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): | 2568 | |
| 4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): | 934 | 1 |
| 5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe) | 886 | |
| S. Pentral Planet Long (| | |

6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): _____860

7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): _____

8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): ____

10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any:

None

Cumulative This Month Yr:-to-Date 744.0 5.831.0 53,712.0 11. Hours In Reporting Period 4,779.2 39,006.9 529.5 12. Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical 13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours 522.0 4,738.6 36,463.2 14. Hours Generator On-Line 15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours 11,765,288 734,451 85. 262,713 16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) 4,081,420 29,722,600 434,070 17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) 409,654 3,879,960 28.111.282 18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) 81.3 70.2 67.9 19. Unit Service Factor 81.3 70.2 67.9 20. Unit Availability Factor 64.0 77.4 60.6 21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) 75.1 62.2 59.1 22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net) 16.1 17.8 29.8 23. Unit Forced Outage Rate 24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):

Refueling - November 10 weeks

| 25. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup: | September 21, 1 | 979 |
|--|-----------------|----------|
| 26. Units In Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation): | Forecast | Achieved |
| INITIAL CRITICALITY | | |
| INITIAL ELECTRICITY COMMERCIAL OPERATION | | |

(9/77)

79091804

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

REPORT MONTH August, 1979

DOCKET NO.50-269UNIT NAMEOconee Unit 1DATE9/14/79COMPLETED BYJ. A. ReavisTELEPHONE(704) 373-8552

| | No. | Date | Typel | Duration (Hours) | Reuson ² | Method of Shutting Down Reactor? | Licensee Event Report # | System Cude ⁴ | Component Cude ⁵ | Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence |
|---|-----------------------|----------|--|---------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | 20 | 79-08-01 | F | 119.50 | A | | | СВ | нтехсн | Tube leak in "B" steam generator. |
| | 21 | 79-08-06 | F | 4.87 | Α | . 3 | | СН | INSTRU | A pressure transmitter low pressure signal caused the trip of the feed- water pump resulting in a unit trip. |
| | 22 | 79-0826 | S | | Н | | | СН | нтехсн | Power reduced to put B-1 and B-2 feedwater heaters in service. |
| | 23 | 79-08-27 | F | 97.67 | A | 1 | | СВ | VALVEX | Excessive packing leakage on RCS instrument valves necessitated a shutdown for repair. |
| | | | · · · | | | | | | | |
| - | 1 F: For S: Sch | | B-Mai C-Ref D-Reg E-Ope F-Ada G-Ope | ipment Fail menance or | Test triction ng & Lic or (Exp | iense Exai | 3 nination | 3-Autor | | 4 Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG- 0161) 5 Exhibit J - Same Source |

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

| DOCKET NO. | 50-269 |
|--------------|----------------|
| UNIT | Oconee Unti l |
| DATE | 9/14/79 |
| COMPLETED BY | J. A. Reavis |
| TELEPHONE | (704) 373-8552 |
| | |

(9/77)

| IONT | August, 1979 | | | |
|--------|--|--|----------|--|
| ΑY | AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net) | Д | DAY | AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net) |
| 1 | · | | 17 | 829 |
| 2 | | | 18 | 830 |
| 3 | | | 19 | 826 |
| 4 | | . 1 | 20 | 829 |
| 5 | | | 21 | 830 |
| 6 | 184 | | 22, | 820 |
| - 7 | 604 | an a | .23 | 819 |
| 8 | 819 | | 24 | 819 |
| 9 | 836 | | 25 | 858 |
| 0 . | 834 | | 25 26 | 737 |
| 1 | 834 | | 27 | 717 |
| 2 | 830 | • | - 28 | |
| 3 | 835 | | | |
| 4. | 837 | | 29 | |
| 5 | 832 | • | 30 | |
| 6 | 835 | | 31 | <u></u> |

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

MONITHLY REFUELING INFORMATION REQUEST

| | Scheduled next refueling shutdown: |
|---|--|
| | Scheduled restart following refueling: |
| | Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a techn specification change or other license amendment? <u>Yes</u> . If yes, what will theme be? |
| • | Technical Specification Revision |
| • | |
| • | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | If no, has reload design and core configuration been reviewed by Saf Review Committee regarding unreviewed safety questions? <u>NA</u> . If no, when is review scheduled? <u>NA</u> |
| | Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and suppo information: |
| | |
| | |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | <pre>Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operations procedures). None None Number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core: 177</pre> |
| | <pre>Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures). None None Number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core: 177 (b) in the spent fuel pool: 545 (Station to Number of fuel assemblies (a) in the spent fuel pool: 545 (Station to Number of fuel pool: 545 (Station to Station to Sta</pre> |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or supplunreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures). None None None None (a) in the core: 177 (b) in the core: 177 (b) in the spent fuel pool: 545 (Station to Present licensed fuel pool capacity: 336 |
| | <pre>Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures). None None Number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core: 177 (b) in the spent fuel pool: 545 (Station to Number of fuel assemblies (a) in the spent fuel pool: 545 (Station to Number of fuel pool: 545 (Station to Station to Sta</pre> |
| | <pre>Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures)</pre> |
| | Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures). None None Number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core: <u>177</u> . (b) in the spent fuel pool: <u>545 (Station to</u> Present licensed fuel pool capacity: <u>336</u> Size of requested or planned increase: <u>414 (approved 6/19/79)</u> |
| | <pre>Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures)</pre> |
| | <pre>Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures). None None Number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core: 177 (b) in the spent fuel pool: 545 (Station to Present licensed fuel pool capacity: 336 Size of requested or planned increase: 414 (approved 6/19/79) Projected date of last refueling which can be accommodated by presen licensed capacity: 3/3/80 assuming no transfer to McGuire.</pre> |
| | <pre>Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures)</pre> |



DOCKET NO: 50-269 UNIT: Oconee Unit 1 DATE: 9/14/79

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

MONTH: <u>August</u>, 1979 -

The unit began August off line for tube leak repair in the "B" steam generator. At 2330 on August 4, the unit was back in service.

On August 6, the unit tripped due to a pressure transmitter problem on the feedwater pumps. It was back in service the same day.

Power was reduced on August 26 to put the B-1 and B-2 feedwater heaters in service.

The unit was shutdown on August 27 due to excessive leakage of valve packings on the RC system. It remained out through the month's end.

OPERATING DATA REPORT

 DOCKET NO.
 50-270

 DATE
 9/14/79

 COMPLETED BY
 J. A. Reavis

 TELEPHONE
 (704)
 373-8552

OPERATING STATUS

| 1. | Unit Name: Oconee Unit 2 | Notes Year-to-date and cumulative | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2. | Reporting Period: August, 1979 | capacity factors are calcu- | | | |
| 3. | Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2568 | lated using a weighted aver- | | | |
| А | Nemerlate Pating (Cross MWe): 934 | age for maximum dependable | | | |
| 5. | Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 886 | capacity. | | | |
| 6. | Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe):899 | • • | | | |
| | Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe):860 | | | | |
| 8. | If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since | ce Last Report. Give Reasons: | | | |
| | None | | | | |

None

| 9. | Power I | Level T | o Which | Restricted, 1 | lf Any | (Net MWe): | |
|----|---------|---------|---------|---------------|--------|------------|--|
|----|---------|---------|---------|---------------|--------|------------|--|

10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: .

| | | · • | . • |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| | This Month | Yrto-Date | Cumulative |
| 11. Hours In Reporting Period | 744,0 | 5,831.0 | 43,632.0 |
| 12. Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical | 744.0 | 5,246.6 | 31,245.1 |
| 13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours | | | |
| 14. Hours Generator On-Line | 744.0 | 5,202.0 | 30,443.3 |
| 15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours | | | |
| 16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) | 1,850,179 | 12,688,808 | 72,181,193 |
| 17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) | 629,920 | 4,296,190 | 24,539,736 |
| 18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) | 601,340 | 4,088,960 | 23,284,430 |
| 19. Unit Service Factor | 100.0 | 89.2 | 69.8 |
| 20. Unit Availability Factor | 100.0 | 89.2 | 69.8 |
| 21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) | 94.0 | 81.5 | 61.7 |
| 22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net) | 91.2 | 79.2 | 60.2 |
| 23. Unit Forced Outage Rate | 0.0 | 10.5 | 20.8 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |

24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):

Tie-in emergency feedwater to Hotwell - September 25 - 2 weeks.

25. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup: _____
26. Units In Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation):

INITIAL CRITICALITY INITIAL ELECTRICITY COMMERCIAL OPERATION

| Forecast | Achieved |
|--|----------|
| • | • |
| ······································ | |
| | |
| · | · |

(9/77)

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

REPORT MONTH August, 1979

| 50-270 |
|---------------------|
| Oconee Unit 2 |
| _9/14/79 |
| <u>J. A. Reavis</u> |
| (704) 373-8552 |
| |

| Nu | Date | Typel | Duration (Hours) | Reason | Method of Shutting Down Reactor? | Licensee Event Report # | System Code ⁴ | Component Cude ⁵ | Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 32 | 79-08-22 | F | | A | | | СН | РИМРХХ | 2Dl feedwater heater drain pump main- tenance. |
| 33 | 79-08-24 | F | | A | | | НН | FILTER | Polishing demineralizer cells at maximum flow without bypassing. |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | | | |
| 1 1 For S Sch (9/77) | ced eduled | B-Mai C-Ref D-Reg E-Ope F-Adi G-Ope | m: ipment Fail intenance or ucling gulatory Resi rator Trainin ninistrative crational Erro ter (Explain) | Test friction ng & Lic or (Exp | cose fixan | 3 nination | 3-Auto | | 4 Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG- 0161) 5 Exhibit I - Same Source |

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

| DOCKET NO. | 50-270 |
|------------|----------------|
| UNIT | Oconee Unit 2 |
| DATE | 9/14/79 |
| | J. A. Reavis |
| | (704) 373-8552 |

| MONTH | August, 1979 | | · | |
|------------|--|--------|-----------------|--|
| DAY | AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net) | E | AY | AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net) |
| 1 . | 831 | ÷ 1 | 17 | 833 |
| 2 | 827 | | 18 | 834 |
| 3 | 829 | | 19 | 828 |
| 4 | 828 | | 20 | 828 |
| 5 | 830 | | 21 | 827 |
| 6 | 826 | | 22 | 681 |
| 7 | 826 | | 23. | 643 |
| 8 | 828 | | 24 | 648 |
| 9 × | 832 | | 25 [:] | 702 |
| 10 | 832 | | 26. | 819 |
| 11 | 831 | | 27 | 833 |
| 12 | 823 | | 28 | 827 |
| 13 | 830 | | 29 | 830 |
| 14 | 833 | | 30 | 829 |
| 15 | 833 | | 31 | . 826 |
| 16 | 829 | | - - | |
| | • | | | • |

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

NTHLY REFUELING INFORMATION RELEST

| | Scheduled next refueling shutdown: January, 1980 |
|---|---|
| , | Scheduled restart following refueling: March, 1980 |
| | Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a techn specification change or other license amendment? Yes . If yes, what will these be? |
| • | Technical Specification Revision |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | If no, has reload design and core configuration been reviewed by Saf Review Committee regarding unreviewed safety questions? NA If no, when is review scheduled? NA |
| | Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and suppo |
| | information: September 6, 1979 Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures). None |
| | information: September 6, 1979 Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | information: September 6, 1979 Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | information: September 6, 1979 Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | information: September 6, 1979 Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | information: September 6, 1979 Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang |
| | <pre>information:</pre> |
| | <pre>information:</pre> |
| | <pre>information: September 6, 1979 Important licensing considerations (new or different design or suppl unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant chang design or new operating procedures)</pre> |
| | <pre>information:</pre> |

.

DOCKET NO: <u>50-270</u> UNIT: <u>Oconee Unit 2</u> DATE: <u>9/14/79</u>

NARRATIVE SUMMARY.

MONTH: <u>August</u>, 1979-

Oconee 2 ran the complete month of August. A reduction was made on August 22 for heater drain pump maintenance and was extended due to polishing demineralizer problems. The reduction of near 20% power lasted for approximately three days. Near rated power was achieved the remainder of the time. OPERATING DATA REPORT

| DOCKET NO. | 50-287 |
|--------------|------------------------|
| DATE | 9/14/79 |
| COMPLETED BY | J. A. Reavis |
| TELEPHONE | <u>(704) 373</u> -8552 |

OPERATING STATUS

| 1. Unit Name: Oconee Unit 3 | · ', | Notes | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2. Reporting Period: August, 1979 | Year-to-date and cumulative capacity factors are calcu- lated using a weighted aver- | | | | |
| 3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2568 | | | | | |
| 4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 934 | | | | | |
| 5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 886 | | age for maximum dependable capacity. | | | |
| Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): | 899 | | | | |
| 7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): | 860 | | | | |
| 8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Nu | mber 3 Through 7) Sir | ace Last Report Give R | 32002 | | |
| None | | te cast report, dife R | | | |
| none | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net) | (We): None | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 0. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: | - , | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | · · · · · | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | This Month | Yrto-Date | Cumulative | | |
| | | | Cumulative | | |
| 1. Hours In Reporting Period | 744.0 • | 5,831.0 | 41.279.0 | | |
| • • • | | | | | |
| Hours In Reporting Period Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours | <u>744.0</u> 0.0 | <u>5,831.0</u> 2,738.0 | 41.279.0 30,504.9 | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours | 744.0 • | 5,831.0 | 41.279.0 | | |
| 2. Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical | <u>744.0</u> 0.0 | <u>5,831.0</u> 2,738.0 | 41.279.0 30,504.9 | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours | <u>744.0</u> 0.0 | <u>5,831.0</u> 2,738.0 <u></u> 2,726.8 <u></u> 6,768,005 | $ \begin{array}{r} 41.279.0 \\ 30,504.9 \\ \\ 29.748.5 \\ \\ 71.291.043 \end{array} $ | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line | <u>744.0</u> 0.0 <u></u> 0.0 <u></u> 0 0 | <u>5,831.0</u> 2,738.0 <u></u> 2,726.8 <u></u> | <u>41.279.0</u> <u>30,504.9</u> <u></u> <u>29,748.5</u> <u></u> | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) | $ \begin{array}{r} 744.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \\ 0.0 \\ \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ (3,042) \\ \end{array} $ | <u>5,831.0</u> 2,738.0 <u></u> 2,726.8 <u></u> 6,768,005 | <u>41.279.0</u> <u>30,504.9</u> <u></u> <u>29,748.5</u> <u></u> <u>71.291.043</u> <u>24,686,674</u> <u>23,495,411</u> | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) | <u>744.0</u> 0.0 <u></u> 0.0 <u></u> 0 0 | 5,831.0 2,738.0 2,726.8 6,768,005 2,374,180 | <u>41.279.0</u> <u>30,504.9</u> <u></u> <u>29,748.5</u> <u></u> <u>71.291.043</u> 24,686,672 | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Unit Service Factor | $ \begin{array}{r} 744.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \\ 0.0 \\ \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ (3,042) \\ \end{array} $ | 5,831.0 2,738.0 2,726.8 6,768,005 2,374,180 2,258,384 | <u>41.279.0</u> <u>30,504.9</u> <u></u> <u>29,748.5</u> <u></u> <u>71.291.043</u> <u>24,686,672</u> <u>23,495,411</u> | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Unit Service Factor Unit Availability Factor | $ \begin{array}{r} 744.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \\ 0.0 \\ \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ (3,042) \\ 0.0 \\ \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 5,831.0\\2,738.0\\\hline\\2,726.8\\\hline\\6,768,005\\2,374,180\\\hline 2,258,384\\\hline 46.8\\\hline \end{array} $ | <u>41.279.0</u> <u>30,504.9</u> <u></u> <u>29,748.5</u> <u></u> <u>71.291.043</u> <u>24,686,672</u> <u>23,495,411</u> <u>72.1</u> | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Unit Service Factor Unit Availability Factor Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) | $ \begin{array}{r} 744.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ (3,042) \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 5,831.0\\2,738.0\\\hline\\2,726.8\\\hline\\\hline 6,768,005\\2,374,180\\\hline 2,258,384\\\hline 46.8\\\hline 46.8\\\hline 46.8\\\hline \end{array} $ | <u>41.279.0</u> 30,504.9 <u></u> 29,748.5 <u></u> 71.291.043 24,686,672 23,495,411 72.1 72.1 | | |
| Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) Unit Service Factor Unit Availability Factor | $ \begin{array}{r} 744.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ (3,042) \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 5,831.0\\2,738.0\\\hline 2,738.0\\\hline \\ \hline 2,726.8\\\hline \\ \hline \\ 6,768,005\\\hline 2,374,180\\\hline \\ 2,258,384\\\hline \\ 46.8\\\hline \\ 46.8\\\hline \\ 45.0\\\hline \end{array} $ | <u>41.279.0</u> <u>30,504.9</u> <u></u> <u>29,748.5</u> <u></u> <u>71.291.043</u> 24,686,674 23,495,411 72.1 <u>72.1</u> <u>72.1</u> 65.8 | | |

September 25, 1979

Forecast

Achieved

INITIAL CRITICALITY INITIAL ELECTRICITY COMMERCIAL OPERATION

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

REPORT MONTH August, 1979

DOCKET NO. <u>50-287</u> UNIT NAME DATE DATE 20 A07 UNIT NAME DATE 9/14/79 COMPLETED BY TELEPHONE (704) 373-8552

| Nu | Date | Typel | Duration (Hours) | Reason - | Method of Shutting Down Reactor? | Licensee Event Report # | System Code ⁴ | Component Civde ⁵ | Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 15 | 79-08-01 | F | 744.00 | D | | | 22 | 222222 | IE Bulletin 79-02 and 79-14 inspec- tion and modifications to pipe hangers and supports is still in progress. |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 S Sch (9/77) | ced eduled | B-Mai C-Ref D-Reg E-Opt F-Adi G-Opt | m upment Fai atenance or ueling gulatory Res rator Train ninistrative rational Era er (Explain | Test iniction ing & Li ior (Exp | cense Exai | .) nination | 3-Auto | | 4 Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG- 0161) 5 Exhibit 1 - Same Source |

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

| DOCKET NO. | 50-287 |
|--------------|----------------|
| | Oconee Unit 3 |
| DATE | 9/14/79 |
| COMPLETED BY | J. A. Reavis |
| TELEPHONE | (704) 373-8552 |

| MONTH | August, 1979 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|-------|-------------------------------------|-----|------|--|
| DAY | AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net) | | DAY | AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net) |
| 1 | | | 17 | |
| 2 | | | 18 | |
| 3 | | | 19 | |
| 4 | | | 20 | |
| 5 | | | 21 | |
| 6 | | | 22 | |
| 7 | | | 23 | |
| 8 | | • . | | |
| | | • | 24 | |
| 9 | | | 25 | |
| 10 | | | 26 | |
| 11 | | | 27 | |
| 12 | | | . 28 | |
| 13 | | • | 29 | |
| 14 | | | 30 | |
| 15 | | · | 31 | |
| 16 | | | J 1 | |

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the hearest whole megawatt.

ENTHLY REFUELING INFORMATION RELEST

| | Cohodulad move | - finaldan aburat | | Jnknown | • * | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|-----------------------|
| | Scheduled next | refueling shutd | | JIKIOWI | · | |
| | Scheduled resta | rt following re | fueling: | Unknown | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | or resumption o change or other .11 these be? | | | | a techn |
| • | Technical | Specification R | evision | | | |
| | | | | · | · · · | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | ····· | | |
| • | | | | | e | |
| | , | · | · · · · | | | |
| • | | ····· | | | | |
| | Review Committe | ad design and c e regarding unr review schedule | eviewed s | afety quest | | |
| | Scheduled date(information: | s) for submitti NA | | ed licensin | | nd suppo |
| | | NA | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Important licer | sing considerat | ions (new | or differe | nt design (| or suppl |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi | sing considerat gn or performan | ions (new ce analys | or differe is methods, | nt design (| or suppl nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi | sing considerat | ions (new ce analys | or differe | nt design (| or suppl nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi | sing considerat gn or performan | ions (new ce analys | or differe is methods, | nt design (| or suppl nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi | sing considerat gn or performan | ions (new ce analys | or differe is methods, | nt design (| or suppl nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi | sing considerat gn or performan | ions (new ce analys | or differe is methods, | nt design (| or suppl nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi | sing considerat gn or performan | ions (new ce analys | or differe is methods, | nt design (| or suppl nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi | sing considerat gn or performan | ions (new ce analys | or differe is methods, | nt design (| or suppl nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c | sing considerat gn or performan perating proced | ions (new ce analys ures). | or differe is methods, None | nt design (| or suppl nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c | sing considerat gn or performan perating proced | ions (new ce analys ures) in the co | or differe is methods, None | nt design (| nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c | sing considerat gn or performan perating proced assemblies (a) (b) | ions (new ce analys ures). | or differe is methods, None re: <u>177</u> ent fuel po | ent design of significant | nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c Number of fuel Present license | sing considerat gn or performan perating proced | ions (new ce analys ures). | or differe is methods, None re: <u>177</u> ent fuel po 336 | ent design signification | nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c Number of fuel Present license Size of request Projected date | assemblies (a) (b) d fuel pool capa | ions (new ce analys ures) in the co in the sp acity: acrease: ng which | or differe is methods, None re: <u>177</u> ent fuel po <u>336</u> No increa can be acco | ent design signification fol: <u>545 (s</u> se planned | nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c Number of fuel Present license Size of request Projected date | assemblies (a) (b) d fuel pool capa ed or planned in of last refueling | ions (new ce analys ures) in the co in the sp acity: acrease: ng which | or differe is methods, None re: <u>177</u> ent fuel po <u>336</u> No increa can be acco | ent design signification fol: <u>545 (s</u> se planned | nt chang |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c Number of fuel Present license Size of request Projected date licensed capaci | assemblies (a) (b) d fuel pool capa ed or planned is of last refuelis ty: _3/3/80 assu | ions (new ce analys ures) in the co in the sp acity: acrease: ng which ming no t | or differe is methods, None re: <u>177</u> ent fuel po <u>336</u> No increa can be acco | ent design signification fol: <u>545 (s</u> se planned modated by <u>McGuire</u> | tation to y presen |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c Number of fuel Present license Size of request Projected date | assemblies (a) (b) d fuel pool capa ed or planned is of last refuelis ty: _3/3/80 assu | ions (new ce analys ures) in the co in the sp acity: acrease: ng which ming no t | or differe is methods, None re: <u>177</u> ent fuel po <u>336</u> No increa can be acco | ent design signification fol: <u>545 (s</u> se planned | tation to y presen |
| | Important licer unreviewed desi design or new c Number of fuel Present license Size of request Projected date licensed capaci | assemblies (a) (b) d fuel pool capa ed or planned is of last refuelis ty: _3/3/80 assu | ions (new ce analys ures) in the co in the sp acity: ncrease: ng which ming no t Dat | or differe is methods, None re: <u>177</u> ent fuel po <u>336</u> No increa can be acco | ent design signification fol: <u>545 (s</u> se planned modated by <u>McGuire</u> | tation to y presen |

.

| DOCKET NO: | 50-287 |
|------------|---------------|
| UNIT: | Oconee Unit 3 |
| DATE: | 9/14/79 |

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

MONTH: <u>August</u>, 1979_

Oconee 3 began the month of August at 0% power. Refueling has been completed, but IE Bulletin 79-02 and 79-14 inspection and modification is still in progress. Unit remained at 0% power for the entire month.

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION Operating Status Report

1. Personnel Exposure

For the month of July, no individual exceeded 10 percent of their allowable annual radiation dose limit.

2. Radioactive Waste Releases

The total station liquid release for July has been compared with the Technical Specifications annual value of 15 curies; the total release for July was less than 10 percent of this limit.

The total station gaseous release for July has been compared with the derived Technical Specifications annual value of 51,000 curies; the total release for July was 4.4 percent of this limit.