JChaissonProcPEm Resource

From:Ghasemian, ShahramSent:Friday, May 25, 2012 3:12 PMTo:Faria-Ocasio, Carolyn; Campbell, Tison; Hilton, NickSubject:Re: Chaisson has requested ADR

you don't need to do anything else until cornell contacts you. I suspect this is not a discrimination case which would mean that the regions are in the lead. So, I would give our regional folks a heads up and direct Chaisson to be in contact with the region rather than OE (unless you guys are doing something different for this case).

Meanwhile, could someone send me the ml number of the letter we issued.

Shahram Ghasemian Nuclear Regulatory Commission 202.450.9075 c 301.415.3591 w

----- Original Message -----From: Faria-Ocasio, Carolyn To: Campbell, Tison; Hilton, Nick; Ghasemian, Shahram Sent: Fri May 25 14:46:53 2012 Subject: RE: Chaisson has requested ADR

That is good news right?

This would be my first. So I really don't know... I guess Shahram is our expert: please help :)...

-----Original Message-----From: Campbell, Tison Sent: Friday, May 25, 2012 2:41 PM To: Hilton, Nick; Faria-Ocasio, Carolyn; Ghasemian, Shahram Subject: Chaisson has requested ADR Importance: High

I have a voicemail from him that I'm planning to return today (he already contacted Cornell). Is there anything that I should tell him with respect to the ADR process, or is everything coordinated through Cornell?

Hearing Identifier:JChaisson_Proc_PublicEmail Number:4

Mail Envelope Properties (4AD1A659C92C8546AA34BFB9D10564E462AE7F4ACD)

DR

Created By: Shahram.Ghasemian@nrc.gov

Recipients: "Faria-Ocasio, Carolyn" <Carolyn.Faria@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None "Campbell, Tison" <Tison.Campbell@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None "Hilton, Nick" <Nick.Hilton@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None

Reply Requested:

Sensitivity: Expiration Date: Recipients Received:

Post Office:	HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov	
Files MESSAGE	Size 1245	Date & Time 5/25/2012 3:11:34 PM
Options Priority: Return Notification:	Standard No	

No Normal