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## I. Summary and Conclusions

This report on the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program conducted for the LaSalle County Station (LSCS) by Exelon covers the period 1 January 2014 through 31 December 2014. During that time period, 1,407 analyses were performed on 1,393 samples. In assessing all the data gathered for this report and comparing these results with preoperational data, it was concluded that the operation of LSCS had no adverse radiological impact on the environment.
Surface water samples were analyzed for concentrations of gross beta, tritium and gamma emitting nuclides. Ground/well water samples were analyzed for concentrations of tritium and gamma emitting nuclides. No fission or activation products were detected. Gross beta and tritium activities detected were consistent with those detected in previous years.
Fish (commercially and recreationally important species) and sediment samples were analyzed for concentrations of gamma emitting nuclides. No fission or activation products were detected in fish. Cs-137 was detected in both samples at control location L-21. Occasionally Cs-137 is detected at very low levels (just above LLD) and is not distinguishable from background levels.
Air particulate samples were analyzed for concentrations of gross beta and gamma emitting nuclides. No fission or activation products were detected.
High sensitivity I-131 analyses were performed on weekly air samples. All results were less than the minimum detectable activity for $1-131$.
Cow milk samples were not analyzed in 2014 for concentrations of I-131 and gamma emitting nuclides as this dairy herd was sold prior to the first sample in 2014.

Food product samples were analyzed for concentrations of gamma emitting nuclides. No fission or activation products were detected.
Environmental gamma radiation measurements were performed quarterly using Optically Stimulated Luminescence Dosimeters (OSLD). Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, Exelon changed the type of dosimetry used for the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP). Optically Stimulated Luminescent Dosimetry were deployed and Thermo-luminescent Dosimetry (TLD) were discontinued. OSLD technology is different than that used in a TLD but has the same purpose (to measure direct radiation).
II. Introduction

The LaSalle County Station (LSCS), consists of two boiling water reactors, each rated for 3,546 MWt. Both units are owned and operated by Exelon Corporation and are located in LaSalle County, Illinois. Unit 1 went critical on 16 March 1982. Unit 2 went critical on 02 December 1983. The site is located in northern Illinois, approximately 75 miles southwest of Chicago, Illinois.

A Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) for LSCS was initiated in 1982 (the preoperational period for most media covers the periods 1 January 1979 through 26 December 1981 and was summarized in a separate report.). This report covers those analyses performed by Teledyne Brown Engineering (TBE) and Landauer on samples collected during the period 1 January 2014 through 31 December 2014.
A. Objectives of the REMP

The objectives of the REMP are to:

1. Provide data on measurable levels of radiation and radioactive materials in the site environs.
2. Evaluate the relationship between quantities of radioactive material released from the plant and resultant radiation doses to individuals from principal pathways of exposure.
B. Implementation of the Objectives

The implementation of the objectives is accomplished by:

1. Identifying significant exposure pathways.
2. Establishing baseline radiological data of media within those pathways.
3. Continuously monitoring those media before and during Station operation to assess Station radiological effects (if any) on man and the environment.
III. Program Description
A. Sample Collection

Samples for the LSCS REMP were collected for Exelon Nuclear by Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs). This section describes the general
collection methods used by Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) to obtain environmental samples for the LSCS REMP in 2014. Sample locations and descriptions can be found in Tables B-1 and B-2, and Figures B-1 through B-4, Appendix B.

## Aquatic Environment

The aquatic environment was evaluated by performing radiological analyses on samples of surface water, ground/well water, fish, and sediment. Two gallon water samples were collected weekly from two surface water locations (L-21 and L-40) and composited for monthly and quarterly required analyses. Control location was L-21. Two ground/well water locations ( $\mathrm{L}-27$ and $\mathrm{L}-28$ ) were also grab sampled quarterly. All samples were collected via grab sample. The samples were then transferred to new unused plastic containers. Both the grab container and the sample containers were rinsed with source water prior to actual sample collection. Fish samples were collected semiannually at three locations, L-34, L-35 and L-36 (Control). Sediment samples composed of recently deposited substrate were collected at three locations semiannually, L-21 (Control), L-40 and L-41.

## Atmospheric Environment

The atmospheric environment was evaluated by performing radiological analyses on samples of airborne particulate and iodine. Airborne particulate and iodine samples were collected and analyzed weekly at nine locations (L-01, L-03, L-04, L-05, L-06, L-07, L-08, L-10 and L-11). The control location was $L-10$. Airborne particulate and iodine samples were obtained at each location, using a vacuum pump to pull air through a glass fiber particulate filter and iodine cartridge. The pumps were run continuously and sampled air at the rate of approximately one cubic foot per minute. The particulate filters and iodine cartridges were replaced weekly and sent to the laboratory for analysis.

## Terrestrial Environment

The terrestrial environment was evaluated by performing radiological analyses on samples of milk and food product. Samples are typically collected biweekly at one milk location (L-42) from May through October, and monthly from November through April. The control location was L-42. All samples, when available, were collected in new unused two gallon plastic bottles from the bulk tank at each location, preserved with sodium bisulfite, and shipped promptly to the laboratory.

Food products were collected annually in September at five locations (L-Quad C, L-Quad 1, L-Quad 2, L-Quad 3 and L-Quad 4). The control
location was L-Quad C. Various types of samples were collected and placed in new unused plastic bags, and sent to the laboratory for analysis.

## Ambient Gamma Radiation

Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, Exelon changed the type of dosimetry used for the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP). Optically Stimulated Luminescent Dosimetry (OSLD) were deployed and Thermo-luminescent Dosimetry (TLD) were discontinued. This change may cause step changes in readings, up or down, depending on site characteristics. However, the relative comparison to control locations remains valid. OSLD technology is different than that used in a TLD but has the same purpose (to measure direct radiation).

Each location consisted of 2 OSLD sets. The OSLDs were exchanged quarterly and sent to Landauer for analysis. The OSLD locations were placed on and around the LSCS site as follows:

An inner ring consisting of 16 locations (L-101, L-102, L-103, L-104, L-105, L-106, L-107, L-108, L-109, L-110, L-111B, L-112, L-113A, L-114, $L-115$ and $L-116$ ) near and within the site perimeter representing fence post doses (i.e., at locations where the doses will be potentially greater than maximum annual off-site doses) from LSCS release.

An outer ring consisting of 16 locations (L-201, L-202, L-203, L-204, L-205, L-206, L-207, L-208, L-209, L-210, L-211, L-212, L-213, L-214, $\mathrm{L}-215$ and $\mathrm{L}-216$ ) extending to approximately 5 miles from the site designed to measure possible exposures to nearby population.

An other set consisting of eight locations (L-01, L-03, L-04, L-05, L-06, L-07, L-08 and L-11).

The balance of one location (L-10) representing the control area.
The specific OSLD locations were determined by the following criteria:

1. The presence of relatively dense population;
2. Site meteorological data taking into account distance and elevation for each of the sixteen $221 / 2$ degree sectors around the site, where estimated annual dose from LSCS, if any, would be most significant;
3. On hills free from local obstructions and within sight of the vents (where practical);
4. And near the closest dwelling to the vents in the prevailing downwind direction.
(Two OSLDs were placed at each location approximately six feet above ground level.)

## B. Sample Analysis

This section describes the general analytical methodologies used by TBE and Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) to analyze the environmental samples for radioactivity for the LSCS REMP in 2014. The analytical procedures used by the laboratories are listed in Table B-2.

In order to achieve the stated objectives, the current program includes the following analyses:

1. Concentrations of beta emitters in surface water and air particulates.
2. Concentrations of gamma emitters in ground/well and surface water, air particulates, milk, fish, sediment and vegetation.
3. Concentrations of tritium in ground/well and surface water.
4. Concentrations of l-131 in air and milk.
5. Ambient gamma radiation levels at various site environs.
C. Data Interpretation

The radiological and direct radiation data collected prior to LaSalle County Station becoming operational were used as a baseline with which these operational data were compared. For the purpose of this report, LaSalle County Station was considered operational at initial criticality. In addition, data were compared to previous years' operational data for consistency and trending. Several factors were important in the interpretation of the data:

## 1. Lower Limit of Detection and Minimum Detectable Concentration

The lower limit of detection (LLD) is defined as the smallest concentration of radioactive material in a sample that would yield a net count (above background) that would be detected with only a $5 \%$ probability of falsely concluding that a blank observation represents a "real" signal. The LLD is intended as a before the fact (a priori) estimate of a system (including instrumentation,
procedure and sample type) and not as an after the fact (a posteriori) criteria for the presence of activity. All analyses were designed to achieve the required LSCS detection capabilities for environmental sample analysis.

The minimum detectable concentration (MDC) is defined above with the exception that the measurement is an after the fact estimate of the presence of activity.

## 2. Net Activity Calculation and Reporting of Results

Net activity for a sample was calculated by subtracting background activity from the sample activity. Since the REMP measures extremely small changes in radioactivity in the environment, background variations may result in sample activity being lower than the background activity effecting a negative number. An MDC was reported in all cases where positive activity was not detected.

Gamma spectroscopy results for each type of sample were grouped as follows:

For surface water and food product 12 nuclides, $\mathrm{Mn}-54$, Co-58, Fe-59, Co-60, Zn-65, Zr-95, Nb-95, l-131, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ba-140 and La-140 were reported.

For ground/well water, fish, sediment, air particulate and milk 11 nuclides, Mn-54, Co-58, Fe-59, Co-60, Zn-65, $\mathrm{Zr}-95$, Nb-95, $\mathrm{Cs}-134, \mathrm{Cs}-137, \mathrm{Ba}-140$ and La-140 were reported.

Means and standard deviations of the results were calculated. The standard deviations represent the variability of measured results for different samples rather than single analysis uncertainty.

## D. Program Exceptions

For 2014, the LSCS REMP had a sample recovery rate of $97.8 \%$. Sample anomalies and missed samples are listed in the tables below:

## Table D-1 LISTING OF SAMPLE ANOMALIES

| Sample | Location | Collection | Reason |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Code | Date |  |

All L-03 01/30/14 No apparent reason for low reading of 161.0 hours. Low timer readings of this nature are consistent with weather related power interruptions.

All L-03 02/19/14 No apparent reason for low reading of 142.1 hours. Low timer readings of this nature are consistent with weather related power interruptions.

All L-03 02/27/14 No apparent reason for low reading of 176.0 hours (eight-day run time). Low timer readings of this nature are consistent with weather related power interruptions.

All L-08 02/27/14 No apparent reason for low reading of 188.4 hours (eight-day run time). Low timer readings of this nature are consistent with weather related power interruptions.

A/l L-05
06/05/14 No apparent reason for low reading of 90.1 hours. Low timer readings of this nature are consistent with weather related power interruptions.

| All L-03 | Low reading of 70.2 hours due to power <br> outage at sampler; Station notified. <br> Flowrate estimated at 60CFH for the <br> particulate sample only. The iodine sample <br> did not meet the required lower limit of <br> detection due to low run time from the <br> power outage. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All | L-05 | No apparent reason for low reading of <br> 139.0 hours. Low timer readings of this <br> nature are consistent with weather related <br> power interruptions. |


| All L-10 07/03/14 | No apparent reason for low reading of <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> nature are consistent with weather related <br> power interruptions. |
| :--- | :--- |

## Table D-1 LISTING OF SAMPLE ANOMALIES

| Sample <br> Type | Location <br> Code | Collection <br> Date | Reason |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A/I | L-11 | $07 / 03 / 14$ | No apparent reason for low reading of <br> 178.5 hours (eight-day run time). Low timer <br> readings of this nature are consistent with <br> weather related power interruptions. |
| A/I | L-04 | 08/07/14 | No apparent reason for low reading of <br> 155.5 hours. Low timer readings of this <br> nature are consistent with weather related <br> power interruptions. |
| A/I | L-04 | 10/16/14 | No apparent reason for low reading of <br> 165.2 hours. Low timer readings of this <br> nature are consistent with weather related <br> power interruptions. |
| A/I |  |  | No apparent reason for low reading of <br> 159.2 hours. Low timer readings of this <br> nature are consistent with weather related <br> power interruptions. |

Table D-2 LISTING OF MISSED SAMPLES

| Sample <br> Type | Location <br> Code | Collection <br> Date | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M | L-42 | $01 / 01 / 14-$ <br> $12 / 31 / 14$ | No samples; farmer sold dairy herd. |
| SW | L-21 | $02 / 06 / 14$ | No sample; water frozen |
| SW | L-40 | $02 / 06 / 14$ | No sample; water frozen |
| A/I | L-03 | $06 / 19 / 14$ | No iodine sample due to low run time from <br> power outage. lodine sample did not meet <br> the required lower limit of detection. |
| All | L-03 | $06 / 25 / 14$ | No power to sampler. |
| All | L-03 | $07 / 03 / 14$ | Power restored to sampler. No sample due <br> to recent power restoration. |

Table D-2 LISTING OF MISSED SAMPLES

| Sample <br> Type | Location <br> Code | Collection <br> Date | Reason |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| OSLD | L-208-1, <br> L-208-2, <br> L-209-2, <br> L-210-1 | 10/01/14 | OSLDs found missing during quarterly <br> exchange; collector placed new 4 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ quarter |
| OSLD | L-216-4 | $01 / 07 / 15$ | OSLDs. |
|  |  |  | OSLD found missing during quarterly <br> exchange due to utility pole replacement; <br> collector placed new 1s |
|  |  |  | quarter OSLD. |

Each program exception was reviewed to understand the causes of the program exception. Occasional equipment breakdowns and power outages were unavoidable.

The overall sample recovery rate indicates that the appropriate procedures and equipment are in place to assure reliable program implementation.

## E. Program Changes

A new air monitoring location, L-11A, was installed in mid-December of 2014 and is currently collecting preliminary data, but is not operational at this time.

## IV. Results and Discussion

## A. Aquatic Environment

## 1. Surface Water

Samples were taken weekly and composited monthly at two locations (L-21 and L-40). Of these locations only L-40 located downstream, could be affected by LaSalle's effluent releases. The following analyses were performed:

## Gross Beta

Samples from all locations were analyzed for concentrations of gross beta (Table C-I.1, Appendix C). Gross beta was detected in all 24 samples with a range of 4.2 to $11.1 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{l}$. Concentrations detected were consistent with those detected in previous years
(Figure C-1, Appendix C). The required LLD was met. Tritium

Quarterly composites of weekly collections were analyzed for tritium activity (Table C-I.2, Appendix C). Tritium was detected in four of eight samples. The concentrations ranged from 327 to 470 $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{l}$. Concentrations detected were consistent with those detected in previous years (Figure C-2, Appendix C). The 2000 pCi/L OCDM and contractually required $200 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ LLDs were met.

## Gamma Spectrometry

Samples from both locations were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-1.3, Appendix C). No nuclides were detected, and all required LLDs were met.
2. Ground/Well Water

Quarterly grab samples were collected at two locations (L-27 and L-28). Wells 4, 5 and 6 are associated with L-28. L-27 and L-28 well 6 could be affected by LaSalle's effluent releases. The following analyses were performed:

## Tritium

Quarterly grab samples from the locations were analyzed for tritium activity (Table C-II.1, Appendix C). No tritium was detected and the $2000 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ OCDM and contractually required $200 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ LLDs were met.

## Gamma Spectrometry

Samples from all locations were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-II.2, Appendix C). No nuclides were detected, and all required LLDs were met.

## 3. Fish

Fish samples were collected at three locations (L-34, L-35 and L-36) semiannually. Locations L-34 and L-35 could be affected by LaSalle's effluent releases. The following analysis was performed:

## Gamma Spectrometry

The edible portion of fish samples from both locations was analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-III.1, Appendix C).

Naturally occurring K-40 was found at all stations and ranged from 2,096 to $4,664 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ wet. No fission or activation products were found.
4. Sediment

Aquatic sediment samples were collected at three locations (L-21, L-40 and L-41) semiannually. Locations L-40 and L-41, located downstream, could be affected by LaSalle's effluent releases. The following analysis was performed:

## Gamma Spectrometry

Sediment samples from both locations were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-IV.1, Appendix C). Nuclides detected were naturally occurring K-40. Potassium-40 was found at all stations and ranged from 13,040 to $19,110 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ dry. Cesium-137 was detected in two samples. The concentration ranged from 146 to $166 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$. No LaSalle fission or activation products were found.
B. Atmospheric Environment

1. Airborne
a. Air Particulates

Continuous air particulate samples were collected from nine locations on a weekly basis. The nine locations were separated into four groups: Group I (onsite) represents locations within the LSCS site boundary (L-03 and L-05), Group II (near site) represents the locations near the LSCS site (L-01 and L-06), Group III (far field) represents the control location at an intermediate distance from LSCS (L-04, L-07, L-08 and L-11) and Group IV (Control) represents the control location at a remote distance (L-10). The following analyses were performed:

## Gross Beta

Weekly samples were analyzed for concentrations of beta emitters (Table C-V. 1 and C-V.2, Appendix C).
Detectable gross beta activity was observed at all locations. Comparison of results among the four groups aid in determining the effects, if any, resulting from the operation of LSCS. The results from the OnSite locations (Group I) ranged from 3 to $33 \mathrm{E}-3 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ with a mean of $18 \mathrm{E}-3$ $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The results from the near site location (Group II)
ranged from 5 to $32 \mathrm{E}-3 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ with a mean of $19 \mathrm{E}-3$ $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The results from the far field locations (Group III) ranged from 6 to $31 \mathrm{E}-3 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ with a mean of $18 \mathrm{E}-3$ $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The results from the Control location (Group IV) ranged from 6 to $29 \mathrm{E}-3 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ with a mean of $18 \mathrm{E}-3$ $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Comparison of the 2014 air particulate data with previous years data indicate no effects from the operation of LSCS (Figures C-3 through C-7, Appendix C). In addition, comparisons of the weekly mean values for 2014 indicate no notable differences among the four groups.

## Gamma Spectrometry

Weekly samples were composited quarterly and analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-V.3, Appendix C). Naturally occurring $\mathrm{Be}-7$ due to cosmic ray activity was detected in 34 of 36 samples. These values ranged from 66 to $155 \mathrm{E}-3 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Naturally occurring K-40 was detected in two samples. The concentration ranged from 28 to $31 \mathrm{E}-3$ $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. All other nuclides were less than the MDC.
b. Airborne lodine

Continuous air samples were collected from nine locations (L-01, L-03, L-04, L-05, L-06, L-07, L-08, L-10 and L-11) and analyzed weekly for I-131 (Table C-VI.1, Appendix C). No $\mathrm{I}-131$ was detected. All required LLDs were met.

## 2. Terrestrial

a. Milk

Samples are typically collected from one location (L-42) biweekly May through October and monthly November through April. The following analyses are typically performed:

## lodine-131

Milk samples from the location are typically analyzed for concentrations of I-131 (Table C-VII.1, Appendix C). I-131 was not analyzed in 2014.

## Gamma Spectrometry

Milk samples are typically analyzed for concentrations of
gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-VII.2, Appendix C).
Naturally occurring K-40 activity is typically found in all samples. Gamma emitting nuclides were not analyzed in 2014.
b. Food Products

Food product samples were collected at five locations (L-Quad C, L-Quad 1, L-Quad 2, L-Quad 3 and L-Quad 4) when available. Four locations, (L-Quad 1, L-Quad 2, L-Quad 3 and L-Quad 4) could be affected by LaSalle's effluent releases. The following analysis was performed:

## Gamma Spectrometry

Samples from all locations were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-VIII.1, Appendix C). No nuclides were detected, and all required LLDs were met.
C. Ambient Gamma Radiation

Ambient gamma radiation levels were measured utilizing Optically Stimulated Luminescence Dosimeters (OSLD). Forty-one OSLD locations were established around the site. Results of OSLD measurements are listed in Tables C-IX. 1 to C-IX.3, Appendix C.

All OSLD measurements were at or below 30 mrem/quarter, with a range of 16.2 to 30.0 mrem/quarter. A comparison of the Inner Ring, Outer Ring, and Other data to the Control Location data, indicate that the ambient gamma radiation levels from the Control Location L-10 were comparable.

## D. Land Use Survey

A Land Use Survey conducted during the August 2014 growing season around the LaSalle County Station (LSCS) was performed by Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) for Exelon Nuclear to comply with Radiological Effluent Control 12.5.2 of the LaSalle's Offsite Dose Calculation Manual. The purpose of the survey was to document the nearest resident, milk producing animal and garden of greater than $500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ in each of the sixteen $221 / 2$ degree sectors around the site. The distance and direction of all locations from the LSCS reactor buildings were positioned using Global Positioning System (GPS) technology. There were no changes required to the LSCS REMP as a result of this survey. The results of this survey are summarized below:

| Distance in Miles from the LSCS Reactor Buildings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector | Residence <br> Miles | Livestock <br> Miles | Milk Farm <br> Miles |
| A N | 3.9 | 4.0 | - |
| B NNE | 1.6 | 1.7 | - |
| C NE | 2.1 | 3.5 | - |
| D ENE | 3.3 | 3.8 | - |
| E E | 3.2 | - | - |
| F ESE | 1.4 | - | - |
| G SE | 1.7 | 4.7 | - |
| H SSE | 1.8 | 4.7 | - |
| J S | 1.5 | 4.7 | - |
| K SSW | 0.7 | - | - |
| L SW | 1.0 | 5.8 | - |
| M WSW | 1.5 | - | - |
| N W | 1.5 | 3.0 | - |
| P WNW | 0.9 | 4.0 | - |
| Q NW | 1.8 | 4.0 | - |
| R NNW | 1.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

There is no errata data for 2014.
F. Summary of Results - Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program

The primary and secondary laboratories analyzed Performance Evaluation (PE) samples of air particulate, air iodine, milk, soil, vegetation and water matrices (Appendix D). The PE samples, supplied by Analytics Inc., Environmental Resource Associates (ERA) and DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP), were evaluated against the following pre-set acceptance criteria:

1. Analytics Evaluation Criteria

Analytics' evaluation report provides a ratio of laboratory results and Analytics' known value. Since flag values are not assigned by Analytics, TBE-ES evaluates the reported ratios based on internal QC requirements, which are based on the DOE MAPEP criteria.
2. ERA Evaluation Criteria

ERA's evaluation report provides an acceptance range for control and warning limits with associated flag values. ERA's acceptance limits are established per the USEPA, NELAC, state specific PT
program requirements or ERA's SOP for the Generation of Performance Acceptance Limits, as applicable. The acceptance limits are either determined by a regression equation specific to each analyte or a fixed percentage limit promulgated under the appropriate regulatory document.
3. DOE Evaluation Criteria

MAPEP's evaluation report provides an acceptance range with associated flag values.

The MAPEP defines three levels of performance: Acceptable (flag = "A"), Acceptable with Warning (flag = "W"), and Not Acceptable (flag = "N"). Performance is considered acceptable when a mean result for the specified analyte is $\pm 20 \%$ of the reference value. Performance is acceptable with warning when a mean result falls in the range from $\pm 20 \%$ to $\pm 30 \%$ of the reference value (i.e., $20 \%$ < bias < $30 \%$ ). If the bias is greater than $30 \%$, the results are deemed not acceptable.

In reviewing our environmental inter-laboratory crosscheck programs, we identified 1) duplication of efforts on some matrices and isotopes and 2) that we are performing crosscheck samples on some matrices and isotopes that we do not perform for clients. Since the DOE MAPEP is designed to evaluate the ability of analytical facilities to correctly analyze for radiological constituents representative of those at DOE sites, the needed changes were made to the MAPEP program. Therefore, the following isotopes were removed from the MAPEP program:
Soil - gamma - will be provided by Analytics twice per year, starting in 2015. For 2014, one soil gamma is provided by MAPEP, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ soil gamma is provided by Analytics.
AP - gamma - is currently provided by Analytics.
Water - gamma, H-3, Sr-90, uranium, gross alpha and gross beta currently provided by ERA.
MAPEP evaluates non-reported (NR) analyses as failed if they were reported in the previous series.
For the TBE laboratory, 163 out of 169 analyses performed met the specified acceptance criteria. Six analyses (Ni-63, K-40 and I-131 in water, and two $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ s and one Gross Alpha in AP samples) did not meet the specified acceptance criteria for the following reasons:

1. Teledyne Brown Engineering's MAPEP March $2014 \mathrm{Ni}-63$ in water result of $32.7 \pm 1.69 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ was overlooked when reporting the data
but would have passed the acceptance range of $23.9-44.2 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$. No client samples were affected by this failure. NCR 14-04
2. Teledyne Brown Engineering's MAPEP March 2014 K-40 in water result of $1.63 \pm 2.49 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ was overlooked when reporting the data but would have passed the false positive test. No client samples were affected by this failure. NCR 14-04
3. Teledyne Brown Engineering's ERA November 2014 I-131 in water result of $15.8 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ was lower than the known value of $20.3 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$, failing below the lower acceptance limit of 16.8. The result was evaluated as failed with a found to known ratio of 0.778 . No cause could be found for the slightly low result. All ERA I-131 evaluations since 2004 have been acceptable. No client samples were affected by this failure. NCR 14-08
4. Teledyne Brown Engineering's MAPEP March $2014 \mathrm{Sr}-90$ in AP result of $0.822 \mathrm{~Bq} /$ sample was lower than the known value of 1.18 $\mathrm{Bq} /$ sample, falling below the lower acceptance limit of 0.83 $\mathrm{Bq} /$ sample. The rerun result was still low, but fell within the lower acceptance range of 0.836 . The rerun result was statistically the same number as the original result. No cause could be found for the slightly low results. No client samples were affected by this failure. NCR 14-04
5. Teledyne Brown Engineering's MAPEP September 2014 Sr-90 in AP result of $0.310 \mathrm{~Bq} /$ sample was lower than the known value of $0.703 \mathrm{~Bq} /$ sample. The gravimetric yield of $117 \%$ was very high (we normally see yields of $60 \%$ to $70 \%$ ) and could account for the low activity. No client samples were affected by this failure. NCR 1409
6. Teledyne Brown Engineering's MAPEP September 2014 Gr-Alpha in AP result of $0.153 \mathrm{~Bq} /$ sample was lower than the known value of $0.53 \mathrm{~Bq} /$ sample. The AP sample was counted on the wrong side. The AP was flipped over and recounted with acceptable results. No client samples were affected by this failure. NCR 14-09

For the EIML laboratory, 85 of 90 analyses met the specified acceptance criteria. Five analyses (Water - Pu-238, Pu-239, Fe-55; AP - Co-57; Soil - Cs134) did not meet the specified acceptance criteria for the following reasons:

1. Environmental Inc., Midwest Laboratory's MAPEP February 2014 water Pu-238 result of $1.28 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ was higher than the known value of $0.83 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$, exceeding the upper control limit of $1.08 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$. The high bias on the plutonium was traced to contamination from a newly purchased standard. The result of the reanalysis with the
new tracer was $0.68 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$, which fell within the acceptance criteria. Client samples for the associated time period were evaluated, and no client samples were affected by the issue.
2. Environmental Inc., Midwest Laboratory's MAPEP February 2014 water Pu-239/240 result of $0.91 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ was higher than the known value of $0.68 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$, exceeding the upper control limit of $0.88 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$. The high bias on the plutonium was traced to contamination from a newly purchased standard. The result of reanalysis with the new tracer was $0.66 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$, which fell within the acceptance criteria. Client samples for the associated time period were evaluated, and no client samples were affected by the issue.
3. Environmental Inc., Midwest Laboratory's MAPEP February 2014 AP Co-57 result of $1.60 \pm 0.05$ Bq/total sample failed the false positive test. Interference from the Eu-152 resulted in the misidentification of Co-57. The failure was specific to the MAPEP sample. Therefore, there was no impact to client samples as a result of this issue.
4. Environmental Inc., Midwest Laboratory's MAPEP February 2014 soil Cs-134 result of $6.10 \pm 1.80 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{kg}$ failed the false positive test. Long sample counting time lead to interference from naturally occurring $\mathrm{Bi}-214$ in the sample matrix with a close spectral energy. The failure was specific to the MAPEP sample. Therefore, there was no impact to client samples as a result of this issue.
5. Environmental Inc., Midwest Laboratory's MAPEP August 2014 water $\mathrm{Fe}-55$ result of $55.10 \pm 14.80 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ was higher than the known value of $31.50 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$, exceeding the upper control limit of $41.00 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$. The result of the reanalysis of $\mathrm{Fe}-55$ was $32.63 \pm$ 16.30 Bq/L, which fell within the acceptance criteria. Client samples for the associated time period were evaluated, and no client samples were affected by the issue.

The Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program provides evidence of "in control" counting systems and methods, and that the laboratories are producing accurate and reliable data.

## APPENDIX A

## RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT ANNUAL SUMMARY

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONTTORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

| NAME OF FACLITY: LOCATION OF FACILITY: | LASALLE MARSEILLES II |  |  | DOCKET NU | MBER: PERIOD: | 50-373 \& 5 ANNUAL | -374 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | IndICATOR | CONTROL | locatio | WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL ME | N(M) |
| MEDIUM OR | TYPES OF | NUMBER OF | REQUIRED | LOCATIONS <br> MEAN (M) | LOCATION MEAN (M) | MEAN (M) | STATION \# | NUMBER OF |
| PATHWAY SAMPLED | ANALYSIS | ANALYSIS | LOWER LIMIT | (F) | (F) | (F) | NAME | nonroutine |
| (UNIT OF | PERFORMED | PERFORMED | OF DETECTION | RANGE | RANGE | RANGE | DISTANCE AND DIRECTION | REPORTED |
| MEASUREMENT) |  |  | (LLD) |  |  |  |  | MEASUREMENTS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SURFACE WATER | GR-B | 24 | 4 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 8.1 | L-40 NDICATOR | 0 |
| (PCILITER) |  |  |  | (12/12) | (12/12) | (12/12) | MLINOIS RIVER - DOWNSTREAM |  |
|  |  |  |  | (5.2/11.1) | (4.2/10.8) | (5.2/11.1) | 5.2 MLIES NNW OF STTE |  |
|  | H-3 | 8 | 200 | 430 | 360 | 430 | L-40 ${ }^{\text {NDICATATOR }}$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | (2/4) | (2/4) | (2/4) | LLLINOIS RIVER - DOWNSTREAM |  |
|  |  |  |  | (389/470) | (327/393) | (389/470) | 5.2 MLLES NNW OF STTE |  |
|  | GAMMA | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MN-54 |  | 15 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | Co-58 |  | 15 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | FE-59 |  | 30 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | C0-60 |  | 15 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | 2N-65 |  | 30 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | NB-95 |  | 15 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |

* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES
FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)
TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONTORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR
THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES
FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)
TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONTTORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

| NAME OF FACILITY: LOCATION OF FACILITY: | LASALLE MARSEILLES IL |  |  | DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD: |  | 50-373 \& 50-374 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | indicator | CONTROL | Location with highest annual mean (m) |  |  |
| medrum or | ${ }_{\text {AYPES YSIS }}^{\text {TY }}$ | NUMBER OF ANALYSIS | required | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATIONS } \\ & \text { MEAN (M) } \end{aligned}$ | location | MEAN (M) |  |  |
| pathway Sampled |  |  | LOWER LMIT |  |  |  | NAME ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N NOMBROUTINE |
| (UNIT OF | PERFORMED | PERFORMED | of detection | range | range | range |  | NonRoutine |
| measurement) |  |  | (LLD) |  |  |  | distance and direction | REPORTED MEASUREMENT: |
| GROUND WATER (PCILITER) | co.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 | <LID | [LD | - |  | 0 |
|  | Fe-59 |  | 30 | <LD | <LD | - |  | 0 |
|  | co-60 |  | 15 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | 2N-65 |  | 30 | <LL | <LD | - |  | 0 |
|  | NB-95 |  | 15 | <LD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | 2R-95 |  | 30 | <LD | <LD | - |  | 0 |
|  | CS-134 |  | 15 | <LID | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | Cs-137 |  | 18 | <LD | <LD | - |  | 0 |
|  | BA-140 |  | 60 | <LD | <LD | - |  | 0 |
|  | * THE MEAN AND 2 Standard deviation values are calculated using the positive values FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014


* THE MEAN AND 2 Standard deviation values are calculated using the positive values FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)
TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONTORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

| NAME OF FACILITY: LOCATION OF FACLLITY: | LASALLEMARSEILLES IL |  |  | DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD: |  | 50-373 \& 50-374 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Indicator | CONTROL | Locatio | WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL | N(M) |
|  | TYPES OF | NUMBER OF | REQUIRED | Locations MEAN (M) | LOCATION <br> MEAN (M) | MEAN (M) | STATION \# | NUMBER OF |
| PATHWAY SAMPLED | analysis | ANALYSIS | LOWER LIMIT | (F) | (F) | (F) | NAME | nonroutine |
| (UNIT OF | PERFORMED | PERFORMED | of detection | range | RANGE | RANGE | DISTANCE AND DIRECTION | REPORTED |
| MEASUREMENT) (LLD) MEASUREMENT: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FISH <br> (PCI/KG WET) | CS-134 |  | 130 | <LID | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | CS-137 |  | 150 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | BA-140 |  | NA | <LDD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | LA-140 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
| SEDIMENT <br> (PCI/KG DRY) | GAMMA | 6 | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | Co-58 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | FE-59 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | Co-60 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |



TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014


* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR
THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

| NAME OF FACILITY: LOCATION OF FACILITY: | LASALLE |  |  | DOCKET NUMBER: |  | $50-373 \& 50-3742014$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Indicator | CONTROL | LOCATIO | WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL | (M) |
| PATHWAY SAMPLED | TYPES OF | NUMBER OF |  | MEAN (M) | MEAN (M) | MEAN (M) | STATION \# | NUMBER OF |
| PATHWAY SAMPLED | ANALYSIS | ANALYSIS | Lower lid | (F) | (F) | (F) | NaME | nonroutine |
| (UNIT OF | PERFORMED | PERFORMED | OF DETECTION | RANGE | RANGE | Range | distance and direction | REPORTED MEASUREMENTS |
| MEASUREMENT) |  |  | (LLD) |  |  |  |  |  |
| arr particulate (E-3 PCICU.METER) | CS-137 |  | 60 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BA-140 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | LA-140 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
| AIR IODINE (E-3 PCI/CU.METER) | GAMMA | 466 | 70 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | I-131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vegetation (PCIKG WET) | GAMMA | 10 | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | MN-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Co-58 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | FE-59 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | Co-60 |  | NA | <LL | <LLD | - |  | 0 |

* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSItive VALUES FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)
TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

| NAME OF FACILITY: LOCATION OF FACILITY: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LASALLE } \\ & \text { MARSEILLES IL } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD: |  | $50-373 \text { \& 50-374 } 2014$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | REPDICATOR | CONTROL | location | WITH HIGHEST ANNUA | (M) |
| MEDIUM ORPATHWAY SAMPLED | TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED | NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED | REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD) | locations <br> MEAN (M) <br> (F) <br> RANGE | LOCATION <br> MEAN (M) <br> (F) | MEAN (M) <br> (F) | STATION \# <br> NAME <br> dISTANCE AND DRECTION | NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (UNIT OF |  |  |  |  |  | range |  |  |
| MEASUREMENT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MEASUREMENT: |
| vegetation (PCIKG WET) | 2N-65 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | NB-95 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | ZR-95 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | I-131 |  | 60 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | CS-134 |  | 60 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | CS-137 |  | 80 | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | BA-140 |  | NA | <LDD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |
|  | LA-140 |  | NA | <LLD | <LLD | - |  | 0 |

Sヨח7* $\exists$ ヨıIIS FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)
TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

| NAME OF FACILITY: | LASALLE |  |  | DOCKET NUMBER: |  | 50-373 \& 50-374 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCATION OF FACILITY: | MARSEILLES IL |  |  | REPORTING PERIOD: |  | annual |  |  |
|  | TYPES OF | NUMBER OF | REQUIRED | locations | Location | MEAN (M) | STATION \# | NUMBER OF |
| Pathway Sampled | ANALYSIS | ANALYSIS | LOWER LIMIT | (F) | (F) | (F) | NAME | NONROUTINE |
| (UNTT OF | PERFORMED | PERFORMED | of detection | range | Range | range | DISTANCE AND DIRECTION | REPORTED |
| MEASUREMENT) |  |  | (LLD) |  |  |  |  | MEASUREMENTS |
| DIRECT RADIATION(MILIREM/QTR.) | OSLD-QUARTERLY | 331 | NA | 23.5 | 21.5 | 26.4 | L-102-1 1 NDICATOR | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | (323/323) | (8/8) | (414) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (16.2/30.0) | (17.9/25.2) | (20.9/30) | 0.6 Miles nNe |  |

* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES
FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)


## APPENDIX B

## LOCATION DESIGNATION, DISTANCE \& DIRECTION, AND SAMPLE COLLECTION \& ANALYTICAL METHODS

TABLE B-1: Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program - Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction, LaSalle County Station, 2014

| Location | Location Description |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Distance \& Direction <br> From Site |

## A. Surface Water

| L-21 | Illinois River at Seneca, Upstream (control) | 4.0 miles NE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L-40 | Illinois River, Downstream (indicator) | 5.2 miles NNW |

B. Ground/Well Water

| L-27 | LSCS Onsite Well (indicator) | 0 miles at station |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L-28-W4 | Marseilles Well (control) | 7.0 miles NNW |
| L-28-W5 | Marseilles Weil (control) | 6.7 miles NNW |
| L-28-W6 | Marseilles Weil (indicator) | 4.1 miles N |
| C. | Milk - bi-weekly / monthly |  |
| L-42 | Biros Farm (control) |  |
| D. | Air Particulates / Air lodine | 14.2 miles E |


| L-01 | Nearsite 1 (indicator) | 1.5 miles NNW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L-03 | Onsite 3 (indicator) | 1.0 miles ENE |
| L-04 | Rte. 170 (indicator) | 3.2 miles E |
| L-05 | Onsite 5 (indicator) | 0.3 miles ESE |
| L-06 | Nearsite 6 (indicator) | 0.4 miles W |
| L-07 | Seneca (indicator) | 5.2 miles NNE |
| L-08 | Marseilles (indicator) | 6.0 miles NNW |
| L-10 | Streator (control) | 13.5 miles SW |
| L-11 | Ransom (indicator) | 6.0 miles S |

E. Fish

| L-34 | LaSalle Cooling Lake (indicator) | 2.0 miles E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L-35 | Marseilles Pool of Illinois River, Downstream (indicator) | 6.5 miles NNW |
| L-36 | Illinois River, Upstream of Discharge (control) | 4.3 miles NE |

F. Sediment

| L-21 | Illinois River at Seneca, Upstream (control) | 4.0 miles NE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L-40 | Illinois River, Downstream (indicator) | 5.2 miles NNW |
| L-41 | Illinois River, Downstream (indicator) | 4.6 miles N |

G. Food Products

| Quadrant 1 | Diane Partridge | 4.5 miles NE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quadrant 2 | Mike and Gina Welbourne | 3.8 miles ESE |
| Quadrant 3 | Michael Olson | 1.5 miles WSW |
| Quadrant 4 | Robert Eisers | 4.5 miles NW |
| Control | Eugene Clements | 10.0 miles NW |

TABLE B-1: Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program - Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction, LaSalle County Station, 2014

| Location | Location Description | Distance \& Direction <br> From Site |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## H. Environmental Dosimetry - OSLD

## Inner Ring

| L-101-1 and -2 | 0.5 miles N |
| :--- | :--- |
| L-102-1 and -2 | 0.6 miles NNE |
| L-103-1 and -2 | 0.7 miles NE |
| L-104-1 and -2 | 0.8 miles ENE |
| L-105-1 and -2 | 0.7 miles E |
| L-106-1 and -2 | 1.4 miles ESE |
| L-107-1 and -2 | 0.8 miles SE |
| L-108-1 and -2 | 0.5 miles SSE |
| L-109-1 and -2 | 0.6 miles S |
| L-110-1 and -2 | 0.6 miles SSW |
| L-111b-1 and -2 | 0.8 miles SW |
| L-112-1 and -2 | 0.9 miles WSW |
| L-113a-1 and -2 | 0.8 miles W |
| L-114-1 and -2 | 0.9 miles WNW |
| L-115-1 and -2 | 0.7 miles NW |
| L-116-1 and -2 | 0.6 miles NNW |

## Outer Ring

| L-201-3 and -4 | 4.0 miles N |
| :--- | :--- |
| L-202-3 and -4 | 3.6 miles NNE |
| L-203-1 and -2 | 4.0 miles NE |
| L-204-1 and -2 | 3.2 miles ENE |
| L-205-1 and -2 | 3.2 miles ESE |
| L-205-3 and -4 | 5.1 miles E |
| L-206-1 and -2 | 4.3 miles SE |
| L-207-1 and -2 | 4.5 miles SSE |
| L-208-1 and -2 | 4.5 miles S |
| L-209-1 and -2 | 4.0 miles SSW |
| L-210-1 and -2 | 3.3 miles SW |
| L-211-1 and -2 | 4.5 miles WSW |
| L-212-1 and -2 | 4.0 miles W |
| L-213-3 and -4 | 4.9 miles W |
| L-214-3 and -4 | 5.1 miles WNW |
| L-215-3 and -4 | 5.0 miles NW |
| L-216-3 and -4 | 5.0 miles NNW |

## Other

| L-01-1 and -2 | Nearsite 1 (indicator) | 1.5 miles NNW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L-03-1 and -2 | Onsite 3 (indicator) | 1.0 miles ENE |
| L-04-1 and -2 | Rte. 170 (indicator) | 3.2 miles E |
| L-05-1 and -2 | Onsite 5 (indicator) | 0.3 miles ESE |
| L-06-1 and -2 | Nearsite 6 (indicator) | 0.4 miles W |
| L-07-1 and -2 | Seneca (indicator) | 5.2 miles NNE |
| L-08-1 and -2 | Marseilles (indicator) | 6.0 miles NNW |
| L-11-1 and -2 | Ransom (indicator) | 6.0 miles S |

## Control and Special Interest

L-10-1 and -2 Streator 13.5 miles SW

TABLE B-2: Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program - Summary of Sample Collection and Analytical Methods, LaSalle County Station, 2014

| Sample Medium | Analysis | Sampling Method | Analytical Procedure Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Water | Gamma Spectroscopy | Monthly composite from weekly grab samples. | TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis <br> Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy |
| Surface Water | Gross Beta | Monthly composite from weekly grab samples. | TBE, TBE-2008 Gross Alpha and/or gross beta activity in various matrices <br> Env. Inc., W(DS)-01 Determination of gross alpha and/or gross beta in water (dissolved solids or total residue) |
| Surface Water | Tritium | Quarterly composite from weekly grab samples. | TBE, TBE-2011 Tritium analysis in drinking water by liquid scintillation <br> Env. Inc., T-02 Determination of tritium in water (direct method) |
| Ground/Well Water | Gamma Spectroscopy | Quarterly grab samples. | TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis <br> Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy |
| Ground/Well Water | Tritium | Quarterly grab samples. | TBE, TBE-2011 Tritium analysis in drinking water by liquid scintillation <br> Env. Inc., T-02 Determination of tritium in water (direct method) |
| Fish | Gamma Spectroscopy | Semi-annual samples collected via electroshocking or other techniques | TBE-2007 Gamma emitting redioisotope analysis <br> Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy |
| Sediment | Gamma Spectroscopy | Semi-annual grab samples | TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radiolsotope analysis <br> Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy |
| Air Particulates | Gross Beta | One-week composite of continuous air sampling through glass fiber filter paper | TBE, TBE-2008 Gross Alpha and/or gross beta activity in various matrices <br> Env. inc., AP-02 Determination of gross alpha and/or gross beta in air particulate filters |
| Air Particulates | Gamma Spectroscopy | Quarterly composite of each station | TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis <br> Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy |
| Alr lodine | Gamma Spectroscopy | Bl-weekly composite of continuous air sampling through charcoal filter | TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis <br> Env. Inc., l-131-02 Determination of I-131 in charcoal canisters by gamma spectroscopy (batch method) |
| Milk | I-131 | Bi-weekly grab sample when cows are on pasture. Monthly all other times | TBE, TBE-2012 Radioiodine in various matrices <br> Env. Inc., l-131-01 Determination of l-131 in milk by an ion exchange |
| Milk | Gamma Spectroscopy | Bi-weekly grab sample when cows are on pasture. Monthly all other times | TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis <br> Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy |
| Food Products | Gamma Spectroscopy | Annual grab samples. | TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis <br> Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy |
| OSLD | Optically Stimulated <br> Luminescence <br> Dosimetry | Quarterly OSLDs comprised of two $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ :C Landauer Incorporated elements. | Landauer Incorporated |




Figure B-2
Outer Ring OSLD Locations and Fixed Air Sampling Locations of the LaSalle County Station, 2014


Figure B-3
Ingestion and Waterborne Exposure Pathway Sample Locations of the LaSalle County Station, 2014

## APPENDIX C

## DATA TABLES AND FIGURES PRIMARY LABORATORY

## CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES

 COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| COLLECTION <br> PERIOD | L-21 | L-40 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $01 / 02 / 14-01 / 30 / 14$ | $10.8 \pm 3.1$ | $11.1 \pm 3.1$ |  |
| $02 / 13 / 14-02 / 27 / 14$ | $10.0 \pm 2.4$ | (1) | $10.7 \pm 2.5$ |
| $03 / 06 / 14-03 / 26 / 14$ | $7.2 \pm 2.2$ | $6.0 \pm 2.1$ |  |
| $04 / 03 / 14-04 / 24 / 14$ | $4.3 \pm 2.2$ | $7.9 \pm 2.6$ |  |
| $05 / 01 / 14-05 / 29 / 14$ | $7.5 \pm 2.6$ | $9.2 \pm 2.9$ |  |
| $06 / 05 / 14-06 / 25 / 14$ | $4.3 \pm 2.3$ | $6.9 \pm 2.7$ |  |
| $07 / 03 / 14-07 / 31 / 14$ | $4.8 \pm 2.1$ | $5.7 \pm 2.3$ |  |
| $08 / 07 / 14-08 / 27 / 14$ | $4.2 \pm 2.2$ | $5.2 \pm 2.3$ |  |
| $09 / 03 / 144-09 / 25 / 14$ | $9.4 \pm 2.8$ | $10.6 \pm 3.1$ |  |
| $10 / 01 / 14-10 / 30 / 14$ | $5.0 \pm 2.2$ | $7.8 \pm 2.6$ |  |
| $11 / 06 / 14-11 / 26 / 14$ | $8.5 \pm 2.5$ | $7.7 \pm 2.4$ |  |
| $12 / 04 / 14-12 / 31 / 14$ | $7.8 \pm 2.3$ | $8.0 \pm 2.4$ |  |
|  |  |  | $8.1 \pm 4.0$ |

Table C-1.2
CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| COLLECTION <br> PERIOD | L-21 | L-40 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 02 / 14-03 / 26 / 14$ | $327 \pm 136$ | (1) | $470 \pm 146$ |
| $04 / 03 / 14-06 / 25 / 14$ | $393 \pm 142$ | $389 \pm 139$ |  |
| $07 / 03 / 14-09 / 25 / 14$ | $<171$ | $<175$ |  |
| $10 / 01 / 14-12 / 31 / 14$ | $<188$ | $<187$ |  |
| MEAN | $360 \pm 93$ | $430 \pm 115$ |  |

THE MEAN AND TWO STANDARD DEVIATION ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSItIVE VALUES
(1) SEE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION FOR EXPLANATION
Table C-I. 3
CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014
RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| SITE | COLLECTION PERIOD | Mn-54 | Co-58 | $\mathrm{Fe}-59$ | Co-60 | Zn -65 | Nb-95 | Zr-95 | I-131 | Cs-134 | Cs-137 | Ba-140 | La-140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-21 | 01/02/14-01/30/14 | < 1 | <1 | < 3 | <1 | < 3 | <1 | < 3 | < 10 | $<1$ | <1 | < 16 | $<5$ |
|  | 02/13/14-02/27/14 | (1) $<2$ | $<2$ | < 6 | $<2$ | < 5 | $<3$ | < 4 | < 11 | <2 | $<2$ | <22 | $<8$ |
|  | 03/06/14-03/26/14 | $<2$ | <2 | < 5 | <2 | < 4 | <2 | < 4 | < 14 | <2 | <2 | <21 | $<7$ |
|  | 04/03/14-04/24/14 | < 1 | <2 | < 3 | < 1 | < 3 | <2 | < 3 | < 11 | < 1 | < 1 | < 16 | $<5$ |
|  | 05/01/14-05/29/14 | $<2$ | <2 | < 4 | <2 | < 3 | <2 | < 3 | < 9 | <2 | <2 | < 16 | $<5$ |
|  | 06/05/14-06/25/14 | $<1$ | < 1 | < 3 | < 1 | <2 | < 1 | < 2 | < 5 | <1 | $<1$ | < 10 | $<3$ |
|  | 07/03/14-07/31/14 | $<1$ | <1 | $<2$ | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | <1 | $<7$ | < 1 | < 1 | $<9$ | $<3$ |
|  | 08/07/14-08/27/14 | $<2$ | < 2 | < 4 | $<2$ | < 3 | <2 | < 4 | < 13 | < 2 | <2 | $<21$ | < 6 |
|  | 09/03/14-09/25/14 | < 1 | < 1 | < 3 | <1 | $<3$ | $<2$ | $<3$ | < 11 | <1 | <1 | < 17 | < 5 |
|  | 10/01/14-10/30/14 | < 1 | $<1$ | < 3 | < 1 | < 3 | <2 | < 3 | < 12 | < 1 | < 1 | < 18 | $<5$ |
|  | 11/06/14-11/26/14 | < 1 | < 2 | < 4 | < 1 | $<3$ | <2 | $<3$ | < 14 | <1 | <1 | < 21 | $<5$ |
|  | 12/04/14-12/31/14 | $<2$ | <2 | < 5 | <2 | < 4 | <2 | < 4 | < 12 | <2 | $<2$ | < 19 | $<7$ |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-40 | 01/02/14-01/30/14 | $<1$ | < 1 | $<3$ | < 1 | < 2 | < 1 | $<2$ | < 10 | < 1 | $<1$ | < 16 | $<5$ |
|  | 02/13/14-02/27/14 | (1) $<2$ | <2 | $<5$ | $<2$ | < 4 | $<2$ | < 4 | < 11 | <2 | < 2 | < 18 | < 6 |
|  | 03/06/14-03/26/14 | $<1$ | $<2$ | $<4$ | <2 | $<3$ | $<2$ | < 3 | < 10 | < 1 | < 1 | < 16 | < 6 |
|  | 04/03/14-04/24/14 | <2 | $<2$ | < 4 | < 1 | < 3 | $<2$ | $<3$ | < 12 | <2 | <2 | $<20$ | < 6 |
|  | 05/01/14-05/29/14 | $<2$ | <2 | $<5$ | < 2 | $<4$ | $<2$ | < 4 | < 11 | $<2$ | <2 | $<20$ | $<7$ |
|  | 06/05/14-06/25/14 | < 1 | <2 | $<3$ | <1 | < 3 | <2 | $<3$ | < 7 | < 1 | <2 | < 13 | $<3$ |
|  | 07/03/14-07/31/14 | < 1 | < 1 | < 3 | < 1 | $<2$ | < 1 | <2 | < 11 | $<1$ | < 1 | < 15 | $<3$ |
|  | 08/07/14-08/27/14 | $<2$ | <2 | $<7$ | < 3 | < 5 | $<3$ | < 5 | < 14 | < 2 | <2 | $<25$ | < 8 |
|  | 09/03/14-09/25/14 | $<2$ | <2 | < 4 | <2 | < 4 | $<2$ | < 4 | < 13 | $<2$ | $<2$ | $<22$ | $<7$ |
|  | 10/01/14-10/30/14 | <2 | $<2$ | < 5 | <2 | < 3 | $<2$ | $<3$ | < 14 | $<2$ | <2 | $<21$ | $<7$ |
|  | 11/06/14-11/26/14 | < 1 | $<1$ | $<2$ | <1 | <2 | < 1 | $<2$ | < 10 | < 1 | < 1 | < 14 | < 4 |
|  | 12/04/14-12/31/14 | $<2$ | $<2$ | < 4 | $<2$ | $<3$ | $<2$ | $<3$ | < 11 | <1 | <2 | $<17$ | $<6$ |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

(1) SEE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION FOR EXPLANATION

## Table C-II. 1 CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN GROUND/WELL WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| COLLECTION | L-27 | L-28-W4 | L-28-W5 | L-28-W6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERIOD |  |  |  |  |
| $01 / 09 / 14-01 / 09 / 14$ | $<172$ | $<172$ | - | $<174$ |
| $04 / 10 / 14-04 / 10 / 14$ | $<157$ | - | $<161$ | $<161$ |
| $07 / 10 / 14-07 / 10 / 14$ | $<175$ | $<174$ | - | $<177$ |
| $10 / 09 / 14-10 / 09 / 14$ | $<188$ | - | $<194$ | $<190$ |
| MEAN | - | - | - | - |

Table C－II． 2
CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN GROUND／WELL WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION， 2014
RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI／LITER $\pm 2$ SIGMA
Ba－140 La－140

| $\stackrel{N}{N} \sim \sin$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & 0 \\ v & v \end{array}$ |  | $\dot{v}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { os or } & \text { F } \\ v & v \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nホ | ， | N | ， | －M | 1 | M |
| v ${ }^{\text {v }}$ |  | $\checkmark \vee$ |  | $\checkmark \vee$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \infty & m & \circ \\ v & v \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \mathrm{L} \\ & \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Le } 0 \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \forall & \cdots & \forall \\ v & v & v \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \forall 10 \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | ， | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} ⿱ ㇒ 日 勺 \\ & \mathrm{v} \end{aligned}$ | ， | $\begin{aligned} & \forall \forall m \forall \\ & v v v v \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 0 & 0 & \circ & \bar{\sigma} \\ v & v & v & v \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{ll} \infty & \infty \\ v & v \end{array}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | ， | $\begin{array}{lll} \infty & \infty & \sim \\ v & v & v \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll} u n & m & n & 0 \\ v & v & v & v \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { م } \mathrm{v} \\ & \mathrm{v} \end{aligned}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { un } 0 \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & F \sim \infty \\ & v \vee v \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma \wedge \\ & v \vee \end{aligned}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma F \\ & v v \end{aligned}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{\sigma os} \pi \bar{F} \\ & v v v v \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \forall \infty \neq \infty \\ & v v \vee v \end{aligned}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & v \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } 0 \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | ＇ |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \circ & \infty & \infty \\ v & v & v \end{array}$ | ， | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \overbrace{v} \end{aligned}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & F \stackrel{N}{v} \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\infty \text { 운 }$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \forall & \infty \\ v & \text { us } \\ v & v \end{array}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & \mathrm{v} \\ & \mathrm{v} \end{aligned}$ | － | $\begin{array}{llll} \omega & \sim & + \\ v & v & v & \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 10 & m & n \\ v & v & v \end{array}$ | ＇ | $\begin{aligned} & \forall \\ & v \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L } 0 \text { n } \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | ， | $\begin{aligned} & \sim \forall v i n \\ & v \vee v \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\Sigma}{\underset{\Sigma}{\underset{\Sigma}{\Sigma}}}$ |  | $\underset{\underset{\Sigma}{\underset{\Sigma}{\Sigma}}}{\substack{\underset{2}{2}}}$ |  | $\frac{\underset{\Sigma}{\mathbf{Z}}}{\underset{\Sigma}{\mathbf{z}}}$ |  |
| $\underset{N}{N}$ |  | $\pm$ <br> $\substack{1 \\ \multirow{2}{*}{\multirow{2}{*}{\hline}}\\ \multirow {2} { * } \\ \hline}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \mathbf{N}_{1}^{1} \\ & \underset{N}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \substack{\infty \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \vdots} \end{aligned}$ |


| Table C-III. 1 |  | CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN FISH SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RESULTS IN UNITS OF PC/KG WET $\pm 2$ SIGMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ba-140 | La-140 |
| SITE | COLLECTION PERIOD | Mn-54 | Co-58 | Fe-59 | Co-60 | Zn -65 | Nb-95 | Zr-95 | Cs-134 | Cs-137 |  |  |
| L-34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channel Catifish | 05/06/14 | < 60 | <68 | < 171 | < 65 | < 117 | < 68 | < 116 | < 57 | < 46 | < 926 | < 334 |
| Common Cap | 05/06/14 | < 49 | < 65 | < 130 | < 52 | < 109 | < 65 | < 105 | < 50 | < 43 | < 856 | < 250 |
| Channel Catish | 10/08/14 | < 18 | < 19 | < 47 | < 17 | < 37 | <21 | < 37 | < 18 | < 19 | < 169 | < 44 |
| Common Carp | 10/08/14 | <24 | <27 | < 59 | <22 | < 49 | < 31 | < 49 | <26 | < 26 | < 243 | < 57 |
|  | mean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quillback | 05/06/14 | < 59 | < 71 | < 151 | < 48 | < 104 | < 64 | < 121 | $<60$ | < 50 | < 862 | $<243$ |
| Smallmouth Buffalo | 05/06/14 | < 39 | < 36 | < 106 | < 54 | < 75 | < 40 | < 80 | < 35 | < 36 | < 565 | < 203 |
| Freshwater Drum | 10/08/14 | <21 | <22 | < 47 | < 19 | < 45 | <26 | < 39 | $<22$ | <22 | < 186 | < 52 |
| Smallmouth Buffalo | 10/08/14 | $<27$ | <24 | < 56 | < 30 | < 45 | <28 | < 48 | <21 | <24 | < 231 | < 62 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth Bass | 05/06/14 | < 62 | < 76 | < 168 | < 64 | < 137 | < 84 | < 150 | < 60 | < 61 | < 1197 | < 297 |
| Smallmouth Buffalo | 05/06/14 | <66 | <93 | < 206 | < 65 | < 156 | <92 | < 133 | $<76$ | < 75 | < 1336 | $<290$ |
| Freshwater Drum | 10/08/14 | <24 | <26 | < 56 | <21 | < 51 | <29 | < 49 | < 26 | <25 | <239 | < 59 |
| Smailmouth Buffalo | 10/08/14 | <22 | <22 | < 58 | < 26 | < 44 | < 23 | < 47 | <20 | <24 | < 222 | < 70 |
|  | mean | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table C-IV. 1
CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SEDIMENT SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014
RESULTS IN UNITS OF PC/KG DRY $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| SITE | collection PERIOD | Mn-54 | Co-58 | Fe-59 | Co-60 | Zn -65 | Nb -95 | Zr-95 | Cs-134 | Cs-137 | Ba-140 | La-140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-21 | 05/01/14 | < 92 | < 90 | <223 | < 102 | < 173 | < 95 | < 157 | < 68 | $146 \pm 85$ | < 696 | < 195 |
|  | 10/01/14 | <113 | < 127 | < 313 | < 127 | <214 | < 140 | < 204 | < 108 | $166 \pm 95$ | < 1115 | < 328 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $156 \pm 29$ | - | - |
| L-40 | 06/05/14 | < 52 | < 62 | < 138 | <91 | < 137 | < 69 | < 105 | < 53 | < 88 | < 290 | <91 |
|  | 10/01/14 | < 82 | < 89 | <219 | < 79 | <231 | < 108 | < 183 | < 85 | < 111 | < 859 | <238 |
|  | mean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-41 | 05/01/14 | $<43$ | < 52 | < 139 | < 42 | <92 | < 51 | < 84 | < 38 | < 48 | < 396 | < 124 |
|  | 10/01/14 | < 43 | < 49 | < 125 | < 34 | < 91 | < 54 | < 83 | < 35 | < 52 | < 417 | < 113 |
|  | mean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Table C-V. 1 CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| COLLECTION PERIOD | GROUP I |  | GROUP II |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L-03 | L-05 | L-01 | L-06 |
| 01/02/14-01/09/14 | $24 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ | $27 \pm 5$ |
| 01/09/14 - 01/16/14 | $23 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $19 \pm 4$ |
| 01/16/14-01/23/14 | $14 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $18 \pm 5$ |
| 01/23/14-01/30/14 | $17 \pm 4$ (1) | $16 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 01/30/14-02/06/14 | $22 \pm 5$ | $29 \pm 5$ | $32 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ |
| 02/06/14-02/13/14 | $23 \pm 5$ | $27 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 4$ | $24 \pm 5$ |
| 02/13/14-02/19/14 | $23 \pm 5$ (1) | $23 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $19 \pm 5$ |
| 02/19/14-02/27/14 | $30 \pm 5$ (1) | $27 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 4$ | $27 \pm 5$ |
| 02/27/14 - 03/06/14 | $23 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ |
| 03/06/14-03/13/14 | $21 \pm 4$ | $22 \pm 4$ | $25 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ |
| 03/13/14-03/20/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $10 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 03/20/14-03/26/14 | $17 \pm 5$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 5$ | $12 \pm 4$ |
| 03/26/14-04/03/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ |
| 04/03/14 - 04/10/14 | $15 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ |
| 04/10/14 - 04/17/14 | $14 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 04/17/14 - 04/24/14 | $20 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $20 \pm 4$ |
| 04/24/14-05/01/14 | $8 \pm 3$ | $11 \pm 4$ | $6 \pm 3$ | $11 \pm 4$ |
| 05/01/14-05/08/14 | $13 \pm 3$ | $13 \pm 3$ | $13 \pm 3$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 05/08/14 - 05/15/14 | $10 \pm 4$ | $3 \pm 2$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $9 \pm 4$ |
| 05/15/14-05/22/14 | $13 \pm 4$ | $<5$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ |
| 05/22/14 - 05/29/14 | $12 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ |
| 05/29/14 - 06/05/14 | $9 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 7$ (1) | $5 \pm 2$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 06/05/14-06/12/14 | $10 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $10 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 06/12/14-06/19/14 | $10 \pm 5$ (1) | $15 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 06/19/14 - 06/25/14 | (1) | $16 \pm 5$ (1) | $13 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ |
| 06/25/14-07/03/14 | (1) | $8 \pm 4$ | < 5 | $8 \pm 4$ |
| 07/03/14 - 07/10/14 | $14 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ |
| 07/10/14-07/17/14 | $17 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ |
| 07/17/14-07/24/14 | $22 \pm 5$ | $19 \pm 4$ | $25 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 5$ |
| 07/24/14 - 07/31/14 | $17 \pm 4$ | $19 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $21 \pm 4$ |
| 07/31/14-08/07/14 | $27 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ |
| 08/07/14-08/14/14 | $14 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 5$ | $15 \pm 5$ |
| 08/14/14-08/21/14 | $22 \pm 5$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ |
| 08/21/14-08/27/14 | $16 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $15 \pm 5$ | $15 \pm 5$ |
| 08/27/14-09/03/14 | $20 \pm 5$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $17 \pm 4$ |
| 09/03/14 - 09/10/14 | $13 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ |
| 09/10/14 - 09/18/14 | $14 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 09/18/14-09/25/14 | $23 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ |
| 09/25/14-10/01/14 | $21 \pm 5$ | $28 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ |
| 10/01/14-10/09/14 | $15 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ |
| 10/09/14 - 10/16/14 | $9 \pm 4$ | $10 \pm 4$ | $9 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ |
| 10/16/14-10/23/44 | $17 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 10/23/14 - 10/30/14 | $21 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $25 \pm 5$ |
| 10/30/14-11/06/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $19 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 11/06/14-11/13/14 | $17 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 11/13/14-11/20/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $22 \pm 5$ |
| 11/20/14-11/26/14 | $19 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ |
| 11/26/14-12/04/14 | $24 \pm 4$ | $28 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 4$ | $28 \pm 5$ |
| 12/04/14-12/11/14 | $33 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $31 \pm 5$ | $30 \pm 5$ |
| 12/11/14-12/18/14 | $23 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $30 \pm 5$ |
| 12/18/14-12/24/14 | $22 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 5$ |
| 12/24/14-12/31/14 | $20 \pm 4$ | $23 \pm 4$ | $24 \pm 4$ | $23 \pm 4$ |
| MEAN | $18 \pm 11$ | $18 \pm 12$ | $19 \pm 11$ | $19 \pm 11$ |

the mean and two standard deviation are calculated using the positive values (1) SEE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION FOR EXPLANATION

Table C-V. 1
CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| COLLECTION PERIOD | GROUP III |  |  | GROUP IV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L-04 | L-07 | L-08 | L-11 | L-10 |
| 07702/14-01709/14 | $18 \pm 4$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ |
| 01/09/14 - 01/16/14 | $20 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $19 \pm 5$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 01/16/14-01/23/14 | $17 \pm 4$ | $21 \pm 5$ | $17 \pm 5$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ |
| 01/23/14-01/30/14 | $17 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ |
| 01/30/14-02/06/14 | $24 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ |
| 02/06/14-02/13/14 | $30 \pm 5$ | $27 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $27 \pm 5$ | $29 \pm 5$ |
| 02/13/14 - 02/19/14 | $23 \pm 5$ | $29 \pm 6$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ |
| 02/19/14-02/27/14 | $24 \pm 4$ | $27 \pm 4$ | $26 \pm 4$ (1) | $31 \pm 5$ | $29 \pm 5$ |
| 02/27/14-03/06/14 | $20 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 5$ |
| 03/06/14-03/13/14 | $25 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $22 \pm 5$ |
| 03/13/14-03/20/14 | $11 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $11 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 03/20/14-03/26/14 | $18 \pm 5$ | $16 \pm 5$ | $17 \pm 5$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 03/26/14-04/03/14 | $14 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $18 \pm 4$ |
| 04/03/14-04/10/14 | $13 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $11 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ |
| 04/10/14-04/17/14 | $13 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 04/17/14-04/24/14 | $11 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ |
| 04/24/14-05/01/14 | $9 \pm 4$ | $6 \pm 3$ | $10 \pm 4$ | $8 \pm 4$ | $6 \pm 3$ |
| 05/01/14 - 05/08/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $11 \pm 3$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ |
| 05/08/14-05/15/14 | $10 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $10 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $10 \pm 4$ |
| 05/15/14-05/22/14 | $14 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 05/22/14-05/29/14 | $12 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ |
| 05/29/14-06/05/14 | $11 \pm 4$ | $11 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $11 \pm 4$ | $11 \pm 4$ |
| 06/05/14-06/12/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 06/12/14-06/19/14 | $17 \pm 4$ | $19 \pm 5$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 06/19/14 - 06/25/14 | $13 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ |
| 06/25/14-07/03/14 | $9 \pm 4$ | $7 \pm 4$ | $7 \pm 4$ | $7 \pm 4$ | (1) $9 \pm 6$ (1) |
| 07/03/14-07/10/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ |
| 07/10/14-07/17/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $11 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ |
| 07/17/14-07/24/14 | $27 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ |
| 07/24/14 - 07/31/14 | $20 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $21 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ |
| 07/31/14-08/07/14 | $26 \pm 6$ (1) | $21 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 5$ |
| 08/07/14-08/14/14 | $18 \pm 5$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 5$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 5$ |
| 08/14/14-08/21/14 | $23 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $21 \pm 4$ |
| 08/21/14-08/27/14 | $13 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 5$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 5$ |
| 08/27/14-09/03/14 | $22 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $26 \pm 5$ |
| 09/03/14 - 09/10/14 | $18 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $14 \pm 5$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 5$ |
| 09/10/14-09/18/14 | $14 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 09/18/14-09/25/14 | $20 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 5$ |
| 09/25/14-10/01/14 | $22 \pm 5$ | $27 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $29 \pm 5$ |
| 10/01/14 - 10/09/14 | $15 \pm 4$ | $19 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ |
| 10/09/14 - 10/16/14 | $12 \pm 4$ (1) | $16 \pm 4$ | $11 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ |
| 10/16/14 - 10/23/14 | $22 \pm 4$ | $19 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $15 \pm 4$ |
| 10/23/14-10/30/14 | $20 \pm 4$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ |
| 10/30/14-11/06/14 | $16 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ |
| 11/06/14-11/13/14 | $17 \pm 4$ | $13 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 4$ | $18 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 11/13/14-11/20/14 | $18 \pm 4$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 4$ |
| 11/20/14-11/26/14 | $22 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 5$ |
| 11/26/14-12/04/14 | $27 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 4$ | $22 \pm 4$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 4$ |
| 12/04/14-12/11/14 | $30 \pm 5$ | $31 \pm 5$ | $30 \pm 5$ | $29 \pm 5$ | $29 \pm 5$ |
| 12/11/14-12/18/14 | $26 \pm 5$ | $28 \pm 5$ (1) | $25 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 5$ |
| 12/18/44-12/24/14 | $28 \pm 5$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $23 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 5$ |
| 12/24/14-12/31/14 | $25 \pm 5$ | $22 \pm 4$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $19 \pm 4$ | $19 \pm 4$ |
| MEAN | $18 \pm 11$ | $19 \pm 12$ | $18 \pm 10$ | $18 \pm 11$ | $18 \pm 11$ |

$18 \pm 11$
$18 \pm 11$
Table C-V. 2

| GROUP I- NEAR-SITE LOCATIONS |  |  |  | GROUP II-FAR-FIELD LOCATIONS |  |  |  | GROUP III - FAR-FIELD LOCATIONS |  |  |  | GROUP IV - CONTROL LOCATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLLECTION PERIOD | MIN | MAX | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN } \pm \\ \text { 2SD } \end{gathered}$ | COLLECTION PERIOD | MIN | MAX | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN } \pm \\ \text { 2SD } \end{gathered}$ | COLLECTION PERIOD |  | MAX | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN } \pm \\ 2 S D \end{gathered}$ | COLLECTION PERIOD |  | MAX | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \pm \\ & \text { 2SD } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/021/4-01/30/14 | 12 | 25 | $19 \pm 10$ | 07/02114-07/30/14 | 14 | 27 | $19 \pm 7$ | 01702/14-01/30/14 | 12 | 24 | $19 \pm 7$ | 01/021/4-01730/14 | 12 | 26 | $18 \pm 12$ |
| 01/30/14-02/27/14 | 22 | 30 | $25 \pm 6$ | 01/30/14-02/27/14 | 19 | 32 | $24 \pm 8$ | 01/30/14-02/27/14 | 21 | 31 | $25 \pm 6$ | 01/30/14-02/27/14 | 21 | 29 | $25 \pm 8$ |
| 02/27/14-04/03/14 | 10 | 23 | $18 \pm 9$ | 02/27/14-04/03/14 | 12 | 25 | $19 \pm 9$ | 02/27/14-04/03/14 | 11 | 26 | $18 \pm 9$ | 02/27/14-04/03/14 | 14 | 22 | $18 \pm 6$ |
| 045/01/14-05/01/14 | 8 | 20 | $14 \pm 7$ $11 \pm 8$ | 04/03/14-05/01/14 | 6 | 20 | $14 \pm 9$ | 04/03/14-05/01/14 | 6 | 17 | $13 \pm 6$ | 04/03/14-05/01/14 | 6 | 18 | $14 \pm 11$ |
| 05/29/14-07/03/14 | 8 | 18 | $13 \pm 8$ | 05/29/14-07/03/14 | 5 | 17 | $14 \pm 6$ $12 \pm 8$ | 05/01/14-05/29/14 | 10 | 16 | $13 \pm 4$ | 05/01/14-05/29/14 | 10 | 17 | $14 \pm 7$ |
| 07/03/14-07/31/14 | 14 | 22 | $17 \pm 5$ | 07/03/14-07/31/14 | 13 | 25 | $19 \pm 9$ | 07/03/14-07/31/14 | 11 | 27 | $12 \pm$ | 05/29/14-07/03/14 | 9 | 14 | $12 \pm 4$ |
| 07/31/14-09/03/14 | 12 | 27 | $19 \pm 10$ | 07/31/14-09/03/14 | 15 | 26 | $19 \pm 9$ | 07/31/14-09/03/14 | 12 | 26 | $18 \pm 9$ $18 \pm 8$ | $07 / 03 / 14-07 / 31 / 14$ $07 / 31 / 14-09 / 03 / 14$ | 14 | 23 | $18 \pm 7$ |
| 09/03/14-10/01/14 | 13 | 28 | $18 \pm 11$ | 09/03/14-10/01/14 | 14 | 26 | $20 \pm 9$ | 09/03/14-10/01/14 | 13 | 27 | $19 \pm 9$ | 09/03/14-10/01/14 | 15 | 29 | $21 \pm 10$ $20 \pm 14$ |
| 10/01/14-10/30/14 | 9 | 25 | $16 \pm 10$ | 10/01/14-10/30/14 | $\bigcirc$ | 25 | $17 \pm 10$ | 10/01/14-10/30/14 | 11 | 23 | $17 \pm 8$ | 10/01/14 - 10/30/14 | 15 |  | $17 \pm 14$ 17 |
| 10/30/14-12/04/14 | 16 | 28 | $20 \pm 9$ | 10/30/14-12/04/14 | 14 | 28 | $19 \pm 8$ | 10/30/14-12/04/14 | 13 | 27 | $21 \pm 9$ | 10/30/14-12/04/14 | 16 | 24 | $20 \pm 6$ |
| 12/04/14-12/31/14 | 20 | 33 | $24 \pm 8$ | 12/04/14-12/31/14 | 22 | 31 | $26 \pm 8$ | 12/04/14-12/31/14 | 19 | 31 | $25 \pm 8$ | 12/04/14-12/31/14 | 19 | 29 | $25 \pm 8$ |
| 01/02/14-12/31/14 | 3 | 33 | $18 \pm 11$ | 01/02/14-12/31/14 | 5 | 32 | $19 \pm 11$ | 01/02/14-12/31/14 | 6 | 31 | $18 \pm 11$ | 01/02/14-12/31/14 | 6 | 29 | $18 \pm 11$ |

Table C-V. 3
CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014
RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| SIte | COLLECTION PERIOD | Mn-54 | Co-58 | Fe-59 | Co-60 | Zn-65 | Nb-95 | Zr-95 | Cs-134 | Cs -137 | Ba-140 | La-140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-01 | 01/02/14-04/03/14 | <1 | < 4 | < 9 | $<2$ | < 4 | < 3 | < 6 | $<2$ | $<1$ | < 288 | < 106 |
|  | 04/03/14-07/03/14 | < 4 | < 8 | < 30 | < 3 | < 8 | < 8 | < 16 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3258 | < 1283 |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | < 4 | <8 | <28 | < 3 | < 11 | < 11 | < 17 | < 4 | <2 | < 1988 | < 670 |
|  | 10/01/14-12/31/14 | < 4 | < 7 | < 23 | < 4 | < 10 | < 8 | <14 | < 4 | < 3 | < 841 | < 329 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-03 | 01/02/14-04/03/14 | $<2$ | $<4$ | < 14 | $<2$ | $<8$ | $<4$ | < 8 | $<2$ | $<2$ | < 445 | < 175 |
|  | 04/03/14-07/03/14 | < 4 | < 6 | <25 | < 4 | < 10 | < 7 | < 10 | < 4 | $<3$ | < 1406 | < 538 |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | < 3 | < 7 | <21 | <2 | < 8 | < 6 | < 10 | $<2$ | <3 | < 1369 | < 822 |
|  | 10/01/14-12/31/14 | < 3 | < 8 | <19 | < 4 | <11 | < 7 | < 15 | < 4 | < 4 | < 813 | < 377 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-04 | 01/02/14-04/03/14 | $<2$ | $<3$ | < 11 | $<2$ | < 6 | $<5$ | $<6$ | $<2$ | $<2$ | < 503 | < 154 |
|  | 04/03/14-07/03/14 | <2 | < 5 | < 18 | < 3 | < 5 | < 6 | $<9$ | $<3$ | $<3$ | < 930 | < 319 |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | < 4 | < 8 | < 20 | < 4 | < 10 | < 10 | < 16 | < 4 | < 4 | < 2236 | < 517 |
|  | 10/01/14-12/31/14 | < 3 | < 3 | <9 | < 3 | < 5 | < 5 | <9 | $<2$ | <2 | < 671 | < 119 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-05 | 01/02/14-04/03/14 | <2 | < 4 | < 15 | $<2$ | < 3 | < 5 | $<9$ | $<2$ | $<2$ | < 468 | < 189 |
|  | 04/03/14-07/03/14 | < 3 | < 7 | <21 | < 5 | < 8 | < 7 | < 17 | $<3$ | $<4$ | < 1389 | < 674 |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | < 3 | < 4 | < 8 | <3 | < 8 | < 6 | <9 | $<2$ | <2 | < 872 | < 438 |
|  | 10/01/14-12/31/14 | < 4 | < 7 | <24 | < 3 | < 8 | < 7 | < 14 | < 4 | < 3 | < 947 | < 249 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-06 | 01/02114-04/03/14 | <2 | < 3 | < 16 | < 3 | < 6 | < 5 | < 8 | $<2$ | $<3$ | < 508 | < 192 |
|  | 04403/14 - 07/03/14 | < 3 | $<7$ | < 24 | $<3$ | $<7$ | < 6 | <12 | < 3 | $<2$ | < 973 | $<433$ |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | <2 | < 5 | < 15 | <2 | < 6 | < 5 | $<7$ | <2 | <2 | < 801 | < 385 |
|  | 10/01/14-12/31/14 | <2 | <2 | < 7 | <2 | < 3 | <3 | < 5 | <1 | <1 | < 279 | < 79 |

Table C-V. 3
CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014
RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| SITE | COLLECTION PERIOD | Mn-54 | Co-58 | $\mathrm{Fe}-59$ | Co-60 | Zn-65 | Nb -95 | Zr-95 | Cs-134 | Cs-137 | Ba-140 | La-140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-07 | 01/02/14-04/03/14 | < 3 | < 5 | < 16 | <1 | < 7 | < 6 | < 12 | $<3$ | < 3 | < 593 | < 194 |
|  | 04/03/14-07/03/14 | < 4 | < 5 | < 15 | < 3 | < 7 | < 6 | < 12 | < 4 | < 3 | < 1242 | < 545 |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | < 3 | <3 | <27 | <2 | $<7$ | < 6 | <12 | < 3 | <2 | < 1514 | < 487 |
|  | 10/01/14-12/31/14 | <2 | < 3 | < 10 | < 3 | < 3 | < 3 | < 4 | <1 | <1 | < 332 | < 122 |
|  | mean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-08 | 01/02/14-04/03/14 | $<3$ | < 5 | < 17 | < 3 | < 8 | < 7 | < 13 | $<4$ | < 3 | < 559 | < 259 |
|  | 04/03/14-07/03/14 | <3 | < 7 | <24 | <3 | < 10 | $<7$ | < 12 | < 3 | < 3 | < 1575 | < 356 |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | <3 | < 5 | < 19 | <3 | < 7 | <6 | $<9$ | < 3 | <2 | < 1101 | < 350 |
|  | 10/01/14-12/31/14 | <2 | <2 | <14 | <2 | < 5 | < 3 | < 5 | <2 | <1 | < 570 | < 197 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-10 | 01/02/14-04/03/14 | < 3 | < 6 | < 19 | $<3$ | $<7$ | < 6 | < 11 | < 3 | < 3 | < 527 | < 180 |
|  | 04/03/14-07/03/14 | < 2 | < 5 | <20 | <2 | < 6 | < 5 | < 8 | <2 | $<2$ | < 1022 | < 342 |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | < 5 | < 11 | <27 | < 4 | <11 | < 11 | < 17 | < 4 | < 4 | < 1915 | < 834 |
|  | 10/01/14-1231/14 | < 3 | < 5 | < 16 | <2 | < 6 | < 5 | < 7 | <2 | <2 | < 594 | < 124 |
|  | mean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-11 | 01/02/14-04/03/14 | <2 | < 3 | < 15 | < 3 | $<5$ | $<5$ | $<6$ | $<2$ | $<2$ | $<448$ | < 87 |
|  | 04/03/14-07/03/14 | <2 | < 4 | < 14 | <2 | < 5 | < 5 | < 9 | <2 | <2 | < 664 | < 111 |
|  | 07/03/14-10/01/14 | < 3 | < 4 | < 15 | <2 | < 5 | < 5 | < 8 | <2 | <2 | < 1203 | < 451 |
|  | 10/01/14-1231/14 | <2 | < 3 | < 14 | <2 | < 5 | < 4 | < 7 | <2 | <2 | < 489 | < 189 |
|  | mean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Table C-VI. 1 CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 IN AIR IODINE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| COLLECTION | GROUP I |  | GROUP II |  |  | GROUP III |  |  | GROUP IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERIOD | L-03 | L-05 | L-01 | L-06 | L-04 | L-07 | L-08 | L-11 | L-10 |
| 01/02/14 - 01/09/14 | < 27 | < 27 | $<27$ | < 32 | <27 | < 32 | < 32 | < 13 | < 32 |
| 01/09/14-01/16/14 | < 23 | $<23$ | $<23$ | < 18 | $<23$ | < 18 | < 11 | $<19$ | < 19 |
| 01/16/14-01/23/14 | $<27$ | $<27$ | < 26 | < 16 | < 26 | < 49 | < 49 | < 49 | < 49 |
| 01/23/14-01/30/14 | $<70$ (1) | < 65 | < 67 | < 69 | < 67 | < 69 | < 69 | < 69 | < 24 |
| 01/30/14-02/06/14 | < 50 | < 50 | < 50 | < 55 | < 50 | < 56 | $<54$ | $<22$ | < 56 |
| 02/06/14-02/13/14 | < 65 | < 65 | < 37 | < 64 | < 65 | < 61 | < 61 | < 25 | < 61 |
| 02/13/14-02/19/14 | $<61$ (1) | $<52$ | $<21$ | $<51$ | < 60 | <66 | < 65 | < 55 | < 56 |
| 02/19/14-02/27/14 | < 41 (1) | $<43$ | <22 | < 43 | < 38 | < 49 | < 49 (1) | < 55 | < 55 |
| 02/27/14-03/06/14 | < 30 | < 30 | < 18 | < 30 | < 30 | < 33 | $<33$ | < 33 | < 33 |
| 03/06/14-03/13/14 | $<25$ | $<58$ | < 59 | $<58$ | < 59 | < 49 | < 49 | $<48$ | < 49 |
| 03/13/14-03/20/14 | $<55$ | < 55 | $<21$ | < 54 | < 54 | < 64 | <61 | < 63 | < 61 |
| 03/20/14-03/26/14 | < 65 | < 55 | < 50 | < 55 | < 38 | < 65 | $<67$ | < 59 | < 57 |
| 03/26/14-04/03/14 | $<25$ | < 67 | < 25 | < 67 | < 59 | < 47 | $<47$ | < 53 | < 54 |
| 04/03/14 - 04/10/14 | < 64 | <27 | < 64 | < 64 | < 63 | < 66 | < 66 | < 65 | < 66 |
| 04/10/14-04/17/14 | < 34 | < 34 | < 34 | < 37 | < 35 | < 38 | < 38 | < 16 | < 38 |
| 04/17/14-04/24/14 | $<67$ | < 69 | < 69 | $<27$ | < 69 | < 66 | < 65 | < 65 | < 65 |
| 04/24/14-05/01/14 | < 34 | < 35 | < 34 | $<38$ | < 34 | < 38 | < 38 | < 21 | < 38 |
| 05/01/14 - 05/08/14 | < 54 | < 53 | < 53 | < 52 | < 53 | < 22 | < 53 | < 52 | < 52 |
| 05/08/14-05/15/14 | < 32 | < 32 | < 32 | < 12 | < 32 | < 36 | < 36 | < 36 | < 36 |
| 05/15/14-05/22/14 | < 67 | < 66 | < 66 | < 52 | < 66 | < 53 | $<32$ | $<53$ | < 53 |
| 05/22/14-05/29/14 | < 68 | < 66 | $<35$ | < 68 | < 68 | < 55 | < 55 | < 57 | < 57 |
| 05/29/14-06/05/14 | < 36 | < 66 (1) | $<36$ | < 41 | < 36 | $<42$ | $<41$ | < 41 | < 17 |
| 06/05/14-06/12/14 | $<35$ | < 35 | < 15 | < 35 | < 35 | < 32 | < 32 | < 32 | < 32 |
| 06/12/14-06/19/14 | (1) | < 56 | < 55 | < 34 | < 55 | $<35$ | < 34 | < 14 | < 34 |
| 06/19/14-06/25/14 | (1) | < 54 (1) | < 51 | < 51 | < 51 | $<58$ | $<56$ | < 55 | < 55 |
| 06/25/14-07/03/14 | (1) | $<33$ | $<13$ | $<33$ | $<32$ | $<39$ | < 39 | < 41 (1) | < 66 (1) |
| 07/03/14-07/10/14 | < 31 | < 31 | < 31 | < 25 | < 31 | $<26$ | < 25 | < 11 | < 27 |
| 07/10/14-07/17/14 | < 69 | < 69 | < 27 | < 69 | < 69 | $<70$ | < 69 | < 69 | < 70 |
| 07/17/14-07/24/14 | < 44 | < 44 | < 44 | < 44 | $<44$ | $<43$ | $<43$ | $<43$ | < 43 |
| 07/24/14-07/31/14 | < 13 | < 34 | < 34 | < 34 | < 34 | $<37$ | < 37 | < 36 | < 36 |
| 07/31/14-08/07/14 | < 57 | $<58$ | < 57 | <22 | < 62 (1) | < 64 | < 63 | < 63 | < 63 |
| 08/07/14-08/14/14 | < 21 | < 21 | < 21 | < 21 | $<9$ | < 32 | < 32 | < 32 | < 32 |
| 08/14/14-08/21/14 | < 55 | < 55 | $<21$ | < 55 | < 55 | $<57$ | $<57$ | < 56 | < 56 |
| 08/21/14-08/27/14 | < 24 | < 63 | < 63 | < 63 | < 63 | < 62 | < 62 | < 62 | < 62 |
| 08/27/14-09/03/14 | < 66 | < 66 | < 66 | < 26 | < 66 | < 69 | < 69 | < 69 | < 69 |
| 09/03/14-09/10/14 | < 66 | < 66 | < 66 | < 28 | < 66 | < 68 | < 67 | < 67 | < 67 |
| 09/10/14-09/18/14 | < 50 | < 50 | < 21 | < 50 | < 50 | < 66 | < 66 | < 65 | < 66 |
| 09/18/14-09/25/14 | < 49 | < 49 | < 48 | < 62 | < 48 | < 26 | < 62 | < 63 | < 62 |
| 09/25/14-10/01/14 | $<50$ | < 51 | $<21$ | < 50 | < 50 | < 55 | < 53 | < 53 | < 53 |
| 10/01/14-10/09/14 | < 36 | < 36 | < 36 | < 32 | $<36$ | < 33 | < 18 | < 33 | < 33 |
| 10/09/14-10/16/14 | < 67 | < 68 | < 26 | < 67 | < 68 (1) | < 69 | < 69 | < 70 | < 70 |
| 10/16/14-10/23/14 | $<28$ | < 28 | < 28 | < 43 | $<28$ | < 44 | < 44 | < 44 | < 18 |
| 10/23/14-10/30/14 | $<30$ | < 30 | < 30 | < 23 | $<30$ | < 24 | < 24 | $<9$ | < 24 |
| 10/30/14-11/06/14 | $<33$ | < 33 | < 33 | < 32 | < 32 | < 33 | < 32 | < 18 | $<32$ |
| 11/06/14-11/13/14 | < 29 | < 29 | < 11 | < 29 | < 29 | < 32 | < 32 | < 32 | < 32 |
| 11/13/14-11/20/14 | < 63 | < 61 | < 25 | < 63 | < 63 | < 67 | < 67 | < 67 | $<67$ |
| 11/20/14-11/26/14 | < 44 | < 44 | < 44 | < 18 | < 43 | < 43 | $<40$ | < 43 | < 43 |
| 11/26/14-12/04/14 | < 17 | < 43 | < 43 | < 43 | < 43 | < 48 | < 49 | < 49 | $<49$ |
| 12/04/14-12/11/14 | < 55 | < 55 | < 28 | < 55 | < 55 | < 43 | < 43 | < 42 | < 42 |
| 12/11/14-12/18/14 | < 62 | < 63 | < 62 | < 62 | < 24 | < 27 (1) | < 61 | < 61 | < 61 |
| 12/18/14-12/24/14 | < 46 | < 46 | < 48 | < 21 | < 46 | < 15 | < 44 | < 42 | $<42$ |
| 12/24/14-12/31/14 | < 35 | < 15 | < 35 | < 35 | < 35 | < 15 | <26 | < 27 | $<27$ |

MEAN
(1) SEE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION FOR EXPLANATION

## Table C-VII. 1

CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 IN MILK SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

| COLLECTIONCONTROL FARM <br> PERIOD L-42 |
| :--- |

PERIOD
(1) Samples were not available in 2014

| Table C-VII. 2 |  | ENTR | NS OF OF LA | MMA E LE CO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERS II } \\ & \text { I STAT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K SAA } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{COL}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LTS IN | S OF | ITER | IGMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SITE COLLECTION PERIOD | Mn-54 | Co-58 | Fe-59 | Co-60 | $\mathrm{Zn}-65$ | $\mathrm{Nb}-95$ | Zr-95 | Cs-134 | Cs-137 | $\mathrm{Ba}-140$ | La-140 |

(1) Samples were not available in 2014
(1) SEE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION FOR EXPLANATION

| Table C-VIII. 1 |  | CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN FOOD PRODUCT SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/KG WET $\pm 2$ SIGMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SITE | collection PERIOD | Mn-54 | Co-58 | $\mathrm{Fe}-59$ | Co-60 | Zn -65 | Nb-95 | Zr-95 | l-131 | Cs-134 | Cs-137 | Ba-140 | La-140 |
| --CONTROL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beets/kohlrabi | 09/17/14 | < 11 | < 11 | < 33 | $<11$ | <27 | < 13 | $<21$ | < 34 | $<9$ | < 12 | < 76 | $<21$ |
| Kohirabi leaves | 09/17/14 | <9 | < 10 | <22 | < 9 | <21 | < 11 | < 18 | < 29 | <9 |  |  | <20 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-QUAD 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potatoes | 09/17/14 | < 12 | < 13 | < 31 | < 14 | < 26 | < 14 | <26 | < 43 | < 12 | < 14 | < 93 | < 18 |
| Swiss chard | 09/17/14 | < 10 | <11 | < 29 | < 11 | <27 | < 13 | <22 | < 34 | <9 | < 12 | < 85 | <26 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-QUAD 2 MEAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beet greens | 09/17/14 | < 17 | <20 | $<48$ | < 16 | < 41 | < 19 | < 31 | < 57 | < 18 | < 16 | < 134 | < 31 |
| Beets | 09/17/14 | < 19 | < 16 | < 48 | < 19 | < 41 | < 18 | < 35 | < 50 | < 15 | < 19 | < 108 | < 33 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-QUAD 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beets | 09/17/14 | < 12 | < 11 | < 25 | < 12 | <22 | < 12 | < 20 | < 36 | $<9$ | < 11 | < 78 | < 20 |
| Swiss chard | 09/17/14 | < 10 | < 13 | <28 | < 13 | < 32 | < 13 | <20 | < 35 | < 10 | < 12 | < 77 | <25 |
|  | mean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| L-QUAD 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beets | 09/17714 | < 11 | < 11 | $<29$ | < 11 | $<28$ | <11 | <23 | < 32 | $<10$ | < 11 | $<68$ | < 16 |
| Kale | 09/17/14 | < 14 | < 14 | < 35 | < 14 | < 38 | < 14 | <27 | < 41 | < 13 | < 12 | <91 | < 28 |
|  | MEAN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table C-IX. $1 \quad$ QUARTERLY OSLD RESULTS FOR LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014
RESULTS IN UNITS OF MILLIREM/QUARTER $\pm 2$ STANDARD DEVIATIONS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATION } \\ & \text { CODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEAN } \\ \pm 2 \text { S.D. } \end{gathered}$ | JAN - MAR | APR - JUN | JUL - SEP | OCT - DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-01-1 | $23.7 \pm 4.5$ | 20.5 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 23.8 |
| L-01-2 | $23.1 \pm 7.2$ | 18.3 | 26.8 | 24.4 | 23.0 |
| L-03-1 | $23.0 \pm 3.6$ | 20.6 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 23.2 |
| L-03-2 | $22.7 \pm 4.6$ | 19.3 | 24.3 | 23.3 | 24.0 |
| L-04-1 | $23.0 \pm 7.0$ | 17.8 | 24.4 | 25.2 | 24.7 |
| L-04-2 | $22.2 \pm 6.3$ | 17.5 | 22.9 | 24.4 | 23.8 |
| L-05-1 | $22.8 \pm 7.5$ | 17.2 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 25.1 |
| L-05-2 | $22.8 \pm 4.3$ | 20.0 | 22.4 | 23.7 | 25.1 |
| L-06-1 | $24.4 \pm 6.9$ | 19.5 | 25.4 | 27.7 | 24.9 |
| L-06-2 | $24.4 \pm 4.4$ | 21.7 | 23.5 | 26.8 | 25.4 |
| L-07-1 | $24.8 \pm 8.6$ | 19.2 | 24.7 | 29.6 | 25.7 |
| L-07-2 | $23.5 \pm 6.0$ | 19.2 | 24.5 | 26.1 | 24.2 |
| L-08-1 | $23.2 \pm 4.6$ | 19.8 | 24.4 | 24.7 | 23.9 |
| L-08-2 | $23.4 \pm 6.4$ | 18.7 | 23.8 | 25.0 | 25.9 |
| L-10-1 | $21.6 \pm 5.0$ | 18.0 | 21.9 | 23.4 | 23.1 |
| L-10-2 | $21.4 \pm 6.1$ | 17.9 | 20.6 | 21.8 | 25.2 |
| L-11-1 | $21.9 \pm 6.6$ | 17.0 | 22.9 | 24.1 | 23.6 |
| L-11-2 | $21.0 \pm 3.2$ | 18.7 | 21.5 | 22.2 | 21.7 |
| L-101-1 | $24.6 \pm 2.3$ | 22.9 | 25.1 | 24.6 | 25.6 |
| L-101-2 | $23.3 \pm 7.0$ | 18.2 | 24.2 | 26.2 | 24.7 |
| L-102-1 | $26.4 \pm 7.8$ | 20.9 | 26.5 | 28.1 | 30.0 |
| L-102-2 | $26.4 \pm 6.0$ | 22.7 | 25.1 | 28.7 | 29.0 |
| L-103-1 | $23.4 \pm 5.4$ | 20.0 | 23.1 | 26.5 | 24.1 |
| L-103-2 | $24.0 \pm 6.1$ | 19.5 | 24.6 | 25.7 | 26.1 |
| L-104-1 | $22.7 \pm 4.0$ | 19.7 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 23.6 |
| L-104-2 | $22.1 \pm 5.4$ | 18.5 | 21.6 | 23.3 | 24.8 |
| L-105-1 | $24.6 \pm 7.4$ | 19.2 | 25.2 | 26.5 | 27.5 |
| L-105-2 | $24.0 \pm 6.3$ | 19.3 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 26.1 |
| L-106-1 | $23.5 \pm 6.5$ | 18.7 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 25.4 |
| L-106-2 | $22.4 \pm 5.7$ | 19.0 | 21.0 | 25.0 | 24.4 |
| L-107-1 | $24.4 \pm 4.4$ | 21.6 | 23.7 | 26.7 | 25.4 |
| L-107-2 | $23.6 \pm 3.5$ | 21.3 | 23.8 | 25.5 | 23.9 |
| L-108-1 | $24.9 \pm 8.0$ | 18.9 | 26.1 | 27.4 | 27.1 |
| L-108-2 | $20.5 \pm 4.5$ | 17.3 | 20.7 | 21.7 | 22.4 |
| L-109-1 | $23.4 \pm 7.2$ | 18.6 | 23.2 | 27.3 | 24.4 |
| L-109-2 | $25.5 \pm 6.6$ | 21.2 | 25.7 | 29.3 | 25.7 |
| L-110-1 | $23.2 \pm 7.3$ | 17.8 | 24.2 | 24.9 | 25.8 |
| L-110-2 | $22.6 \pm 7.9$ | 16.9 | 22.9 | 25.4 | 25.2 |
| L-112-1 | $21.9 \pm 6.2$ | 17.4 | 22.3 | 23.5 | 24.4 |
| L-112-2 | $23.8 \pm 5.6$ | 20.0 | 23.9 | 26.7 | 24.4 |
| L-114-1 | $24.5 \pm 6.1$ | 20.3 | 24.3 | 26.1 | 27.3 |
| L-114-2 | $25.3 \pm 4.5$ | 22.0 | 26.7 | 26.8 | 25.7 |

Table C-IX. $1 \quad$ QUARTERLY OSLD RESULTS FOR LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014
RESULTS IN UNITS OF MILLIREM/QUARTER $\pm 2$ STANDARD DEVIATIONS

| STATION CODE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MEAN } \\ \pm 2 \text { S.D. } \end{array}$ | JAN - MAR | APR - JUN | JUL - SEP | OCT - DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-115-1 | $22.7 \pm 6.5$ | 18.3 | 22.5 | 23.8 | 26.0 |
| L-115-2 | $21.3 \pm 5.7$ | 17.2 | 23.3 | 21.4 | 23.1 |
| L-116-1 | $21.7 \pm 2.5$ | 20.2 | 21.2 | 22.6 | 22.8 |
| L-116-2 | $23.2 \pm 4.7$ | 19.8 | 24.1 | 24.0 | 25.0 |
| L-201-3 | $21.0 \pm 4.4$ | 17.8 | 22.5 | 21.6 | 22.2 |
| L-201-4 | $24.3 \pm 7.2$ | 19.0 | 25.7 | 27.0 | 25.5 |
| L-202-3 | $21.9 \pm 7.8$ | 16.7 | 23.0 | 26.1 | 21.9 |
| L-202-4 | $20.5 \pm 5.2$ | 17.2 | 20.0 | 23.4 | 21.3 |
| L-203-1 | $23.6 \pm 4.8$ | 20.1 | 25.3 | 25.0 | 23.8 |
| L-203-2 | $24.0 \pm 6.1$ | 19.8 | 23.7 | 26.7 | 25.8 |
| L-204-1 | $24.3 \pm 8.0$ | 18.7 | 24.3 | 27.6 | 26.6 |
| L-204-2 | $24.5 \pm 7.2$ | 19.1 | 26.2 | 26.1 | 26.5 |
| L-205-1 | $23.7 \pm 4.7$ | 20.2 | 24.3 | 25.3 | 24.9 |
| L-205-2 | $23.9 \pm 6.3$ | 20.4 | 22.1 | 27.0 | 26.1 |
| L-205-3 | $25.1 \pm 6.5$ | 21.3 | 23.9 | 28.9 | 26.4 |
| L-205-4 | $23.5 \pm 5.4$ | 19.7 | 25.4 | 23.4 | 25.4 |
| L-206-1 | $24.6 \pm 6.9$ | 20.1 | 23.8 | 27.7 | 26.9 |
| L-206-2 | $23.2 \pm 9.4$ | 16.2 | 24.7 | 25.9 | 25.9 |
| L-207-1 | $22.0 \pm 6.5$ | 17.3 | 23.2 | 22.8 | 24.7 |
| L-207-2 | $23.3 \pm 7.5$ | 18.8 | 22.9 | 23.4 | 28.0 |
| L-208-1 | $22.6 \pm 8.3$ | 18.4 | 22.6 | (1) | 26.7 |
| L-208-2 | $23.9 \pm 8.7$ | 19.4 | 24.1 | (1) | 28.1 |
| L-209-1 | $23.0 \pm 6.1$ | 18.6 | 23.5 | 25.6 | 24.4 |
| L-209-2 | $21.9 \pm 6.6$ | 18.3 | 22.8 | (1) | 24.7 |
| L-210-1 | $24.0 \pm 6.1$ | 20.5 | 25.6 | (1) | 25.9 |
| L-210-2 | $24.7 \pm 7.5$ | 19.1 | 27.0 | 26.7 | 26.0 |
| L-211-1 | $25.3 \pm 7.0$ | 20.3 | 25.4 | 28.0 | 27.5 |
| L-211-2 | $24.7 \pm 8.4$ | 18.7 | 25.0 | 26.8 | 28.2 |
| L-212-1 | $24.7 \pm 4.6$ | 21.7 | 24.0 | 26.8 | 26.1 |
| L-212-2 | $24.4 \pm 4.3$ | 23.3 | 23.1 | 27.6 | 23.6 |
| L-213-3 | $22.8 \pm 5.3$ | 19.0 | 24.2 | 24.9 | 22.9 |
| L-213-4 | $23.0 \pm 9.0$ | 17.3 | 23.3 | 28.3 | 23.1 |
| L-214-3 | $23.0 \pm 6.7$ | 18.0 | 24.1 | 24.9 | 24.9 |
| L-214-4 | $22.5 \pm 7.5$ | 17.3 | 24.6 | 25.7 | 22.2 |
| L-215-3 | $24.6 \pm 7.8$ | 18.8 | 26.9 | 27.0 | 25.5 |
| L-215-4 | $25.2 \pm 4.8$ | 21.8 | 25.2 | 27.3 | 26.4 |
| L-216-3 | $24.4 \pm 7.1$ | 19.1 | 25.3 | 26.5 | 26.6 |
| L-216-4 | $23.9 \pm 8.8$ | 18.9 | 25.7 | 27.1 | (1) |
| L-111B-1 | $24.3 \pm 5.4$ | 20.7 | 24.2 | 25.2 | 27.1 |
| L-111B-2 | $23.2 \pm 5.1$ | 19.6 | 23.7 | 25.6 | 23.8 |
| L-113A-1 | $25.1 \pm 7.7$ | 20.8 | 23.1 | 27.0 | 29.4 |
| L-113A-2 | $23.9 \pm 5.8$ | 19.8 | 24.2 | 25.4 | 26.3 |

(1) SEE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION FOR EXPLANATION

TABLE C-IX. 2 MEAN QUARTERLY OSLD RESULTS FOR THE INNER RING, OUTER RING, OTHER AND CONTROL LOCATIONS FOR LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

RESULTS IN UNITS OF MILLIREM/QUARTER $\pm 2$ STANDARD
DEVIATIONS OF THE STATION DATA

| COLLECTION INNER RING <br> PERIOD  | $\pm 2$ S.D. | OUTER RING | OTHER | CONTROL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAN-MAR | $19.6 \pm 3.1$ | $19.1 \pm 3.1$ | $19.1 \pm 2.6$ | $18.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| APR-JUN | $23.9 \pm 3.0$ | $24.2 \pm 2.9$ | $24.0 \pm 2.6$ | $21.3 \pm 1.8$ |
| JUL-SEP | $25.5 \pm 3.7$ | $26.0 \pm 3.5$ | $25.1 \pm 3.6$ | $22.6 \pm 2.3$ |
| OCT-DEC | $25.5 \pm 3.6$ | $25.3 \pm 3.7$ | $24.3 \pm 2.2$ | $24.2 \pm 3.0$ |

TABLE C-IX. 3 SUMMARY OF THE AMBIENT DOSIMETRY PROGRAM FOR LASALLE COUNTY STATION, 2014

RESULTS IN UNITS OF MILLIREM/QUARTER

| LOCATION | SAMPLES <br> ANALYZED MINIMUM | PERIOXIOD | PERIOD MEAN |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 128 | 16.9 | 30.0 | $23.6 \pm 5.9$ |
| INNER RING | 131 | 16.2 | 28.9 | $23.6 \pm 6.3$ |
| OUTER RING | 64 | 17.0 | 29.6 | $23.1 \pm 5.5$ |
| OTHER | 8 | 17.9 | 25.2 | $21.5 \pm 5.1$ |

INNER RING STATIONS - L-101-1, L-101-2, L-102-1, L-102-2, L-103-1, L-103-2, L-104-1, L-104-2, L-105-1, L-105-2, L-106-1, L-106-2, L-107-1, L-107-2, L-108-1, L-108-2, L-109-1, L-109-2, L-110-1, L-110-2, L-111B-1, L-111B-2, L-112-1, L-112-2, L-113A-1, L-113A-2, L-114-1, L-114-2, L-115-1, L-115-2, L-116-1, L-116-2

OUTER RING STATIONS - L-201-3, L-201-4, L-202-3, L-202-4, L-203-1, L-203-2, L-204-1, L-204-2, L-205-1, L-205-2, L-205-3, L-205-4, L-206-1, L-206-2, L-207-1, L-207-2, L-208-1, L-208-2, L-209-1, L-209-2, L-210-1, L-210-2, L-211-1, L-211-2, L-212-1, L-212-2, L-213-3, L-213-4, L-214-3, L-214-4, L-215-3, L-215-4, L-216-3, L-216-4

OTHER STATIONS - L-01-1, L-01-2, L-03-1, L-03-2, L-04-1, L-04-2, L-05-1, L-05-2, L-06-1, L-06-2, L-07-1, L-07-2, L-08-1, L-08-2, L-11-1, L-11-2

CONTROL STATIONS - L-10-1, L-10-2

FIGURE C-1
Surface Water - Gross Beta - Stations L-21 (C) and L-40 Collected in the Vicinity of LSCS, 2005-2014

L-21 (C) Illinois River at Seneca


## L-40 Illinois River Downstream



FIGURE C-2
Surface Water - Tritium - Stations L-21 (C) and L-40 Collected in the Vicinity of LSCS, 2005-2014

L-21 Illinois River at Seneca


L-40 Illinois River Downstream


FIGURE C-3
Air Particulate - Gross Beta - Stations L-01 and L-03 Collected in the Vicinity of LSCS, 2005-2014

L-01 Nearsite No. 1


L-03 Onsite No. 3


FIGURE C-4
Air Particulate - Gross Beta - Stations L-05 and L-06 Collected in the Vicinity of LSCS, 2005-2014

L-05 Onsite No. 5


L-06 Nearsite No. 6


FIGURE C-5
Air Particulate - Gross Beta - Station L-10 (C) Collected in the Vicinity of LSCS, 2005-2014

L-10 (C) Streator


FIGURE C-6

## Air Particulate - Gross Beta - Stations L-04 and L-07 Collected in the Vicinity of LSCS, 2005-2014

L-04 Rte. 170


L-07 Seneca


## FIGURE C-7

Air Particulate - Gross Beta - Stations L-08 and L-11 Collected in the Vicinity of LSCS, 2005-2014

L-08 Marseilles



## L-11 Ransom



## APPENDIX D

## INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

TABLE D-1
ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2014
(PAGE 1 OF 3)

| Month/Year | Identification Number | Matrix | Nuclide | Units | Reported Value (a) | Known Value (b) | Ratio (c) TBE/Analytics | Evaluation (d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 2014 | E10854 | Milk | Sr-89 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 95.1 | 91.7 | 1.04 | A |
|  |  |  | Sr-90 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 10.9 | 15.1 | 0.72 | W |
|  | E10855 | Milk | \|-131 | $\mathrm{pCl} / \mathrm{L}$ | 96.6 | 98.5 | 0.98 | A |
|  |  |  | Ce-141 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 112 | 119 | 0.94 | A |
|  |  |  | Cr-51 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 449 | 491 | 0.91 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi/L | 186 | 210 | 0.89 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi/L | 250 | 253 | 0.99 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 248 | 268 | 0.93 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 292 | 297 | 0.98 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Fe}-59$ | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 230 | 219 | 1.05 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn-65 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 312 | 323 | 0.97 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 321 | 337 | 0.95 | A |
|  | E10857 | AP | Ce-141 | pCi | 53.0 | 53.9 | 0.98 | A |
|  |  |  | Cr -51 | pCi | 232 | 223 | 1.04 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi | 100 | 95.3 | 1.05 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi | 122 | 115 | 1.06 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | pCi | 122 | 121 | 1.01 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | pCi | 135 | 135 | 1.00 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Fe}-59$ | pCi | 111 | 99.3 | 1.12 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | pCi | 140 | 147 | 0.95 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | pCi | 187 | 153 | 1.22 | W |
|  | E10856 | Charcoal | l-131 | pCi | 74.1 | 76.4 | 0.97 | A |
|  | E10858 | Water | Fe-55 | pCi/L | 2090 | 1760 | 1.19 | A |
| June 2014 | E10913 | Milk | $\mathrm{Sr}-89$ | pCi/L | 85.9 | 91.3 | 0.94 | A |
|  |  |  | Sr-90 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 13.8 | 14.5 | 0.95 | A |
|  | E10914 | Milk | I-131 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 86.5 | 90.9 | 0.95 | A |
|  |  |  | Ce-141 | pCi/L | 111 | 124 | 0.90 | A |
|  |  |  | Cr-51 | pCi/L | 255 | 253 | 1.01 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi/L | 147 | 162 | 0.91 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 123 | 120 | 1.03 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | pCi/L | 105 | 112 | 0.94 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | pCi/L | 155 | 156 | 0.99 | A |
|  |  |  | Fe-59 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 106 | 102 | 1.04 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn-65 | pCi/L | 251 | 252 | 1.00 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | pCi/L | 218 | 224 | 0.97 | A |
|  | E10916 | AP |  |  | 95.1 | 92.6 | 1.03 | A |
|  |  |  | Cr-51 | pCi | 215 | 190 | 1.13 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi | 122 | 122 | 1.00 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi | 95.1 | 89.8 | 1.06 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | pCi | 88.7 | 84.1 | 1.05 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn -54 | pCi | 115 | 116 | 0.99 | A |
|  |  |  | Fe-59 | pCi | 72.6 | 76.7 | 0.95 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | pCi | 193 | 189 | 1.02 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | pCi | 179 | 168 | 1.07 | A |
|  | E10915 | Charcoal | 1-131 | pCi | 85.6 | 85.2 | 1.00 | A |
|  | E10917 | Water | Fe-55 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1680 | 1810 | 0.93 | A |

TABLE D-1
ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2014
(PAGE 2 OF ${ }^{3}$ )

| Month/Year | Identification Number | Matrix | Nuclide | Units | Reported Value (a) | Known Value (b) | Ratio (c) TBE/Analytics | Evaluation (d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2014 | E10946 | Milk | Sr-89 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 90.7 | 96.9 | 0.94 | A |
|  |  |  | Sr-90 | pCi/L | 14.0 | 16.4 | 0.85 | A |
|  | E10947 | Milk | \|-131 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 92.0 | 97.6 | 0.94 | A |
|  |  |  | Ce-141 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 117 | 126 | 0.93 | A |
|  |  |  | Cr-51 | pCi/L | 281 | 288 | 0.98 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi/L | 141 | 158 | 0.89 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi/L | 186 | 193 | 0.96 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | pCi/L | 137 | 143 | 0.96 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | pCi/L | 138 | 142 | 0.97 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Fe}-59$ | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 162 | 158 | 1.03 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Zn}-65$ | pCi/L | 75.2 | 73.0 | 1.03 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | pCi/L | 286 | 297 | 0.96 | A |
|  | E10949 | AP | Ce-141 | pCi | 97.8 | 82.1 | 1.19 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cr}-51$ | pCi | 212 | 188 | 1.13 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi | 106 | 103 | 1.03 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi | 131 | 126 | 1.04 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | pCi | 85.7 | 93.0 | 0.92 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | pCi | 92.8 | 92.3 | 1.01 | A |
|  |  |  | Fe-59 | pCi | 113 | 103 | 1.10 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | pCi | 53.2 | 47.5 | 1.12 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | pCi | 202 | 193 | 1.05 | A |
|  | E10948 | Charcoal | I-131 | pCi | 83.9 | 89.8 | 0.93 | A |
|  | E10950 | Water | Fe-55 | $\mathrm{pCi/L}$ | 2010 | 1720 | 1.17 | A |
|  | E10951 | Soil | Ce-141 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{g}$ | 0.208 | 0.186 | 1.12 | A |
|  |  |  | Cr-51 | pCi/g | 0.398 | 0.425 | 0.94 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi/g | 0.216 | 0.233 | 0.93 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi/g | 0.398 | 0.365 | 1.09 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | pCi/g | 0.197 | 0.211 | 0.93 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | pCi/g | 0.242 | 0.209 | 1.16 | A |
|  |  |  | Fe-59 | pCi/g | 0.238 | 0.233 | 1.02 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | pCi/g | 0.117 | 0.108 | 1.08 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | pCi/g | 0.447 | 0.438 | 1.02 | A |
| December 2014 | E11078 | Milk | Sr-89 | pCi/L | 85.7 | 95.7 | 0.90 | A |
|  |  |  | Sr-90 | pCi/L | 12.9 | 15.6 | 0.83 | A |
|  | E11079 | Milk | 1-131 | pCi/L | 85.9 | 95.1 | 0.90 | A |
|  |  |  | Ce-141 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 205 | 219 | 0.94 | A |
|  |  |  | Cr-51 | pCi/L | 402 | 406 | 0.99 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 156 | 164 | 0.95 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi/L | 194 | 198 | 0.98 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 122 | 130 | 0.94 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Mn}-54$ | pCi/L | 220 | 225 | 0.98 | A |
|  |  |  | Fe-59 | pCi/L | 183 | 175 | 1.05 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | $\mathrm{pCl} / \mathrm{L}$ | 287 | 297 | 0.97 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 224 | 235 | 0.95 | A |

TABLE D-1 ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2014
(PAGE 3 OF 3)

| Month/Year | Identification Number | Matrix | Nuclide | Units | Reported Value (a) | Known Value (b) | Ratio (c) TBE/Analytics | Evaluation (d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 2014 | E11081 | AP | Ce-141 | pCi | 96.4 | 102 | 0.95 | A |
|  |  |  | Cr-51 | pCi | 171 | 190 | 0.90 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi | 73.1 | 76.9 | 0.95 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi | 99.0 | 92.6 | 1.07 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-58 | pCi | 57.5 | 60.8 | 0.95 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | pCi | 107 | 105 | 1.02 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Fe}-59$ | pCi | 74.2 | 81.6 | 0.91 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn-65 | pCi | 144 | 139 | 1.04 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | pCi | 114 | 110 | 1.04 | A |
|  | E11080 | Charcoal | I-131 | pCi | 93.5 | 98.2 | 0.95 | A |
|  | E11082 | Water | Fe-55 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1760 | 1970 | 0.89 | A |

(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.
(b) The Analytics known value is equal to $100 \%$ of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.
(c) Ratio of Teledyne Brown Engineering to Analytics results.
(d) Analytics evaluatlon besed on TBE internal QC limits: $A=A c c e p t a b l e$, roportod result falls within ratio limits of 0.80-1.20. W-Acceptable with warning, reported result falls within 0.70-0.80 or 1.20-1.30. N = Not Acceptable, reported result falls outside the ratio limits of $<0.70$ and $>1.30$.

TABLE D-2
ERA ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM
TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2014
(PAGE 1 OF 1)

| Month/Year | Identification Number | Media | Nuclide | Units | Reported Value (a) | Known Value (b) | Acceptance Limits | Evaluation (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2014 | RAD-97 | Water | Sr-89 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 38.25 | 36.7 | 27.5-43.6 | A |
|  |  |  | Sr-90 | pCi/L | 24.65 | 26.5 | 19.2-30.9 | A |
|  |  |  | Ba-133 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 89.1 | 87.9 | 74.0-96.7 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45.55 | 44.3 | 35.5-48.7 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | pCi/L | 91.15 | 89.1 | 80.2-101 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 65.10 | 64.2 | 57.8-73.1 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 244 | 235 | 212-275 | A |
|  |  |  | Gr-A | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 45.65 | 61.0 | 31.9-75.8 | A |
|  |  |  | Gr-B | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 27.95 | 33.0 | 21.4-40.7 | A |
|  |  |  | -131 | pCi/L | 23.75 | 25.7 | 21.3-30.3 | A |
|  |  |  | U-Nat | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 9.61 | 10.2 | 7.95-11.8 | A |
|  |  |  | H-3 | pCi/L | 8435 | 8770 | 7610-9650 | A |
|  | MRAD-20 | Filter | Gr-A | pCi/filter | 28.0 | 46.0 | 15.4-71.4 | A |
| November 2014 | RAD-99 | Water | Sr-89 | $\mathrm{pCl/L}$ | 30.4 | 31.4 | 22.8-38.1 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ | $\mathrm{pCli} / \mathrm{L}$ | 18.6 | 21.8 | 15.6-25.7 | A |
|  |  |  | Ba-133 | pCi/L | 46.8 | 49.1 | 40.3-54.5 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | pCi/L | 88.0 | 89.8 | 73.7-98.8 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99.0 | 98.8 | 88.9-111 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | pCi/L | 92.5 | 92.1 | 82.9-104 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | pCi/L | 325 | 310 | 279-362 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Gr}-\mathrm{A}$ | pCi/L | 29.9 | 37.6 | 19.4-48.1 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Gr}-\mathrm{B}$ | pCi/L | 27.5 | 27.4 | 17.3-35.3 | A |
|  |  |  | -131 | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15.8 | 20.3 | 16.8-24.4 | N(1) |
|  |  |  | U-Nat | $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5.74 | 5.80 | 4.34-6.96 | A |
|  |  |  | H-3 | pCi/L | 6255 | 6880 | 5940-7570 | A |
|  | MRAD-21 | Filter | $\mathrm{Gr}-\mathrm{A}$ | pCi/filter | 27.3 | 36.9 | 12.4-57.3 | A |

(1) The lodine-131 was evaluated as falled with a ratio of 0.778 . No cause could be found for the slightly low activity. TBE would evaluate this as acceptable with warning. A rerun was not possible due fo l-131 decay. All other ERA lodine-131 evaluations since 2004 have been acceptable. NCR 14-08
(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.
(b) The ERA known value is equal to $100 \%$ of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.
(c) ERA evaluation: $A=$ acceptable. Reported result falls within the Warning Limits. NA=not acceptable. Reported result falls outside of the Control Limits. CE=check for Error. Reported result falls within the Control Limits and outside of the Warning Limit.

TABLE D-3
DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP)
TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2014
(PAGE 1 OF 2)

| Month/Year | Identification Number | Media | Nuclide* | Units | Reported Value (a) | Known Value (b) | Acceptance Range | Evaluation (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 2014 | 14-MaW30 | Water | Am-241 | Bq/L | 0.764 | 0.720 | 0.504-0.936 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134 | $B q / L$ | 20.7 | 23.1 | 16.2-30 0 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | $B q / L$ | 28.0 | 28.9 | 20.2-37.6 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-57 | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ | 26.5 | 27.5 | 19.3-35.8 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | Bq/L | 15.6 | 16.0 | 11.2-20.8 | A |
|  |  |  | H-3** | Bq/L | NR | 321 | 225-417 | N (3) |
|  |  |  | Mn -54 | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ | 13.5 | 13.9 | 9.7-18.1 | A |
|  |  |  | Ni -63 | $B q / L$ | NR | 34.0 | 23.8-44.2 | N (3) |
|  |  |  | Pu-238 | Bq/L | 0.911 | 0.828 | 0.580-1.076 |  |
|  |  |  | Pu-239/240 | Bq/L | 0.751 | 0.676 | 0.473-0.879 |  |
|  |  |  | K-40 | Bq/L | NR |  | (1) | N (3) |
|  |  |  | Sr-90** | Bq/L | NR | 8.51 | 5.96-11.06 | N (3) |
|  |  |  | U-234/233** | Bq/L | NR | 0.225 | 0.158-0.293 | $N(3)$ |
|  |  |  | U-238** | $B q / L$ | NR | 1.45 | 1.02-1.89 | N (3) |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | Bq/L | -0.201 |  | (1) | A |
|  | 14-MaS30 | Soil | Cs-134 | Bq/kg | 2.02 |  | (1) | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | Bq/kg | 1300 | 1238 | 867-1609 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-57 | Bq/kg | 1069 | 966 | 676-1256 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | Bq/kg | 1.32 | 1.22 | (2) | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | Bq/kg | 1510 | 1430 | 1001-1859 | A |
|  |  |  | K-40 | Bq/kg | 669 | 622 | 435-809 | A |
|  |  |  | Sr-90 | Bq/kg | 4.14 |  | (1) | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | Bq/kg | 763 | 695 | 487-904 | A |
|  | 14-RdF30 | AP | Cs-134** | Bq/sample | NR | 1.91 | 1.34-2.48 | $N(3)$ |
|  |  |  | Cs-137** | Bq/sample | NR | 1.76 | 1.23-2.29 | N (3) |
|  |  |  | Co-57** | Bq/sample | NR |  | (1) | N (3) |
|  |  |  | Co-60** | Bq/sample | NR | 1.39 | 0.97-1.81 | $N(3)$ |
|  |  |  | Mn-54** | Bq/sample | NR |  | (1) | N (3) |
|  |  |  | Sr-90 | Bq/sample | 0.8220 | 1.18 | 0.83-1.53 | N(3) |
|  |  |  | Zn-65** | Bq/sample | NR |  | (1) | N (3) |
|  | 14-GrF30 | AP | Gr-A | Bq/sample | 0.606 | 1.77 | 0.53-3.01 | A |
|  |  |  | Gr-B | Bq/sample | 0.7507 | 0.77 | 0.39-1.16 | A |
|  | 14-RdV30 | Vegetation | Cs-134 | Bq/sample | 5.96 | 6.04 | 4.23-7.85 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | Bq/sample | 5.06 | 4.74 | 3.32-6.16 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-57 | Bq/sample | 11.8 | 10.1 | 7.1-13.1 | A |
|  |  |  | C0-60 | Bq/sample | 7.34 | 6.93 | 4.85-9.01 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | Bq/sample | 8.95 | 8.62 | 6.03-11.21 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ | Bq/sample | 1.23 | 1.46 | 1.02-1.90 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn-65 | Bq/sample | 8.91 | 7.86 | 5.50-10.22 | A |

TABLE D-3
DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP) TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2014
(PAGE 2 OF 2)

| Month/Year | Identification Number | Media | Nuclide* | Units | Reported Value (a) | Known Value (b) | Acceptance Range | Evaluation (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2014 | 14-MaW31 | Water | Am-241 | Bq/L | 0.705 | 0.88 | 0.62-1.14 | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-134*** | Bq/L | NR |  | (1) | $N(4)$ |
|  |  |  | Cs-137*** | Bq/L | NR | 18.4 | 12.9-23.9 | N(4) |
|  |  |  | Co-57*** | Bq/L | NR | 24.7 | 17.3-32.1 | N(4) |
|  |  |  | Co-60*** | Bq/L | NR | 12.4 | 8.7-16.1 | N(4) |
|  |  |  | Mn-54*** | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ | NR | 14.0 | 9.8-18.2 | N (4) |
|  |  |  | Ni -63 | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ | 24.07 | 24.6 | 17.2-32.0 | A |
|  |  |  | Pu-238 | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.591 | 0.618 | 0.433-0.803 | A |
|  |  |  | Pu-239/240 | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.0153 | 0.0048 | (2) | A |
|  |  |  | K-40*** | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ | NR | 161 | 113-209 | N (4) |
|  |  |  | Zn -65*** | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ | NR | 10.9 |  | N(4) |
|  | 14-MaS31 | Soil | Cs-134*** | $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{kg}$ | NR | 622 | 435-809 | $N(4)$ |
|  |  |  | Cs-137*** | Bq/kg | NR |  | (1) | N (4) |
|  |  |  | Co-57** | Bq/kg | NR | 1116 | 781-1451 | $N(4)$ |
|  |  |  | Co-60*** | Bq/kg | NR | 779 | 545-1013 | $N(4)$ |
|  |  |  | Mn-54*** | Bq/kg | NR | 1009 | 706-1312 | N(4) |
|  |  |  | K-40*** | Bq/kg | NR | 824 | 577-1071 | $N(4)$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ | Bq/kg | 694 | 858 | 601-1115 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn-65*** | Bq/kg | NR | 541 | 379-703 | $N$ (4) |
|  | 14-RdF31 | AP | Sr-90 | Bq/sample | 0.310 | 0.703 | 0.492-0.914 | N(4) |
|  | 14-GrF31 | AP | Gr-A | Bq/sample | 0.153 | 0.53 | 0.16-0.90 | N (4) |
|  |  |  | Gr-B | Bq/sample | 0.977 | 1.06 | 0.53-1.59 | A |
| September 2014 | 14-RdV31 | Vegetation |  |  | 7.31 | $7.38$ | $5.17-9.59$ | A |
|  |  |  | Cs-137 | Bq/sample | 8.93 | 8.14 | 5.70-10.58 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-57 | Bq/sample | 10.8 | 9.2 | 6.4-12.0 | A |
|  |  |  | Co-60 | Bq/sample | 6.31 | 6.11 | 4.28-7.94 | A |
|  |  |  | Mn-54 | Bq/sample | 7.76 | 7.10 | 4.97-9.23 | A |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ | Bq/sample | 0.738 | 0.85 | 0.60-1.11 | A |
|  |  |  | Zn -65 | Bq/sample | 7.16 | 6.42 | 4.49-8.35 | A |

* The MAPEP cross check isotope list has been reduced due to duplication of effort or analysis not being performed for clients.
** These nuclides are no longer part of the TBE cross check program due to duplication of effort or analysis not being performed for clients. MAPEP evaluates non-reported analyses as failed if they were reported in the previous series.
*** All future gamma cross check samples for these isotopes will be provided by Analytics.
(1) False positive test.
(2) Sensitivity ovaluation.
(3) Water, Ni-63 overiooked when reporting, but the result of $32.7+1.69$ would have passed the acceptance criteria. NCR 14-04 Water, the non-defected K-40 was overfooked when reporting, but would have passed the false positive test. NCR 14-04 AP, Sr-90 rerun was within the law range of the acceptqence criteria. The original and rerun results were statistically the same. No cause could be identified for the slightly low Sr-90 activity. NCR 14-04 For non reported (NR) analyses, MAPEP evaluates as falled if they ware reported in the pravious series. NCR 14-04
(4) AP, Sr-90 gravimetric yield was very high at $117 \%$. Could indicate larger than normal amounts of calcium in the AP. A second fuming $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ separation would be required to remove the excess calcium. NCR 14-09
AP, Gr-Alpha was counted on the wrong side. When flipped over and recounted the results were acceptable. NCR 14-09 For non reported (NR) analyses, MAPEP evaluates as failed if they were reported in the previous series. NCR 14-09
(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.
(b) The MAPEP known value is equal to $100 \%$ of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.


TABLE D-4
(Page 1 of 1)

|  |  | Concentration (pCi/L) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Code | Date | Analysis | Laboratory <br> Result b | ERA <br> Result c | Control <br> Limits | Acceptance |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ERW-1384 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Sr-89 | $40.29 \pm 5.76$ | 36.70 | $27.50-43.60$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1384 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Sr-90 | $24.08 \pm 2.35$ | 26.50 | $19.20-30.90$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1385 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Ba-133 | $78.23 \pm 3.93$ | 87.90 | $74.00-96.70$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1385 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Co-60 | $62.75 \pm 3.53$ | 64.20 | $57.80-73.10$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1385 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Cs-134 | $44.97 \pm 3.99$ | 44.30 | $35.50-48.70$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1385 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Cs-137 | $88.54 \pm 4.93$ | 89.10 | $80.20-101.00$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1385 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Zn-65 | $249.1 \pm 10.44$ | 235.0 | $212.0-275.0$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1388 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Gr. Alpha | $56.70 \pm 2.47$ | 61.00 | $31.90-75.80$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1388 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Gr. Beta | $32.10 \pm 1.20$ | 33.00 | $21.40-40.70$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1391 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | I-131 | $25.52 \pm 1.12$ | 25.70 | $21.30-30.30$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1394 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | Uranium | $10.76 \pm 0.74$ | 10.20 | $7.95-11.80$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-1397 | $4 / 7 / 2014$ | H-3 | $8982 \pm 279$ | 8770 | $7610-9650$ | Pass |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ERW-5382 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Sr-89 | $29.40 \pm 5.32$ | 31.40 | $22.80-38.10$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5382 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Sr-90 | $19.19 \pm 1.85$ | 21.80 | $15.60-25.70$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5385 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Ba-133 | $43.54 \pm 4.54$ | 49.10 | $40.30-54.50$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5385 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Cs-134 | $81.95 \pm 7.49$ | 89.80 | $73.70-98.80$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5385 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Cs-137 | $95.76 \pm 5.50$ | 98.80 | $88.90-111.00$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5385 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Co-60 | $90.25 \pm 2.77$ | 92.10 | $82.90-104.00$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5385 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Zn-65 | $327.4 \pm 23.3$ | 310.00 | $279.0-362.0$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5388 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Gr. Alpha | $30.88 \pm 8.05$ | 37.60 | $19.40-46.10$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5388 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | G. Beta | $20.47 \pm 4.75$ | 27.40 | $17.30-35.30$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5392 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | I-131 | $19.58 \pm 2.35$ | 20.30 | $16.80-24.40$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5394 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | Uranium | $5.51 \pm 0.37$ | 5.80 | $4.34-6.96$ | Pass |  |
| ERW-5397 | $10 / 6 / 2014$ | H-3 | $6876 \pm 383$ | 6880 | $5940-7570$ | Pass |  |

[^0]|  |  | Concentration a |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Code b | Date | Analysis | Laboratory result | Known Activity | Control Limits c | Acceptance |
| MAW-1140 | 2/1/2014 | Gr. Alpha | $0.77 \pm 0.06$ | 0.85 | 0.26-1.44 | Pass |
| MAW-1140 | 2/1/2014 | Gr. Beta | $4.31 \pm 0.08$ | 4.19 | 2.10-6.29 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Fe-55 | $0.40 \pm 3.20$ | 0.00 | -0.01-2.00 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | H-3 | $345.10 \pm 10.60$ | 321.00 | 225.00-417.00 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Ni 63 | $32.40 \pm 3.20$ | 34.00 | 23.80-44.20 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Pu-238 | $1.28 \pm 0.12$ | 0.83 | 0.58-1.08 | Fail (1) |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Pu-239/240 | $0.91 \pm 0.10$ | 0.68 | 0.47-0.88 | Fail (1) |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Sr-90 | $7.00 \pm 0.70$ | 8.51 | 5.96-11.06 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | U-233/234 | $0.20 \pm 0.07$ | 0.23 | 0.16-0.29 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | U-238 | $1.25 \pm 0.18$ | 1.45 | 1.02-1.89 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Co-57 | $27.86 \pm 0.38$ | 27.50 | 19.30-35.80 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Co-60 | $15.99 \pm 0.27$ | 16.00 | 11.20-20.80 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Cs-134 | $21.85 \pm 0.54$ | 23.10 | 16.20-30.00 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Cs-137 | $28.74 \pm 0.49$ | 28.90 | 20.20-37.60 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | K-40 | $1.80 \pm 2.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00-10.00 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | Mn -54 | $14.06 \pm 0.40$ | 13.90 | 9.70-18.10 | Pass |
| MAW-1184 | 2/1/2014 | $\mathrm{Zn}-65$ | $0.00 \pm 0.19$ | 0.00 | -0.01-0.00 | Pass |
| MAVE-1148 | 2/1/2014 | Co-57 | $11.63 \pm 0.19$ | 10.10 | 7.10-13.10 | Pass |
| MAVE-1148 | 2/1/2014 | Co-60 | $7.28 \pm 0.18$ | 6.93 | 4.85-9.01 | Pass |
| MAVE-1148 | 2/1/2014 | Cs-134 | $6.29 \pm 0.29$ | 6.04 | 4.23-7.85 | Pass |
| MAVE-1148 | 2/1/2014 | Cs-137 | $5.18 \pm 0.20$ | 4.74 | 3.32-6.16 | Pass |
| MAVE-1148 | 2/1/2014 | Mn-54 | $9.22 \pm 0.26$ | 8.62 | 6.03-11.21 | Pass |
| MAVE-1148 | 2/1/2014 | Zn -65 | $8.59 \pm 0.40$ | 7.86 | 5.50-10.22 | Pass |
| MAAP-1151 | 2/1/2014 | Co-57 | $1.60 \pm 0.05$ | 0.00 | NA | Fail (2) |
| MAAP-1151 | 2/1/2014 | Co-60 | $1.38 \pm 0.08$ | 1.39 | 0.97-1.81 | Pass |
| MAAP-1151 | 2/1/2014 | Cs-134 | $1.75 \pm 0.11$ | 1.91 | 1.34-2.48 | Pass |
| MAAP-1151 | 2/1/2014 | Cs-137 | $1.81 \pm 0.10$ | 1.76 | 1.23-2.29 | Pass |
| MAAP-1151 | 2/1/2014 | Mn-54 | $0.01 \pm 0.03$ | 0.00 | NA | Pass |
| MAAP-1151 | 2/1/2014 | Zn -65 | $-0.24 \pm 0.09$ | 0.00 | -0.50-1.00 | Pass |
| MAAP-1151 | 2/1/2014 | Sr -90 | $1.11 \pm 0.14$ | 1.18 | 0.83-1.53 | Pass |
| MAAP-1154 | 2/1/2014 | Gr. Alpha | $0.56 \pm 0.06$ | 1.77 | 0.53-3.01 | Pass |
| MAAP-1154 | 2/1/2014 | Gr. Beta | $0.98 \pm 0.06$ | 0.77 | $0.39-1.16$ | Pass |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | Ni -63 | $4.80 \pm 15.30$ | 0.00 | NA | Pass |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | Co-57 | $1064.50 \pm 3.60$ | 966.00 | 676.00-1256.00 | Pass |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | Co-60 | $1.70 \pm 0.50$ | 1.22 | (3) | Pass |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | Cs-134 | $6.10 \pm 1.80$ | 0.00 | NA | Fail (4) |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | Cs-137 | $1364.30 \pm 5.30$ | 1238.00 | 867.00-1609.00 | Pass |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | K-40 | $728.90 \pm 15.90$ | 622.00 | 435.00-809.00 | Pass |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | Mn-54 | $1588.00 \pm 6.00$ | 1430.00 | 1001.00-1859.00 | Pass |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | Zn -65 | $763.50 \pm 6.80$ | 695.00 | 487.00-904.00 | Pass |
| MASO-1146 | 2/1/2014 | Sr -90 | $1.23 \pm 1.37$ | 0.00 | NA | Pass |


|  |  | Concentration a |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Code b | Date | Analysis | Laboratory result | Known Activity | Control Limits c | Acceptance |
| MASO-4439 | 8/1/2014 | Ni-63 | $771.62 \pm 23.29$ | 980.00 | 686.00-1274.00 | Pass |
| MASO-4439 | 8/1/2014 | $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ | $778.34 \pm 17.82$ | 858.00 | 601.00-1115.00 | Pass |
| MASO-4439 | 8/1/2014 | Cs-134 | $520.60 \pm 7.09$ | 622.00 | 435.00-809.00 | Pass |
| MASO-4439 | 8/1/2014 | Co-57 | $1135.00 \pm 7.40$ | 1116.00 | 781.00-1451.00 | Pass |
| MASO-4439 | 8/1/2014 | Co-60 | $768.20 \pm 7.70$ | 779.00 | 545.00-1013.00 | Pass |
| MASO-4439 | 8/1/2014 | Mn -54 | $1050.70 \pm 12.60$ | 1009.00 | 706.00-1312.00 | Pass |
| MASO-4439 | 8/1/2014 | $\mathrm{Zn}-65$ | $407.89 \pm 15.03$ | 541.00 | 379.00-703.00 | Pass |
| MAW-4431 | 8/1/2014 | Am-241 | $0.79 \pm 0.08$ | 0.88 | 0.62-1.14 | Pass |
| MAW-4431 | 8/1/2014 | Cs-137 | $18.62 \pm 0.54$ | 18.40 | 12.90-23.90 | Pass |
| MAW-4431 | 8/1/2014 | Co-57 | $24.85 \pm 0.42$ | 24.70 | 17.30-32.10 | Pass |
| MAW-4431 | 8/1/2014 | Co-60 | $12.27 \pm 0.38$ | 12.40 | 8.70-16.10 | Pass |
| MAW-4431 | 8/1/2014 | H-3 | $207.20 \pm 10.60$ | 208.00 | 146.00-270.00 | Pass |
| MAW-4431 | 8/1/2014 | $\mathrm{Fe}-55$ | $55.10 \pm 14.80$ | 31.50 | 22.10-41.00 | Fail (5) |
| MAW-4431 | 8/1/2014 | Mn-54 | $14.36 \pm 0.53$ | 14.00 | 9.80-18.20 | Pass |
| MAW-4431 | 8/1/2014 | Zn -65 | $11.46 \pm 0.78$ | 10.90 | 7.60-14.20 | Pass |
| MAW-4493 | 8/1/2014 | Gr. Alpha | $0.93 \pm 0.07$ | 1.40 | 0.42-2.38 | Pass |
| MAW-4493 | 8/1/2014 | Gr. Beta | $6.31 \pm 1.35$ | 6.50 | 3.25-9.75 | Pass |
| MAAP-4433 | 8/1/2014 | Sr-90 | $0.74 \pm 0.10$ | 0.70 | 0.49-0.91 | Pass |
| MAAP-4444 | 8/1/2014 | Sr-89 | $7.82 \pm 0.52$ | 9.40 | 6.60-12.20 | Pass |
| MAAP-4444 | 8/1/2014 | Sr-90 | $0.76 \pm 0.10$ | 0.76 | 0.53-0.99 | Pass |
| MAVE-4436 | 8/1/2014 | Cs-134 | $7.49 \pm 0.18$ | 7.38 | 5.17-9.59 | Pass |
| MAVE-4436 | 8/1/2014 | Co-57 | $11.20 \pm 0.19$ | 9.20 | 6.40-12.00 | Pass |
| MAVE-4436 | 8/1/2014 | Co-60 | $6.84 \pm 0.17$ | 6.11 | 4.28-7.94 | Pass |
| MAVE-4436 | 8/1/2014 | Mn-54 | $8.11 \pm 0.26$ | 7.11 | 4.97-9.23 | Pass |
| MAVE-4436 | 8/1/2014 | Zn -65 | $7.76 \pm 0.43$ | 6.42 | 4.49-8.35 | Pass |

${ }^{3}$ Results are reported in units of $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{kg}$ (soil), $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ (water) or Bq/total sample (filters, vegetation).
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Laboratory codes as follows: MAW (water), MAAP (air filter), MASO (soil), MAVE (vegetation).
${ }^{c}$ MAPEP results are presented as the known values and expected laboratory precision (1 sigma, 1 determination) and control limits as defined by the MAPEP. A known value of "zero" indicates an analysis was included in the testing series as a "false positive". MAPEP does not provide control limits.
(1) The high bias on the plutonium crosscheck samples was traced to contamination from a newly purchased standard. The results of reanalysis with replacement tracer purchased from NIST:

| MAW-1184 Pu-238 | $0.68 \pm 0.10$ | $\mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MAW-1184 Pu-239/240 | $0.66 \pm 0.10$ | $\mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ |

(2) Interference from Eu-152 resulted in misidentification of $\mathrm{Co}-57$.
(3) Provided in the series for "sensitivity evaluation". MAPEP does not provide control limits.
(4) False positive test. Long sample counting time lead to interference from naturally occuring Bi-214 in sample matrix with a close spectral energy.
(5) Result of reanalysis $\mathrm{Fe}-5532.63 \pm 16.30 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$
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## INTRODUCTION

LaSalle County Station, a two-unit BWR, is located near Marseilles, Illinois in LaSalle County, 3.5 miles south of the lilinois River. Both units are rated at 3546 MWt. Unit 1 loaded fuel in March 1982. Unit 2 loaded fuel in late December 1983. The Station is designed to keep releases to the environment at levels below those specified in the regulations.

Liquid effluents, although no longer released from LaSalle County Station, were designed to be released to the Illinois River in controlled batches after radioassay of each batch. Gaseous effluents are released to the atmosphere after delay allowing time for short-lived (noble) gases to decay. Releases to the atmosphere are sampled and analyzed on a routine basis. The gaseous effluent samples are analyzed for particulate, iodine, noble gas, and tritium activity. The particulate and iodine sample results are obtained from continuously collected composite samples. The noble gas and tritium sample results are obtained from routine grab samples. The results of effluent analyses are summarized on a monthly basis and reported to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission as required per Technical Specifications. Airborne concentrations of noble gases, tritium, I131, and particulate radioactivity in offsite areas are calculated using effluent and meteorological data.

Environmental monitoring is conducted by sampling at indicator and control (background) locations in the vicinity of LaSalle County Station to measure changes in radiation or radioactivity levels that may be attributable to station operations. If significant changes attributable to LaSalle County Station are measured, these changes are correlated with effluent releases. External gamma radiation exposure from noble gases and internal dose from l-131 in milk are the critical pathways at this site; however, an environmental monitoring program is conducted which also includes these and many other pathways which are less significant in terms of radiation protection.

## SUMMARY

Gaseous effluents for the period contributed to only a small fraction of the LaSalle County Station Radiological Effluent Controls Limits. Liquid effluents had no contribution to offsite dose, as no liquid radioactive discharges were conducted. Calculations of environmental concentrations based on effluent, Illinois River flow, and meteorological data for the period indicate that consumption by the public of radionuclides attributable to LaSalle County Station does not exceed regulatory limits. Radiation exposure from radionuclides released to the atmosphere represented the critical pathway for the period with a maximum individual total dose estimated to be $1.67 E+00 \mathrm{mrem}$ for the year, where a shielding factor of 0.7 and an occupancy factor of 0.95 are assumed for the nearest resident. The assessment of radiation doses is performed in accordance with the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), specifically, a comparison of preoperational studies with operational controls or with previous environmental surveillance reports and an assessment of the observed impacts of the plant operation on the environment. Control locations are basis for "preoperational data." The results of analysis confirm that the station is operating in compliance with 10CFR50 Appendix I, 10CFR20 and 40CFR190.

### 1.0 EFFLUENTS

### 1.1 Gaseous Effluents to the Atmosphere

Measured concentrations of noble gases, radioiodine, and particulate radioactivity released to the atmosphere during the year, are listed in Table 1.1-1. A total of 3.87E+03 curies of fission and activation gases were released with an average release rate of $1.23 \mathrm{E}+02 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$.

A total of 1.87E-01 curies of I-131 were released during the year with an average release rate of $5.94 \mathrm{E}-03 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$.

A total of 3.59E-02 curies of beta-gamma emitters were released as airborne particulate matter with an average release rate of $1.14 \mathrm{E}-03 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$. Alpha-emitting radionuclides were below the lower limit of detection (LLD). Carbon-14 released in 2014 was calculated separately with a total of $3.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ curies released with an average release rate of $1.06 \mathrm{E}+00 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$.

A total of $1.82 \mathrm{E}+01$ curies of tritium were released with an average release rate of $5.76 \mathrm{E}-01 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$.

### 1.2 Liquids Released to Illinois River

There were no liquid batch releases in 2014. Continuous release path activity was below applicable Lower Limits of Detection.

### 2.0 SOLID RADIOACTIVE WASTE

Solid radioactive wastes were shipped by truck to a disposal facility or to a waste processor. For further detail, refer the LaSalle 2014 Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report (ARERR). This report was submitted to the USNRC by the required date of May $1^{\text {st }}, 2014$.

### 3.0 DOSE TO MAN

### 3.1 Gaseous Effluent Pathways

Table 3.1-1 summarizes the doses resulting from releases of airborne radioactivity via the different exposure pathways.

### 3.1.1 Noble Gases

### 3.1.1.1 Gamma Dose Rates

Unit 1 and Unit 2 gaseous releases at LaSalle County Station are reported as Unit 1 releases due to a single station vent stack (SVS) release point. Offsite Gamma air and whole body dose rates are shown in Table 3.1-1 and were calculated based on measured release rates, isotopic composition of the noble gases and average meteorological data for the period. Doses based on concurrent meteorological data are shown in Table 3.4-1. Based on measured effluents and meteorological data, the maximum total body dose to an individual would be 3.19E-02 mrem (Table 3.1-1) for the year, with an occupancy factor of 0.95 and a shielding factor of 0.7 included. The maximum total body dose based on measured effluents and concurrent meteorological data would be 2.22E-02 mrem (Table 3.4-1).

The maximum gamma air dose was 4.78E-02 mrad from Table 3.1-1, and the maximum gamma air dose from concurrent meterorological data was $5.38 \mathrm{E}-03 \mathrm{mrad}$ (Table 3.4-1).

### 3.1.1.2 Beta Air and Skin Dose Rates

The range of beta particles in air is relatively small (on the order of a few meters or less); consequently, plumes of gaseous effluents may be considered "infinite" for purpose of calculating the dose from beta radiation incident on the skin. However, the actual dose to sensitive skin tissues is difficult to calculate due to the effect of the beta particle energies, thickness of inert skin and clothing covering sensitive tissues. For purposes of this report the skin is taken to have a thickness of $7.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and an occupancy factor of 1.0 is used. The skin dose (from beta and gamma radiation) for the year was $5.38 \mathrm{E}-02$ mrem from Table 3.1-1, and the skin dose from concurrent meteorological data was $5.57 \mathrm{E}-03 \mathrm{mrem}$ (Table
3.4-1). The maximum offsite beta dose for the year was $2.22 \mathrm{E}-03 \mathrm{mrad}$ from Table 3.1-1, and the maximum offsite beta dose from concurrent meteorological data was $1.93 \mathrm{E}-03 \mathrm{mrad}$ (Table 3.4-1).

### 3.1.2 Radioactive lodine

The human thyroid exhibits a significant capacity to concentrate ingested or inhaled iodine. The radioiodine, $\mathrm{l}-131$, released during routing operation of the plant, may be made available to man resulting in a dose to the thyroid. The principal pathway of interest for this radionuclide is ingestion of radioiodine in milk.

### 3.1.2.1 Dose to Thyroid

The hypothetical thyroid dose to a maximum exposed individual living near the station via ingestion of milk was calculated. The radionuclide considered was $\mathrm{I}-131$ and the source of milk was taken to be the nearest dairy farm with the cows pastured from May through October. The maximum thyroid does due to $\mathrm{I}-131$ was $9.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ mrem for the year.

### 3.2 Liquid Effluent Pathways

The three principal pathways through the aquatic environment for potential doses to man from liquid waste are ingestion of potable water, eating aquatic foods, and exposure while on the shoreline. Not all of these pathways are significant or applicable at a given time but a reasonable approximation of the dose can be made by adjusting the dose formula for season of the year or type and degree of use of the aquatic environment. NRC developed equations* were used to calculate the doses to the whole body, lower gastro-intestinal tracts, thyroid, bone and skin; specific parameters for use in the equations are given in the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual. The maximum whole body dose was $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ mrem and organ dose was $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ for the year mrem (Table 3.2-1).

### 3.3 Assessment of Dose to Member of Public

During the period January to December 2014, LaSalle County

Station did not exceed these limits as shown in Table 3.1-1 and Table 3.2-1 (based on annual average meteorological data), and as shown in Table 3.3-1:

- The Radiological Effluent Technical Standards (RETS) limits on dose or dose commitment to an individual due to radioactive materials in liquid effluents from each reactor unit ( 1.5 mrem to the whole body or 5 mrem to any organ during any calendar year; 3 mrem to the whole body or 10 mrem to any organ during the calendar year).
- The RETS limits on air dose in noble gases released in gaseous effluents to a member of the public from each reactor unit ( 5 mrad for gamma radiation or 10 mrad for beta radiation during any calendar quarter; 10 mrads for gamma radiation or 20 mrad for beta radiation during a calendar year).
- The RETS limits on dose to a member of the public due to iodine-131, iodine-133, tritium and radionuclides in particulate form with half-lives greater than eight days in gaseous effluents released from each reactor unit ( 7.5 mrem to any organ during any calendar quarter; 15 mrem to any organ during any calendar year).
- The 10CFR20 limit on Total Effective Dose Equivalent to individual members of the public ( 100 mrem ).


### 4.0 SITE METEOROLOGY

A summary of the site meteorological measurements taken during each calendar quarter of the year is given in Appendix F. The data are presented as cumulative joint frequency distributions of the wind direction for the $375^{\prime}$ level and wind speed class by atmospheric stability class determined from the temperature difference between the 375' and 33' levels. Data recovery for these measurements was 99.9\% during 2014.

[^1]
## APPENDIX E-1

## DATA TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1.1-1
LASALLE COUNTY NUCLEAR POWER STATION
EFFLUENT AND WASTE DISPOSAL ANNUAL REPORT (2014) GASEOUS EFFLUENTS ELEVATED RELEASE UNIT 1 AND UNIT 2

| A. Fission \& Activation Gases | Unit | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter4 | Est. Total <br> Error $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Release | Ci | $9.98 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.88 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.23 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2. Average release rate for the period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.46 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |
| 3. Percent of ODCM limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |


| B. lodine |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total lodine -131 | Ci | $6.41 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.01 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2. Average release rate for the period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $8.24 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.37 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| 3. Percent of ODCM limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |


| C. Particulates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Particulates with half-lives >8 days | Ci | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.03 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.86 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.59 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2. Average release rate for the period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| 3. Percent of ODCM limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |


| D. Tritium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Release | Ci | $4.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.04 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Average release rate for the period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.86 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Percent of ODCM limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| E. Gross Alpha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Release | Ci | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | N/A |  |  |
| 2. Average release rate for the period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$ | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD |  |  |  |
| 3. Percent of ODCM limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |


| F. Carbon-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Release | Ci | $8.38 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.38 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.38 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
| 2. Average release rate for the period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
| 3. Percent of ODCM limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |

"**" This information is contained in the Radiological Impact on Man section of the report.
"<" Indicates activity of sample is less than LLD given in $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{ml}$

Table 1.2-1
LASALLE COUNTY NUCLEAR POWER STATION EFFLUENT AND WASTE DISPOSAL ANNUAL REPORT (2014)

SOLID WASTE AND IRRADIATED FUEL SHIPMENTS FOURTH QUARTER

| A. Fission \& Activation Products | Unit | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter4 | Est. Total <br> Error \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Release (not including tritium, gases <br> \& alpha) | Ci | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | N/A |
| 2. Average diluted concentration during <br> period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD |  |
| 3. Percent of applicable limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |


| B. Tritium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Release | Ci | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | N/A |
| 2. Average diluted concentration during <br> period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD |  |
| 3. Percent of applicable limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |


| C. Dissolved \& Entrained Gases | <LLD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Release | Ci | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | N/A |
| 2. Average diluted concentration during <br> period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD | <LLD |  |
| 3. Percent of applicable limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |


| D. Gross Alpha Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total Release | Ci | <LLD | <LLD | $<$ LLD | $<L L D$ | N/A |  |
| 2. Average release rate for the period | $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $<L L D$ | $<L L D$ | $<L L D$ | $<L L D$ |  |  |
| 3. Percent of ODCM limit | $\%$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |


| E. Volume of Waste Released (prior to <br> dilution) | Liters | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| F. Volume of Dilution Water Used During <br> Period | Liters | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"*" This information is contained in the Radiological Impact on Man section of the report.
"<" Indicates activity of sample is less than LLD given in $\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{ml}$

Table 2.1-1

SOLID RADWASTE ANNUAL REPORT

LaSalle County Station

Table 2.1-1 deliberately deleted. For solid waste disposal detail, refer to the LaSalle County Station 2014 Annual Radiological Effluent Release Report (ARERR).

| Infant Receptor | Quarterly Limit | Units | 1st Quarter | $\%$ of Limit | 2nd Quarter | \% of Limit | $\begin{gathered} 3^{\text {rd }} \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ of Limit | 4th Quarter | \% of Limit | Annual Limit | \% of Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gamma Air | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRad | 1.20E-02 | 0.24 | $9.77 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.20 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.28 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.24 | 1.00E+01 | 0.48 |
| Beta Air | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | mRad | 5.67E-04 | 0.006 | $4.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.004 | 6.91E-04 | 0.007 | 5.24E-04 | 0.005 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.01 |
| NG Total Body | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.32 | $6.52 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.26 | $9.42 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.38 | 7.93E-03 | 0.32 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.64 |
| NG Skin | $7.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.18 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.15 | 1.59E-02 | 0.21 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.18 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.36 |
| NNG Organ | $7.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | 3.14E-01 | 4.18 | 2.43E-01 | 3.24 | 1.61E-01 | 2.15 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.60 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6.08 |
| Child Receptor | Quarterly Limit | Units | 1st Quarter | \% of <br> Limit | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2nd } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Limit | $\begin{gathered} 3^{\text {rd }} \\ \text { Quarter } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ of Limit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { Quarter } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Limit | Annual Limit | $\%$ of Limit |
| Gamma Air | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRad | 1.20E-02 | 0.24 | 9.77E-03 | 0.20 | 1.41E-02 | 0.28 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.24 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.48 |
| Beta Air | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | mRad | 5.67E-04 | 0.006 | 4.41E-04 | 0.004 | 6.91E-04 | 0.007 | 5.24E-04 | 0.005 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.01 |
| NG Total Body | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | 8.00E-03 | 0.32 | 6.52E-03 | 0.26 | 9.42E-03 | 0.38 | 7.93E-03 | 0.32 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.64 |
| NG Skin | $7.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | 1.35E-02 | 0.18 | 1.10E-02 | 0.15 | 1.59E-02 | 0.21 | 1.34E-02 | 0.18 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.36 |
| NNG Organ | 7.50E+00 | mRem | 1.29E-01 | 1.72 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.34 | 6.66E-02 | 0.89 | 8.05E-02 | 1.07 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.51 |
| Teenager Receptor | Quarterly Limit | Units | 1st Quarter | $\%$ of Limit | 2nd Quarter | $\%$ of <br> Limit | $\begin{gathered} 3^{\text {rd }} \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ of Limit | 4th Quarter | $\%$ of Limit | Annual Limit | \% of Limit |
| Gamma Air | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRad | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.24 | 9.77E-03 | 0.20 | 1.41E-02 | 0.28 | 1.19E-02 | 0.24 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.48 |
| Beta Air | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | mRad | 5.67E-04 | 0.006 | $4.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.004 | 6.91E-04 | 0.007 | 5.24E-04 | 0.005 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.01 |
| NG Total Body | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | 8.00E-03 | 0.32 | $6.52 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.26 | 9.42E-03 | 0.38 | 7.93E-03 | 0.32 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.64 |
| NG Skin | $7.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | 1.35E-02 | 0.18 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.15 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.21 | 1.34E-02 | 0.18 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.36 |
| NNG Organ | 7.50E+00 | mRem | 6.54E-02 | 0.87 | 5.07E-02 | 0.68 | 3.36E-02 | 0.45 | 4.07E-02 | 0.54 | 1.50E+01 | 1.27 |
| Adult Receptor | Quarterly Limit | Units | 1st Quarter | \% of Limit | 2nd Quarter | $\%$ of Limit |  | \% of Limit | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4th } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Limit | Annual Limit | \% of Limit |
| Gamma Air | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRad | 1.20E-02 | 0.24 | 9.77E-03 | 0.20 | 1.41E-02 | 0.28 | 1.19E-02 | 0.24 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.48 |
| Beta Air | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | mRad | 5.67E-04 | 0.006 | $4.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.004 | 6.91E-04 | 0.007 | $5.24 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.005 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.40 |
| NG Total Body | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.32 | 6.52E-03 | 0.26 | $9.42 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.38 | 7.93E-03 | 0.32 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.64 |
| NG Skin | $7.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.18 | 1.10E-02 | 0.15 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.21 | 1.34E-02 | 0.18 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.64 0.36 |
| NNG Organ | $7.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | mRem | $4.13 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.55 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.43 | 2.13E-02 | 0.28 | 2.57E-02 | 0.34 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.36 0.80 |

The LaSalle County Nuclear Power Station maximum expected annual dose from Carbon-14 has been calculated using the maximum gross thermal capacity at full power operation. The resultant bounding
doses are based upon site specific assumptions of source term.


[^0]:    a Results obtained by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory as a participant in the crosscheck program for proficiency testing in drinking water conducted by Environmental Resources Associates (ERA).
    b Unless otherwise indicated, the laboratory result is given as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation for three determinations.
    c Results are presented as the known values, expected laboratory precision (1 sigma, 1 determination) and control limits as provided by ERA.

[^1]:    *Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.109 (Rev. 1)

