

Enclosure 2

Certificate of Compliance Renewal Application for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System
(Docket No. 72-1007),
Document No. LAR 1007-007, Revision 3, October 24, 2014

(1 paper copies)

Certificate of Compliance Renewal Application

For the

VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System

(Docket No. 72-1007)

Prepared by:

EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc.

Campbell, CA

Document No. LAR 1007-007

Revision 3

October 24, 2014

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	General Information.....	1-1
1.1	Background	1-2
1.1.1	VSC-24 CoC Amendment History	1-2
1.1.2	VSC-24 Storage Cask Loading Overview	1-5
1.2	Application Format and Content	1-6
1.3	References	1-7
2.	Scoping Evaluation	2-1
2.1	Scoping Evaluation Process	2-1
2.2	Scoping Evaluation Discussion and Results	2-1
2.2.1	Description of SSC	2-2
2.2.2	SSC Within the Scope of CoC Renewal.....	2-7
2.2.3	SSC Not Within the Scope of CoC Renewal.....	2-7
2.3	References	2-9
3.	Aging Management Review	3-1
3.1	Identification of Materials and Environments.....	3-1
3.1.1	Materials	3-2
3.1.2	Environments	3-3
3.2	Aging Effects Requiring Management.....	3-5
3.2.1	Possible Aging Effects.....	3-5
3.2.2	Observed Aging Effects.....	3-13
3.3	Time-Limited Aging Analyses.....	3-21
3.3.1	TLAA Identification Criteria	3-21
3.3.2	TLAA Identification Process and Results.....	3-22

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

3.3.3	Evaluation and Disposition of Identified TLAAs.....	3-22
3.4	Aging Management Program	3-27
3.4.1	Aging Effects Subject to Aging Management	3-27
3.4.2	Aging Management Program Description	3-27
3.4.3	Corrective Actions	3-36
3.4.4	Lead Cask Inspection.....	3-44
3.5	Retrievability.....	3-47
3.6	References	3-48
	Appendix A – VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes	A-1
	Appendix B – VSC-24 Storage System Technical Specification Changes.....	B-1

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
Table 1 - VSC-24 Cask Loading History.....	1-9
Table 2 - Regulatory Compliance Cross-Reference Matrix (2 Pages)	1-10
Table 3 - VSC-24 Storage System General Arrangement Drawings (2 Pages).....	2-11
Table 4 - Summary of Scoping Evaluation Results	2-13
Table 5 - Intended Functions of MSB Assembly Subcomponents	2-14
Table 6 - Intended Functions of VCC Assembly Subcomponents	2-15
Table 7 - Intended Functions of MTC Assembly Subcomponents (2 Pages).....	2-16
Table 8 - Intended Functions of SNF Assembly Subcomponents	2-18
Table 9 - MSB Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages).....	3-51
Table 10 - VCC Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages).....	3-53
Table 11 - MTC Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages).....	3-55
Table 12 - SNF Assembly AMR Results.....	3-57
Table 13 - Summary of Aging Effects Managed by AMP (2 Pages)	3-58
Table 14 - Examination of VCC Assembly Air Inlets and Outlets (2 Pages).....	3-60
Table 15 - Examination of VCC Assembly Exterior Concrete (4 Pages).....	3-62
Table 16 - Examination of VCC Assembly Ventilation Ducts and Annulus (3 Pages)	3-66
Table 17 - Examination of VSC Top End Steel Components (3 Pages)	3-69
Table 18 - Examination of MTC Assembly (2 Pages).....	3-72
Table 19 - Lead Cask Inspection (4 Pages)	3-74

This page intentionally left blank.

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE</u>	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1 - VSC-24 Storage System CoC Amendment Timeline	1-12
Figure 2 - VSC-24 Storage Cask Loading Timeline.....	1-13

This page intentionally left blank.

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACI	American Concrete Institute
AIT	Augmented Inspection Team
AMA	Aging Management Activity
AMP	Aging Management Program
AMR	Aging Management Review
ANO	Arkansas Nuclear One
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM	American Society of Testing and Materials
BPRA	Burnable Poison Rod Assembly
B&W	Babcock and Wilcox
CAL	Corrective Action Letter
CAR	Corrective Action Report
CC	Criticality Control (intended function)
CE	Combustion Engineering
CH	Certificate Holder
CoC	Certificate of Compliance
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CLB	Current Licensing Basis
DECP	Double Edge Cracked Plate
DFI	Demand For Information
DHC	Delayed Hydride Cracking
ES	Energy <i>Solutions</i>
EPFM	Elastic-Plastic Fracture Mechanics
FSAR	Final Safety Analysis Report
GL	General Licensee
HAZ	Heat-Affected Zone
HT	Heat Transfer (intended function)
ISFSI	Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation
ITS	Important-to-Safety
kW	Kilowatt
LAR	License Amendment Request
LEFM	Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

MPa	Megapascal
MSB	Multi-assembly Sealed Basket
MTC	MSB Transfer Cask
MTU	Metric Ton Uranium
MWd	Megawatt Day
NDE	Nondestructive Examination
NITS	Not-Important-To-Safety
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
PWR	Pressurized Water Reactor
PR	Pressure Boundary, i.e., confinement (intended function)
PT	Liquid Penetrant Test
RS	Radiation Shielding (intended function)
RT	Radiographic Test
SAR	Safety Analysis Report
SFA	Spent Fuel Assembly
SNF	Spent Nuclear Fuel
SS	Structural Support (intended function)
SSC	Structure, System, and Component
TLAA	Time-Limited Aging Analysis
TOFD	Time-of-Flight Diffraction
TPA	Thimble Plug Assembly
UT	Ultrasonic Test
VCC	Ventilated Concrete Cask
VSC	Ventilated Storage Cask

1. General Information

The VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System (hereafter referred to as the VSC-24 Storage System) is approved under 10 CFR 72, Subpart K (Docket No. 72-1007) for storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel (SNF) in an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) at power reactor sites to persons authorized to possess or operate nuclear power reactors under 10 CFR 50. The VSC-24 Certificate of Compliance (CoC) [1.1] was initially issued on May 7, 1993 with an expiration date of May 7, 2013. EnergySolutions (ES), as the Certificate Holder (CH) of the VSC-24 Storage System CoC No. 1007 [1.1], is applying for renewal of CoC No. 1007 for a term of 40 years in accordance with 10 CFR 72.240(a). Specifically, ES is applying for renewal of the initial VSC-24 Storage System CoC and Amendments 1 through 6, with the following proposed CoC conditions:

- The initial issue and Amendments 1, 2, and 3 of the VSC-24 Storage System CoC are conditioned to limit storage of HBU fuel (i.e., fuel assemblies having an assembly average burnup greater than 45 GWd/MTU) in VSC-24 casks to 20 years. The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) are described in Appendix B.
- The initial issue and Amendments 1, 2, and 3 of the VSC-24 Storage System CoC are conditioned to require that all new VSC-24 Storage System Structures, Systems, and Components (SSC) be constructed in accordance with Amendment 4 of the VSC-24 Storage System CoC or subsequent CoC amendments. Subcomponents required for maintenance and repair of the existing loaded VSC-24 Storage System SSC may continue to be constructed in accordance with the CoC under which they were originally constructed.
- The initial issue and Amendments 1 through 6 of the VSC-24 Storage System CoC are conditioned to limit the decay power per assembly to less than or equal to 0.625 kW (i.e., total cask heat load \leq 15 kW) for casks loaded under the renewed CoC. The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) are described in Appendix B.
- The initial issue and Amendments 1 through 6 of the VSC-24 Storage System CoC are conditioned to preclude use of galvanized steel grate instead of ceramic tiles on the VCC bottom plate (i.e., per FSAR Drawing No. VCC-24-002, Note 6).

The requested 40-year CoC renewal term will extend the CoC expiration date to May 7, 2053. The VSC-24 Storage System CoC renewal application includes information required by 10 CFR 72.240(c), including:

- (1) The design basis information as documented in the most recent updated Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) [1.10] as required by 10 CFR 72.248,
- (2) Time-Limited Aging Analyses (TLAAs) that demonstrate that SSC Important-to-Safety (ITS) will continue to perform their intended function for the requested period of extended operation, and

- (3) A description of the Aging Management Program (AMP) for management of issues associated with aging that could adversely affect structures, systems, and components important to safety.

In accordance with 10 CFR 72.240(d), the VSC-24 CoC renewal application demonstrates that the storage of SNF has not adversely affected SSC ITS in a significant manner.

1.1 Background

1.1.1 VSC-24 CoC Amendment History

The initial VSC-24 Storage System CoC was issued on May 7, 1993, corresponding to Revision 0 of the VSC-24 Storage System Safety Analysis Report (SAR) [1.2]. Subsequently, six (6) amendments were issued to the VSC-24 Storage System CoC. A description of the certification basis for the VSC-24 Storage System CoC initial issue and amendment history is provided in the following paragraphs. General descriptions of the changes and reasons for each amendment are provided, including the dates of the applications and associated supplements, the date of CoC issuance, and the corresponding FSAR revisions in which the changes were incorporated. An overall timeline of the VSC-24 Storage System CoC amendments history is shown in Figure 1.

Initial Issue:

The initial VSC-24 Storage System CoC [1.1] was issued by U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) with an effective date of May 7, 1993. As discussed in the NRC's Safety Evaluation Report (SER) for the Ventilated Storage Cask System, Revision 0, NRC approval was based, in part, on their review of Revision 0 of the VSC-24 SAR [1.2].

Amendment No. 1 – Burnable Poison Rod Assemblies (BPRA):

On December 30, 1998, License Amendment Request (LAR) 98-01 was submitted to NRC requesting that the VSC-24 TS be revised to allow storage of Babcock & Wilcox (B&W) fuel with BPRAs and a maximum fuel assembly weight of 1,576 pounds. In addition, the Ventilated Concrete Cask (VCC) maximum lift height was reduced to 60 inches to be consistent with the drop evaluation performed by the CH. These changes were part of a previously docketed 1993 LAR that was withdrawn by the CH with a commitment to address the proposed changes, and other outstanding issues, in a future LAR. The request, as supplemented on January 22, 1999, February 9, 1999 and April 8, 1999, was approved by NRC in Amendment 1 and was effective on May 30, 2000. Subsequently, in May 2000, the Amendment 1 changes were incorporated into Revision 1 of the FSAR [1.3]. The 1-1-00 Edition of 10 CFR Part 72 was in effect at the time of approval of Amendment 1. None of the changes in Amendment 1 were the result of any differences between this edition of 10 CFR Part 72 and the effective edition of 10 CFR Part 72 for the initial CoC.

Amendment No. 2 – Demand For Information (DFI) Amendment:

On October 6, 1997, NRC issued a Demand For Information (DFI) [1.12] to SNC as a result of NRC inspection findings. In response to the DFI, SNC committed to revise the VSC-24 SAR via two separate LARs. On November 20, 1998, the first of these LARs (DFI Amendment) was submitted in response to a request by NRC to conform to the existing SER for the previously docketed revisions of the FSAR. In addition, the LAR incorporated previously docketed revisions (0A and 0AA) of the VSC-24 SAR, changes identified by NRC for closure of Confirmatory Action Letter (CAL) 97-7-01, design upgrades and calculation revisions resulting from completed Corrective Action Reports (CARs), expanded explanation of the closure weld process and Ultrasonic Test (UT) examination, clarified ASME Code requirements for Non-Destructive Examination (NDE) of temporary attachments and impact testing of welds, base metal, and Heat Affects Zone (HAZ), address hydrogen generation of Carbo-Zinc coating in boric acid solution (NRC Bulletin 96-04), and correct errors in the thermal analysis of the VCC. The request was approved by NRC in Amendment 2 and was effective on September 5, 2000. Subsequently, in March 2001, the Amendment 2 changes were incorporated into Revision 2 of the FSAR [1.4]. The 1-1-00 Edition of 10 CFR Part 72 was in effect at the time of approval of Amendment 2. None of the changes in Amendment 2 were the result of any differences between this edition of 10 CFR Part 72 and the effective edition of 10 CFR Part 72 for the initial CoC and prior CoC amendments.

A second LAR (i.e., LAR 00-02,) which included correction of editorial and typographical discrepancies, addition of clarifications, removal of inconsistencies between the calculations and the SAR, and deletion of redundant figures and unnecessary references to specific fabrication documents on drawings, was submitted on May 1, 2000 to NRC in response to the DFI. On September 13, 2001, after completing an RAI, NRC concluded their review of LAR 00-02 by acknowledging completion of the last BFS action item related to the DFI and stating that the changes proposed in LAR 00-02 appeared to be allowed by, and should be evaluated under, the revised provisions of 10 CFR 72.48. BFS evaluated the changes proposed in LAR 00-02 in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48 and determined that, although some of the changes could be made without prior NRC approval in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48, some changes could not. However, since these specific changes were included in LAR 01-01, which had already been submitted to NRC, no further action was required on LAR 00-02. LAR 00-02 did not result in an amendment to the VSC-24 CoC. However, the changes from LAR 00-02 that were made via 10 CFR 72.48 were incorporated into Revision 4 of the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [1.6].

Amendment No. 3 – CE 16x16 Fuel Amendment:

On March 29, 2000, LAR 00-01 was submitted to NRC requesting specific changes to the VSC-24 Technical Specifications (TS) for CE 16x16 fuel assemblies. The LAR requested that the fuel specification for CE 16x16 fuel be based on a boron concentration (vs. initial enrichment) curve rather than minimum burnup. The LAR also requested reformatting of the TS to move the TS bases to a separate section. The request, as

supplemented on June 8, 2000, was approved by NRC in Amendment 3 and was effective on May 21, 2001. Subsequently, in September 2001, the Amendment 3 changes were incorporated into Revision 3 of the FSAR [1.5]. The 1-1-01 Edition of 10 CFR Part 72 was in effect at the time of approval of Amendment 3. None of the changes in Amendment 3 were the result of any differences between this edition of 10 CFR Part 72 and the effective edition of 10 CFR Part 72 for the initial CoC and prior CoC amendments.

Amendment No. 4 – Fuel Specification Amendment:

On March 30, 2001, LAR 01-01 (e.g., Fuel Specification Amendment) was submitted to NRC requesting that the VSC-24 Technical Specifications be changed to permit storage of fuel assemblies with inserted control components and stainless steel dummy rods to address the future needs of the GLs and to provide the technical basis for some fuel assemblies previously loaded at Palisades. Some of these changes were part of a previously docketed 1993 LAR that was withdrawn by the CH with a commitment to address the proposed changes, and other outstanding issues, in a future LAR. As discussed above, LAR 01-01 also included changes that were originally submitted in LAR 00-02, and were subsequently evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48, as requested by NRC, but determined to require prior NRC approval. In addition, the maximum assembly average burnup level was reduced from 51,800 MWd/MTU to 45,000 MWd/MTU in LAR 01-01.¹ The request, as supplemented on July 26, 2001, April 29, 2002, May 16, 2002, and August 8, 2002, was approved by NRC in Amendment 4 and was effective on February 3, 2003. Subsequently, in March 2003, the Amendment 4 changes were incorporated into Revision 5 of the FSAR [1.7]. The 1-1-02 Edition of 10 CFR Part 72 was in effect at the time of approval of Amendment 4. None of the changes in Amendment 4 were the result of any differences between this edition of 10 CFR Part 72 and the effective edition of 10 CFR Part 72 for the initial CoC and prior CoC amendments.

Amendment No. 5 – CH Name Change:

On November 2, 2004, LAR 1007-005 was submitted to NRC requesting that the CH on the VSC-24 CoC be changed to BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation (BFS). On April 27, 2005, the request was revised to change the CH on the VSC-24 CoC to BNG Fuel Solutions Corporation (BFS). The request, as supplemented, was approved in Amendment 5 and was effective on September 13, 2005. Amendment 5 did not require an FSAR revision. The 1-1-05 Edition of 10 CFR Part 72 was in effect at the time of approval of Amendment 5. None of the changes in Amendment 5 were the result of any differences between this edition of 10 CFR Part 72 and the effective edition of 10 CFR Part 72 for the initial CoC and prior CoC amendments.

¹ No fuel assemblies with burnups exceeding 45,000 MWd/MTU have been loaded in any VSC-24 casks.

Amendment No. 6 – Elimination of Temperature Monitoring:

On June 30, 2005, LAR 1007-006 was submitted to NRC requesting to eliminate the daily temperature measurement surveillance TS requirement and incorporate editorial changes associated with the CH name change. The request, as supplemented on October 12, 2005 was approved in Amendment 6, which was effective on June 5, 2006. Subsequently, in August 2006, the Amendment 6 changes were incorporated into Revision 6 of the FSAR [1.7]. The 1-1-06 Edition of 10 CFR Part 72 was in effect at the time of approval of Amendment 6. None of the changes in Amendment 6 were the result of any differences between this edition of 10 CFR Part 72 and the effective edition of 10 CFR Part 72 for the initial CoC and prior CoC amendments.

No additional amendments to the VSC-24 CoC have been requested.

1.1.2 VSC-24 Storage Cask Loading Overview

To date, a total of fifty eight (58) VSC-24 casks are loaded and stored at three different ISFSIs; eighteen (18) casks at the Palisades nuclear power plant in Michigan, sixteen (16) casks at the Point Beach nuclear power plant in Wisconsin, and twenty four (24) casks at the Arkansas Nuclear One (ANO) nuclear power plant in Arkansas. An overall timeline of the VSC-24 cask loading dates is provided in Table 1 and Figure 2. All VSC-24 casks at these three ISFSIs were loaded and placed into storage between May 1993 and June 2003. As shown in Table 1, the majority of the VSC-24 casks at all three sites were loaded under Revision 0 of the CoC, and only a few of later casks at Point Beach and ANO were loaded under Amendments 1 through 4. All of the SNF assemblies that are stored in the 58 loaded VSC-24 casks have relatively low heat loads and burnup. The maximum initial heat load for all 58 loaded VSC-24 is 14.7 kW (Palisades Cask Number VSC-15, loaded in June 1999), which is much lower than the maximum design basis heat load of 24 kW.² The highest assembly average burnup of all SNF assemblies stored in the 58 loaded VSC-24 casks is 41.4 GWd/MTU, compared to the maximum allowable SNF assembly average burnup of 51.8 GWd/MTU.³

In accordance with the guidance of NUREG-1927 [1.11], a discussion of the experience gained during the early VSC-24 storage cask loading operations is provided in Sections 3.4.3.2 through 3.4.3.4. The discussion focuses on significant events that occurred, and the corrective actions taken to assure continued safe operation and prevent recurrence of the conditions. The events discussed include several instances of MSB closure weld leaks that were identified and corrected during the MSB loading operations at all three sites, identification of flaws in the MSB longitudinal seam weld of an MSB (Palisades MSB-04) that had already been loaded and placed in storage, and a hydrogen ignition event at Point Beach. A general timeline of these events is provided in Figure 2, which shows that these events occurred relatively early in the cask loading

²As discussed in Section 1, a proposed CoC condition will limit the initial heat load to 15 kW for all casks loaded under the renewed CoC.

³ As discussed in Section 1, a proposed CoC condition will limit the storage term of any cask containing HBU fuel (i.e., fuel with an average burnup greater than 45 GWd/MTU) to 20 years.

timeline. The corrective actions taken in response to these events were effective in preventing recurrence, as discussed in Sections 3.4.3.2 through 3.4.3.4. Furthermore, the evaluation of these VSC-24 casks for the extended storage period shows that they will continue to perform their intended functions.

1.2 Application Format and Content

The VSC-24 CoC renewal application format and content are based on the requirements of 10 CFR 72.240(c) and the guidance provided in NUREG-1927 [1.11]. Table 2 provides a summary of the section numbers and headings of the VSC-24 Storage System CoC renewal application and cross-references to the applicable sections of NUREG-1927 [1.11] and 10 CFR Part 72 Regulations.

All changes to the VSC-24 Storage System that have previously been made without prior NRC approval in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48 have been incorporated in the latest FSAR [1.10]. Other than the extension of the design life⁴ of the VSC-24 casks from 50 to 60 years to support the 40-year CoC renewal period, the VSC-24 Storage System CoC renewal application does not include any changes to the current design basis.

⁴ Design life is the storage period for which the VSC-24 storage system is evaluated.

1.3 References

- [1.1] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Certificate of Compliance for Spent Fuel Storage Casks, Model No.: Ventilated Storage Cask (VSC-24), Certificate No. 1007, Docket No. 72-1007; Initial Issue (Effective May 7, 1993); Amendment No. 1 (Effective May 30, 2000); Amendment No. 2 (Effective September 5, 2000); Amendment No. 3 (Effective May 21, 2001); Amendment No. 4 (Effective February 3, 2003); Amendment No. 5 (Effective September 13, 2005); Amendment No. 6 (Effective June 27, 2006).
- [1.2] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Safety Analysis Report for the Ventilated Storage Cask System," Document No. PSN-91-001, Revision 0, October 1991.
- [1.3] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 1, May 2000.
- [1.4] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 2, March 2001.
- [1.5] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates, Sierra Nuclear Corporation, and BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 3, September 2001.
- [1.6] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates, Sierra Nuclear Corporation, and BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 4, April 2002.
- [1.7] BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 5, March 2003.
- [1.8] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 6, August 2006.
- [1.9] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 7, April 2007.
- [1.10] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 8, April 2009.
- [1.11] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-1927, "Standard Review Plan for Renewal of Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Licenses and Dry Cask Storage System Certificates of Compliance," March 2011.

[1.12] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Demand For Information, EA-97-441, October 6, 1997.

Table 1 - VSC-24 Cask Loading History

Palisades			Point Beach			ANO		
Cask No.	Loading Date	CoC Amend.	Cask No.	Loading Date	CoC Amend.	Cask No.	Loading Date	CoC Amend.
1	05/07/93	0	1	12/11/95	0	1	12/17/96	0
2	05/15/93	0	2	11/05/98	0	2	10/30/98	0
3	06/21/94	0	3	05/21/96	0	3	1/28/97	0
4	07/12/94	0	4	09/01/98	0	4	4/13/99	0
5	12/13/94	0	5	10/05/98	0	5	4/2/97	0
6	09/14/94	0	6	04/12/99	0	6	4/13/97	0
7	09/27/94	0	7	08/09/99	0	7	10/21/98	0
8	10/11/94	0	8	09/13/99	0	8	4/27/99	0
9	01/31/95	0	9	04/10/00	0	9	5/18/99	0
10	02/28/95	0	10	05/15/00	0	10	4/18/00	0
11	03/28/95	0	11	08/14/00	1	11	10/1/98	0
12	04/11/95	0	12	09/11/00	2	12	9/23/98	0
13	04/25/95	0	13	11/28/01	3	13	6/16/99	0
15	06/08/99	0	14	01/02/02	3	14	7/14/99	0
16	07/06/99	0	15	12/09/02	3	15	6/6/00	0
17	07/20/99	0	16	01/10/03	3	16	7/25/00	1
18	08/03/99	0				17	6/6/01	3
19	08/17/99	0				18	1/23/01	2
						19	6/26/01	3
						20	7/25/01	3
						21	8/14/01	3
						22	8/30/02	3
						23	9/11/02	3
						24	6/11/03	4

Table 2 - Regulatory Compliance Cross-Reference Matrix (2 Pages)

CoC Renewal Application Section Number and Heading	NUREG-1927 Section Number and Heading	10CFR72 Requirement
1. General Information	1. General Information Review	---
1.1 Background	---	---
1.1.1 VSC-24 CoC Amendment History	---	---
1.1.2 VSC-24 Storage Cask Loading Overview	---	---
1.2 Application Format and Content	1.4.4 Application Content	§72.240(b), (c)
2. Scoping Evaluation	2. Scoping Evaluation	---
2.1 Scoping Evaluation Process	2.4.1 Scoping Process	§72.236
2.2 Scoping Evaluation Discussion and Results	---	---
2.2.1 Description of SSC	---	---
2.2.2 SSC Within the Scope of CoC Renewal	2.4.2 Structures, Systems, and Components Within the Scope of License Renewal	§§72.122, 72.236
2.2.3 SSC Not Within the Scope of CoC Renewal	2.4.3 Structures, Systems, and Components <u>Not</u> Within the Scope of License Renewal	§72.122
3. Aging Management Review	3. Aging Management Review	---
3.1 Identification of Materials and Environments	3.4.1 Identification of Materials and Environments	---
3.1.1 Materials		
3.1.2 Environments		
3.2 Aging Effects Requiring Management	3.4.2 Identification of Aging Effects	§72.236
3.2.1 Possible Aging Effects		
3.2.2 Observed Aging Effects		

Table 2 - Regulatory Compliance Cross-Reference Matrix (2 Pages)

CoC Renewal Application Section Number and Heading	NUREG-1927 Section Number and Heading	10CFR72 Requirement
3.3 Time-Limited Aging Analyses	3.5 Time-Limited Aging Analysis Evaluation	§72.240(c)(2)
3.3.1 TLAA Identification Criteria		
3.3.2 TLAA Identification Process and Results		
3.3.3 Evaluation and Disposition of Identified TLAA's		
3.4 Aging Management Program	3.6 Aging Management Program	§72.240(c)(3)
3.4.1 Aging Effects Subject to Aging Management	3.6.1.1 Aging Effects Subject to Aging Management	
3.4.2 Aging Management Program Description	3.6.1.2 Prevention Mitigation, Condition Monitoring, and Performance Monitoring Programs	
3.4.3 Corrective Actions	3.6.1.3 Corrective Actions	
3.4.4 Lead Cask Inspection	3.6.1.4 Component Specific Guidance	
3.5 Retrievability	3.7 Retrievability	§§72.122(l), 72.236(m)
Appendix A - VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes	1.4.4 Application Content	§72.240(c)
Appendix B - VSC-24 Storage System Technical Specification Changes	1.4.4 Application Content	§72.240(c)




Figure 1 - VSC-24 Storage System CoC Amendment Timeline




Figure 2 - VSC-24 Storage Cask Loading Timeline

This page intentionally left blank.

2. Scoping Evaluation

The scoping evaluation identifies those SSC of the VSC-24 Storage System that are within the scope of the CoC renewal, and require further evaluation for potential aging effects. The process and methodology used for the scoping evaluation is described in Section 2.1. The scoping evaluation results are summarized in Section 2.2.

2.1 Scoping Evaluation Process

The scoping evaluation of the VSC-24 Storage System is performed based on the two-step process described in NUREG-1927 [2.1]. The first step in the process is a screening evaluation to determine which SSC are within the scope of the renewal. SSC are considered to be within the scope of the renewal if they satisfy either of the following criteria:

- (1) The SSC is classified as Important-To-Safety (ITS), or
- (2) The SSC is classified as Not-Important-To-Safety (NITS), but, according to the design basis, its failure could prevent fulfillment of a function that is ITS.

The second step involves further review of the SSC that are determined to be within the scope of the renewal to identify and describe the subcomponents that support the intended function(s) of the SSC. The intended functions of the SSC subcomponents include criticality control, heat transfer, radiation shielding, confinement, and structural support.

In accordance with NUREG-1927 [2.1], the VSC-24 Storage System CoC renewal is based on the continuation of the existing Current Licensing Basis (CLB) throughout the period of extended operation and maintenance of the intended safety functions of the SSC ITS. Accordingly, the sources of information reviewed in the scoping evaluation that describe the CLB and the intended safety functions of the SSC ITS are the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR, CoC, Technical Specifications (TS), and Safety Evaluation Report (SER.)

Section 2.2 discusses the VSC-24 Storage System CoC renewal scoping evaluation and results. Table 4 summarizes the results of the scoping evaluation, listing the SSC that are identified within the scope of renewal and the criteria upon which they are determined to be within the scope of renewal. Furthermore, the subcomponents of the in-scope SSC and their intended safety functions are identified in Table 5 through Table 8.

2.2 Scoping Evaluation Discussion and Results

As discussed in Section 1.2.1 of the latest VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [2.10], the VSC-24 Storage System includes the following components and equipment:

- Ventilated Concrete Cask (VCC)
- Multi-assembly Sealed Basket (MSB)

- MSB Transfer Cask (MTC)
- Fuel Transfer and Auxiliary Equipment (e.g., hydraulic roller skid, heavy haul transfer trailer, vacuum drying and helium back-fill system with a helium sniffer for leak detection, welding equipment)
- ISFSI Storage Pad
- ISFSI Security Equipment

General arrangement drawings of the VSC-24 storage system components and equipment are provided in the SAR and FSARs that correspond with the initial CoC and all approved CoC amendments. A summary of the general arrangement drawings included in each SAR and FSAR revision associated with the initial CoC and all subsequent CoC amendments is provided in Table 3. Descriptions of the SSCs are provided in Sections 2.2.1.1 through 2.2.1.7. Where applicable, discussions are provided in these sections that identify components or subcomponents that have been added or removed from the storage system as the result of CoC amendments or changes made by 10 CFR 72.48.

2.2.1 Description of SSC

The VSC-24 Storage System is a canister-based dry cask spent fuel storage system that is comprised of two principal components; the MSB assembly (i.e., a canister) and the VCC assembly. In addition, the system includes an MTC assembly (i.e., a transfer cask) that is used for canister loading/unloading operations in the spent fuel building at the reactor site. Other site-specific system components include the MTC lifting yoke, on-site transfer equipment, MSB closure equipment, and the ISFSI storage pad. Additional descriptions of these components are provided in Chapter 1 of the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]) and in the following sections.

2.2.1.1 Spent Fuel Assemblies

The VSC-24 Storage System is designed to accommodate up to twenty-four (24) intact⁵, unconsolidated, zircaloy clad Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) SNF assemblies in each cask. A wide range of PWR SNF assembly types are accommodated by the VSC-24 Storage System. The fuel types allowed by the initial CoC include B&W Mark B 15x15, CE/Exxon 15x15, CE 16x16, Westinghouse PWR 17x17, Westinghouse PWR 15x15, and Westinghouse PWR 14x14. Amendment 1 expands the fuel types to include B&W Mark B 15x15 with BPRAs. Amendment 4 further expands the fuel types to include B&W Mark B 15x15 with thimble plug assemblies (TPAs), CE/Exxon 15x15 fuel with poison clusters or plugging clusters, Westinghouse PWR 17x17 with BPRAs and TPAs, and Westinghouse PWR 14x14 with BPRAs and TPAs. The VSC-24 Storage System components are provided in three different

⁵ Fuel with no known or suspected gross cladding failures.

configurations (i.e., standard, long, and short) to accommodate the range of PWR SNF assembly lengths.

In all cases, the maximum heat load is limited to 1 kW per SNF assembly (i.e., 24 kW per cask), the post-irradiation time is limited to 5 years or more, and the maximum initial enrichment is limited to 4.2 wt% ²³⁵U. In the initial CoC and Amendments 1, 2, and 3, the maximum assembly average burnup level is limited to ≤51,800 MWd/MTU. In Amendment 4, the maximum assembly average burnup level is reduced to ≤45,000 MWd/MTU. However, as discussed in Section 1.1.2, the maximum initial heat load for all 58 casks loaded to date is 14.7 kW and no fuel assemblies with burnups exceeding 45,000 MWd/MTU have been loaded into any VSC-24 casks. Furthermore, as discussed in Section 1, proposed CoC conditions will limit the storage period for VSC-24 casks loaded with fuel assemblies having an assembly average burnup level that exceeds 45,000 MWd/MTU to 20 years and limit the total decay heat to 15 kW for all casks loaded under the renewed CoC. Section 1.2.1 of the Technical Specifications for the VSC-24 Storage System describes the characteristics of the spent fuel to be stored in the VSC-24 storage system.

2.2.1.2 MSB Assembly

The MSB assembly is described in Section 1.2.1.1 of the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]). The MSB assembly, which is fabricated primarily from carbon steel, is comprised of a shell assembly and a storage sleeve assembly. All exposed internal and external surfaces of the MSB shell and basket assembly are coated with a radiation-resistant, high-temperature, non-organic coating, such as Dimetcote 6 or Carbo-Zinc 11, to protect the spent fuel pool chemistry during fuel loading operations and facilitate decontamination of the MSB assembly exterior surfaces. However, the coating is not relied upon for general corrosion protection of the MSB shell assembly external surfaces during storage. Instead, a corrosion allowance is considered in the design of the MSB shell and bottom plate.

The MSB shell assembly, which is designed to provide confinement of radioactive materials and to maintain the SNF payload in an inert atmosphere during on-site storage and handling operations, is provided in three different lengths; short (164.2 inches), standard (180.3 inches), and long⁶ (192.25 inches). The MSB shell assembly is comprised of a bottom plate, cylindrical shell, shield lid assembly, shield lid support ring, and structural lid. The shield lid assembly consists of a support plate and shield plug assembly. The shield plug assembly consists of an RX-277 neutron shield core that is fully encased in carbon steel. The shield plate, which is supported axially by the shield lid support ring, is positioned at the top end of the MSB shell assembly and forms the top end of the MSB shell assembly cavity. The shield plug assembly is positioned at the top end of the MSB shell between the support plate and the structural lid. The shield lid and structural lid of the MSB shell assembly provide significant radiation shielding at the top end of the MSB assembly. To a much lesser extent, the other packaging components of

⁶ The long MSB assembly configuration was added in Amendment 1, but was not reflected in the General Arrangement Drawings until Amendment 4.

the MSB assembly provide radiation shielding between the radioactive contents and the side and bottom surfaces.

The MSB storage sleeve assembly consists of a welded array of 24 storage sleeves, surrounded by three bands formed by support plates and support walls. Each storage sleeve assembly has an 8.8-inch square opening. The MSB storage sleeve lengths for the long, standard, and short MSB assemblies are 159.0 inches, 163.6 inches, and 147.5 inches, respectively. The MSB assembly does not include any integral criticality control features, such as neutron poisons, flux traps, or moderators. As discussed in the latest VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [2.10], the MSB relies on soluble boron in the spent fuel pool water for criticality control during fuel loading operations. Furthermore, criticality control is assured during on-site storage because there are no credible means by which moderator can enter and fill the MSB cavity. Thus, unlike most spent fuel basket assemblies, the primary function of the MSB storage sleeve assembly is limited to structural support rather than criticality control.

The MSB assembly is designed in accordance with the allowable stress design criteria for Class 2 components from the ASME Code, Subsection NC [2.12]. The MSB lifting devices are designed in accordance with the requirements of NUREG-0612 [2.13] and ANSI N14.6 [2.14]. Brittle fracture failure of the MSB carbon steel materials is evaluated in accordance with the requirements of NUREG/CR-1815 [2.15]. In addition, administrative controls are used to control the minimum temperatures for MSB transfer operations to preclude possible brittle fracture failure of the MSB.

2.2.1.3 Ventilated Concrete Cask Assembly

The VCC assembly is described in Section 1.2.1.2 of the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]). The VCC assembly is a right circular cylindrical structure that is fabricated primarily from carbon steel and steel-reinforced normal-weight concrete. The VCC assembly is designed in accordance with the requirements of ACI 349 [2.16] and constructed in accordance with ACI 318 [2.17]. Carbon steel is used to form the inside cavity and air inlet and outlet ducts of the VCC assembly. Carbon steel is also used for the cask lid (i.e., weather cover) and the shield rings. All carbon steel surfaces of the VCC assembly subcomponents that are not embedded inside, or in direct contact with, the VCC concrete are coated with Dimetecote 6, or equivalent, to protect against corrosion during storage. The VCC assembly concrete is constructed from Type II Portland cement and is reinforced with A615 Grade 60 steel bars. The concrete has a density of 144 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) and a minimum compressive strength of 4,000 pounds per square inch (psi).

The VCC assembly has a 132.0-inch outside diameter, a 70.5-inch cavity diameter, and three different overall heights to accommodate the different MSB assembly configurations. The long,⁷ standard, and short VCC assembly overall heights are 225.1 inches, 213.0 inches, and 196.7 inches, respectively. The internal cavity of the VCC assembly is lined by a 1.75-inch thick

⁷ The long VCC assembly configuration was added in Amendment 1, but was not reflected in the General Arrangement Drawings until Amendment 4.

carbon steel shell and a 2-inch thick carbon steel bottom plate. A carbon steel shield ring assembly is provided at the top end of the VCC assembly to reduce radiation streaming from the VCC annulus. The top end of the VCC assembly is covered with a ¾-inch thick lid that is secured to the VCC assembly by bolts. Ceramic tiles, upon which the MSB rests during storage, are attached to the cask liner bottom plate in two alternate circular patterns. The original tile pattern consists of 29 tiles arranged in three concentric circles and one center tile. The second tile pattern, which was added in Amendment 4, consists of 24 tiles evenly spaced on a 30-inch radius circle. Both tile configurations are used in the existing VSC-24 casks.

The intended functions of the VCC assembly include structural support, radiation shielding, and heat transfer. The VCC assembly protects the MSB assembly from damage due to external events, such as tornado generated winds and missiles. The radiation shielding provided by the VCC assembly reduces occupational exposure and assures that the regulatory site-boundary dose limits are met. Air inlet and outlet ducts are cast into the body of the VCC assembly to provide natural convective cooling of the SNF assemblies during storage.

2.2.1.4 MSB Transfer Cask Assembly

A detailed description of the MTC assembly is provided in Section 1.2.1.3 of the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]). The MTC assembly is the transfer cask that is used for MSB loading and unloading operations. The MTC assembly is designed and fabricated as a special lifting device in accordance with the requirements of NUREG-0612 [2.13] and ANSI N14.6 [2.14]. It is a right circular cylindrical structure with integral lifting trunnions and hydraulically-operated shield doors on the bottom end. The top end of the MTC assembly is open, but includes a retainer ring to prevent the MSB assembly from being inadvertently lifted out of the cavity of the MTC assembly.

The MTC assembly has both a standard and light⁸ configuration. The standard configuration has a 63.5-inch cavity diameter and an 83.5-inch outer diameter, whereas the light configuration has a 63.0-inch cavity diameter and an 82.0-inch outer diameter. Both configurations are provided with three different cavity heights to accommodate the different length MSB assemblies. The long, standard, and short MTC assembly cavity heights are 192.8 inches, 180.8 inches, and 164.7 inches, respectively. All configurations include a ¾-inch thick carbon steel inner shell, 1-inch thick carbon steel outer shell, a 1-inch thick carbon steel bottom ring, and a 2-inch thick carbon steel top ring. The annular region between the inner and outer shells is filled with lead gamma shielding material and RX-277 neutron shielding material.⁹ The MTC assembly is equipped with

⁸ The design changes associated with the light MTC configuration, which were originally made by the GL in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48, were adopted into the generic MTC design in amendment 4 and included in Revision 5 of the FSAR [2.7].

⁹ The MTC configuration included in the CoC initial issue and amendments 1, 2, and 3, included a ¼-inch thick middle shell between the gamma and neutron shields. However, the GLs changed the MTC design to remove the middle shell in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48 and, consequently, all existing MTC assemblies were fabricated without the middle shell. This change was later adopted into the generic MTC in amendment 4 and included in Revision 5 of the FSAR [2.7].

two diametrically opposed Ø10.75-inch solid steel lifting trunnions.¹⁰ Most exposed carbon steel surfaces on the MTC assembly are coated with Dimetcote 6, or equivalent, to protect the spent fuel pool chemistry, facilitate decontamination, and protect against corrosion. However, certain components, such as bolts, lifting trunnions, sliding surfaces on shield doors and rails, and shim plates are not coated with Dimetcote 6, or equivalent.

2.2.1.5 Fuel Transfer and Auxiliary Equipment

The fuel transfer and auxiliary equipment necessary for ISFSI operations (e.g., lifting yoke, hydraulic roller skid, air-pallets, heavy haul trailer, engineered cask transporter, vacuum drying system, welding equipment, weld inspection equipment, drain pump equipment, and helium leak detection equipment) are not included as part of the VSC-24 Storage System approved by the VSC-24 Storage System CoC [2.11], and as such, are not described in detail in the VSC-24 Storage System SAR or FSARs.¹¹ General descriptions of the roller skid and transfer trailer are provided in Sections 1.2.1.4 and 1.2.1.5 of the latest VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [2.10], respectively. Some of the fuel transfer and auxiliary equipment is also depicted in the operational schematic shown in Figure 1.1-2 of the latest VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [2.10].

2.2.1.6 ISFSI Storage Pad

The VSC-24 ISFSI storage pad is not part of the VSC-24 Storage System approved by the VSC-24 Storage System CoC [2.11], and as such, is not described in detail in the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]). A typical ISFSI storage pad layout is shown in Figure 1.4-1 of the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]). The ISFSI storage pad is a steel-reinforced concrete slab that supports free-standing VSC-24 casks. As discussed in Section 1.4 of the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]), the ISFSI storage pad is capable of supporting the loads from the VSC-24 casks. However, the VCC and MSB assemblies are designed to withstand potential failure of the ISFSI storage pad that would not prevent them from fulfilling their intended safety functions.

2.2.1.7 ISFSI Security Equipment

The ISFSI security equipment (e.g., ISFSI security fences and gates, lighting, communications, and monitoring equipment) are not part of the VSC-24 Storage System approved by the VSC-24 Storage System CoC [2.11], and as such, are not described in detail in the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]). A typical ISFSI pad layout, which identifies

¹⁰ The MTC configuration included in the CoC initial issue and amendments 1, 2, and 3, also lifting trunnion assemblies that consisted of a hollow pipe section filled with gamma and neutron shielding materials. However, the GLs changed the MTC trunnion design to a solid steel trunnion in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48 and, consequently, all existing MTC assemblies were fabricated with solid steel lifting trunnions. This change was later adopted into the generic MTC in amendment 4 and included in Revision 5 of the FSAR [2.7].

¹¹ General arrangement drawings of the hydraulic roller skid and lifting yoke were included in the CoC initial issue and amendments 1, 2, and 3. However, because these components are not important to safety, the associated general arrangement drawings were removed in Amendment 3 and the changes were incorporated in Revision 4 of the FSAR [2.6].

some of the ISFSI security equipment, is shown in Figure 1.4-1 of the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]). Existing plant programs and procedures ensure that the ISFSI security equipment requirements are met. Furthermore, potential failure of the ISFSI security equipment would not prevent the VSC-24 casks from performing their intended functions.

2.2.2 SSC Within the Scope of CoC Renewal

The SSC determined to be within the scope of renewal are the MSB, VCC, and MTC assemblies. These basic components are the only SSC ITS approved by the CoC [2.11] under 10 CFR Part 72, Subpart L. The MSB, VCC, and MTC assemblies all satisfy Criteria 1 of the scoping evaluation process. The subcomponents of the in-scope SSC and their intended safety functions are identified in Table 5 through Table 7.

The SNF assemblies, which are sealed and supported inside the MSB assembly, are also determined to be within the scope of renewal. However, as noted in NUREG-1927 [2.1], the fuel pellet is not within the scope of renewal. The intended safety functions of the SNF assembly subcomponents are identified in Table 8.

2.2.3 SSC Not Within the Scope of CoC Renewal

The SSC that are not in the scope of renewal include fuel transfer and auxiliary equipment, ISFSI storage pad, ISFSI security equipment, and VCC instrumentation. All of these components are classified as NITS and do not meet scoping Criteria 2.

Fuel Transfer and Auxiliary Equipment

The fuel transfer and auxiliary equipment necessary for ISFSI operations (e.g., lifting yoke, hydraulic roller skid, air-pallets, heavy haul trailer, engineered cask transporter, vacuum drying system, welding equipment, weld inspection equipment, drain pump equipment, and helium leak detection equipment) are not included as part of the VSC-24 Storage System approved by the VSC-24 Storage System CoC [2.11] under 10 CFR Part 72, Subpart L. As discussed in Section 1.1 of the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [2.2] and FSARs ([2.3] thru [2.10]), the VCC, MSB, and MTC assemblies are designed to withstand potential failure of the fuel transfer equipment. Thus, failure of the fuel transfer equipment would not prevent the VCC, MSB, or MTC assemblies from fulfilling their intended safety functions. Therefore, the fuel transfer equipment does not meet scoping Criteria 2 and are not in the scope of renewal. The fuel transfer and auxiliary equipment are addressed on a site-specific basis in site reviews.

ISFSI Storage Pad

The VSC-24 ISFSI storage pad is not part of the VSC-24 Storage System approved by the VSC-24 Storage System CoC [2.11] under 10 CFR Part 72, Subpart L. The ISFSI storage pad provides free-standing support of the VSC-24 casks. The VCC assembly and MSB assembly are designed to withstand potential failure of the ISFSI pad that would not prevent them from fulfilling their intended safety functions. Therefore, the ISFSI storage pad does not meet scoping Criteria 2 and is not in the scope of renewal.

ISFSI Security Equipment

The ISFSI security equipment (e.g., ISFSI security fences and gates, lighting, communications, and monitoring equipment) are NITS components that are not part of the VSC-24 Storage System approved by the VSC-24 Storage System CoC [2.11] in accordance with 10 CFR Part 72, Subpart L. Failure of the ISFSI security equipment would not prevent fulfillment of a function that is important to safety.

2.3 References

- [2.1] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-1927, "Standard Review Plan for Renewal of Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Licenses and Dry Cask Storage System Certificates of Compliance," March 2011.
- [2.2] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Safety Analysis Report for the Ventilated Storage Cask System," Document No. PSN-91-001, Revision 0, October 1991.
- [2.3] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 1, May 2000.
- [2.4] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 2, March 2001.
- [2.5] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates, Sierra Nuclear Corporation, and BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 3, September 2001.
- [2.6] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates, Sierra Nuclear Corporation, and BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 4, April 2002.
- [2.7] BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 5, March 2003.
- [2.8] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 6, August 2006.
- [2.9] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 7, April 2007.
- [2.10] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 8, April 2009.
- [2.11] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, *Certificate of Compliance for Spent Fuel Storage Casks, Model No.: Ventilated Storage Cask (VSC-24)*, Certificate No. 1007, Docket No. 72-1007; Initial Issue (Effective May 7, 1993); Amendment No. 1 (Effective May 30, 2000); Amendment No. 2 (Effective September 5, 2000); Amendment No. 3 (Effective May 21, 2001); Amendment No. 4 (Effective February 3, 2003); Amendment No. 5 (Effective September 13, 2005); Amendment No. 6 (Effective June 27, 2006).

- [2.12] American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Division 1, *Rules for Construction of Nuclear Power Plant Components*, Subsection NC, *Class 2 Components*, 1986 through 1998 Editions, with 2000 Addenda.
- [2.13] NUREG-0612, *Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 1980.
- [2.14] ANSI N14.6, *Special Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 lbs (4500 kg) or More*, American National Standards Institute, 1993.
- [2.15] NUREG/CR-1815, *Recommendations for Protecting Against Failure by Brittle Fracture in Ferritic Steel Shipping Containers Up to Four Inches Thick*, 1981.
- [2.16] ACI 349-90, *Code Requirements for Nuclear Related Concrete Structures and Commentary*, American Concrete Institute.
- [2.17] ACI 318-89, *Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete*, American Concrete Institute.

Table 3 - VSC-24 Storage System General Arrangement Drawings (2 Pages)

FSAR Drawing No. / Sheet No.	Drawing Revision Numbers						
	SAR Rev. 0 ⁽¹⁾	FSAR Rev. 1 ⁽²⁾	FSAR Rev. 2 ⁽³⁾	FSAR Rev. 3 ⁽⁴⁾	FSAR Rev. 4 ⁽⁵⁾	FSAR Rev. 5 ⁽⁶⁾	FSAR Rev. 6 ⁽⁷⁾
VCC-24-001 / 1	3	3	3	3	4	6	6
VCC-24-001 / 2	2	2	2	2	2	4	4
VCC-24-002 / 1	3	3	3	3	4	5	5
VCC-24-002 / 2	3	3	3	3	3	5	5
VCC-24-002 / 3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
VCC-24-003 / 1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VCC-24-004 / 1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
VCC-24-005 / 1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
VCC-24-005 / 2	1	1	1	1	1	N/A ⁽⁹⁾	N/A
VCC-24-006 / 1	3	3	3	3	3	5	5
VCC-24-006 / 2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
VCC-24-008 / 1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
HRS-24-001 / 1	1	1	1	1	N/A ⁽⁸⁾	N/A	N/A
MSB-24-001 / 1	5	5	5	5	5	6	6
MSB-24-001 / 2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
MSB-24-002 / 1	4	4	4	4	5	6	6
MSB-24-002 / 2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4
MSB-24-003 / 1	4	4	4	4	4	6	6
MSB-24-004 / 1	4	4	4	4	5	6	6
MSB-24-004 / 2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MSB-24-004 / 3	1	1	1	1	1	4	4
MTC-24-001 / 1	3	3	3	3	3	5	5
MTC-24-001 / 2	3	3	3	3	3	5	5
MTC-24-002 / 1	3	3	3	3	3	5	5
MTC-24-002 / 2	3	3	3	3	3	5	5
MTC-24-003 / 1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3
MTC-24-003 / 2	1	1	1	1	1	3	3
MTC-24-004 / 1	2	2	2	2	2	N/A ⁽¹⁰⁾	N/A
MTC-24-005 / 1	2	2	2	2	2	4	4
MTC-24-006 / 1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Table 3 - VSC-24 Storage System General Arrangement Drawings (2 Pages)

FSAR Drawing No. / Sheet No.	Drawing Revision Numbers						
	SAR Rev. 0 ⁽¹⁾	FSAR Rev. 1 ⁽²⁾	FSAR Rev. 2 ⁽³⁾	FSAR Rev. 3 ⁽⁴⁾	FSAR Rev. 4 ⁽⁵⁾	FSAR Rev. 5 ⁽⁶⁾	FSAR Rev. 6 ⁽⁷⁾
MTC-24-007 / 1	3	3	3	3	3	5	5
MTC-24-008 / 1	3	3	3	3	4	5	5
MTC-24-009 / 1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3
MTC-24-009 / 2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0 ⁽¹¹⁾	0
MTC-24-010 / 1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MTC-24-010 / 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
LY-001 / 1	B	B	B	B	N/A ⁽⁸⁾	N/A ⁽⁸⁾	N/A ⁽⁸⁾
LY-002 / 2	B	B	B	B	N/A ⁽⁸⁾	N/A ⁽⁸⁾	N/A ⁽⁸⁾

Notes:

- (1) Initial SAR [2.2] associated with CoC initial issue.
- (2) FSAR Revision 1 [2.3] incorporated changes from CoC Amendment 1.
- (3) FSAR Revision 2 [2.4] incorporated changes from CoC Amendment 2.
- (4) FSAR Revision 3 [2.5] incorporated changes from CoC Amendment 3.
- (5) FSAR Revision 4 [2.6] incorporated changes made in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48.
- (6) FSAR Revision 5 [2.7] incorporated changes from CoC Amendment 4.
- (7) FSAR Revision 6 [2.8] incorporated changes from CoC Amendment 6. No drawing revisions have been made after FSAR Revision 6.
- (8) Hydraulic roller skid and lifting yoke drawings removed from FSAR since they are site-specific components that are not included as part of the VSC-24 Storage System approved by the CoC.
- (9) Detail of rounded corner on VCC assembly deleted. Replaced by chamfered edge.
- (10) MTC middle shell deleted.
- (11) Light MTC door added.

Table 4 - Summary of Scoping Evaluation Results

SSC Description	Scoping Results		In-Scope SSC?
	Criteria 1 ⁽¹⁾	Criteria 2 ⁽²⁾	
MSB Assembly	Yes	N/A	Yes
VCC Assembly	Yes	N/A	Yes
MTC Assembly	Yes	N/A	Yes
SNF Assembly ⁽³⁾	Yes	N/A	Yes
Fuel Transfer and Auxiliary Equipment ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	No	No	No
ISFSI Storage Pad	No	No	No
ISFSI Security Equipment ⁽⁶⁾	No	No	No

Notes:

- (1) SSC is Important-To-Safety (ITS).
- (2) SSC is Not-Important-To-Safety (NITS), but its failure could prevent an ITS function from being fulfilled.
- (3) Fuel pellets are not within the scope of the renewal.
- (4) Fuel transfer equipment includes the lifting yoke, hydraulic roller skid, air-pallets, heavy haul trailer, and engineered cask transporter.
- (5) Auxiliary equipment includes MSB closure equipment used to drain, backfill, and seal the MSB assembly (e.g., the vacuum drying system, welding equipment, weld inspection equipment, drain pump equipment, and helium leak detection equipment.)
- (6) ISFSI security equipment includes the ISFSI security fences and gates, lighting, communications, and monitoring equipment.

Table 5 - Intended Functions of MSB Assembly Subcomponents

Subcomponent	Part or I.D. No.	Reference Drawing⁽¹⁾	Intended Functions⁽²⁾
Shell	MSB-001	MSB-24-002	HT, RS, PR, SS
Bottom Plate	MSB-002	MSB-24-002	HT, RS, PR, SS
Shield Lid Support Ring	MSB-003	MSB-24-002	SS
Lifting Lug	MSB-004	MSB-24-002	SS
Structural Lid	MSB-005	MSB-24-002	HT, RS, PR, SS
Closure Weld Backing Ring	MSB-010	MSB-24-001	---
Shim	MSB-006	MSB-24-001	RS
Shield Lid Top Plate	MSB-011	MSB-24-003	RS, PR, SS
Shield Lid Bottom Plate	MSB-012	MSB-24-003	RS, PR, SS
Shield Lid Side Ring	MSB-013	MSB-24-003	PR, SS
Shield Lid Neutron Shield	MSB-014	MSB-24-003	RS
Shield Lid Pipe & Flex Tubing	MSB-007 & -016	MSB-24-003	---
Swagelok Quick Connect	MSB-008	MSB-24-003	---
Structural Lid Valve Covers	MSB-009	MSB-24-002	PR, RS
Shield Lid Support Plate	MSB-017	MSB-24-003	RS, SS
Storage Sleeve	MSB-018	MSB-24-004	CC, HT, RS, SS
Basket Edge Structure	MSB-019 thru -021	MSB-24-004	SS
Coating	Dwg. Note 1	MSB-24-001	--- ⁽³⁾

Notes:

- ⁽¹⁾ Included in initial SAR [2.2] and FSAR Revision 1 [2.3] through Revision 8 [2.10].
- ⁽²⁾ Intended functions are abbreviated as follows: Criticality Control (CC), Heat Transfer (HT), Radiation Shielding (RS), Confinement (PR), and Structural Support (SS).
- ⁽³⁾ The MSB carbon steel surfaces are coated with a zinc primer, but the primer is conservatively neglected in the licensing analyses.

Table 6 - Intended Functions of VCC Assembly Subcomponents

Subcomponent	Part or I.D. No.	Reference Drawing⁽¹⁾	Intended Functions⁽²⁾
Concrete Shell	VCC-014	VCC-24-001	HT, RS, SS
Rebar	VCC-051 thru -071	VCC-24-006	SS
Cask Liner Shell	VCC-003	VCC-24-002	HT, RS, SS
Cask Liner Bottom	VCC-012	VCC-24-002	HT, RS, SS
Liner Flange	VCC-004	VCC-24-002	SS
Cask Lid	VCC-001	VCC-24-002	RS, SS
Lid Bolts, Nuts, Lockwashers	VCC-002	VCC-24-002	SS
Locking Wire w/ Lead Seal	VCC-006	VCC-24-002	---
Lid Gasket	VCC-015	VCC-24-002	---
Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assy.)	VCC-039 & -040	VCC-24-002	RS
Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring)	VCC-039 thru -042	VCC-24-008	RS
Tile (MSB support)	VCC-016	VCC-24-002	---
Air Inlet Assembly	VCC-030 thru -038	VCC-24-003	HT
Air Outlet Weldment	VCC-022 thru -028	VCC-24-004	HT
Air Inlet Screen/Hardware	VCC-005, -007 & -008	VCC-24-001	HT
Air Outlet Screen/Hardware	VCC-019 & -029	VCC-24-004	HT
Bottom Plate Assembly	VCC-050 & -011	VCC-24-005	HT
MTC Alignment Plates	VCC-043 & -044	VCC-24-008	---
Coating	Drawing Notes ⁽³⁾	VCC-24-001, -002, -005 & -008.	---
VSC Lifting Lugs (Optional)	Latest VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [2.10], App. B	Figures B.3-1 and B.3-2	SS

Notes:

- (1) Included in initial SAR [2.2] and FSAR Revision 1 [2.3] through Revision 8 [2.10].
- (2) Intended functions are abbreviated as follows: Criticality Control (CC), Heat Transfer (HT), Radiation Shielding (RS), Confinement (PR), and Structural Support (SS).
- (3) All FSAR general arrangement drawing revisions: Dwg. No. VCC-24-001, Note 2; Dwg. No. VCC-24-002, Notes 1 and 2; Dwg. No. VCC-24-005, Note 1; and Dwg. No. VCC-24-008, Note 2.

Table 7 - Intended Functions of MTC Assembly Subcomponents (2 Pages)

Subcomponent	Part or I.D. No.	Reference Drawings⁽¹⁾	Intended Functions⁽²⁾
Outer Shell	MTC-001	MTC-24-002 & -003	SS, RS, HT
Inner Shell	MTC-003	MTC-24-002 & -005	SS, RS, HT
Middle Shell ⁽³⁾	MTC-020	MTC-24-002 & -004	SS, RS, HT
Top Ring	MTC-004	MTC-24-002	SS
Bottom Ring	MTC-011	MTC-24-002	SS
Neutron Absorber Shield	MTC-014	MTC-24-002	RS, HT
Lead Shield	MTC-002	MTC-24-002	RS, HT
Drain Pipe	MTC-021	MTC-24-002	---
Angle, Heat Transfer	MTC-045	MTC-24-002	RS, HT
Trunnion	MTC-018	MTC-24-008	SS
Trunnion Cylinder/End Covers	MTC-016, -013, & -017	MTC-24-008	---
Trunnion Inner & Outer Plate ⁽³⁾	MTC-019 & -022	MTC-24-008	SS, RS
Trunnion Lead/Neutron Shields ⁽³⁾	MTC-043 & -015	MTC-24-008	RS
MTC Lid	MTC-005	MTC-24-006	SS
Lid Bolts	MTC-006	MTC-24-001	SS
Shim/Flange	MTC-009 & -010	MTC-24-006	RS
Rail Shield	MTC-008	MTC-24-007	SS, RS
Rail Lower Plate	MTC-024	MTC-24-007	SS
Rail Alignment Plate/Door Bolt	MTC-028 & -025	MTC-24-007	---
Shield Door	MTC-007	MTC-24-009	SS, RS, HT
Light MTC Shield Door Lead Plug	Item 3, Sheet 2	MTC-24-009	RS
Door Top Cover	MTC-023	MTC-24-009	---
Door Hydraulics/Brackets/ Attachment Hardware	MTC-026, -027, -030, -039, & -012	MTC-24-009 & -003	---
Hydraulic Cylinder Assembly	MTC-029, -031 thru -038, -040 thru -042	MTC-24-010	---

Table 7 - Intended Functions of MTC Assembly Subcomponents (2 Pages)

Subcomponent	Part or I.D. No.	Reference Drawings⁽¹⁾	Intended Functions⁽²⁾
Coating	Dwg. Note 1	MTC-24-001 & -002	---

Notes:

- ⁽¹⁾ Included in initial SAR [2.2] and FSAR Revision 1 [2.3] through Revision 8 [2.10].
- ⁽²⁾ Intended functions are abbreviated as follows: Criticality Control (CC), Heat Transfer (HT), Radiation Shielding (RS), Confinement (PR), and Structural Support (SS).
- ⁽³⁾ Subcomponent removed by GL in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48 and subsequently adopted in CoC amendment 4 and incorporated in FSAR Revision 5 [2.7]. No MTCs include removed component.

Table 8 - Intended Functions of SNF Assembly Subcomponents

Subcomponent	Intended Functions ⁽¹⁾
Fuel Pellets	---
Fuel Cladding	CC, RS, PR, SS
Spacer Grid Assemblies	CC, SS
Upper End Fitting	SS
Lower End Fitting	SS
Guide Tubes	SS
Holddown Spring & Upper End Plugs	---
Control Components	--- ⁽²⁾

Notes:

- (1) Intended functions are abbreviated as follows: Criticality Control (CC), Heat Transfer (HT), Radiation Shielding (RS), Confinement (PR), and Structural Support (SS).
- (2) The VSC-24 criticality analysis does not account for negative reactivity effects of control components. Therefore, the control components do not have a criticality control function.

3. Aging Management Review

The Aging Management Review (AMR) of the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System provides an assessment of aging effects that could adversely affect the ability of in-scope SSC to perform their intended functions during the renewal period. The methodology used for the AMR of the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System is based on the guidance provided in NUREG-1927 [3.1]. The AMR process includes five (5) steps:

- (1) Identification of the materials and environments for all subcomponents of the in-scope SSC.
- (2) Identification of the aging effects that require management during the extended storage period.
- (3) Identification and evaluation of TLAAAs for the extended storage period.
- (4) Identification of AMPs for managing aging effects during the extended storage period.
- (5) Evaluation of fuel retrievability during the extended storage period.

Identification of materials and environments for all in-scope SSC is discussed in Section 3.1. Aging effects that require management during the extended storage period are discussed in Section 3.2. In-scope SSC that are determined to be subject to an aging effect that could adversely affect their ability to perform their safety function(s) during the extended storage period are required to either be evaluated with Time-Limited Aging Analysis (TLAA) or to be managed through an existing, modified, or new Aging Management Program (AMP). The TLAA evaluations and AMP used to manage aging effects on the in-scope SSC are discussed in Section 3.3 and Section 3.4 respectively. Finally, fuel retrievability during the extended storage period is evaluated in Section 3.5. The results of the AMR are summarized in Table 9 through Table 12.

3.1 Identification of Materials and Environments

The first step in the AMR process is to identify the materials of construction for each subcomponent of the in-scope SSC and the environments to which those materials are exposed during normal storage conditions. The materials of construction for the in-scope SSC of the VSC-24 storage system are identified based on a review of the design basis documents; primarily the general arrangement drawings contained in the VSC-24 Storage System SAR [3.2] and FSARs ([3.3] thru [3.10]). The environments to which the materials are normally exposed are identified based on a review of the most recent revision of the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [3.10] and plant records. The materials of construction and environments for each of the subcomponents of the in-scope SSC are discussed in Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, respectively, and summarized in Table 9 through Table 12. The combinations of materials and environments are used to identify the potential aging effects that require management during the extended storage period, as discussed in Section 3.2.

3.1.1 Materials

3.1.1.1 MSB Assembly

The MSB assembly is constructed entirely from carbon steel (primarily SA-516, Grade 70), with the exception of the castable neutron shielding material (RX-277) that is sealed within the carbon steel casing plates that form the MSB shield lid. The carbon steel shell, bottom plate, top lids, and storage sleeve assembly (i.e., internal basket) are all coated with a radiation-resistant, high-temperature, non-organic hard surface, such as Dimetcote 6 or Carbo-Zinc 11. The functions of the coating are to protect the pool chemistry during the fuel loading operations and to facilitate decontamination of the MSB assembly exterior surfaces. The coating on the outside of the MSB assembly is not relied on for corrosion protection or any other safety-related function during storage operations. A conservative corrosion allowance is applied on the radial and bottom outer surfaces of the MSB shell assembly.

3.1.1.2 VCC Assembly

The VCC assembly is constructed primarily from steel-reinforced concrete and carbon steel. The side walls and bottom end of the VCC assembly are constructed from normal weight steel-reinforced concrete made from Type II Portland cement and reinforced with A615 Grade 60 steel bars. The internal cavity of the VCC assembly is lined by the inner shell and bottom plate, which are fabricated from A36 carbon steel. Carbon steel (A36) plates are also used to form the inlet and outlet duct structures, shield ring (which lies above the main ventilation duct), and the cask lid. All exposed carbon steel surfaces are coated with Dimetcote 6, or an equivalent coating. The VCC assembly also includes ceramic tiles at the bottom of the VCC cavity, which support the MSB assembly and are intended to prevent metal-to-metal contact with the VCC bottom plate in order to prevent crevice corrosion or any bonding between the two surfaces. Finally, the bolts that secure the inlet and outlet duct screens are made from galvanized or zinc-plated steel.

3.1.1.3 MTC Assembly

The MTC assembly materials of construction consist primarily of carbon steels (A588 Grade A or B, A516 Grade 70 and A36), common lead, and castable neutron shielding material (RX-277). The carbon steel inner radial liner, outer radial shell, and top and bottom plates (or flanges) create an annular cavity in which the lead gamma shield and RX-277 neutron shield are sealed. Carbon steel heat transfer fins are embedded within the RX-277 neutron shield. The MTC assembly also features a top ring (which prevents the MSB from being lifted out of the MTC), lifting trunnions, and a bottom door and rail structure, all of which are also constructed of carbon steel. All carbon steel components of the MTC are covered with Dimetcote 6, or equivalent, to protect the spent fuel pool chemistry during the fuel loading operations, facilitate decontamination, and provide corrosion protection. The only metal MTC components that may not be carbon steel are the A325 bolts used to fasten on the MTC lid.

3.1.1.4 Spent Nuclear Fuel Assembly

The SNF assembly subcomponents consist of zircaloy fuel rod cladding, zircaloy or stainless steel spacer grids and guide tubes, and stainless steel and/or inconel top and bottom end nozzle

structures. The fuel pellets consist of UO_2 along with a wide array of other actinide and fission product isotopes. The SNF assemblies may also include various assembly control components, such as burnable poison rod assemblies, thimble plug assemblies and control rod assemblies. The insert materials include zircaloy or stainless steel cladding, stainless steel or inconel top fittings, and neutron absorbing materials such as boron carbide, borosilicate glass or silver-indium-cadmium. The SNF assemblies may also contain zircaloy or stainless steel dummy rods in place of fuel rods in one or more array locations.

3.1.2 Environments

With the exception of the SSC subcomponents that are exposed to the inert gas atmosphere within the MSB cavity, the environment to which the each subcomponent of the in-scope SSC is exposed depends on the characteristics of the plant site environment and their location within the system. VSC-24 casks are currently stored at three nuclear plant sites; the Point Beach site in Wisconsin (on the western shore of Lake Michigan), the Palisades plant in southwest Michigan (on the eastern shore of Lake Michigan) and the Arkansas Nuclear One site, which lies on a smaller lake (Lake Dardanelle, a dam-created lake on the Arkansas River) in northwest Arkansas. All three sites lie on moderate to large bodies of fresh water in the central region of the United States, relatively far from the oceans or Gulf of Mexico, so none of them constitute a marine environment. All three sites have moderate levels of rainfall and humidity. Average monthly temperatures range from approximately 20°F to 70°F at Point Beach and Palisades and from approximately 30°F to 93°F at ANO. There are four basic types of environments identified, as discussed in the following paragraphs: Inert Gas, Sheltered, Embedded, and Exposed.

Inert Gas (MSB Cavity)

The SNF assemblies, MSB storage sleeve assembly (basket), and the inside (cavity facing) surfaces of the MSB shell assembly are all exposed to the inert gas (helium) environment inside the MSB cavity. The temperature of this gas can range from the ambient air temperature for zero decay heat to as high as 700°F for the maximum canister heat load of 24 kW. The gas pressure inside the MSB cavity is close to one atmosphere. The presence of oxygen or moisture within the MSB cavity is limited to very low levels by the vacuum drying process to avoid deleterious chemical changes in the fuel cladding. In addition to elevated temperatures and trace amounts of oxygen and/or moisture, the MSB interior components are exposed to significant gamma and neutron radiation.

Sheltered Environment

The outer surfaces of the MSB assembly and the interior components of the VCC assembly (inner surfaces of the liner shell, liner bottom, cask lid (weather cover), air inlet assembly, air outlet weldment, and all surfaces of the shield ring plates, and MSB support tiles) are exposed to a sheltered environment. This environment includes ambient air, but not sun, rain or wind exposure. The ambient air may contain moisture and some salinity; although none of the loaded VSC-24 casks are located in marine environments. The temperature of the ambient air inside the VCC cavity may range from that of the outside air for zero decay heat to nearly 300°F (based on the peak temperature of the MSB shell) for the design-basis canister heat load of 24 kW and extreme hot off-normal ambient conditions. Generally, the elevated temperature of the sheltered

environment air will keep moisture levels below those seen on the outer surfaces of the cask system. Components exposed to the sheltered environment experience somewhat lower gamma and neutron radiation levels than those seen in the MSB interior environment.

All exterior surfaces of the MTC assembly are also exposed to a sheltered environment. However, the sheltered environment seen by the MTC assembly is far less challenging than that to which the MSB assembly and VCC assembly components are exposed. The MTC assembly is generally stored inside a building where air temperature and moisture levels are less variable and more controlled than those of the sheltered air environment of the VCC assembly. However, the MTC assembly may also be stored outside, provided it is adequately protected from the environment. Stored MTC assemblies are also not exposed to the elevated temperatures and radiation levels to which the MSB assembly and VCC assembly are exposed during storage (although the MTCs are exposed to these effects for brief time periods, during the cask system loading and unloading operations). Also, the surfaces of a stored MTC assembly are much more accessible for inspection and repair than the MSB exterior and VCC interior surfaces.

Embedded Environment

The embedded environment applies for materials that are embedded or sealed inside another material. These include the metal components of the VCC assembly that are either cast inside or against concrete, such as the outer surfaces of the liner shell, bottom surface of the liner bottom, concrete-side surfaces of the air inlet and outlet duct structures, and reinforcing steel (rebar) embedded in the concrete. The RX-277 neutron shield material inside the MSB shield lid and MTC assembly shells, the carbon steel heat transfer fins embedded in the MTC RX-277 neutron shield, and the lead shield in the MTC assembly shells, and the steel surfaces that face the sealed cavities containing those shielding materials are also exposed to an embedded environment.

The primary issue for embedded environments is any potential chemical reaction between the two materials that meet at a given surface. Any such reactions will be potentially governed by temperature, as well as the combination of materials (and the associated chemistry). Thus, for the VCC, the primary issue is any potential reactions between carbon steel and concrete. As shown in Figure 4.4-5 of the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [3.10], the temperature of the steel/concrete interfaces in the VCC could range from near ambient temperature to as high as 200°F. Temperatures of the MSB lid RX-277 range up to 150°F for normal storage conditions. For stored MTCs, temperatures of all materials will be kept within a low, narrow range (close to “room temperature”). The MTC materials (and associated material boundaries) are exposed to elevated temperatures (up to 270°F) for brief periods during the system loading process. The radiation levels seen in the embedded environments are lower than those seen by the sheltered air environments.

Exposed Environment

During storage, all exterior surfaces of the VCC assembly are exposed to all weather-related effects, including insolation, wind, rain (or snow/ice), and ambient air at the plant site. The steel plate that forms the bottom surface of the VCC assembly is also exposed, in most respects. Since it is in direct contact with the surface of the ISFSI pad, it is sheltered from sun and wind, but it is exposed to water. The ambient air temperature for normal and extreme weather

conditions ranges from -40°F to 100°F. The moisture and salinity levels to which the exterior surfaces of the VCC assembly are exposed may vary widely for various plant sites, although none of the loaded VSC-24 casks are located in marine environments. The radiation levels on the exterior surfaces of the VCC are sufficiently low to satisfy the applicable regulatory dose rate limits.

3.2 Aging Effects Requiring Management

Aging effects, and the mechanisms that cause them, are evaluated for the combinations of materials and environments identified for the subcomponent of the in-scope SSC based upon a comprehensive review of known literature, industry operating experience, and maintenance and inspection records. Possible (or theoretical) aging effects for the materials of construction used in the VSC-24 storage system are determined primarily from research of literature on degradation mechanisms, such as ASTM C1562 [3.12] and NUREG/CR-6831 [3.13]. Aging effects that have actually occurred during the initial storage period for the VSC-24 storage system are determined based on a review of the available licensee records and operating experience. Aging effects that could adversely affect the ability of the in-scope SSC to perform their safety function(s) require additional Aging Management Activity (AMA) to address potential degradation that may occur during the extended storage period. These additional AMAs consist of either Time-Limited Aging Analysis (TLAA) or Aging Management Programs (AMPs), as discussed in Sections 3.3 and 3.4, respectively. The possible and observed aging effects and associated aging mechanisms identified for the in-scope SSC for the extended storage period are discussed in the following subsections and summarized in Table 9 through Table 12.

3.2.1 Possible Aging Effects

The possible (theoretical) aging effects in carbon steel, reinforced concrete, SNF assemblies, and other materials are addressed in the following sections.

3.2.1.1 Carbon Steel

The VSC-24 storage system steel components are either exposed to the inert gas atmosphere of the MSB assembly cavity, the sheltered air environment inside the VCC assembly annulus, the embedded environment (i.e., in direct contact with another material, such as concrete), or the exposed (exterior) environment. The possible aging effects for the carbon steel surfaces of the in-scope SSC subcomponents include loss of material due to corrosion, loss of fracture toughness due to radiation exposure, and crack growth due to fatigue. Each of these aging effects and the associated degradation mechanism are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Loss of Material:

Carbon steel components that are in an exposed or sheltered environment may experience loss of material due to corrosion during the extended storage period. Although less likely, carbon steel components that are embedded in concrete may also corrode during the extended storage period, as discussed in Section 3.2.1.2. Finally, carbon steel components that are exposed to the inert gas environment inside the MSB cavity are not susceptible to corrosion during the extended storage period since it is a non-oxidizing environment.

All of the exposed carbon steel surfaces of the in-scope SSC subcomponents are covered with a non-organic epoxy or zinc-based coating that is resistant to high-temperature and radiation, although in most cases the coating is not relied upon to prevent corrosion during storage. A conservative corrosion allowance is assumed for the carbon steel surfaces on the outside of the MSB shell and bottom plate, as discussed in Section 3.3.3.3. However, a corrosion allowance has not been included in the CLB for any other carbon steel components in the VSC-24 storage system. There are several types of corrosion degradation mechanisms that are possible, including general corrosion, galvanic corrosion, and crevice corrosion.

Carbon steel surfaces that are exposed to moist air or water are subject to general corrosion. The rate of general corrosion is governed by several factors, such as the moisture content and salinity level of the air, the temperature of the metal surface, and the specific type of metal involved. Most of the carbon steel components of the VSC-24 storage system are exposed to the sheltered environment inside the VCC annulus, which is relatively warm and dry. Although significant general corrosion in this environment is not expected to occur, it is an aging effect that requires management during the extended storage period for those components for which a corrosion allowance has not been included in the CLB.

Galvanic corrosion can occur when two dissimilar metals are in direct contact with one another, particularly in a moist or wet environment. Active metal components such as zinc or zinc-plated components may corrode fairly rapidly when in contact with less active metals such as ferrous steels. As discussed in NRC Information Notice 2013-07 [3.38], galvanic corrosion was previously observed in a TN-68 cask at the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station ISFSI. The galvanic corrosion occurred at the interface of the aluminum-clad cask lid seal and the stainless steel clad cask body (dissimilar metals) after water infiltrated the cask protective cover through a leak in the access plate. The VSC-24 storage system is less vulnerable to galvanic corrosion since it does not use many dissimilar metals. The only exceptions to this are the galvanized or zinc-plated screens and attachment hardware that cover the VCC air inlet and outlet opening and the VCC lid bolts, which also may be zinc-plated. Corrosion of these components requires management during the extended storage period.

Crevice corrosion can occur when two metal surfaces are in close contact, separated by a narrow gap. In the presence of water or moisture, enhanced corrosion may occur within the gap, resulting in significant loss of material, as well as possible "bonding" of the metal surfaces/components. Two locations where the potential for crevice corrosion to occur in the VSC-24 storage system is considered include the outer surface of the MSB bottom plate, which could potentially contact the VCC liner bottom, and the top end of the MSB shell, which could contact the VCC shield ring. These locations are within the sheltered environment of the VCC cavity, which is exposed to moisture in the air, but should not be exposed directly to water. The MSB bottom plate is separated from the VCC bottom plate by ¼-inch thick ceramic tiles specifically to preclude crevice corrosion from occurring between the two surfaces. Therefore, crevice corrosion of the MSB bottom plate does not require management during the extended storage period. However, crevice corrosion could possibly occur between at top end of the MSB shell near the VCC shield ring. Therefore, loss of material due to crevice corrosion at the top end of the MSB assembly is an aging effect that requires management during the extended storage period.

Loss of Fracture Toughness:

Steel material exposed to high neutron fluence (particularly fast neutrons) can experience a reduction of fracture toughness (i.e., an increase in the nil-ductility temperature). However, the neutron radiation levels seen by the metal components of dry fuel storage systems are generally orders of magnitude lower than that required to produce any significant effect, so neutron radiation is unlikely to be a significant aging effect for the steel components of the VSC-24 storage system. Gamma radiation does not have any significant impact on the properties of steel. The effects of radiation exposure on steel components are evaluated as discussed in Section 3.3.3.4.

Crack Growth:

Crack growth in carbon steel is a degradation mechanism that is considered for the extended storage period. The primary causes of crack growth in carbon steel are fatigue due to cyclic loading and delayed hydride cracking. Unlike stainless steels, carbon steel is not susceptible to stress corrosion cracking.

Fatigue failure can occur if cyclic stresses are high enough and there are a sufficient number of stress cycles. Fatigue failure of the MSB assembly is addressed by TLAA, as discussed in Section 3.3.3.2. Fatigue failure in the steel components of the VCC and MTC assemblies is not a credible degradation mechanism. The MTC assembly is designed as a special lifting device with minimum factors of safety of 6 against yield and 10 against ultimate. Since the maximum stresses in the MTC assembly are required to be very low and the MTC assembly is not subjected to a significant number of load cycles, fatigue is not a credible failure mode of the MTC assembly. The only significant cyclic loading of the VCC steel liner is thermal loading, which produces compressive stress in the steel liner due to differential thermal expansion with the concrete shell. Fatigue failure cannot occur under these conditions.

Another potential source of weld cracking is delayed, hydrogen induced underbead weld cracking (or DHC) that occurs due to disassociation of water vapor in the weld arc, and subsequent absorption of the hydrogen in the weld metal. This cracking may lead to lamellar tearing, particularly in an over-constrained weld joint, such as that of the MSB closure weld. Early operating experience of the VSC-24 storage system revealed weld indications due to lamellar tearing that were identified by non-destructive examination (NDE) during the MSB loading process. These defects were repaired at the time of loading and the MSBs were placed into storage. The potential for DHC was evaluated by analysis, which showed that the time for delayed hydride cracking to occur was on the order of minutes, not hours or days. Ultrasonic test (UT) examinations of the closure welds of all previously loaded VSC-24 casks were also performed, which confirmed that no MSB closure welds had experienced DHC-induced failure. Corrective actions were taken that prevented this condition from occurring during subsequent MSB loading operations.

3.2.1.2 Reinforced Concrete

The potential aging effects and degradation mechanisms for reinforced concrete are discussed in this section. The VCC assembly is the only in-scope SSC of the VSC-24 storage system that

includes reinforced concrete. The VCC reinforced concrete is normal weight concrete that is constructed using Type II Portland cement, which has higher sulfate resistance and lower heat of hydration than general-purpose cement. The required compressive strength of the concrete is 4,000 psi. Air entrainment is required to be 3% to 6% by volume. The concrete is reinforced with ASTM A615, Grade 60 steel bars. The VCC reinforced concrete is designed in accordance with ACI-349 [3.16] and constructed in accordance with ACI-318 [3.17].

Aging effects and potential degradation mechanisms for the reinforced concrete are identified based on a review of available literature. Generally, the aging effects in concrete include cracking, pitting, and spalling of the cover concrete, loss of strength, and loss of material (e.g., corrosion of reinforcing steel). Each of the potential degradation mechanisms and the associated aging effects on concrete are discussed below.

Cracking, Pitting, and Spalling:

Cracking, pitting, and/or spalling of exposed cover concrete can result from several different degradation mechanisms, including freeze-thaw cycles, chemical attack, aggregate reactions, and corrosion of embedded steel (i.e., rebar). Although the concrete aging effect (i.e., cracking) is common, the degradation mechanisms that produce the aging effect are significantly different. Each of these degradation mechanisms are discussed in the following paragraphs. Of the possible degradation mechanism that can cause cracking, pitting, or spalling in the exposed cover concrete, freeze-thaw cycles, aggregate reactions, and corrosion of reinforcing steel require management during the extended storage period.

Freeze-thaw cycles can cause cracking in exposed cover concrete over time, particularly in areas where concrete is in direct contact with standing or flowing water. The severity of freeze-thaw aging effects can vary significantly with the characteristics of the concrete mixture, such as permeability and porosity. Site characteristics, such as the number of annual freeze-thaw cycles and the amount of winter precipitation, can also significantly affect the severity of freeze-thaw aging effects. Cracking of the VCC cover concrete during the initial storage period has been attributed to freeze-thaw cycles, as discussed in Section 3.2.2. These aging effects have been effectively managed during the initial storage period through annual examination of the VCC exterior concrete and grout repair of cracks in the concrete to prevent corrosion of the reinforcing steel.

As discussed in NRC Information Notice 2013-07 [3.38], cracking caused by freeze-thaw cycles has been observed in concrete storage modules at the Idaho National Laboratory site. Water entered cracks and crevices around anchor bolt breakout holes on the roof of the concrete storage modules and then subsequently froze and generated large mechanical forces on the concrete. Corrective actions included injecting resin into the cracks and installing caps over the anchor bolt breakout holes to prevent water intrusion, and monitoring crack growth using crack gauges. Unlike the concrete storage modules discussed in NRC Information Notice 2013-07 [3.38], the VCC assembly design does not include any recesses or holes in the VCC concrete in which water can pool and freeze. Therefore, it is less susceptible to cracking from freeze-thaw cycles than the subject concrete storage modules. However, cracking, spalling, and pitting of the VCC concrete due to freeze-thaw cycles does require management during the extended storage period.

Long-term exposure of concrete to acidic materials or sulfates (i.e., chemical attack), often present in ground water may result in expansive stresses, leading to cracking, spalling, or strength loss. The VSC-24 storage system is less vulnerable to chemical attack since it is stored above ground on the ISFSI pad, and is not in direct contact with ground water. The VCC concrete is designed in accordance with ACI 349 [3.16] and constructed using materials conforming to ACI standards, which have low permeability and high resistance to chemical attack. Furthermore, the VCCs are generally not exposed to aggressive chemical environments during storage. Therefore, chemical attack is not considered a credible degradation mechanism for the VCC concrete.

Chemical reactions between concrete cement and aggregate are known to cause aging effects in concrete, including cracking and loss of strength, as discussed in NRC Information Notice 2011-20 [3.22]. There are three types of aggregate reactions that can occur; alkali-aggregate reaction, cement-aggregate reaction, and alkali-carbonate reaction. Alkali-aggregate reaction (also known as alkali-silica reaction, or ASR) can occur when aggregate containing silica is exposed to alkaline solutions. It can cause severe expansion and cracking of concrete structures. The degree of vulnerability of the concrete to this effect is primarily a function of the aggregate that is used. Cement-aggregate reaction occurs between alkalis in the cement and silicates in the aggregates. It mainly occurs in environments that promote concrete shrinkage and alkali concentrations in the surface due to drying. Alkali-carbonate reaction (between carbonate aggregates and alkalis) may produce expansion and cracking of the concrete. It often results in map cracking on the concrete surface. It has been known to occur for certain limestone aggregates.

As discussed in NRC Information Notice 2011-20 [3.22], concrete in below-grade portions of the control building at the Seabrook nuclear power plant were observed to have ASR-induced degradation. The initial indication of ASR was the observation of pattern cracking in the concrete structure. Analyses of concrete core samples showed significant reductions in concrete compressive strength and modulus of elasticity. The ASR is believed to have resulted from failure of a waterproof membrane that allowed water infiltration of the concrete. Although the concrete was tested during construction in accordance with the accepted industry standards at that time to verify that only non-reactive aggregates were present, ASR-induced degradation still occurred. As noted in NRC Information Notice 2011-20 [3.22], the industry standards used for aggregate testing during construction were subsequently updated, but concrete tested using ASTM C227 and C289 could be susceptible to ASR-induced concrete degradation and should be monitored using periodic visual inspections to identify unique “map” or “pattern” cracking and the presence of alkali-silica gel in areas likely to experience ASR (i.e., concrete exposed to moisture.)

Although the cement and aggregate combinations used in the VCC concrete mix design were tested for potential alkali reactivity in accordance with ASTM C289, the potential for aggregate reactions during the extended storage period exists. The aging effects of the aggregate reaction degradation mechanisms are generally map or pattern cracking on the concrete surface (more or less uniform spacing of cracks over the entire concrete surface) and possible presence of alkali-silica gel on the concrete surface, as discussed in ACI 221 [3.18]. Aggregate reactions also have

the potential to adversely affect the structural (strength) properties of the concrete. Therefore, aggregate reactions will require management during the extended storage period.

Corrosion of rebar can cause significant aging effects in the concrete, such as concrete cracking or spalling. Corrosion causes rebar to swell, since the corrosion products occupy greater volume than the steel, and the swelling produces tensile stress in the concrete that can eventually cause the cover concrete to crack or spall. Generally, when concrete is designed and constructed in accordance with the current ACI standards and adequate concrete cover of embedded steel is provided, corrosion of embedded steel is not a significant degradation mechanism. However, concrete degradation by other mechanisms can expose the embedded steel to a corroding environment. Therefore, corrosion of rebar is an aging effect that requires management during the extended storage period. Management of rebar corrosion during the extended storage period is addressed by the AMP discussed in Section 3.4.

Loss of Strength:

Loss of concrete strength can result from many different degradation mechanisms, including leaching, elevated temperature, radiation exposure, chemical attack, and aggregate reactions. Radiation exposure of concrete during the extended storage period is shown by TLAA to not adversely affect the concrete strength, as discussed in Section 3.3.3.4. Of the remaining possible degradation mechanism that can cause loss of concrete strength, only leaching and aggregate reactions require management during the extended storage period. Each of the remaining possible degradation mechanisms that can result in loss of concrete strength are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Leaching of Calcium Hydroxide (CaOH) due to water penetration through cracks can result in loss of concrete material (specifically, the conversion of binder/cement into gels that have no structural strength). The significance of the effect is governed by water temperature and salt content. Over the long-term, CaOH leaching can increase the porosity and permeability of concrete, rendering it more vulnerable to other degradation mechanisms. CaOH leaching of the VCC concrete can result from water flowing through cracks on the VCC exterior. Management of the aging effects caused by CaOH leaching during the extended storage period is addressed by the AMP discussed in Section 3.4.

The structural properties of concrete can degrade due to long-term exposure to elevated temperatures (i.e., greater than 150°F over a general area or greater than 200°F in a localized area.) The maximum long-term temperatures of the VCC concrete during the initial storage period are less than these values, per Table 4.1-1 of the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [3.10]. During the extended storage period, the concrete temperatures will continue to decrease due to thermal decay. Therefore, concrete degradation due to elevated temperatures is not a concern for the extended storage period.

Loss of Material:

As discussed above, corrosion of rebar can cause concrete cracking or spalling due to swelling. In addition, corrosion of rebar can reduce the cross-sectional area of the steel bars, which results in a reduction of the section capacities of the reinforced concrete. Therefore, corrosion of rebar

requires management during the extended storage period. Management of rebar corrosion during the extended storage period is addressed by the AMP discussed in Section 3.4.

3.2.1.3 Spent Nuclear Fuel Assemblies

The potential degradation mechanisms identified for the SNF assemblies include oxidation, corrosion, cladding creep, cladding annealing and hydride redistribution and reorientation within the cladding. These aging effects and the associated degradation mechanisms are discussed in this section. The aging effects that are considered credible for the SNF assemblies stored in the VSC-24 casks are summarized in Table 12.

Oxidation:

Oxidation of the zircaloy fuel cladding and the irradiated UO₂ fuel pellets can occur if the fuel is exposed to air. The potential degradation mechanism and aging effects associated with oxidation of fuel and fuel cladding are described in ASTM C1562 [3.12]. Oxidation of the fuel pellets can cause swelling and has the potential to split the fuel cladding. Excessive oxidation of the fuel cladding, combined with internal stress, can cause the fuel cladding to breach. Both effects could affect the ability to retrieve fuel.

For low burnup fuel assemblies (i.e., assemblies with an average burnup level less than 45 GWd/MTU), such as those stored in the VSC-24 casks, research [3.13] suggests that degradation of the fuel cladding will not occur during the initial storage period and should not occur during extended storage if the inert atmosphere is maintained. The MSB confinement boundary is designed, constructed, and tested to assure that it will maintain confinement and the inert atmosphere in the MSB cavity during the storage period. Oxidation of the fuel and cladding, even if exposed to air during the extended storage period, is not considered a credible degradation mechanism since the peak temperatures of the fuel are much lower than the temperatures required to produce significant oxidation (i.e., generally above 300°C).

Corrosion:

Corrosion of the fuel assembly components can potentially occur if they are exposed to moisture during the storage period. Possible corrosion degradation mechanisms that could occur in the presence of moisture include pitting, stress corrosion cracking (SCC), and galvanic corrosion [3.12]. All of these forms of corrosion require a corroding atmosphere (i.e., moisture) to occur.

Potential sources of moisture in the MSB cavity are residual water in the MSB cavity and fuel assemblies following MSB loading operations and off-gassing of the RX-277 neutron shielding material in the MSB shield lid. However, residual water within the MSB cavity is limited to very low levels (i.e., less than 1/62,500th of an atmosphere) through the vacuum drying process, which requires the MSB cavity pressure to be pumped down to 3 torr or less, held at that pressure for at least 30 minutes, back-filled with helium, and then the process repeated a second time. In the unlikely event that the RX-277 material is not completely sealed inside the shield plug steel casing, the available moisture in the RX-277 will be off-gassed during the vacuum drying process, because it results in the highest temperatures in the RX-277 material. Any moisture off-gassed during the vacuum drying process will be evacuated from the MSB cavity

and not remain as a source for corrosion. Significant moisture off-gassing of the RX-277 material following the vacuum drying process is not expected because the temperatures during normal storage conditions are lower than those experienced during vacuum drying.

Thus, the potential amount of residual water remaining in the cavity after MSB loading and from off-gassing of the RX-277 neutron shield material during storage is very small and will not result in any significant amount of corrosion. Furthermore, water ingress into the MSB cavity during storage is not considered to be credible for the double welded closure configuration of the MSB assembly.

Cladding Creep:

The rate of creep in fuel cladding is a function of the cladding temperature and hoop stress. Cladding creep exceeding 1.0% strain could cause gross rupture of the fuel cladding. However, as discussed in ISG-11 [3.15], creep will not cause gross rupture of the fuel if the cladding temperatures do not exceed 400°C during loading or storage. Cladding creep is not likely to be a significant effect over the extended storage period, since the rate of creep is a strong function of temperature, and the cladding temperatures that occur after 20 years of dry storage are relatively low and continue to reduce with time, as shown by the TLAA discussed in Section 3.3.3.5.

Cladding Annealing:

Extended exposure to elevated temperature may result in annealing of the fuel rod cladding, which in turn may affect its structural properties. However, examination of low burnup (35.7 GWd/MTU) fuel rods, such as those in the VSC-24 storage system, after 15 years of dry storage showed that little if any cladding annealing occurred over the storage period [3.13]. If no annealing occurred in the first 15 years of storage, none is expected to occur in later years, due to the significantly lower cladding temperatures that will exist. Therefore, it is concluded that cladding annealing is not a significant degradation mechanism that needs to be addressed for extended storage (past 20 years).

Hydride Redistribution and Reorientation:

Excessively high cladding temperatures and hoop stresses that can occur during the cask loading process (e.g., vacuum drying) may result in hydrogen within cladding forming a solid solution that precipitates into hydrides when the cladding subsequently cools [3.13]. High concentrations of these hydrides, particularly those oriented in the radial direction, can adversely affect the structural properties of the cladding. The significance of this effect is primarily a function of the fuel assembly burnup, the fuel rod pressure and hoop stress, and the peak temperature reached during the cask loading process. As discussed below, hydride redistribution and reorientation is not a credible aging effect for the SNF assemblies stored in the VSC-24 casks due to the low burnup levels of the fuel and the low peak cladding temperatures maintained during vacuum drying and normal storage operations.

Per ISG-11 [3.15], significant hydride re-orientation is not expected to occur in low burnup fuel assemblies (i.e., fuel assemblies having assembly average burnups not exceeding 45 GWd/MTU), such as those stored in the VSC-24 casks. This is confirmed by examination of low burnup fuel

(i.e., 35.7 GWd/MTU and 46 GWd/MTU) after an initial storage period of 15-years, which shows no evidence of radial hydrides [3.13]. Furthermore, ISG-11 [3.15] states that the structural integrity of SNF assemblies is assured for low burnup fuel if the peak cladding temperature during cask loading process remains under 400°C. ISG-11 further states that the 570°C criterion specified in older licenses for low burnup fuel (e.g., the VSC-24 CoC) is acceptable and that no Technical Specification changes are required. The thermal analyses of the VSC-24 cask for the vacuum drying condition, which is based on a maximum canister heat load of 24 kW and steady-state conditions, calculates a peak cladding temperature of 796°F (424°C), which is slightly higher than the 400°C temperature limit recommended by ISG-11. After 20 years of storage, maximum cladding temperatures will be significantly lower. However, as discussed in Section 1.1.2, the highest initial heat generation level for all currently-loaded MSBs is less than 15 kW, so the actual peak cladding temperatures experienced during the vacuum drying process are well below 400°C. Furthermore, as discussed in Section 1, a CoC condition is proposed to limit the initial total heat load to 15 kW for all casks loaded under the renewed CoC. Thus, for the low burnup fuel stored in the VSC-24 casks, hydride redistribution and reorientation are not a credible aging effect during the extended storage period.

3.2.1.4 Other Materials

The aging effects considered for the RX-277 neutron shielding material in the MSB and MTC assemblies, the lead shielding material in the MTC assembly, and the polymeric gasket material in the VCC assembly include loss of shielding effectiveness and loss of strength due to elevated temperature and/or radiation effects. The maximum temperatures of these materials during the initial storage period are all shown to be within their recommended temperature limits for the design-basis canister heat load of 24 kW. During the extended storage period, the temperatures of these materials will continue to decline due to decay of the heat load. Thus, no significant temperature-related aging effects of these materials are expected to occur during the extended storage period. Loss of shielding effectively in the RX-277 neutron shielding material is possible due to reduction in ¹⁰B concentration that occurs as a result of accumulated neutron flux exposure. However, this aging effect is not expected to be significant due to the relatively low neutron radiation exposure over 60 years of storage, as discussed in Section 3.3.3.4.

3.2.2 Observed Aging Effects

This section discusses the aging effects of the VSC-24 storage system that have been observed based on operating experience. Surveillance records from the periodic examinations of the VCC cask exterior and interior are discussed in Sections 3.2.2.1 and 3.2.2.2, respectively. Performance monitoring trends are discussed in Section 3.2.2.3. Lastly, the results of the lead cask inspection performed near the end of the initial storage period are discussed in Section 3.2.2.4. Additional discussion of the corrective actions and design modifications of the VSC-24 storage system is provided in Section 3.4.3.

3.2.2.1 VCC Exterior Surface Examination

Periodic visual examinations are performed on the exterior of each VCC assembly in accordance with the requirements of Technical Specifications 1.3.1 and 1.3.2. The wire mesh screens that cover the inlet and outlet ducts of all VCC assemblies are inspected daily in accordance with

Technical Specification 1.3.1 for signs of blockage or degradation. In addition, the exterior concrete surfaces of all VCCs are inspected annually in accordance with Technical Specification 1.3.2 for damage, such as cracking, chipping, or spalling. This section discusses the aging effects that have been observed during the periodic inspections of the VCC assemblies all three sites, as documented in the site inspection reports, condition reports, and work orders.

Vent Screen Degradation and Blockage:

Site records show that partial blockage of the air inlet duct screens from snowfall and debris (e.g., leaves) is periodically found. In addition, small amounts of debris have been found inside the VCC air inlet ducts (usually just behind the inlet screens) while performing daily visual inspections of the wire mesh screens. In addition, damaged screens have been identified during the periodic inspections. Typically, the screen damage consisted of bent screens or missing/damaged screen attachment hardware. When identified, debris was removed and damaged screens were repaired or replaced in accordance with existing maintenance procedures.

Concrete Degradation:

Site records associated with the annual inspection of the VCC exterior surface show that several aging effects have been observed in the concrete during the initial storage period. Generally, the aging effects consist of small surface defects, such as hairline cracks and pits (e.g., bug holes¹² or popouts) and local discoloration of the concrete from mineral deposits.

Some hairline cracks and pits in the VCC concrete surface are expected, and generally appear soon after a cask is placed in service. Cracks and surface pits exceeding the size permitted by TS 1.3.2 (i.e., any defect wider than ½-inch and deeper than ¼-inch) have been identified and repaired by re-grouting in accordance with existing maintenance procedures. Reports of defects requiring repair were uncommon during the first 10 years of ISFSI operation, and none of these involved exposure of reinforcing steel. Although some cracks have been observed to be increasing in size and length in recent years, there has been no clear increasing trend in the number of reported cracks or pits seen at any of the sites for the subsequent years, nor have there been any indications of failure of grout-repairs.

Efflorescence and mineral deposits in the location of cracks have been observed on the VCC exterior. Chemical analysis of similar deposits on other areas of a VCC shows that the deposits are primarily calcium carbonate. Efflorescence, which is caused by migration of minerals (e.g., calcium hydroxide) from the concrete to the surface when water passes through cracks, if left unmanaged over long periods of time, can result in a reduction of concrete strength properties. However, re-grouting of cracks in accordance with the existing maintenance programs prevents the flow of water through cracks and leaching of calcium hydroxide from the concrete.

¹² Bug holes are small regular or irregular cavities, resulting from entrapment of air bubbles in the surface of formed concrete during placement and consolidation.

Other Degradation:

Small amounts of debris have been observed in the inlet ducts of some casks. The debris is believed to be small pieces of coating from the surfaces of the MSB assembly and/or VCC assembly liner. This is consistent with the observations of the 5-year cask inspections (discussed in Section 3.2.2.2). As noted in the 5-year inspections, the MSB shell and VCC liner show no indications of significant coating degradation or corrosion. Thus, there is no apparent unanticipated degradation of the coating on the MSB exterior and VCC liner surfaces.

At Point Beach, a small amount of coating failure and corrosion has been observed on the optional VSC lifting lugs located at the top end of the VCC. The rust was removed and rust inhibiting coating was applied.

3.2.2.2 VCC Interior Surface Inspection

In accordance with TS 1.3.3, the ventilation ducts and annulus of the first cask placed into service at each site are visually examined every 5 years. The primary purpose of these inspections is to check for blockage of the ventilation ducts. However, these periodic examinations also provide a visual indication of the condition of MSB shell and VCC liner, inlet ducts, and outlet ducts, which are normally inaccessible. To date, three 5-year cask inspections have been performed at each of the three plant sites where the VSC-24 storage system is deployed (i.e., 5, 10 and 15 years after the first casks were placed into service). The year of initial cask placement was 1993, 1994 and 1996 for the Palisades, Point Beach and Arkansas Nuclear One sites, respectively. In general, none of the 5-year inspections showed any significant deterioration of the inspected surfaces. Minor degradation was generally seen in the first 5-year inspection, with no significant worsening of those conditions observed in the subsequent inspections. The observations of the 5-year inspections are discussed in this section.

Blockage of Ventilation Paths:

The results of the 5-year inspections show that very little blockage has accumulated in the ventilation ducts and cask annulus. The most common form of blockage observed in the casks is small amounts of debris that have accumulated at the bottom of the main ventilation duct on the VCC bottom plate surface between the four crescent-shaped air entry holes. Chemical analysis showed that this debris was comprised of zinc, which was most likely from small pieces of zinc coating that were dislodged during the VCC handling operations or from paint over-spray during final MSB structural lid coating following loading into the VCC. In a few cases, the inspections showed spiders living inside the cask annulus. A small mud dobber's nest, approximately 1.5-inches tall by 2.5-inches wide, was discovered within an air outlet duct of one VCC. Finally, small local mineral deposits (i.e., stalactites) were seen around the entry to the outlet ducts on one VCC. Chemical analysis of these deposits shows that they are made up of calcium carbonate (from exposed concrete at the joint between the liner shell and outlet duct) and zinc (from the coating used on the VCC liner) and have non-reactive properties. These deposits are believed to be due to condensation of moisture from the air flow in the VCC annulus as it exits the VCC annulus. The calcium leached from the concrete is not expected to prevent the VCC from performing its intended functions because the calcium deposits are very small and they have non-reactive properties. All of the obstructions discovered inside the VCC ventilation ducts

and annulus were small and judged to have no significant impact on the airflow. All such obstructions were removed whenever possible.

Condition of MSB Shell:

In general, the 5-year inspections show that the majority of the MSB shell surface is in excellent condition, with very little coating degradation or signs of corrosion. All 5-year inspections found some discoloration of the coating on the MSB shell, particularly in the region near the top end. In addition, the 5-year inspection of the 1st cask loaded at Palisades identified flaking of the top coat¹³ at the top end of the MSB shell, although no flaking of the base coat was observed. The flaking of the top coat and coating discoloration at the top end of the MSB shell resulted from high temperatures in the heat affected zone of the MSB closure welds. Some residue, believed to be residual fluid used for decontamination, and small areas (generally 2 to 3 in²) of light oxidation and discoloration of the coating have also been observed on other regions of the MSB shell, although none of these were determined to affect system performance. The observed degradation of the coating on the MSB shell is acceptable since the coating on the outside of the MSB shell and bottom plate is not relied upon for corrosion protection during the storage period. Instead, a bounding corrosion allowance of 0.003-inch per year on the MSB shell and bottom plate, which equates to 0.015 inches for each 5-year inspection interval. The results of the 5-year inspections do not show any evidence of significant corrosion of the MSB shell. Furthermore, as noted in the 5-year inspection reports, the degradation observed on the MSB shell was present in the first 5-year inspection and has not noticeably increased with subsequent inspections. This is consistent with the observations that the coating degradation observed on the MSB shell occurred during the loading operations and is not the result of aging effects during storage.

Condition of VCC Liner and Ventilation Duct Surfaces:

The inspections show that the coating is in good shape and there is no corrosion over the majority of the VCC liner surface and VCC inlet and outlet duct surfaces. There are a few small areas where coating failure and surface corrosion was observed. Some rust staining was observed in some of the cask inlet ducts, although there was no other visual evidence of corrosion occurring on these surfaces. There is no evidence of any degradation of the VCC liner surface and VCC inlet and outlet duct surfaces that would prevent the VCC from perform its intended design functions.

3.2.2.3 Performance Monitoring

Two system performance parameters that have been monitored during the initial storage period by the VSC-24 cask system operators are the temperature of the air leaving the cask outlet vents (relative to the external ambient temperature) and dose rates around the casks and ISFSI. These system parameters are trended to identify degradation that could possibly impact safety functions.

¹³ The external surfaces of the Palisades MSB assemblies included a top coat of Keeler & Long E-Series epoxy over a base coat of Cabro-Zinc 11 coating. The top coat, which was added to facilitate decontamination of the MSB shell following removal from the spent fuel pool, has no design function during storage.

Historical data from the outlet temperature readings and dose rate surveys at the existing ISFSIs are discussed below.

Outlet Temperature Readings:

Prior to Amendment 6 of the VSC-24 CoC, which was issued in June 2006, TS 1.2.3 included a requirement to monitor the air temperature at the outlet vents of the loaded VSC-24 casks to verify that the rise in air temperature above ambient did not exceed 110°F. An air temperature rise greater than 110°F could indicate blockage of the ventilation ducts and may result in system temperatures that are approaching the thermal acceptance criteria.

The historical outlet temperature monitoring records from the three VSC-24 ISFSI sites have been reviewed to identify any potential trends that may indicate aging effects in the casks. The daily measurements of the temperature increase (i.e., the difference between the air outlet temperature and ambient air temperature) in each VSC-24 cask during the initial storage period show that the average temperature rise has not changed significantly over time. The data does show cyclic behavior, with larger ΔT values generally occurring in the summer months. In all cases, the data show that the ΔT values are generally less than half the limit (i.e., less than 55°F) and consistent with the actual cask heat loads.

The air outlet temperature data, for all three sites, indicates that the component temperatures in the cask system have remained below the design-basis and/or allowable values by a wide margin during the initial storage period. The data also provides evidence that no significant blockage of the airflow path in any VSC-24 cask has occurred over the initial storage period.

Dose Rate Surveys:

Dose rates are periodically measured at various locations around the casks and ISFSI at each site to verify that the doses are within the regulatory limits. The dose rate measurement records have been reviewed for trends that may indicate aging effects in the casks. The results show no adverse trends in the dose rate measurements that would indicate aging effects in the cask shielding materials.

At the Palisades plant, regular dose rate readings were taken at the inlet ducts of the 18 casks in the ISFSI. The data clearly shows a decreasing trend of air inlet dose rate with time, which is expected given the decay of SNF. In all cases, the measured inlet duct dose rates are far below the dose rate limit. Measurements of the dose rate on the cask side were not taken on a regular basis. The side dose measurements that were taken show that no cask side dose rate measurements exceed the value measured at the time of initial cask loaded, or the dose rate limit of TS 1.2.4.

The Palisades dose rate records also state that the dose rate all around the perimeter of the ISFSI has remained low (< 0.5 mrem/hr) throughout the initial storage period. Swipes were also taken of the cask exterior surfaces, and there were no instances of contamination levels over the 1000 dpm/100 cm² beta-gamma criterion. Palisades' records also include environmental surveys taken in the vicinity of the ISFSI, which show no indication of closure weld leakage.

The Palisades data described above shows decreasing dose rate trends for the cask inlet vents, and show no evidence of any increasing dose rates on or around the casks or ISFSI. The data also show no evidence of contamination or leakage of isotopes out of the casks.

At Point Beach, dose rates were monitored on the West, East, North and South fences around the ISFSI. The data show no significant increasing trend of area dose rate with time, after the last of the 16 casks was placed in the ISFSI in 2003. Dose rates did increase while casks were being added, particularly on the West fence. Point Beach has continued to load and store other cask designs at their ISFSI, which also affects the area dose rates.

A limited number of area dose rate measurements were performed at ANO. Dose rate measurements were taken at several locations around the ISFSI pad edge, and within the cask array. The measurements showed maximum gamma dose rates of 2 mrem/hr and maximum neutron dose rates of 0.2 mrem/hr. These dose rates are less than the dose rates present at the time of cask loading, and no trends of increasing dose rate with time was observed.

Given the lack of any significant dose rate increases with time, or over any temporary period, it is concluded that significant degradation of the shielding performance of the casks has not occurred during the initial storage period.

3.2.2.4 Lead Cask Inspection

The initial lead cask inspection for the VSC-24 Storage System was performed on Palisades Cask Number VSC-15 from May 21, 2012 through May 24, 2012, prior to the start of the extended storage period. As discussed in Section 3.4.4, the scope of the lead cask inspection included visual examination of the VCC bottom surface, remote visual examination of the VCC annulus (i.e., VCC liner and MSB shell), inlet air ducts, and outlet air ducts, and visual examination of the VCC cask lid, MSB structural lid and closure weld. The results of the initial lead cask inspection, which are discussed in greater detail in the following paragraphs, indicate that VSC-24 storage system components have not undergone any unanticipated degradation during the initial storage period.

As discussed in Section 3.4.4, the VSC-24 cask used for the initial lead cask inspection is selected based upon a number of parameters that contribute to degradation, such as differences in design configurations (e.g., dimensions, materials, coatings), operating history and operating conditions, environmental conditions, time in service, and total heat load of the SNF stored in the MSB. For the initial lead cask inspection, a single cask (Palisades Cask Number VSC-15) was selected from the fifty-eight loaded VSC-24 casks at the three ISFSIs. This cask, which was loaded in June 1999, was selected for the initial lead cask inspection primarily because it has the highest initial heat load (14.7 kW) of all loaded VSC-24 casks. The other factors considered in the selection of the cask used for the initial lead cask inspection are discussed in the following paragraphs.

The VSC-24 storage system includes short, standard, and long configurations that are used at the Palisades, Point Beach, and ANO sites, respectively. The different lengths of the MSBs and VCCs for the short, standard, and long configurations are discussed in Section 2.2.1. Other than the difference in configuration length, there are no significant differences between the three

configurations, as they are all fabricated using the same materials and fabrication processes. The different length of the MSBs and VCCs is not considered to be a significant factor for the selection of the lead cask. While the total surface areas of the MSB shell, VCC liner, and VCC concrete vary with length, the variation in surface area is not expected to influence the types of degradation that may occur over the service life. Therefore, the cask used for the initial lead cask inspection is not selected based on the difference in design configuration.

In general, the operating histories and operating conditions for the Palisades, Point Beach, and ANO sites are similar. A discussion of the operating history, including design modifications and significant events that occurred during the initial storage period, is provided in Section 3.4.3. The significant issues identified in the operating histories of the three sites include identification of crack-like indications in MSB closure weld during loading operations at all three sites, discovery of crack-like indications in the seam weld of Palisades MSB-04 after it was loaded, a hydrogen-ignition event while loading a cask at Point Beach, and identification of stress-cracks in the MSB storage sleeves during fabrication. In all cases, the defects identified in the SSCs were repaired and corrective actions were taken to prevent recurrence of the conditions. Although root causes of the events varied, they were generally not specific to any one of the sites. In all cases, it is concluded that the affected SSCs were acceptable for continued storage. Therefore, the cask used for the initial lead cask inspection is not selected based on operating histories and operating conditions.

No significant differences were identified between the environmental conditions or design configuration used at the three (3) different sites. All three VSC-24 ISFSIs are located on bodies of fresh water; none are in a marine environment. Palisades and Point Beach are both located on the shores of Lake Michigan and ANO is located in Arkansas, in the Southern interior region of the United States. The environmental conditions at Palisades and Point Beach are very similar in most respects due to the moderating effects of Lake Michigan. The annual monthly temperature range at both these sites ranges from about 20°F in the coldest winter months to around 70°F in the hottest summer months. In comparison, the average daily temperatures at ANO range from approximately 30°F to 93°F. The temperature at Palisades and Point Beach are slightly lower than those at ANO and therefore, the casks at Palisades and Point Beach would be expected to experience slightly more freeze-thaw cycles than those at ANO. Therefore, in terms of environmental conditions, there are not significant differences between the three sites that impact the selection of the VSC-24 lead cask.

An overall timeline of the VSC-24 casks loaded to date is provided in Figure 2. The VSC-24 cask with the longest time in service is Palisades Cask Number VSC-01, which was loaded in May 1993. Although this cask has approximately six (6) more years in service than Palisades Cask Number VSC-15, it was not selected for the lead cask inspection since it is already inspected on the exterior every year, as discussed in Section 3.4.2.2, and on the interior at a 5-year frequency, as discussed in Section 3.4.2.3. Rather, the cask with the highest heat load was selected for the initial lead cask inspection to gain additional operating experience.

Although not required for the lead cask inspection, the exposed concrete surfaces on the sides and top of the VCC lead cask were visually examined for concrete aging effects, including scaling, cracking, or spalling, increased porosity, map or pattern cracking, and other

unanticipated concrete degradation. The exterior surfaces of the concrete were concluded to be in good overall condition. The visual examination of the VCC concrete exterior showed only a small number of bug holes that exceeded the acceptance criteria and required grout repair. No other aging effects were identified on the VCC concrete exterior.

The VCC was lifted and a remote visual inspection (borescope) of the VCC bottom plate (i.e., the ¼-inch thick coated carbon steel plate that lines the bottom of the VCC) was performed to identify potential coating degradation and corrosion. In addition, the normally inaccessible underlying surface of the storage pad, which is not an in-scope SSC, was also remote visually inspected to identify potential concrete degradation. The inspection results show that no unanticipated degradation of the VCC bottom surface or the underlying storage pad has occurred during the initial storage period.

After the inspection of the VCC bottom surface, a small shallow void was identified between the VCC bottom plate and the VCC bottom concrete. The void had a maximum width of approximately 3/8-inch and only extended approximately 3/8-inch deep into the concrete. The void extended approximately 3-feet around the circumference of the VCC. The void is believed to have occurred during VCC concrete pouring operations, not from the effects of aging. The area of the void was observed as the VCC was lowered onto the ISFSI pad, and VCC bottom plate did not flex, indicating that it remains securely attached to the VCC bottom concrete. Based on this observation and the small depth of the void, it is concluded that the void will not lead to any unacceptable degradation of the VCC bottom plate or VCC bottom concrete. Since the VCC bottom plate provides no other function than to form the geometry of the air inlet ventilation ducts during the concrete pour, this condition will not prevent the VCC from performing its intended functions during the extended storage period.

A remote visual examination of the readily accessible surfaces of the VCC annulus (VCC liner and MSB shell), inlet ducts, and outlet ducts was performed using a borescope to identify blockage of air flow and degradation of the coated carbon steel surfaces that line the ventilation paths. The results showed very little debris (e.g., leaves and bugs) and mineral deposits had accumulated in the ventilation flow path and that the air ducts were essentially clear. In addition, no coating degradation or corrosion was identified on the MSB shell, VCC liner, inlet ducts, or outlet ducts.

The VCC cask lid, MSB structural lid, and MSB closure weld were visually examined for evidence of coating degradation and corrosion. In order to access the MSB structural lid and closure weld, the VCC cask lid was removed and the VCC shield ring was lifted a small amount. The VCC lid bolts were visually inspected for evidence of corrosion. Although some discoloration of the surface finish (i.e., rust bloom) on the VCC lid bolts was noted, they were determined to be acceptable for continued use. Upon removal of the VCC cask lid, no degradation of the lid gasket material was noted and there was no evidence of water leakage through the lid gasket. A small amount of debris (i.e., dust) was observed on the top surface of the MSB structural lid. After cleaning the top surface of the MSB structural lid, the coating on the MSB structural lid and closure weld was also found to be intact and adhered to the underlying steel, except for two small areas (i.e., a few square inches) of coating that appeared to be blistered or bubbled. The visual examination also did not identify any evidence of crevice

corrosion occurring between the top end of the MSB assembly and the VCC shield ring. Upon removal of the temporary shielding used during the inspection, a small area (approximately ½-inch wide by 6-inches long) of coating adjacent to the closure weld was inadvertently scraped off. The coating in the areas that appeared to be blistered or bubbled and in the area that was inadvertently scraped when removing temporary shielding was removed to allow further examination of the underlying steel for corrosion. The steel surfaces underneath the small areas of coating that had blistered and underneath the coating that was scraped off did not show any signs of corrosion. The exposed steel surfaces were cleaned and recoated to return them to their original condition. Upon completion of this inspection, a new VCC lid gasket was installed and the VCC cask lid was attached.

In conclusion, the results of the initial lead cask inspection show that no unanticipated degradation of Palisades Cask Number VSC-15 has occurred during the initial storage period, and that the licensing basis is met.

3.3 Time-Limited Aging Analyses

In-scope SSC that are subject to a potential aging effect are addressed either through Time-Limited Aging Analysis (TLAA) or by an Aging Management Program (AMP). TLAAAs that can adequately predict degradation associated with identified aging effects, and can be reconfirmed for the period of extended operation, do not require additional Aging Management Activities (AMAs). This section discusses the criteria used to identify TLAAAs and the evaluation and disposition of the identified TLAAAs for the extended period of operation. In accordance with 10 CFR 72.240(c)(2), the TLAAAs demonstrate that SSC ITS will continue to perform their intended safety function for the period of extended operation.

3.3.1 TLAA Identification Criteria

The following criteria defined in NUREG-1927 [3.1] are used to identify TLAAAs for existing SSC with a time dependent operating life:

- (1) Involves in-scope SSC,
- (2) Considers the effects of aging,
- (3) Involves time limited assumptions (e.g., 20-year) that are explicit in the analysis,
- (4) Determined to be relevant in making a safety determination,
- (5) Provides conclusions, or the basis for conclusions, regarding the capability of the SSC to perform its intended safety function through the operating term, and
- (6) Contained or incorporated by reference in the licensing basis.

3.3.2 TLAA Identification Process and Results

Design documents for the VSC-24 Storage System were reviewed against the TLAA identification criteria discussed in Section 3.3.1. These included the CoC [3.11], NRC Safety Evaluation Reports (SERs), and Technical Specifications for the VSC-24 Storage System, VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [3.10], docketed licensing correspondence, and generic calculations and site-specific calculations and evaluations. The following TLAAs were identified for further evaluation and disposition for the extended period of operation:

- (1) MSB Helium Leakage Evaluation
- (2) MSB Fatigue Evaluation
- (3) MSB Corrosion Evaluation
- (4) Radiation Effects Analysis
- (5) Fuel Cladding Creep Evaluation
- (6) Palisades MSB-04 Weld Crack Growth Evaluation (cask-specific TLAA)
- (7) Evaluation of Loss of MSB Lid RX-277 Shielding Function After 20 Years of Storage

Each of these TLAAs is further evaluated and dispositioned for the extended period of operation as follows: (i) Remains valid for the extended license period, (ii) Projected to the end of the extended period of operation, or (iii) Aging effects on intended safety functions will be adequately managed for the extended period of operation. The evaluations and dispositions of these TLAAs for the extended period of operation are discussed in Section 3.3.3.

3.3.3 Evaluation and Disposition of Identified TLAAs

3.3.3.1 MSB Helium Leakage Evaluation

The CLB for the MSB assembly includes an evaluation to determine the amount of the helium gas that could potentially leak from the cavity during a 50-year service period. The evaluation postulates different sized leak paths through the MSB confinement boundary that produce a flow rate of 1.0×10^{-4} standard cubic centimeter (std. cc) per second (i.e., the acceptance standard for the MSB helium leak test) under the limiting helium leak test conditions. The helium leakage rates for normal, off-normal, and accident storage conditions are determined for postulated leak paths using the maximum upstream pressure and temperature. The maximum helium leakage rate is then used to determine the total volume of helium gas leaked over the 50-year service period, conservatively assuming a constant leakage rate. The evaluation concludes that up to 2.4% of the helium volume in the MSB cavity may be leaked over the 50-year service period, and that this will have a smaller effect on the MSB thermal performance than the decay of the SNF heat-generation rate.

The MSB helium leakage analysis has been projected to the end of the 60-year service period. The results show that the maximum amount of helium gas leaked from the MSB cavity during

the 60-year extended storage period increases to approximately 2.7%. The conclusions of the helium leakage analysis remain unchanged for the 60-year extended storage period.

3.3.3.2 MSB Fatigue Evaluation

The CLB for the MSB assembly includes an evaluation of fatigue effects for a 50-year storage period, as discussed in Section 3.4.4.1.5 of the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [3.10]. The evaluation demonstrates that the MSB assembly is not susceptible to fatigue failure during the 50-year storage period. Over 50 years of service, a total of 504 cycles are expected for the four (4) criteria of Condition A of NC-3219.2 of the ASME Code [3.19], which does not to exceed 1,000 cycles.

The MSB fatigue analysis has been projected to the end of the 60-year service period. The total number of expected cycles increases to 605 cycles, which remains below 1,000 cycles. The additional expected cycles result from service pressure fluctuations that are expected to exceed 20% of the design pressure, which are conservatively assumed to occur 10 times per year. Thus, the additional 10 years of service time result in an additional 100 cycles. In addition, one full-range pressure cycle is added for the MSB unloading backfill operation. The results demonstrate that the MSB will continue to satisfy the fatigue criteria for the extended period of operation (i.e., 60 years.)

3.3.3.3 MSB Corrosion Evaluation

The CLB for the MSB assembly includes an evaluation of corrosion on the MSB shell and bottom plate for a 50-year service period in a coastal marine environment, as discussed in Section 1.2.1.1 of the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR [3.10]. Although all external surfaces of the MSB assembly are covered with a radiation-resistant, high-temperature, non-organic coating, the coating is not relied upon for general corrosion protection of the MSB shell assembly external surfaces during storage. The maximum corrosion loss on the external surfaces of the MSB shell and bottom plate is conservatively estimated to be 0.15-inch over a 50-year period based on a uniform corrosion rate of 0.003-inch/year for uncoated carbon steel in a marine environment. The MSB corrosion TLAA demonstrates that the corroded MSB shell and bottom plate satisfy the applicable allowable stress design criteria for the controlling load conditions.

The MSB corrosion analysis has been projected to the end of the 60-year service period. The additional thickness reduction of the MSB shell and bottom plate for the extended storage period is 0.03 inches, for a total corrosion allowance of 0.18 inches. The TLAA demonstrates that the maximum stresses in the corroded MSB shell and bottom plate continue to satisfy the corresponding allowable stress design criteria. Therefore, the corroded MSB shell and bottom will continued to satisfy their intended safety functions for the extended period of operation (i.e., 60 years.)

3.3.3.4 Radiation Effects Analysis

The cumulative effect of neutron and gamma radiation on the structural and shielding properties of the VSC-24 storage system materials is evaluated for an extended storage period of 60-years. As discussed below, the cumulative neutron and gamma radiation levels in all components of the

VSC-24 storage system over 60-years of storage are much lower than the radiation levels at which the structural and shielding properties of the carbon steel, concrete, and neutron shielding materials are adversely affected.

Carbon Steel:

The total neutron and gamma radiation exposures for the inner steel components of the VSC-24 storage system (i.e., the MSB assembly and VCC steel liner) over 60-years are estimated to be approximately 1.3×10^{14} n/cm² and 1×10^{10} rads, respectively, based on EPRI TR-102462, *Shipment of Spent Fuel in Storage Canisters* [3.23]. The damaging effects of neutron radiation on steel are seen at a fast neutron (i.e., > 1.0 MeV) fluence level above 1×10^{17} n/cm² [3.23], or approximately three orders of magnitude greater than the total neutron exposure for the steel components of the VSC-24 storage system. Gamma radiation has no measureable impact on the mechanical properties of steel [3.23].

Concrete:

The total cumulative neutron and gamma radiation doses on the VCC concrete over the 60-year extended storage period are estimated considering the dose attenuation provided by the 2.75-inch combined thickness of carbon steel MSB shell and VCC liner shell. Carbon steel does not significantly attenuate neutrons. Therefore, the cumulative neutron dose to the VCC concrete shell over the 60-year extended storage period is approximately equal to that of the inner steel components, or 1.3×10^{14} n/cm². However, gamma dose for a typical SNF fission product gamma energy spectrum is attenuated by a factor of ten (10) by 2.75-inches of steel [3.26]. Therefore, the concrete is exposed to approximately 1×10^9 rads of gamma radiation over the 60-year extended storage period.

Neutron radiation has little effect on shielding or thermal properties of concrete, but it can impact its structural properties at levels as low as 1×10^{17} n/cm² [3.24]. Thus, the total estimated neutron radiation exposure of the VCC concrete is approximately 1,000 times lower than the levels at which adverse affects are expected. Gamma radiation at doses of 1×10^{10} rads or higher may adversely affect the structural properties of concrete [3.24]. Thus, the total estimated gamma radiation exposure of the VCC concrete is one (1) order of magnitude lower than the levels at which adverse affects are expected.

RX-277 Neutron Shield:

The total cumulative neutron and gamma radiation doses on the RX-277 neutron shielding material in the MSB shield lid over the 60-year extended storage period are estimated considering the dose attenuation provided by the 5.0-inch combined thickness of carbon steel in the MSB shield lid support plate and bottom plate. Carbon steel does not significantly attenuate neutrons. Therefore, the cumulative neutron dose to the RX-277 neutron shielding material over the 60-year extended storage period is approximately equal to that of the inner steel components, or 1.3×10^{14} n/cm². However, gamma dose for a typical SNF fission product gamma energy spectrum is attenuated by a factor of one hundred and fifty (150) by 5-inches of steel [3.26]. Therefore, the RX-277 neutron shield material is exposed to approximately 7×10^7 rads of gamma radiation over the 60-year extended storage period.

The RX-277 neutron shielding material product data [3.25] shows that it can withstand neutron and gamma radiation levels of 5×10^{19} n/cm² and 1×10^{10} rads, respectively. The allowable neutron radiation level is over five (5) orders of magnitude higher than the cumulative neutron radiation dose estimated over the 60-year extended storage period. Furthermore, the allowable gamma radiation level is over one hundred and fifty (150) times higher than the cumulative neutron radiation dose estimated over the 60-year extended storage period. Thus, neutron and gamma radiation are not expected to adversely affect the properties of RX-277 during the extended storage period.

The shielding effectiveness of RX-277 is not adversely affected by neutron radiation during the extended storage period. Neutron absorption in RX-277 results in transmutation of ¹⁰B into ¹¹B. The volume of RX-277 in the MSB shield lid (approximately 1×10^5 cm³) contains approximately 2.8×10^{25} atoms of ¹⁰B based on a boron atom density of 1.43×10^{21} atoms/cc [3.25], which equates to a 2.8×10^{20} atoms/cc ¹⁰B atom density. Even if every neutron entering the RX-277 shield resulted in one ¹⁰B to ¹¹B transmutation, the number of transmuted ¹⁰B atoms would only be on the order of 1×10^{14} , which is over eleven (11) orders of magnitude lower than the number of ¹⁰B atoms within the shield.

Transmutation of hydrogen into deuterium, from neutron absorption, is also not an issue for similar reasons. Boron is placed in the shielding material specifically to reduce secondary gamma production, by absorbing thermal neutrons before they are absorbed in hydrogen. Thus, the number of deuterium atoms produced would be far lower than the number of ¹¹B atoms produced, which is in turn only a fraction of the overall neutron fluence of 1.3×10^{14} n/cm². Furthermore, the number of hydrogen atoms in the RX-277 material is larger than the number of boron atoms, based on the atomic weight and the weight fractions shown for hydrogen and boron in RX-277 [3.25]. Thus, the fraction of hydrogen atoms lost will be much lower than the fraction of ¹⁰B atoms lost, which is negligible, as discussed above.

3.3.3.5 Fuel Cladding Creep Evaluation

The maximum allowable cladding temperatures for PWR fuel assemblies stored in the VSC-24 storage system are based on the cladding creep methodology described in PNL-6364 [3.21]. The criterion applied limits the total strain in the fuel cladding due to creep to 1% over a 40-year storage period. The creep methodology accounts for the decrease in cladding temperature and hoop stress that are expected to occur during the storage period.

After the initial 40-year storage period, the peak cladding temperature for design basis fuel will reduce to approximately 150°C and the corresponding cladding hoop stress will be approximately 67 megapascals (MPa). Based on PNL-6364 [3.21], the cladding strain rate under these conditions is estimated to be approximately 10^{-17} s⁻¹. Conservatively assuming the cladding strain rate remains constant for the extended storage period, the additional accumulated strain for the additional 20-year period is 6.3×10^{-9} in/in; an insignificant fraction of the allowable total strain (1%). Therefore, it is concluded that the maximum allowable cladding temperatures for PWR fuel assemblies stored in the VSC-24 storage system remain applicable for the 60-year extended storage period.

3.3.3.6 Palisades MSB-04 Weld Crack Growth Evaluation

As discussed in Section 3.4.3.3, indications of flaws were identified in the longitudinal seam weld of Palisades MSB-04 after the MSB was loaded and placed into service. A fatigue crack-growth analysis of a bounding 1-inch long by ½-inch deep subsurface flaw was performed, which showed that the fatigue crack growth over the 50-year storage period of the MSB assembly is less than 0.00001-inches, considering the full range of normal, off-normal, and accident load conditions. The analysis also demonstrates that the flaw stability factors of safety are greater than those required by the ASME Code for normal and faulted conditions.

The fatigue crack growth analysis of Palisades MSB-04 has been projected to the end of the 60-year service period. The 0.18-inch corrosion thickness reduction of the outside surface of the MSB shell for the extended storage period has been included in the fatigue crack growth analysis. In order to bound the potential crack growth in the corroded shell, the 1-inch long by ½-inch deep flaw has been modeled on the inside surface of the MSB shell rather than the subsurface. The TLAA demonstrates that the bounding 1-inch long by ½-inch deep flaw in Palisades MSB-04 grows to 0.5000203 inches deep by 1.0000193 inches long over the 60-year extended service period, considering a bounding corrosion allowance of 0.18-inches and the full range of normal, off-normal, and accident load conditions. Finally, the TLAA demonstrates that the flaw stability factors of safety remain greater than those required by the ASME Code for normal and faulted conditions.

3.3.3.7 MSB Lid RX-277 Neutron Shielding Degradation Evaluation

The RX-277 neutron shielding material in the MSB shield lid (i.e., the shield lid neutron shield) provides radiation shielding at the top end of the MSB assembly. During the initial storage period, it is relied upon to maintain the total (neutron + gamma) average dose rate on the top surface of the VCC within the 200 mrem/hr limit required by Technical Specification 1.2.4. Potential degradation of the shield lid neutron shield from radiation exposure is addressed in Section 3.3.3.4. No other significant potential sources of degradation of the shield lid neutron shield have been identified, given that it lies within a sealed chamber and is exposed to fairly low temperatures (well below the material's service temperature). However, to clearly demonstrate that measures to ensure shielding performance of the RX-277 material are not necessary, an additional TLAA was performed to evaluate the impact of a complete loss of neutron shielding function of the shield lid neutron shield after 20 years of storage.

A shielding evaluation was performed to demonstrate that the neutron shielding properties of the shield lid neutron shield are no longer needed after the initial 20-year storage period. The evaluation shows that, for any assembly payload allowed by the initial license, the VCC top surface average neutron dose rate is lower after the initial 20-year storage period, even if no credit is taken for the neutron shielding provided by the RX-277 material in the MSB shield lid. The analysis shows that the reduction (decay) in neutron source strength over the initial 20-year storage period more than offsets the complete removal of the RX-277 material.

Due to the significant reduction in gamma source terms that will also occur over the initial 20-year storage period, the overall average total (neutron + gamma) dose rate on the top surface of the VCC will be significantly lower than the initial dose rate at the time the cask is loaded, and

well under the 200 mrem/hr limit, even if the neutron shielding properties of the RX-277 material are completely neglected. The RX-277 material provides only a small amount of gamma attenuation. The gamma attenuation through the shield lid neutron shield is primarily a function of its bulk mass. The loss of a significant amount of RX-277 material bulk mass (out of the sealed, steel MSB lid chamber) is not considered credible, especially given that most of the mass of the RX-277 material is in the form of solids that are not subject to loss through off-gassing. Furthermore, the reduction in gamma source terms over the initial 20-year storage period is such that, even if no credit is taken for gamma attenuation provided by the shield lid neutron shield, the 200 mrem/hr dose rate limit on the VCC top surface would still be met.

Based on the above evaluation, it is concluded that the shielding properties of the MSB lid RX-277 neutron shielding material are not needed over the extended storage period. Thus, the material has no design function over the extended storage period, and potential degradation of the material does not require management (under an AMP). Measures to monitor the shielding performance of the material, such as cask top neutron dose rate measurements, are not necessary.

3.4 Aging Management Program

The in-scope SSC that are subject to aging effects that require AMA are identified in Section 3.2. Section 3.3 discusses the TLAA used to evaluate aging effects and associated aging mechanism(s) and demonstrate that they do not adversely affect the ability of the SSC to perform their intended functions during the extended storage period. Those aging effects that are not adequately addressed by TLAA require an AMP. The AMP elements used to manage aging effects in the in-scope SSC are discussed in this section.

3.4.1 Aging Effects Subject to Aging Management

Aging effects that could result in loss of in-scope SSC intended functions are required to be managed during the extended storage period. The aging effects that require management are discussed in Section 3.2 and summarized in Table 9 through Table 12. Many aging effects are dispositioned for the extended storage period using TLAA, as discussed in Section 3.3. An AMP is used to manage those aging effects that are not dispositioned by TLAA, as summarized in Table 13. The AMP is described in Section 3.4.2. In addition, the lead cask inspection is discussed in Section 3.4.4.

3.4.2 Aging Management Program Description

The AMP that manages each of the identified aging effects for all in-scope SSC is described in this section. The AMP consists of the existing surveillance requirements in the VSC-24 Technical Specifications, with additional examinations to address aging that could potentially occur during the extended storage period. In addition to the AMP described in the following sections, the lead cask inspection described in Section 3.4.4 provides additional assurance that the VCC and MSB assemblies do not experience any unanticipated degradation.

Each GL shall establish, implement, and maintain programs and operating procedures for each of the AMPs described in Sections 3.4.2.1 through 3.4.2.5 and the lead cask inspection described in Section 3.4.4. The GL programs shall include provisions for changing the AMP elements, as

necessary, to address new information on aging effects based on inspection findings and/or industry operating experience identified during the renewal period.

3.4.2.1 Examination of VCC Assembly Air Inlets and Outlets

The wire mesh screens that cover the inlet and outlet ducts of all VCC assemblies are visually inspected on a daily frequency in accordance with the TS 1.3.1. The purpose of this examination is to monitor the cask for conditions that cause blockage of the air ventilation paths (e.g., accumulation of snow or debris) or degradation of the wire mesh screens (and screen attachment hardware) that could prevent them from performing their intended functions (i.e., preventing material from entering and blocking the VCC air flow paths.) As shown in Table 13, this examination is credited with managing loss of material due to corrosion of the air inlet and outlet screens and attachment hardware. The AMP elements of this examination are summarized in Table 14 and discussed in this section.

Detection and removal of blockage of the screens that cover the VSC assembly air inlets and outlets on a daily frequency assures that natural convective heat transfer will be maintained within the VCC assembly annulus and maximum material temperatures will not exceed the temperature limits. Identification and repair of degradation of the wire mesh screens (and attachment hardware) in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program assures that the wire mesh screens will maintain their intended functions.

Operating experience during the initial storage period shows that TS 1.3.1 provides adequate management of aging effects that could potentially result in a loss of the vent screens intended functions. As discussed in Section 3.2.2.1, only small amounts of debris (e.g., leaves or mud) have been observed inside the VCC air inlet ducts. Typical degradation of the screens during the initial storage period included bent screens or missing/damaged screen attachment hardware, which were corrected in accordance with existing maintenance procedures. Therefore, the AMP will adequately manage the aging effects identified for the VCC assembly wire mesh screen covers (and attachment hardware) during the extended storage period.

3.4.2.2 Examination of the VCC Assembly Exterior Concrete

The exterior surfaces of all VCC assemblies are required to be visually inspected for degradation on a yearly frequency. The scope of this AMP includes all readily accessible exterior concrete surfaces and all readily accessible steel-to-concrete interfaces of the VCC Bottom Plate Assembly (i.e., around the bottom end of the VCC and the openings of all four air inlets) and all four (4) VCC Air Outlet Weldments. Portions of the VCC exterior concrete surface and steel-to-concrete interfaces that are covered by the air inlet and outlet screens or other system components (e.g., monitoring equipment) are not included in the scope of the inspection. The purpose of the examination is to maintain the surface condition of the VCC assembly concrete in order to prevent degradation of the concrete and maintain the VCC assembly's intended functions. The exterior concrete surfaces are also examined and monitored in accordance with this AMP for indications of aging mechanisms that may cause loss of strength, such as cracking due to aggregate reactions or corrosion of embedded steel and increased porosity due to CaOH leaching. The steel-to-concrete interfaces are examined and monitored for gaps or voids that could potentially lead to unacceptable degradation of the embedded steel components of the

VCC assembly. The aging effects that this AMP is credited with managing are identified in Table 13. The AMP elements of this examination are summarized in Table 15 and discussed in this section.

Aging effects for the VCC assembly concrete shell that are managed by the examination of the VCC assembly exterior concrete include cracking, scaling, spalling, and loss of strength. Cracking, scaling, and spalling of the concrete surface can result from several different aging mechanisms, including freeze-thaw cycles, aggregate reactions (e.g., ASR-induced expansion,) and corrosion of embedded steel (e.g., rebar), as discussed in Section 3.2.1.2. The exterior concrete surfaces of the VCC assembly are visually inspected for damage, such as concrete cracking, scaling, or spalling. In addition, concrete surfaces are visually inspected for rust stains, increased porosity, and/or discoloration that are indicative of rebar corrosion and CaOH leaching. Also, the concrete-to-steel interfaces at the VCC Bottom Plate Assembly (i.e., around the bottom end of the VCC and the openings of all four inlets) and VCC Air Outlet Weldments shall be visually inspected for gaps and voids that may provide a pathway for water to enter the VCC concrete.

Popouts and voids in the concrete that exceed ½-inch in diameter (or equivalent surface area) and gaps and voids at the exterior steel-to-concrete interfaces that exceed ½-inch wide or ¼-inch deep are required to be repaired by appropriate means (e.g., filled with grout or covered with a suitable protective barrier system) to prevent further degradation of the interior concrete and embedded steel reinforcing. Scaling on the concrete surface less than 3/16 inch deep is acceptable. Passive cracks (i.e., those absent of recent growth) on the concrete exterior that do not exceed 1 mm (0.04 inch) wide are acceptable. In addition, passive cracks that exceed 1 mm (0.04 inch) wide and show no indications of other degradation mechanism are also acceptable, but must be monitored and trended for accelerated crack growth in subsequent examinations. However, passive cracks that exceed 1 mm (0.04 inch) wide and show indications of other degradation mechanism are not acceptable and require corrective action.

Defects in the exterior concrete that do not satisfy the acceptance criteria must be evaluated to determine their cause, and monitored (e.g., crack/defect mapping) and trending during the extended storage period to identify possible concrete aging effects, such as ASR-induced expansion and corrosion of embedded steel. Repair of defects in the concrete surface prevents exposure of the rebar to oxygen and moisture, which is required for rebar corrosion. Progressive growth of defects in the concrete surface may indicate degradation due to ASR-induced expansion, leaching or CaOH, or corrosion of reinforcing steel, which require further corrective actions.

Concrete that shows evidence of rebar corrosion, such as splitting cracks (i.e., longitudinal cracks that propagate parallel to the rebar) or excretion of rust (i.e., discoloration or staining at or below cracks on the concrete surface), shall be tested using non-destructive examination (NDE) techniques, such as impact or other suitable methods, to detect rebar corrosion and/or delamination of concrete (which can result from rebar corrosion), and evaluated for continued storage. A cask with aging effects due to rebar corrosion that is not acceptable for continued storage shall be repaired or replaced.

Loss of concrete strength may result from aggregate reactions or leaching of CaOH, as discussed in Section 3.2.1.2. These aging mechanisms are typically indicated by map cracking (i.e., more or less uniform spacing of cracks over the entire concrete surface), surface deposits (efflorescence or gel staining), or increased porosity on the concrete surface. The exposed concrete surfaces on the sides and top of the VCC assembly shall be visually examined for evidence that may indicate loss of strength. Visual examination of the VCC concrete exterior surfaces shall be performed in accordance with ACI 201.1 R-08 [3.34], or an equivalent industry consensus standard. Performance monitoring (i.e., crack mapping) performed at regular intervals (i.e., annually), provides a non-destructive means to assess potential degradation of the VCC concrete strength. If performance monitoring indicates the potential presence of aggregate reactions or leaching of CaOH, then additional actions shall be taken to confirm the presence of the degradation mechanism, determine the cause of the aging effect, determine if the aging effect has adversely affected the concrete strength, and evaluate the VCC assembly for continued storage.

Concrete surfaces that show visual evidence of degradation from aggregate reactions, as determined by the qualified inspector, shall be further investigation to confirm or refute the presence of ASR gel in the concrete. The preliminary investigation shall consist of field tests of the affected cask(s) to detect the presence of ASR silica gel on the concrete surface using uranyl acetate fluorescence, as described in Federal Highway Administration Report No. FHWA-HIF-09-004 [3.39], or other suitable methods identified by the GL. Alternatively, samples of surface deposits can be sent for X-ray analysis to help determine if silica gel is present.

If silica gel is not present in the concrete, then there is a low potential for ASR-induced degradation and no further immediate corrective actions are required. However, if the presence of silica gel is confirmed, then Crack Index (CI) measurements shall be taken on the affected cask(s) in accordance with FHWA-HIF-09-004 [3.39] to determine the extent of ASR-induced degradation in the concrete. Any cask with a CI that is greater than 0.5 mm/m (0.018 in/yard) and/or with crack widths that exceed 0.15 mm (0.006 in) requires detailed in-situ and/or laboratory investigations to determine the current condition of the concrete and its potential for future degradation.

At a minimum, detailed in-situ investigations shall include periodic CI measurements, taken at least twice a year for a minimum of 3 years, to monitor the progression of ASR induced degradation. After 3 years, the CI measurement frequency may be reduced to once every 5 years if the CI shows no significant increasing trend. As discussed in FHWA-HIF-09-004 [3.39], CI measurements should be taken under similar environmental conditions each time since crack widths are affected by temperature and humidity.

Although destructive examination of the concrete should be avoided if possible, detailed laboratory testing, including petrographic examination, mechanical testing, expansion testing, and alkali content testing, may be performed using concrete core samples from the cask, if required to assess the condition of the concrete and the potential for further ASR-induced degradation. The collective results from the detailed in-situ and laboratory testing are used to identify mitigation measures and evaluate the cask. Potential mitigation measures for

ASR-affected concrete, as discussed in Section 6.0 of FHWA-HIF-09-004 [3.39], include application of a siloxane or silane sealer to the concrete surface to reduce its moisture content below 80%, the level below which ASR-induced expansion is significantly reduced or suppressed. A VCC assembly that is determined to have concrete that has a significant potential for further expansion due to ASR and/or does not meet the strength requirements specified in the FSAR shall be evaluated for continued storage, and repaired or replaced, if necessary.

As discussed in Section 3.2.2.1, operating experience during the initial storage period shows that typical degradation of the concrete exterior surface consists of small surface defects, such as hairline cracks and pits (e.g., “bug holes” or “popouts”) and local discoloration of the concrete from mineral deposits. Defects exceeding the size permitted by TS 1.3.2 have been identified and repaired by re-grouting in accordance with existing maintenance procedures. There has been no clear increasing trend in the number surface defects seen at any of the sites for the subsequent years, nor have there been any indications of failure of grout-repairs. Therefore, the AMP will adequately manage the aging effects identified for the exterior surfaces of the VCC assembly during the extended storage period.

3.4.2.3 Examination of the VCC Assembly Ventilation Ducts and Annulus

The first VSC-24 cask loaded at each site is visually examined on a 5-year frequency. A VT-3 visual examination of each VCC air inlet and outlet, and of the VCC annulus is performed using remote visual equipment (e.g., bore-scope and video recorder). The main purpose of this examination is to confirm that no blockage has accumulated inside the VCC assembly ventilated flow path that could interfere with the natural convective air flow and prevent the VCC assembly from performing its intended heat transfer function. The other purpose of this examination is to confirm, through remote VT-3 visual inspection, that the metal surfaces that line the VCC air inlets, air outlets, and cask annulus, which are normally inaccessible, are not experiencing any unanticipated degradation that could prevent them from performing their intended functions. The scope of the VT-3 visual examination for corrosion includes all readily accessible inside surfaces of all VCC air inlets and outlets, and all readily accessible annulus-facing surfaces of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom (i.e., surfaces not obstructed by the MSB assembly), VCC Cask Liner Shell, VCC Shield Ring Plates (Liner Assembly and Shield Ring), and MSB Shell. Surfaces that require inspection are those that may be inspected using reasonable means given the specified method or technique, considering the inspection equipment used. A surface that cannot be viewed with sufficient resolution or lighting for a qualified inspector to evaluate is not considered readily accessible. Monitoring the condition of the interior of the first VSC-24 cask placed in service at each site for unanticipated blockage and material degradation provides confirmation that the design is performing as intended. As shown in Table 13, this AMP is credited with managing loss of material due to corrosion of the VCC air inlet and outlet ducts, liner shell, and liner bottom. The elements of this AMP are summarized in Table 16 and discussed in this section.

The steel plates that line the VCC air inlet and outlet ducts serve as cast-in-place formwork, which form the VCC geometry that provides the ventilation flow path, thus providing a heat transfer function. Although the exposed surfaces of these steel components are coated, degradation of the coating and general (e.g., atmospheric) corrosion may occur during the

extended storage period. Coating degradation and any type of corrosion (i.e., general and localized corrosion) on the duct-facing coated steel surfaces of the VCC air inlets and air outlets is acceptable provided that it does not result in significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of any air flow path.

General corrosion (e.g., atmospheric corrosion) on the annulus-facing coated steel surfaces of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, VCC Cask Liner Shell, VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly), VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring), and MSB Shell that does not result in significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of the annulus is also acceptable. However, any localized corrosion (e.g., galvanic, crevice, or pitting corrosion) on the annulus-facing coated steel surfaces of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, VCC Cask Liner Shell, VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly), VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring), and MSB is unacceptable. Unacceptable corrosion on the bottom surfaces of the inner and outer VCC Shielding Ring Plates also includes any evidence of crevice corrosion in the gap between the inner and outer VCC shielding ring plates.

A VCC assembly with blockage of the air inlets, air outlets, or annulus that exceeds the acceptance criteria shall be evaluated in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, and any blockage that can be removed by reasonable means shall be removed. The extent of condition evaluation shall include examination of at least two additional VCC assemblies at the site for blockage. The GL shall select the additional VCC assemblies based upon those factors that are most relevant the type of blockage observed (e.g., location or orientation of the VCC assembly on the ISFSI pad or time in service). If unacceptable blockage is identified in any of the additional VCC examinations, then the condition shall be evaluated in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, the blockage shall be removed by reasonable means, and all VCC assemblies at the site shall be examined for blockage.

If unacceptable (i.e., localized) corrosion is found on any of the annulus-facing steel surfaces of the VCC and MSB assemblies or in the gap between the inner and outer VCC shielding ring plates, the inspected cask shall be evaluated for continued use and other casks shall be inspected to determine the extent of condition. If localized corrosion is identified on the VCC cask liner shell or VCC cask liner bottom, then the MSB assembly may be removed from the VCC assembly to allow examination and repair or replacement of the affected surfaces. If localized corrosion is identified on the MSB shell or MSB bottom plate then additional NDE, such as eddy current or ultrasonic measurements, may be required to determine the depth of localized corrosion on the MSB shell or MSB bottom plate, if that information is required by the qualified VT-3 inspector to evaluate the condition. NDE methods used to determine corrosion depth shall be qualified for use by the GL.

If localized corrosion is identified on the MSB shell, VCC cask liner bottom, or VCC cask liner shell, then the extent of condition evaluation shall include additional remote visual examination of the normally inaccessible surfaces of the MSB bottom plate and VCC cask liner bottom of that cask for unacceptable corrosion. Alternatively, the MSB assembly may be removed from the VCC assembly to allow direct access to the VCC cask liner bottom plate for additional examination and repair, if necessary. The extent of condition evaluation shall also include visual examination of two additional casks for the unacceptable corrosion, including the normally

inaccessible surfaces of the MSB bottom plate and VCC cask liner bottom if unacceptable corrosion is identified on these surfaces of the first cask. If either of those two casks also have unacceptable corrosion, all of the other casks at the site shall be inspected for corrosion. The two additional casks selected for additional inspections shall be those considered to have maximum susceptibility to corrosion of the ventilation path metal surfaces, based on factors such as time in service, heat load, fabrication variations, etc. A VCC and/or MSB assembly that is not acceptable for continued storage shall be repaired or replaced. An MSB assembly with a shell or bottom plate that is determined not acceptable for continued storage must be removed from service and the used fuel must be retrieved from the MSB assembly.

Operating experience during the initial storage period shows that no significant blockage has accumulated within the ventilation flow path of the inspected casks and that the majority of the steel surfaces inspected are in excellent condition, with little coating degradation or signs of corrosion. Therefore, this AMP will adequately manage the aging effects identified for the VCC assembly interior during the extended storage period.

3.4.2.4 Examination of VSC Top End Steel Components

The top end of one VSC-24 cask loaded at each site is visually examined on a 10-year frequency (± 1 -year) during the extended storage period to manage loss of material (corrosion) on the coated steel surfaces. The first examination is to be performed on one cask at each site, with the initial inspection completed within 2 years following the latter of the 20th anniversary of the first cask loaded at that site or the CoC renewal date. All subsequent examinations must also be performed within one (1) year before or after the date of the previous examination. The examination shall be performed on the first cask loaded at each site. Alternatively, the GL may select a different cask for inspection based on maximum cask heat load or cask accessibility.¹⁴ However, the same cask shall be used for the subsequent examinations such that trending can be performed.

The scope of the examination includes VT-3 visual inspection of all readily accessible VSC system top end surfaces, including all surfaces of the VCC cask lid (which is removed as part of the inspection), the top and inner radial surfaces of the VCC liner flange, the top surface of the VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly) (i.e., the outer portion of the shielding ring that is welded to the VCC liner shell), the top and inner radial surfaces of the VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring) (i.e., the inner shielding ring assembly that is removable), the top surface of the MSB structural lid, MSB valve covers, and MSB closure weld, the top end (i.e., upward-facing surface) of the MSB shell, and all surfaces of the VCC lid bolts. If (optional) lifting lugs are present on the top end of the VCC, all exposed surfaces of the lifting lugs are also to be inspected.

¹⁴ Since this examination is included in the scope of the lead cask inspection discussed in Section 3.4.4, it may be included in the lead cask inspection for the 20-year intervals, but must be performed separately for the intermediate 10-year intervals. For those sites that do not perform lead cask inspections, this examination must be performed per the specified timing and intervals.

The purposes of this examination are to confirm, through VT-3 visual inspection, that the surfaces listed above, many of which are normally inaccessible, are not experiencing any unanticipated degradation that could prevent them from performing their intended functions. Monitoring the condition of the VSC top end steel components of one cask at each site for unanticipated material degradation provides confirmation that the design is performing as intended. The aging effects that this AMP is credited with managing are identified in Table 13. The AMP elements of this examination are summarized in Table 17 and discussed in this section.

VT-3 visual inspection of the VSC top end steel components may be performed directly, or using long-handled tools and/or remote visual equipment (e.g., borescope/camera), if necessary. In order to perform the visual examination of the VSC top end steel components, the VCC cask lid must be removed. If the view of the MSB closure weld and/or the top end of the MSB shell is blocked by the inner VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring), the inner VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring) may be lifted slightly (no more than 2") to expose these surfaces for visual examination. Dose rates around the MSB closure weld shall be monitored and temporary shielding may be used to minimize occupational exposure. Following the completion of the surveillance activities, replace the VCC cask lid gasket, secure the VCC cask lid, and replace the locking wire.

Indications of water leakage into the top of the VCC assembly, degradation of the coating that exposes the underlying steel, or corrosion that is identified during the visual inspection shall be documented using appropriate means (i.e., photographs, video recording, and/or written descriptions.) Degraded coating that indicates potential corrosion of the underlying steel surfaces shall be removed by appropriate means (e.g., a scraper, wire brush, or grinder) to expose the underlying steel surface, which shall be visually examined for corrosion. Corrosion products identified on the underlying metal surface shall be removed by appropriate means (e.g., a scraper, wire brush, or grinder) to reveal "clean" metal and the depth of corrosion (relative to the adjacent uncoated surface) shall be measured using a suitable measure device (e.g., a depth probe) and recorded. If the depth of corrosion is more than 1/16 inch, then the cask shall be evaluated for continued storage in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program, including extent of condition. Steel components with corrosion that exceeds the allowable depth acceptance criteria shall be repaired or replaced in accordance with the GL's procedures. Coating that is degraded or has been removed to permit examination of the underlying steel shall be repaired in accordance with the GL's procedures. Any VCC lid bolt(s) that do not satisfy the corrosion acceptance criteria shall be replaced.

The extent of condition evaluation shall include visual examination of at least two additional casks for coating degradation and/or corrosion. The GL shall select the additional casks to be inspected based upon the factors that contribute most significantly to the observed degradation on the first cask (e.g., time in service, heat load, or fabrication differences). If coating degradation and/or corrosion is identified in either of the additional cask inspections that do not satisfy the acceptance criteria, it shall be entered into the GL's corrective action program and the extent of condition evaluation shall be expanded to include visual examination of all casks at the site for coating degradation and/or corrosion.

Operating experience from the initial lead cask inspection performed on Palisades Cask Number VSC-15 shows that the VCC lid gasket showed no evidence of leakage during the initial storage period. The coating on the VCC lid, liner flange, shield ring plates, and the MSB structural lid and closure weld were also found to be intact and adhered to the underlying steel, except in two small areas where the coating was intact but appeared to be blistered or bubbled. In addition, one small area of coating was inadvertently scraped off of the MSB structural lid during the inspection. The coating in the areas that appeared to be blistered and the area that had been scraped was removed, and visual inspection of the underlying steel surface did not identify any signs of corrosion. Upon completion of this inspection, the coating on the MSB structural lid was repaired and the VCC cask lid was installed with a new gasket.

3.4.2.5 Examination of the MTC Assembly

The MTC assembly aging effects that require management by AMP, as identified in Table 13, are limited to loss of material due to corrosion of the exposed surfaces of the coated and uncoated carbon steel subcomponents. The scope of the AMP includes visual examination of all readily accessible interior and exterior surfaces of the MTC assembly and functional testing of the MTC shield doors. The MTC assembly used at each site is examined on a 10-year frequency (± 1 -year), with the initial inspection completed within 2 years following the later of the 20th anniversary of the first cask loaded at that site or the CoC renewal date. The MTC is also examined prior to putting the MTC back into service (with the inspection occurring within the one year period before use of the MTC). The AMP elements of the MTC assembly examination are summarized in Table 18 and discussed in this section.

Although the MTC assembly is stored in a sheltered environment, the MTC assembly is intermittently exposed to the wet environment of the spent fuel pool during the MSB assembly loading operations. Most surfaces of the MTC assembly that are exposed to the spent fuel pool water are coated to protect the spent fuel pool chemistry, facilitate decontamination, and protect against corrosion. Coating degradation and exposure to moist atmospheric conditions (i.e., sheltered environment) may lead to corrosion of the MTC assembly carbon steel subcomponent. Visual examination of all readily accessible interior and exterior surfaces is performed to identify degradation of the coating and corrosion of the coated and uncoated carbon steel surfaces that could prevent the MTC assembly from performing its intended functions. Exposed surfaces of the MTC assembly where the coating is degraded (e.g., blistered, cracked, chipped, or peeling) to the extent that the underlying steel is exposed shall be further examined to determine if corrosion of the underlying steel has occurred.

Coating degradation and corrosion identified during the visual examination of the MTC assembly will be documented using appropriate means (i.e., photographs, and/or written descriptions) and evaluated, reviewed, approved, and corrected using the GL's Corrective Action Program. Any part of the MTC assembly with coating degradation that exposes the underlying carbon steel surface must be further examined to verify that the underlying steel has not

experienced any significant loss of material from corrosion.¹⁵ MTC assembly subcomponents that are determined to have significant loss of material from corrosion shall be evaluated for continued use and repaired or replaced, if necessary. Local areas of coating loss that expose more than 2 in² of underlying steel or combined areas of coating loss (not including steel surfaces that are not coated by design) that expose more than 40 in² of underlying steel (e.g., roughly 0.05% of the total coated surface area) shall be repaired by recoating in accordance with the coating manufacturer's instructions.

The functional test of the MTC shield door assembly shall demonstrate that the shield doors can be opened and closed with the MTC hydraulic assemblies. Degradation of the MTC hydraulic assemblies may cause them to not function properly. If required, the MTC hydraulic assemblies and shield door sliding surfaces may be repaired or replaced.

3.4.3 Corrective Actions

This section provides a detailed discussion of the operating history of the VSC-24 storage system, including design modifications made by the GLs and CH and significant events that occurred during the initial storage period, along with the identified causes of those events and corrective actions to prevent recurrence. Whereas all design modifications made by the GLs and CH and significant events that occurred during the initial storage period have been evaluated for the initial storage period, the operating history is reviewed herein to identify potential issues that may affect safe operation during the extended storage period. Based on the operating history, there is reasonable assurance that all VSC-24 storage systems that are currently loaded satisfy the confinement, fuel integrity, and subcriticality requirements of 10 CFR Part 72 and reasonable assurance of continued safe operation of the VSC-24 storage system during the extended storage period.

3.4.3.1 Design Changes Made in Accordance With 10CFR72.48

When the VSC-24 Storage System CoC was issued in 1993, only the GLs were permitted by 10 CFR Part 72.48 to make specific changes in the facility or spent fuel storage cask design described in the FSAR without prior NRC approval. On April 5, 2001, 10 CFR Part 72.48 was changed to also authorize the CH to evaluate and implement changes to the facility or spent fuel storage cask design described in the FSAR meeting the criteria of 10 CFR Part 72.48 without obtaining prior NRC approval. However, because this change to the 10 CFR Part 72.48 did not occur until after most of the VSC-24 storage system components had already been fabricated, relatively few changes to the VSC-24 storage system were made by the CH. The changes to the VSC-24 storage system made by the GLs and CH under the provisions of 10 CFR 72.48 have been reviewed in the context of operating history to identify potential aging effects for in-scope SSC. This section provides a summary of the review of design changes made in accordance with 10 CFR Part 72.48.

¹⁵ Significant loss of material is defined as that which exceeds 10% of a component's nominal thickness or depth or reduces a bolt's nominal cross section area by more than 5%.

Most of the changes made by the GLs in accordance with 10 CFR Part 72.48 addressed unit-specific issues, such as fabrication non-conformances, and some of the 10 CFR Part 72.48 changes were related to the issues discussed in the following sections. Changes made by the GLs were reported to the CH for evaluation as generic changes. Most of these changes were included in License Amendment Request (LAR) 00-02 (see Section 1.1.1), which was submitted to NRC in May 2000 to address commitments made in response to the Demand For Information (DFI) issued by NRC on October 6, 1997. NRC concluded their review of LAR 00-02 stating that the changes requested could be made by the CH in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 72.48. In response, the CH evaluated the changes in accordance with 10 CFR Part 72.48 and determined that, although some editorial changes could be made without prior NRC approval, many of the changes required a LAR. These changes were included in LAR 01-01 (see Section 1.1.1) that was submitted to NRC and approved in Amendment 4 of the VSC-24 CoC in January 2003. Also, in 2002, a change was made by the CH under 10 CFR Part 72.48 (in response to a request from NRC) to add a requirement for a minimum helium purity of 99.995%. It was concluded that this change did not require prior NRC approval.

All of the changes made under the provisions of 10 CFR Part 72.48 were incorporated into the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR no later than Revision 5. Since 2003, no additional changes have been made in accordance with 10 CFR Part 72.48 by the CH. None of the issues identified in the review of design changes made in accordance with 10 CFR Part 72.48 were determined to prevent the in-scope SSC from performing their intended functions during the extended storage period.

3.4.3.2 MSB Closure Weld Cracks

Between March 1995 and March 1997, cracks were identified in four (4) different MSB closure welds during NDE examinations performed during the loading process. In April 1997, NRC issued Inspection Report 72-1007/97-204 [3.28] to identified NRC safety concerns related to these issues; then issued Corrective Action Letter (CAL) Number 97-7-001 [3.29] to Sierra Nuclear Corporation (SNC) in May 1997. Subsequently, SNC submitted the response to CAL 97-7-001 [3.30], which discussed SNC's evaluation of the weld failures and identified several different root causes of the weld failures, including: (1) Lamellar tearing of the MSB shell base metal, (2) Improper fit-up of MSB structural lid and backing ring, (3) Moisture contamination during the welding process, and (4) Hydrogen-induced cracking. This section summarizes the conditions associated with weld failures, the associated root causes and corrective actions to prevent recurrence, and the evaluation of the weld cracks for extended storage.

Lamellar Tearing in MSB Shell:

In March 1995 a leak was discovered in the shield lid-to-shell weld of Palisades MSB-05 during the helium leak test. NDE revealed that the leak was caused by a defect in the MSB shell base metal. The defect was removed by grinding, which resulted in a 1/8-inch deep by 6-inch long defect cavity, and the defect cavity was repaired in accordance with approved welding procedures. Metallographic analysis by the GL of remnants of the removed defect indicated that the shell material defect could have resulted from a weld of unknown origin in the MSB shell. However, further investigation of the fabrication records concluded that no weld repairs on the

shell of MSB-05 were located in the area of interest. A review team ultimately concluded that the failure was caused by a lamellar defect in the shell material that was opened up during the welding process (i.e., lamellar tearing) and propagated along the grain boundary of a pre-existing weld of unknown origin. Several corrective actions were taken to determine the extent of condition and prevent recurrence of the condition that occurred on MSB-05.

All of the MSB shells that had already been fabricated but not yet loaded were subjected to an acid-etching test in the closure weld region (i.e., top 4-inches on the inside surface) to detect the presence of weld repairs. The acid-etch results showed evidence of undocumented welds on ten (10) MSB shells at ANO. Further investigation revealed that the undocumented welds were limited to one fabricator that welded temporary attachments to the MSB shell during the fabrication process. The extent of condition evaluation concluded that fourteen (14) of the ANO MSBs and five (5) of the Palisades MSBs from this fabricator were affected. Subsequently, Liquid Penetrant Test (PT) and Ultrasonic Test (UT) examinations performed on all undocumented welds detected on the ANO MSBs by the acid-etching test revealed no indications that were unacceptable. Furthermore, samples of the affected material were extracted and sent to an independent laboratory for testing. The tests revealed that the chemical composition, hardness levels, and microstructure of the affected material were all consistent with expectations for shallow weld repairs.

Evaluations were performed to assess the potential for adverse effects of the undocumented weld repairs, including hydrogen-induced cracking and propagation of undiscovered defects (crack growth). The evaluation of hydrogen-induced cracking in weld repairs concluded that the risk is low, even considering the highly-constrained shield lid-to-shell weld joint. However, additional acid etching and/or UT examinations were also required and performed on the top 4-inches of all subsequent MSB shells, including those that were already-fabricated but not loaded, to identify the presence of lamellar defects near the closure weld region. In addition, low-sulfur material was required for all later MSB shells. These changes were included in CoC Amendment 2 [3.11] and subsequently incorporated in FSAR Revision 2 [3.4].

A fracture mechanics analysis of the MSB shell and bottom plate was performed using Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM) techniques based on the requirements of ASME Section XI to evaluate the possible effects of undocumented weld repairs. The analysis is conservatively based on the Double Edge Cracked Plate (DECP) model, which assumes opposing flaws on the inside and outside surfaces of the plate and infinite crack length (i.e., aspect ratio $a/l = 0$). The results of the fracture mechanics analysis show that the 1/8-inch deep by 6-inch long defect identified in Palisades MSB-05 and any defects that could be present in the MSB shell and bottom plate as a result of undocumented weld repairs will not affect the structural adequacy of the components. Therefore, it is concluded that any potentially undiscovered flaws from undocumented weld repairs would not prevent the MSB from performing its intended functions during the initial or extended storage period.

Improper Fit-Up of MSB Structural Lid and Backing Ring:

In May 1996, cracks were identified by a PT examination of the root pass of the structural lid-to-shell weld of the second cask loaded at Point Beach. The defects were removed by grinding and repaired in accordance with approved welding procedures. An investigation by the GL

concluded that the indications were caused by wide fit-up gaps that were not sufficiently backed by shim plates, which caused lack of fusion to occur between the weld and base metals. The corrective actions included pre-fitting the MSB assembly lid components to ensure tighter fit-up of the backing ring to the shell and manual welding to fill any gaps exceeding 1/16-inch prior to starting the automated welding process. Similar measures to prevent the type of failure that occurred at Point Beach were already in-use by the other GLs. The corrective actions taken restored the failed weld to its intended condition and prevented recurrence of the condition in later cask loading operations at Point Beach.

Moisture Contamination of Welds:

In May 1996, cracking and weld porosity were noted on the root pass of the structural lid-to-shell weld of the second cask loaded at Point Beach. The defects were removed by grinding and repaired in accordance with approved welding procedures. The GL evaluation concluded that the weld cracking and porosity were caused by water forced up through the drain line during cask loading, which resulted in moisture contaminating the weld. The corrective actions included removal of approximately 40-gallons of water from the MSB cavity to protect against water entering the weld area and preheating the area to be welded to 200°F. Similar measures to prevent the type of failure that occurred at Point Beach were already in-use by the other GLs. These corrective actions were effective in preventing recurrence of this condition at Point Beach.

Hydrogen-Induced Weld Cracking:

In December 1996, when loading the first cask at ANO, a leak in the MSB shield lid-to-shell weld was discovered by the helium leak test. Subsequent PT examination confirmed the presence of a crack along the weld fusion line. The defect was removed by grinding, which resulted in a 1/8-inch deep by 4-inch long defect cavity, and the defect cavity was repaired in accordance with approved welding procedures. The initial evaluation by the GL concluded that the crack had been caused by lamellar tearing of the MSB shell. The crack was removed by grinding and repaired in accordance with approved welding procedures. Then, in March 1997, when loading the third cask at ANO, a similar crack along the weld fusion line of the root pass of the MSB shield lid-to-shell weld was identified by PT examination. This defect was removed by grinding, which resulted in a 1/16-inch deep by 18-inch long defect cavity, and the defect cavity was repaired in accordance with approved welding procedures. Since the resulting defect cavity was relatively shallow, it is bounded by the fracture mechanics analysis described above under "Lamellar Tearing of MSB Shell."

A detailed evaluation by the GL also concluded that this crack was caused by mechanical tearing of the shell due to weld shrinkage stresses. However, further detailed evaluation by a team of welding experts and testing by The Welding Institute (TWI), an independent laboratory, showed that the weld failures at ANO were not caused by lamellar tearing, as originally thought, but instead by hydrogen-induced cracking. This conclusion was based upon: (1) Comparison of the welding parameters, chemical compositions, and other pertinent information with similar weld failures observed at other sites, (2) Re-examination of a weld crack replica of the third ANO MSB using light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy, (3) Chemical testing of the weld wire used for making the third ANO MSB shield lid weld by TWI, and (4) Through-thickness

tensile testing by TWI of material from the same heat that was used to fabricate the third ANO MSB.

Delayed Hydride Cracking (DHC), which could theoretically occur weeks or months after the welding operation, was identified by NRC as a possible failure mechanism for welds with under-bead cracking made in a moist environment [3.28]. The potential for DHC-induced failure of the MSB closure welds was evaluated by the team of welding experts. It was also concluded that there is no known mechanism for crack growth of defects in the closure welds [3.30]. Based on industry research on welds, and VSC-24 closure weld characteristics such as weld temperature, it was concluded that the delay time for the onset of hydrogen-induced cracking (deemed the only credible type of delayed cracking) is only a matter of hours; shorter than the time period between placement of the weld and weld inspections. No other (longer-term) mechanisms for delayed cracking or crack growth were identified.

Nevertheless, UT examinations were performed on the closure welds of all previously loaded MSB assemblies using the Time-of-Flight Diffraction (TOFD) ultrasonic examination technique to identify any weld flaw indications that may have resulted from DHC-induced cracking and assure that the MSB assemblies will continue to perform their intended functions during the initial storage period. All weld flaw indications identified by the UT examination were evaluated against conservative flaw size acceptance screening criteria developed using Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM) techniques based on the requirements of ASME Section XI. Weld flaw indications that exceeded the initial screening criteria were documented in accordance with the GL's corrective action program and further evaluated using the same LEFM or Elastic-Plastic Fracture Mechanics (EPFM) methods, and plant-specific criteria, as appropriate. These plant-specific evaluations accounted for geometric constraints using a finite element model, increased material toughness based upon upper shelf temperature behavior, and a revised limiting load condition for the MSB structural lid-to-shell weld. Since the plant-specific criteria was developed using upper shelf fracture toughness properties, plant-specific administrative controls were added to allow cask movement only when the ambient air temperature is 35°F or higher. The evaluations of the flaw indications show that all MSB closure weld indications identified by the UT examinations satisfy the applicable fracture mechanics acceptance criteria and the applicable primary stress limits of ASME Section III.

The corrective actions to address hydrogen-induced weld cracking and the possibility of DHC-induced failure of the MSB closure welds that were implemented include: (1) Use of larger tack welds and a more balanced weld sequence to secure the MSB shield lids to the MSB shell before welding, which more evenly distributes the shrinkage forces that result from the welding process, (2) Use of welding consumables with low hydrogen levels, (3) Holding a 200°F temperature for a minimum of 1-hour after completing the weld to accelerate diffusion of hydrogen from the weld and Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ), and (4) Waiting a minimum of 2-hours after completing the weld to inspect the weld to account for DHC, should it occur. In addition, a requirement to perform UT examination of welded closures of the loaded MSB assemblies was added to TS 1.2.9 to check for possible DHC-induced failure. The allowable flaw size for the UT examination was established under the limiting loading conditions based on the flaw evaluation criteria of ASME Section XI. These requirements were included in CoC Amendment 2 [3.11] and subsequently incorporated in FSAR Revision 2 [3.4]. Based on the results of the UT

examination and associated evaluations, and the determination that flaws within the allowable size will not propagate under normal, off-normal, and accident storage conditions, it was concluded that the MSB closure welds were acceptable for continued storage.

3.4.3.3 Palisades MSB-04 Shell Seam Weld RT Indications

In 1992, Palisades MSB-04 was built and inspected in accordance with the requirements of the MSB assembly fabrication specification, which required Radiographic Test (RT) examination of all MSB shell seam welds. Later, in July 1994, a review of the radiographs for MSB-04 by the GL's Level III Inspector identified a 1-inch long linear crack-like indication in the longitudinal seam weld located at approximately 52-inches below the top end of the shell that was not identified by the fabricator. In August 1994, the same radiographs were reviewed again by other Level III Inspectors. They confirmed the presence of a 3/4-inch long by 3/16-inch deep linear crack-like indication in the longitudinal seam weld located at approximately 52-inches below the top end of the shell and identified two (2) additional indications in the longitudinal seam weld; a 5/16-inch long by 5/16-inch deep transverse crack-like indication located at approximately 57-inches below the top end of the shell, and a 3/8-inch long by 1/3-inch deep linear slag-like indication located at approximately 116-inches below the top end of the shell.

The conditions were evaluated in accordance with the GL's corrective action process and it was concluded that MSB-04 was structural sound and capable of withstanding normal operating and test loads, and that the flaws would not propagate significantly during storage. This conclusion was based on a fatigue crack-growth analysis of a bounding 1-inch long by 1/2-inch deep subsurface flaw. The analysis, which was reviewed by NRC staff [3.33], shows that the fatigue crack growth over the 50-year storage period of the MSB assembly is less than 0.00001-inches, considering the full range of normal, off-normal, and accident load conditions. Furthermore, the analysis demonstrates that the flaw stability factors of safety are greater than those required by the ASME Code for normal and faulted conditions.

The GL also implemented several corrective actions to ensure the safe operation of MSB-04. Radiological surveys were performed for all four (4) VSC-24 casks loaded at Palisades and there were no unusual dose rates or contamination levels identified. The periodic surveys of the Palisades ISFSI were increased temporarily to monitor the performance of MSB-04. Helium leak tests were performed at the air outlet ducts of Palisades VSC-04 (i.e., the Palisades VSC-24 cask loaded with MSB-04), but the environmental conditions were not adequate and the results were determined to be inconclusive. In addition, in order to prevent recurrence of this condition, the fabrication process was changed to require a hold-point for an independent review of radiographs.

The fatigue crack-growth analysis of MSB-04 has been revised for the extended storage period of 60-years, as discussed in Section 3.3.3.6. The evaluation demonstrates that the growth of the flaw during the extended storage period is insignificant. Therefore, it is concluded that the cracks in the longitudinal seam weld of MSB-04 will not prevent it from performing its intended functions (primarily confinement) during the extended storage period.

3.4.3.4 Point Beach Hydrogen Ignition Event

While loading the third VSC-24 cask at Point Beach on May 28, 1996, a hydrogen ignition event occurred when welding the MSB shield lid to the MSB shell. The incident occurred when the weld arc was struck, resulting in the ignition of combustible gas that had collected in the free space at the top of the MSB cavity, which forced the MSB shield lid upward inside the shell and dislodged some of the shims that were wedged between the shield lid and shell. While no personnel were injured, no equipment was damaged, and no increase in radiological exposure to workers or the public resulted from the incident, cask loading operations were immediately discontinued and an evaluation of the incident was initiated. Following the incident, the MSB was returned to the spent fuel pool and the SNF assemblies were removed from the MSB basket and placed in the spent fuel pool storage racks.

An NRC Augmented Inspection Team (AIT) was sent to Point Beach shortly after the incident occurred to conduct an inspection. NRC also sent a separate inspection team to the offices of SNC and, on June 3, 1996, issued CALs to the three GLs directing that measures be taken to address the potential for hydrogen ignition during MSB loading and unloading operations. On June 21, 1996, NRC issued CAL supplements to the three GLs that identified NRC concerns related to a "white foamy precipitate" that was identified when the MSB shield lid was removed from the MSB shell in the spent fuel pool. Finally, on July 5, 1996, NRC issued Bulletin 96-04 [3.31] requesting responses to questions regarding potential reactions between the spent fuel storage and transportation cask systems materials and the environments to which they are exposed.

In response, investigations were performed by SNC and the GLs to determine the causes of the incident and respond to NRC questions. Initial indications following the incident were that the coating on the MSB basket and shell internals was the likely source of the hydrogen gas generation. The coating manufacturer confirmed that the Carbo Zinc 11 coating used on the MSB basket and shell internals can react with acidic solutions, such as the borated water in the spent fuel pool, and generate hydrogen gas. Subsequent tests were performed by the GLs and NWT Corporation to determine the characteristics of the reaction between Carbo Zinc 11 and spent fuel pool water. The results of the tests confirmed that Carbo Zinc 11 reacts with spent fuel pool water and forms insoluble zinc compounds that remain on the coated surfaces, a small amount of precipitate that is released into solution and subsequently settles out on horizontal surfaces, and hydrogen gas. The hydrogen gas generation rate was determined to be sufficient to have produced ignitable concentrations in the air space inside the MSB cavity during the time required for loading operations. Hydrogen generation due to radiolysis inside the MSB was also evaluated. The results showed that radiolysis, by itself, could not have produced an ignitable concentration of hydrogen gas in the air space inside the MSB cavity during the time required for loading operations.

Investigation of the white foamy precipitate that was identified on the underside of the MSB shield lid and suspended in the spent fuel pool water upon removal of the MSB shield lid to retrieve the SNF assemblies revealed that it contained a significant organic content (approximately 40% by weight). Since there are no organic materials in cured Carbo Zinc 11 coating, and only very small concentrations of organics in the spent fuel pool water, it was

concluded that the foreign material must have been introduced to the MSB assembly either during fabrication or loading operations. The GL's investigation indicated that some hydraulic fluid may have been spilled onto the shield lid during the MSB loading operations, which could have leaked into the MSB and ignited, either causing or contributing to the incident.

Another aspect of the incident that was investigated is the possible reduction of boron concentration in the spent fuel pool water caused by the reaction with the Carbo Zinc 11 coating. Soluble boron in the spent fuel pool water is required to provide criticality control during MSB loading and unloading operations. Chemical testing performed by Entergy indicated the presence of zinc borate in the precipitate. The reduction in boron concentration in the spent fuel pool water was calculated based on the amount of precipitate resulting from the tests using conservative assumptions. The results show that the decrease in the boron concentration is small in comparison to the administrative margin included in the boron concentration used for the fuel loading operation. Although it was concluded that the reaction between the Carbo Zinc 11 coating and the spent fuel pool water does not significantly reduce the amount of boron available for criticality control, the corrective actions implemented as a result of this incident include monitoring of the boron concentration inside the MSB cavity during the fuel loading operations to confirm that the design basis boron concentration requirements are satisfied.

The investigation of the incident included an assessment of the potential for hydrogen generation to occur after MSB draining and drying operations and the possible effects that the precipitates from the reaction between the coating and spent fuel pool water could have on the intended functions of the MSB assembly. Possible effects on cladding integrity and structural, thermal, and criticality performance of the MSB assembly were evaluated. In addition, the effects of radiation and elevated temperature on the precipitate were evaluated. The amount water that could remain inside the MSB cavity following the draining and drying operations was shown to produce a hydrogen concentration of only 0.0016%, compared to the 4% combustible concentration limit. Therefore, hydrogen ignition during MSB unloading operations is not credible. It was also concluded that the precipitates from the reaction would not have any significant effect on the intended functions of the system.

As a result of this incident, a number of corrective actions were implemented to prevent recurrence. These included consideration of alternate MSB coatings that would not react with the spent fuel pool water, and changes to the loading and unloading procedures to address the conditions that contributed to the incident. Despite the tendency of the Carbo Zinc 11 coating to react with the borated spent fuel pool water, it was determined that its use would be continued due to its many strengths, including the ability to withstand high temperatures and high radiation. Instead, changes were made to the loading and unloading procedures to address the potential effects of the reaction between the coating and spent fuel pool water. The changes to the loading procedures included measures to remove any foreign materials from the MSB assembly prior to loading and assure that foreign materials are not introduced into the MSB during loading, minimize the accumulation of combustible gas inside the MSB cavity, and periodically monitor the boron concentration of the water inside the MSB cavity and maintain the required boron concentration. The changes to the unloading procedure included measures to monitor the MSB cavity for combustible gases, remove combustible gases from the MSB cavity, and monitor the boron concentration of the water inside the MSB cavity and maintain the required boron

concentration. The corrective actions implemented were effective in preventing recurrence of this incident in all subsequent loading operations.

3.4.3.5 MSB Storage Sleeve Tube Cracks

During fabrication of the MSB storage sleeve tubes, stress crack indications were identified in the corner bend regions of some storage sleeve tubes by the fabricator. The condition was documented by the supplier in a nonconformance report and subsequently weld repairs of the stress-cracks were performed. Cracks identified during fabrication inspections were marked with soap stone, ground to remove the crack, and then weld repaired. During an NRC inspection of the fabricator, the NRC review team identified concerns about the fabrication and quality control inspection of the storage sleeve tubes. However, as discussed in Section 3.3 of the NRC Inspection Report No. 72-1007/97-204 [3.28], the NRC inspection team “verified that [the fabricator] had developed and implemented a detailed procedure for inspecting storage sleeve tubes for cracks, prepared nonconformance reports and weld repair travelers for defective storage sleeve tubes, and repaired storage sleeve tubes using an approved weld procedure and using qualified personnel.”

The procedures used for inspecting the storage sleeve tubes for cracks and repairing detected cracks provides reasonable assurance that all stress cracks in the storage sleeve tubes have been detected and repaired. Nevertheless, a fracture mechanics analysis of a hypothetical undetected crack in a storage sleeve tubes has been performed using the methodology of Section XI of the ASME Code to determine the potential impact on safe storage. A bounding hypothetical crack size of 0.05-inch deep by 0.3-inch long has been assumed for the analysis, based on the guidance from Appendix G of Section XI of the ASME code. The results of the fracture mechanics analysis show that the bounding hypothetical crack in the storage sleeve tube is not expected to propagate under the maximum stress conditions present during normal, off-normal, and accident conditions. Since the crack will not propagate and the sleeves are maintained in the inert environment of the MSB cavity, it is concluded that any undetected cracks in the storage sleeve tubes will not prevent the tubes from performing their intended functions during the extended storage period.

3.4.4 Lead Cask Inspection

The lead cask inspection program further demonstrates that the VCC and MSB assemblies have not undergone unanticipated degradation while in storage in accordance with guidance provided in Appendix E of NUREG-1927 [3.1]. Each GL shall complete lead cask inspection(s) at their site within 2 years following the end of the initial 20-year storage period or the CoC renewal date¹⁶ and shall repeat lead cask inspection(s) on the same cask(s) at 20-year intervals (\pm 1-year) during the extended storage period. The aging effects that the lead cask inspection is credited with managing are identified in Table 13. The elements of the lead cask inspection program are

¹⁶ Since the VSC 24 CoC renewal application is in under timely-submittal-review and the initial storage period has already ended, each GL must complete lead cask inspection activities within 2 year of the CoC renewal effective date.

summarized in Table 19 and discussed in this section. The results of the initial lead cask inspection of Palisades Cask Number VSC-15, which was performed at the end of the initial storage period to support the CoC renewal application, are discussed in Section 3.2.2.4.

Cask Selection

The lead cask, at a given site, is selected based upon a number of parameters that contribute to degradation, such as any variations in cask design configuration (from license amendments or 72.48 changes), any variations in cask as-built configuration (due to fabrication deviations, etc.), time in service, maximum heat load of the SNF stored in the MSB, and other parameters that may contribute to degradation, such as operating history and operating conditions.

Each GL shall perform a lead cask inspection of one or more casks at their site, unless they provide justification that the casks at their site are bounded by lead cask inspection(s) performed for similar storage system(s) at other site(s). Such justifications must consider all differences in the site environment that may affect cask system aging, including ambient temperatures, humidity, salinity levels, and any significant local pollution that could enhance corrosion of any cask components. Salinity levels (which may enhance metal corrosion) must be considered in the selection of the lead cask, whether or not the site in question is a marine site. In addition to differences in site environmental parameters, the GL must consider whether the individual cask inspected at the other site bounds all of the casks at the GL's site, with respect to the considerations discussed in the paragraph above.

It is possible that some parts of the overall lead cask inspection scope (discussed below) will be bounded by cask inspections performed at other sites, but others will not. In that case, a GL may reduce the scope of the lead cask inspection performed at their site to include only those components that are not determined to be bounded by the lead cask inspection performed at another site.

The basis for cask selection, as well as any justifications for reducing or eliminating lead cask inspection scope, and instead referencing inspections performed on "bounding" casks at other sites, should be documented in the 72.212 evaluation report for each site in accordance with the GL's QA program.

Inspection Scope and Methods

The scope of the VSC-24 lead cask inspection includes remote visual examination (VT-3) of the VCC air inlets, air outlets, and cask annulus for blockage and degradation of the coated steel surface that line the ventilation flow path (i.e., similar to the AMP described in Section 3.4.2.3 and Table 16) and visual examination (VT-3) of the VSC top end steel components for coating degradation and corrosion (i.e., similar to the AMP described in Section 3.4.2.4 and Table 17). The AMP elements for these inspections are described in Table 19. In addition, the bottom surface of the VCC assembly (i.e., the area of the VCC Bottom Plate Assembly that are normally in contact with the ISFSI pad), the bottom surface of the MSB Bottom Plate, and top surface of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, which are all normally inaccessible, are required to be visually examined during the lead cask inspection. These additional inspections are described in Table 19 and the following paragraphs.

The bottom surface of the VCC assembly, which is normally inaccessible during storage, is visually examined (VT-3) for evidence of unanticipated degradation. Although the ISFSI pad is not an in-scope component SSC, it is also recommended to perform a visual inspection of the normally inaccessible ISFSI pad surface underneath the lead cask for evidence of concrete degradation, given the opportunity. The VCC is lifted off the ISFSI pad by a few inches to perform the inspections using long-handled tools and/or remote visual equipment (e.g., bore-scope/camera).

Acceptance Criteria and Corrective Actions

The bottom surface of the VCC is covered by ¼-inch thick carbon steel plate, which is secured to the VCC concrete by stud anchors and serves as cast-in-place formwork that forms the VCC air inlet ducts. The bottom plate also helps prevent loss of material (i.e., spalling of bottom concrete) in the event of a postulated bottom drop accident. Although the steel plate on the bottom surface of the VCC assembly is coated, degradation of the coating and corrosion of the steel plate is expected to occur during the initial storage period and is acceptable, provided that the steel plates lining the air inlet ducts do not displace and result in blockage of more than 10% of the air flow area. Coating degradation and general corrosion occurring on the bottom surface of the VCC Bottom Plate Assembly (excluding the air inlet ducts) will not prevent the VCC from fulfilling its intended safety functions, and need not be repaired, but is documented using appropriate means (i.e., photographs, and/or written descriptions.)

The bottom surface of the MSB bottom plate and the top surface of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, which are normally inaccessible during storage, are visually examined (VT-3) for evidence of unanticipated degradation. The MSB is lifted up by a few inches to perform the inspections using remote visual equipment (e.g., bore-scope/camera). Localized corrosion (i.e., galvanic, crevice, or pitting corrosion) of these components may diminish their ability to perform their design functions, listed in Table 5 and Table 6. The two surfaces are examined for localized (i.e., galvanic, crevice, or pitting) corrosion. As is the case with the ventilation duct metal surfaces discussed in Section 3.4.2.3, general corrosion on the MSB bottom plate and VCC cask liner bottom is acceptable, based on the conservative corrosion allowance of 0.003 in/year for uncoated carbon steel in a marine environment. However, if localized corrosion (i.e., galvanic, crevice, or pitting corrosion) is detected on the bottom surface of the MSB bottom plate or the top surface of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, the lead cask shall be evaluated for continued service in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, including extent of condition.

The extent of condition evaluation shall include inspection of at least two additional casks for similar degradation. The two additional casks should be selected on the basis of maximum susceptibility to the degradation mechanism in question. For example, if unacceptable corrosion is detected, two casks of similar age (time in storage) to the lead cask may be selected. If one or more of the additional casks also show degradation in excess of the applicable acceptance criteria, then all casks at the site must be inspected, for the specific component degradation that was initially observed. Corrective actions, specified in Table 19, would be performed on all inspected casks, as necessary.

The lead cask inspection that was performed prior to the end of the initial storage period is discussed in Section 3.2.2.4. The results of that lead cask inspection show no evidence of any

unanticipated aging effects that would prevent the in-scope SSC from performing their intended functions.

3.5 Retrievability

The VSC-24 storage system is designed to allow ready retrieval of the SNF assemblies for further processing and disposal, in accordance with 10 CFR 72.122(l). The VSC-24 storage system does not include a dual-purpose (storage and transportation) canister design to satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR 72.236(m). Therefore, as discussed in ISG-2 [3.27], ready retrieval of the SNF assemblies from the MSB assembly requires: (1) the ability to transfer the sealed MSB assembly to a spent fuel pool (or other facility), and (2) the ability to unload the SNF assemblies from the MSB assemblies for repackaging to allow removal from the reactor site, transportation, and ultimate disposition by the Department of Energy.

The results of the AMR show that there are no credible aging effects in the SNF assemblies that require management during the extended storage period. Only low burnup (≤ 45 GWd/MTU), intact, zircaloy-clad PWR SNF assemblies are stored in the VSC-24 storage system. Degradation of the cladding of low burnup fuel will not occur during the initial storage period and should not occur during extended storage if the inert atmosphere inside the MSB cavity is maintained. Corrosion of the MSB assembly structural lid and closure weld are managed by the AMP during the extended storage period to ensure that no aging effect result in the loss of their intended functions (primarily confinement and structural support.) This provides reasonable assurance that the MSB assembly will be able to be transferred to a spent fuel pool and the SNF assemblies will be capable of being removed from the MSB assembly by normal means. Furthermore, the MSB re-flooding analyses that were performed for the initial storage period to demonstrate that the fuel cladding would not be damaged by the effects of "thermal shock" remain valid and bounding for the extended storage period since the MSB heat loads only decrease with time.

3.6 References

- [3.1] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-1927, *Standard Review Plan for Renewal of Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Licenses and Dry Cask Storage System Certificates of Compliance*, March 2011.
- [3.2] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Safety Analysis Report for the Ventilated Storage Cask System," Document No. PSN-91-001, Revision 0, October 1991.
- [3.3] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 1, May 2000.
- [3.4] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates and Sierra Nuclear Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 2, March 2001.
- [3.5] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates, Sierra Nuclear Corporation, and BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 3, September 2001.
- [3.6] Pacific Sierra Nuclear Associates, Sierra Nuclear Corporation, and BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 4, April 2002.
- [3.7] BNFL Fuel Solutions Corporation, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 5, March 2003.
- [3.8] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 6, August 2006.
- [3.9] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., "Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System," Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 7, April 2007.
- [3.10] EnergySolutions Spent Fuel Division, Inc., *Final Safety Analysis Report for the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System*, Docket No. 72-1007, Revision 8, April 2009.
- [3.11] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Certificate of Compliance for Spent Fuel Storage Casks, Model No.: Ventilated Storage Cask (VSC-24), Certificate No. 1007, Docket No. 72-1007; Initial Issue (Effective May 7, 1993); Amendment No. 1 (Effective May 30, 2000); Amendment No. 2 (Effective September 5, 2000); Amendment No. 3 (Effective May 21, 2001); Amendment No. 4 (Effective February 3, 2003); Amendment No. 5 (Effective September 13, 2005); Amendment No. 6 (Effective June 27, 2006).

- [3.12] ASTM C1562-10, *Standard Guide for Evaluation of Materials Used in Extended Service of Interim Spent Nuclear Fuel Storage Systems*.
- [3.13] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG/CR-6831, *Examination of Spent PWR Fuel Rods after 15 Years in Dry Storage*, September 2003.
- [3.14] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG/CR-6745, *Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project – Phase 1: CASTOR V/21 Cask Opening and Examination*, September 2001.
- [3.15] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Interim Staff Guidance 11, Revision 3, *Cladding Considerations for the Transportation and Storage of Spent Fuel*, November 2003.
- [3.16] ACI 349-90, *Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures*, American Concrete Institute.
- [3.17] ACI 318-89, *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete*, American Concrete Institute.
- [3.18] ACI 221.1R-98, “State-of-the-Art Report on Alkali-Aggregate Reactivity.”
- [3.19] American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Division 1, *Rules for Construction of Nuclear Power Plant Components*, Subsection NC, *Class 2 Components*, 1986 through 1998 Editions, with 2000 Addenda.
- [3.20] M. G. Fontana, *Corrosion Engineering*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 3rd Edition.
- [3.21] PNL-6364, *Control of Degradation of Spent LWR Fuel During Dry Storage in an Inert Atmosphere*, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, October 1987.
- [3.22] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, NRC Information Notice 2011-20, *Concrete Degradation by Alkali-Silica Reaction*, November 18, 2011.
- [3.23] EPRI TR-102462, *Shipment of Spent Fuel in Storage Canister*, Electric Power Research Institute, June 1993.
- [3.24] INEEL/EXT-04-02319, *Literature Review of the Effects of Radiation and Temperature on the Aging of Concrete*, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Sept. 2004.
- [3.25] RX-277 Shielding Material Product Data Sheet, Bulletin S-73N, Reactor Experiments, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, August 1991.
- [3.26] ANS/SD-76/14, *A Handbook of Radiation Shielding Data*, Shielding and Dosimetry Division, American Nuclear Society, July 1976.

- [3.27] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Interim Staff Guidance 2, Revision 1, *Fuel Retrievability*, February 2010.
- [3.28] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NRC Inspection Report No. 72-1007/97-204 and Notice of Nonconformance, April 15, 1997.
- [3.29] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Confirmatory Action Letter No. 97-7-001, May 16, 1997.
- [3.30] Sierra Nuclear Corporation, *Responses to CAL 97-7-001*, July 30, 1997.
- [3.31] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Bulletin 96-04, *Chemical, Galvanic, or Other Reactions in Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Casks*, July 5, 1996.
- [3.32] VSC-24 Lead Cask Inspection Report, Revision 1, February 10, 2013.
- [3.33] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Memorandum from Eric Benner to Christine Lipa, Subject: Response to Region III Technical Assistance Request - Palisades Plant Multi-Assembly Sealed Basket No. 4 (MSB #4) - Weld Flaw Analysis, January 20, 2010, Docket Nos. 50-255; 72-007.
- [3.34] ACI 201.1R-08, *Guide for Conducting a Visual Inspection of Concrete in Service*, American Concrete Institute.
- [3.35] American Society of Mechanical Engineers, ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, *Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components*, Subsection IWL, *Requirements for Class CC Concrete Components of Light-Water Cooled Plants*, 2004 Edition.
- [3.36] ACI 349.3R-02, "*Evaluation of Existing Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures.*"
- [3.37] American Society of Mechanical Engineers, ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, *Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components*, Subsection IWE, *Requirements for Class MC and Metallic Liners of Class CC Components of Light-Water Cooled Plants*, 2004 Edition.
- [3.38] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, NRC Information Notice 2013-07, *Premature Degradation of Spent Fuel Storage Cask Structures and Components from Environmental Moisture*, April 16, 2013.
- [3.39] U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Report No. FHWA-HIF-09-004, *Report on the Diagnosis, Prognosis, and Mitigation of Alkali-Silica Reaction (ASR) in Transportation Structures*, January 2010.

Table 9 - MSB Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Shell	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
		Sheltered	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
			Loss of Material	Corrosion	TLAA & AMP
Bottom Plate	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
		Sheltered	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
			Loss of Material	Corrosion	TLAA
Shield Lid Support Ring	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Lifting Lug	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Structural Lid	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
		Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Closure Weld Backing Ring	Coated CS	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None
Shim	Coated CS	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None
Shield Lid Top Plate	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Shield Lid Bottom Plate	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Shield Lid Side Ring	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Shield Lid Neutron Shield	RX-277	Embedded	Loss of Shielding Effectiveness	Radiation	TLAA
Shield Lid Pipe & Flex Tubing	Alloy Steel	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None

Table 9 - MSB Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Swagelok Quick Connect	Steel	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None
Structural Lid Valve Covers	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
		Sheltered	Loss of Material	General Corrosion	AMP
			Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Shield Lid Support Plate	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Storage Sleeve	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Basket Edge Structure	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Safety functions of the MSB assembly subcomponents are provided in Table 5.

Table 10 - VCC Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Concrete Shell	Concrete	Exposed	Loss of Strength	ASR	AMP
				CaOH Leaching	
				Radiation	TLAA
			Scaling, Cracking, & Spalling	Freeze/Thaw	AMP
				ASR	AMP
				Corrosion (Rebar)	AMP
Rebar	CS	Embedded	Loss of Material	Corrosion (Rebar)	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Cask Liner Shell	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
		Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Cask Liner Bottom	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
		Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Liner Flange	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
		Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA		
	Cask Lid	Coated CS	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion
Loss of Fracture Toughness				Radiation	TLAA
Sheltered			Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA

Table 10 - VCC Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Lid Bolts, Nuts, Lockwashers	Coated CS	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Locking Wire w/ Lead Seal	SS/Lead	Exposed	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lid Gasket	Polymer	Exposed	N/A	N/A	N/A
Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assy.)	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring)	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Tile (MSB support)	Ceramic	Sheltered	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Inlet Assembly	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
		Embedded	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Outlet Weldment	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
		Embedded	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Inlet Screen/Hardware	Galvanized Steel	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Air Outlet Screen/Hardware	Varies	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Bottom Plate Assembly	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
		Embedded	N/A	N/A	N/A
MTC Alignment Plates	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Exposed	N/A	N/A	N/A
VSC Lifting Lugs (Optional)	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
		Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Safety functions of the VCC assembly subcomponents are provided in Table 6.

⁽²⁾ Coatings are only applied to the air-facing surfaces of these steel components. The embedded surfaces are not coated.

Table 11 - MTC Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Outer Shell	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
		Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Inner Shell	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
		Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Middle Shell ⁽²⁾	CS	Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Top Ring	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
		Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Bottom Ring	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
		Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Neutron Absorber Shield	RX-277	Embedded	Loss of Shielding Effectiveness	Radiation	TLAA
Lead Shield	Lead	Embedded	None	None	None
Drain Pipe	CS	Embedded	N/A	N/A	N/A
Angle, Heat Transfer	Coated CS	Embedded	None	None	None
Trunnion	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	General Corrosion	AMP
Trunnion Cylinder / End Covers	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	General Corrosion	AMP
Trunnion Inner & Outer Plate ⁽²⁾	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	General Corrosion	AMP
Trunnion Lead/Neutron Shields ⁽²⁾	Lead/ RX-277	Embedded	Loss of Shielding Effectiveness	Radiation	TLAA

Table 11 - MTC Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
MTC Lid	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Lid Bolts	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Shim/Flange	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Rail Shield	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Rail Lower Plate	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Rail Alignment Plate/Door Bolt	Coated CS	Sheltered	N/A	N/A	N/A
Shield Door	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Light MTC Shield Door Lead Plug	Lead	Sheltered	N/A	N/A	N/A
Door Top Cover	Coated CS	Sheltered	N/A	N/A	N/A
Door Hydraulics/Brackets/Attach. Hardware	Coated CS	Sheltered	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hydraulic Cylinder Assembly	Coated CS	Sheltered	N/A	N/A	N/A

Notes:

- ⁽¹⁾ Safety functions of the MTC assembly subcomponents are provided in Table 7.
- ⁽²⁾ Subcomponents removed by GL in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48 and subsequently adopted by CoC amendment 4 and incorporated in FSAR Revision 5 [3.7]. None of the existing MTCs include these removed components.

Table 12 - SNF Assembly AMR Results

Subcomponent⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Fuel Pellets	UO ₂	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None Required
Fuel Cladding	Zircaloy	Inert Gas	Change in Dimension	Cladding Creep	TLAA
Spacer Grid Assemblies	Zircaloy or SS	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None Required
Upper End Fitting	SS / inconel	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None Required
Upper End Fitting	SS / inconel	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None Required
Guide Tubes	Zircaloy	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None Required
Holddown Spring & Upper End Plugs	SS / inconel	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None Required
Control Components	Varies ⁽²⁾	Inert Gas	N/A	N/A	None Required

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Safety functions of the SNF assembly subcomponents are provided in Table 8.

⁽²⁾ Generally stainless steel clad, containing neutron absorbing materials such as boron-carbide, borosilicate glass or silver-indium-cadmium alloy.

Table 13 - Summary of Aging Effects Managed by AMP (2 Pages)

In-Scope SSC	Subcomponent	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	AMP Section(s)
MSB Assembly	Shell	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.3
	Structural Lid	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
	Lid Valve Covers	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
VCC Assembly	Concrete Shell	Concrete	Exposed	Loss of Strength	ASR	3.4.2.2
					CaOH Leaching	3.4.2.2
				Cracking, Spalling & Pitting	Freeze/Thaw	3.4.2.2
					ASR	3.4.2.2
					Corrosion (Rebar)	3.4.2.2
	Rebar	CS	Embedded	Loss of Material	Corrosion (Rebar)	3.4.2.2
	Cask Liner Shell	Coated CS ⁽¹⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.3, 3.4.4
	Cask Liner Bottom	Coated CS ⁽¹⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.3
	Liner Flange	Coated CS ⁽¹⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
			Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
	Cask Lid	Coated CS	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
			Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
	Lid Bolts/Nuts/Lockwashers	Coated CS	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
	Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assy.)	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.3, 3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
	Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring)	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.3, 3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
Air Inlet Assembly	Coated CS ⁽¹⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.3, 3.4.4	
Air Outlet Weldment	Coated CS ⁽¹⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.2, 3.4.2.3, 3.4.4	

Table 13 - Summary of Aging Effects Managed by AMP (2 Pages)

In-Scope SSC	Subcomponent	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	AMP Section(s)
	Air Inlet Screen/Hdwr.	Galvanized Steel	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.1
	Air Outlet Screen/Hdwr.	Varies	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.1
	Bottom Plate Assy.	Coated CS ⁽¹⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.2, 3.4.4
	VSC Lifting Lug (Optional)	Coated CS ⁽¹⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.4, 3.4.4
MTC Assembly	Outer Shell	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Inner Shell	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Top Ring	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Bottom Ring	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Trunnion	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Trunnion Cylinder / End Covers	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	MTC Lid	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Lid Bolts	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Shim/Flange	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Rail Shield	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Rail Lower Plate	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5
	Shield Door	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	3.4.2.5

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Coatings are only applied to the air-facing surfaces of these steel components. The steel surfaces that are embedded in concrete, or in direct contact with concrete, are not coated.

Table 14 - Examination of VCC Assembly Air Inlets and Outlets (2 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Scope	Inspection of the wire mesh screen covers on all air inlets and outlets of all in-service casks (TS 1.3.1).
Preventative Actions	Maintain inlets and outlets free from blockage for prolonged periods to prevent system temperatures from exceeding the applicable temperature limits.
Parameters Monitored or Inspected	The wire mesh screens that cover the air inlet and outlet openings are inspected for blockage (e.g., from debris or snow drifts) and degradation or damage (e.g., bent screens, missing attachment hardware, and corrosion.)
Detection of Aging Effects -Method or Technique: -Frequency: -Sample Size: -Data Collection: -Timing of inspections:	Detection and removal of screen blockage ensures that system temperatures will not exceed the applicable temperature limits. Detection of degraded or damaged screen covers ensures that screen covers will not be breached. Visual examination by personnel qualified in accordance with the GLs procedure. Daily. All wire mesh screen covers on all in-service casks. Records of corrective actions. Completed the initial inspection within 2-years following the later of the 20 th anniversary of the first cask loaded at the site or the effective date of the CoC renewal.
Monitoring and Trending	Trending may be performed based on deficiencies documented in accordance with GL's Corrective Action Program.
Acceptance Criteria	Wire mesh screen shall cover the VCC air inlet and outlet duct openings and be free of blockage.
Corrective Actions	If surveillance shows blockage of the wire mesh screen covers, remove the blockage. If wire mesh screens and the associated attachment hardware are degraded or damaged to the extent that they cannot perform their intended function, repair or replace degraded or damaged components in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program. In the event that an unacceptable screen breach is identified, conduct a close-up inspection of the breached inlet or outlet for internal blockage and remove any readily accessible blockage inside the inlet or outlet.
Confirmation Process	Ensure that corrective actions are completed and effective in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Administrative Controls	Formal review and approval of Corrective Actions in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.

Table 14 - Examination of VCC Assembly Air Inlets and Outlets (2 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Operating Experience	Partial blockage of VCC air inlet duct screens from snowfall and debris (e.g., leaves or mud) has periodically been identified. Screen damage (e.g., bent screens or missing/degraded attachment hardware) has also been identified, but less frequently. All degraded conditions identified have been corrected in accordance with existing site maintenance procedures. The existing AMP activity has provided adequate aging management during the initial storage period.

Table 15 - Examination of VCC Assembly Exterior Concrete (4 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Scope	Inspection of the readily accessible exterior concrete surfaces (TS 1.3.2) and all readily accessible exterior steel-to-concrete interfaces of the VCC Bottom Plate Assembly (i.e., around the bottom end of the VCC and the openings of all four air inlets) and all four (4) VCC Air Outlet Weldments of all in-service VCC assemblies. Portions of the VCC exterior concrete surface and steel-to-concrete interfaces that are covered by the air inlet and outlet screens or other system components (e.g., monitoring equipment) are not included in the scope of the inspection.
Preventative Actions	Maintain surface condition of concrete and exterior steel-to-concrete interfaces in order to prevent degradation of the concrete interior (e.g., reinforcing steel.)
Parameters Monitored or Inspected	Damage/degradation of concrete exterior surface including: (1) Cracking, loss of bond, and loss of material (spalling or scaling) due to freeze-thaw, aggregate reactions, or corrosion of embedded steel, (2) Excretion of rust at crack opening due to rebar corrosion, (3) Increased porosity and/or discoloration due to CaOH leaching, or (4) Gaps or voids at the exposed steel-to-concrete interfaces of the VCC Bottom Plate Assembly (i.e., around the bottom end of the VCC and the openings of all four air inlets) and all four (4) VCC Air Outlet Weldments.
Detection of Aging Effects -Method or Technique: -Frequency: -Sample Size: -Data Collection: -Timing of inspections:	Aging effects on the exterior concrete surfaces will be detected before the affected SSC lose the ability to perform their intended functions. Visual examination of the VCC concrete exterior surfaces shall be performed per the guidelines of ACI 201.1 R-08 [3.34], or an equivalent industry consensus standard. Visual examination shall be performed and evaluated by personnel qualified in accordance with industry guidelines for implementing the requirements of the Maintenance Rule (10 CFR 50.56). Inspector qualifications in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL [3.35] or ACI 349.3R [3.36] are both acceptable. Yearly. All readily accessible external concrete surfaces of all in-service casks. Video/photographs of examination, crack/defect maps with sizes and depths of cracks and voids, location and description of other surface defects (e.g., porosity, discoloration, or rust stains on concrete surface). Records of corrective actions. Completed the initial inspection within 2-years following the later of the 20 th anniversary of the first cask loaded at the site or the effective date of the CoC renewal.

Table 15 - Examination of VCC Assembly Exterior Concrete (4 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Monitoring and Trending	Crack/defect maps shall be monitored and trended to identify progressive growth of defects that may indicate degradation due to ASR-induced expansion or corrosion of rebar. Crack/defect maps should be compared with those from previous inspections to identify accelerated degradation of the structure during the period of extended storage. A baseline crack/defect map should be developed at the beginning of the extended storage period either from previous inspection results or from the initial inspection during the extended storage period. The cask concrete will be monitored for trends of increasing porosity, discoloration, and/or rust stains to detect degradation from CaOH leaching and rebar corrosion.
Acceptance Criteria	Popouts and voids less than ½ inch in diameter (or equivalent surface area) are acceptable. Scaling less than 3/16 inch deep is acceptable. Passive cracks less than 1 mm (0.04 inch) wide are acceptable. Passive cracks that exceed 1 mm (0.04 inch) in width, but show no indications of other degradation mechanisms are also acceptable, but must be monitored and trended for accelerated crack growth in subsequent examinations. However, passive cracks that exceed 1 mm (0.04 inch) wide and show indications of other degradation mechanisms are not acceptable. Gaps or voids at the exterior steel-to-concrete interfaces less than ½ inch wide or ¼ inch deep are acceptable. Evidence of degradation mechanisms suspected to result in loss of concrete strength (e.g., aggregate reactions, leaching, or corrosion staining) is not acceptable.
Corrective Actions	<p><u>Repair of Defects:</u> Defects on the concrete exterior surface exceeding acceptance criteria shall be documented and evaluated in accordance with the GL's corrective action program. Any defects on concrete exterior surface or at the steel-to-concrete interfaces of the VCC Bottom Plate Assembly (i.e., around the bottom end of the VCC and the openings of all four air inlets) and VCC Air Outlet Weldments that exceed the acceptance criteria shall be repaired by appropriate means (e.g., filled with grout or covered with a suitable protective barrier system) in accordance with the GL's procedures.</p> <p><u>Rebar Corrosion:</u> Concrete showing evidence of rebar corrosion, such as corrosion staining, splitting cracks, or accelerated crack growth, shall be tested using acoustic impact or other suitable NDE techniques, to detect rebar corrosion or concrete delamination (which can result from rebar corrosion), and evaluated for continued storage. A cask with aging effects due to rebar corrosion that is not acceptable for continued storage shall be repaired or replaced.</p> <p><u>Leaching and Porosity:</u> Concrete showing evidence of leaching (staining in the form or efflorescence) and/or increased porosity shall be documented and evaluated in accordance with the GL's</p>

Table 15 - Examination of VCC Assembly Exterior Concrete (4 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
	<p>corrective action program. Efflorescence shall be investigated to confirm the presence of calcium hydroxide or other salts leaching from the concrete. Areas with confirmed concrete leaching and increased porosity shall be evaluated to determine the concrete compressive strength. Concrete with a compressive strength that is lower than the design basis compressive strength shall be repaired or replaced in accordance with the GL's procedures.</p> <p><u>Aggregate Reactions:</u> Corrective actions for concrete surfaces that show evidence of degradation from aggregate reactions, as determined by the qualified inspector, shall include a preliminary investigation to confirm or refute the presence of ASR gel in the concrete. This may consist of field tests of the affected cask(s) to detect the presence of ASR silica gel on the concrete surface using uranyl acetate fluorescence, or other suitable methods identified by the GL. Alternatively, samples of surface deposits can be sent for X-ray analysis to help determine if ASR gel is present.</p> <p>If ASR is confirmed by the preliminary investigation, Crack Index (CI) measurements shall be taken on the affected cask(s) in accordance with FHWA-HIF-09-004 [3.39] to determine the extent of ASR induced degradation in the concrete. Any cask with a CI that is greater than 0.5 mm/m (0.018 in/yard) and/or with crack widths that exceed 0.15 mm (0.006 in) requires detailed in-situ and/or laboratory investigations to determine the current condition of the concrete and its potential for future degradation. At a minimum, CI measurements shall continue to be taken at least twice a year for a minimum of 3 years to monitor the progression of ASR-induced degradation. After 3 years, the CI measurement frequency may be reduced to once every 5 years if the CI shows no significant increasing trend. Detailed laboratory testing, performed using concrete core samples from the cask, may include petrographic examination, mechanical testing, expansion testing, and alkali content testing, as required. The affected cask(s) shall be assessed based on the results of the detailed investigation to identify mitigation measures. A VCC assembly that is determined to have concrete that has a significant potential for further expansion due to ASR and/or does not meet the strength requirements specified in the FSAR shall be evaluated for continued storage, and repaired or replaced, if necessary.</p> <p><u>Extent of Condition Evaluation:</u> No actions are required to evaluate extent of condition for unacceptable degradation because the extent of condition is known since examinations are required to be performed annually on all in-service casks.</p>

Table 15 - Examination of VCC Assembly Exterior Concrete (4 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Confirmation Process	Ensure that corrective actions are completed and effective in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Administrative Controls	Formal review and approval of Corrective Actions in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Operating Experience	Hairline cracks and small pits in the VCC external concrete surface that meet the acceptance criteria have been observed during the initial storage period. Defects exceeding acceptance criteria have also been identified and repaired. Some concrete discoloration (e.g., efflorescence or mineral deposits), particularly around cracks, has also been observed on the exterior concrete of some VCCs. There has been no increasing trend in the number of reported pits seen at any of the sites for the subsequent years, nor have there been any indications of failure of grout-repairs. A small void was identified at the steel-to-concrete interface of the VCC bottom plate during the lead cask inspection at Palisades. This void is believed to have resulted from concrete pouring during construction rather than from aging effects.

**Table 16 - Examination of VCC Assembly Ventilation Ducts and Annulus
(3 Pages)**

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Scope	Examination of the ventilation flow path of all VCC air inlets and outlets and the VCC annulus for blockage. Examination of all readily accessible ⁽¹⁾ inside surfaces of all VCC air inlets and outlets, and all readily accessible annulus-facing surfaces of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom (i.e., surfaces not obstructed by the MSB assembly), VCC Cask Liner Shell, VCC Shield Ring Plates (Liner Assembly and Shield Ring), and MSB Shell for unacceptable corrosion.
Preventative Actions	Identify and remove any unacceptable blockage in the VCC air inlets and outlets, and VCC annulus to prevent system temperatures from exceeding the applicable temperature limits. Identify and repair any unacceptable corrosion on the coated carbon steel surfaces that line the VCC air inlets and outlets, and the VCC annulus.
Parameters Monitored or Inspected	Blockage of the internal ventilation flow path and corrosion of the coated carbon steel surfaces that line the ventilation flow path (i.e., air inlets and outlets, VCC liner shell, and MSB shell).
Detection of Aging Effects -Method or Technique: -Frequency: -Sample Size: -Data Collection: -Timing of inspections:	Identification of unanticipated blockage and degradation of the coated carbon steel surfaces on the MSB shell and VCC interior. Remote visual examination (VT-3) to identify blockage and identify localized (i.e., galvanic, crevice, or pitting) corrosion. VT-3 visual examination performed and evaluated by personnel qualified in accordance with industry guidelines for implementing the requirements of the Maintenance Rule (10 CFR 50.56). Qualifications for personnel performing VT-3 visual examinations of the coated steel surfaces of the VCC and MSB assemblies in accordance with the requirements of IWE-2330 [3.37] are acceptable. 5-year. First cask placed in-service at each site. Documentation of examination, including blockage identified and condition of coated carbon steel surfaces that line the VCC air inlets and outlets and VCC annulus. Records of corrective actions. Completed the initial inspection within the first 5-year period following the later of the 20 th anniversary of the first cask loaded at the site or the effective date of the CoC renewal.
Monitoring and Trending	Blockage, coating degradation, and corrosion of the internal ventilation flow path shall be compared with results from previous inspections to identify potential accelerated degradation of the structure during the extended storage period. A baseline should be developed at the beginning of the extended storage period either from previous inspection results or from the initial inspection during the extended storage period.

**Table 16 - Examination of VCC Assembly Ventilation Ducts and Annulus
 (3 Pages)**

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Acceptance Criteria	<p>Blockage: No significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of any air flow paths.</p> <p>Coating Degradation and Corrosion: Coating degradation and any type of corrosion on the duct-facing coated steel surfaces of the VCC air inlets and air outlets is acceptable provided that it does not result in significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of any air flow path. General corrosion (e.g., atmospheric corrosion) on the annulus-facing coated steel surfaces of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, VCC Cask Liner Shell, VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly), VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring), and MSB Shell that does not result in significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of the annulus is acceptable. Any localized corrosion (e.g., galvanic, crevice, or pitting corrosion) on the annulus-facing coated steel surfaces of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, VCC Cask Liner Shell, VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly), VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring), and MSB Shell is unacceptable. Evidence of crevice corrosion at the gap between the inner and outer VCC shielding ring plates is also unacceptable.</p>
Corrective Actions	<p>Blockage: Blockage that exceeds the acceptance criteria shall be evaluated in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, and any blockage that can be removed by reasonable means shall be removed. The extent of condition evaluation shall include examination of at least two additional VCC assemblies at the site for blockage. The GL shall select the additional VCC assemblies based upon those factors that are most relevant the type of blockage observed (e.g., location or orientation of the VCC assembly on the ISFSI pad or time in service). If unacceptable blockage is identified in any of the additional VCC examinations, then the condition shall be evaluated in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, the blockage shall be removed by reasonable means, and all VCC assemblies at the site shall be examined for blockage.</p> <p>Coating Degradation and Corrosion: A VCC or MSB assembly with unacceptable corrosion (i.e., localized corrosion) shall be evaluated for continued storage in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, including extent of condition. If localized corrosion is identified on the MSB Shell or MSB Bottom Plate, additional NDE, such as eddy current or ultrasonic measurements, may be required to determine the depth of localized corrosion, if that information is required by the qualified VT-3 inspector to evaluate the condition. NDE methods used to determine corrosion depth shall be qualified for use by the GL. A VCC and/or MSB assembly that is not</p>

**Table 16 - Examination of VCC Assembly Ventilation Ducts and Annulus
(3 Pages)**

AMP Element	AMP Activity
	<p>acceptable for continued storage shall be repaired or replaced.</p> <p>If localized corrosion is identified on the MSB shell, VCC cask liner bottom, or VCC cask liner shell, then the extent of condition evaluation shall include additional visual examination of the normally inaccessible surfaces of the MSB bottom plate and VCC cask liner bottom of that cask shall for unacceptable corrosion. In addition, the extent of condition evaluation shall include remote visual examination of at least two additional casks for corrosion, including the normally inaccessible surfaces of the MSB bottom plate and VCC cask liner bottom if unacceptable corrosion is identified on these surfaces of the first cask. The two additional casks should be selected on the basis of maximum susceptibility to the type of localized corrosion identified. If unacceptable corrosion is identified in either of the additional cask inspections, then remote visual examination for corrosion shall be performed on all casks at the site.</p>
Confirmation Process	Ensure that corrective actions are completed and effective in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Administrative Controls	Formal review and approval of Corrective Actions in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Operating Experience	No significant blockage has accumulated within the ventilation flow path of the inspected casks and that the majority of the steel surfaces inspected are in excellent condition, with little coating degradation or signs of corrosion.

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Surfaces that require inspection are those that may be inspected using reasonable means given the specified method or technique, considering the inspection equipment used. A surface that cannot be viewed with sufficient resolution or lighting for a qualified inspector to evaluate is not considered readily accessible.

Table 17 - Examination of VSC Top End Steel Components (3 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Scope	<p>Inspection of the following component surfaces for coating degradation and corrosion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All surfaces of the VCC Cask Lid and VCC Lid Bolts; • All exposed surfaces of the optional VCC Lifting Lugs (if present); • Readily accessible top and inner radial surfaces of the VCC Liner Flange; • Top surface (chamfer and weld) of the VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly); • Top and inner radial surface of the VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring);⁽¹⁾ • Top surfaces of the MSB Structural Lid, MSB Valve Covers, MSB Closure Weld, and top edge of the MSB Shell; <p>Replacement of the VCC lid gasket and locking wire.⁽²⁾</p>
Preventative Actions	<p>Identification and repair of any coating degradation or corrosion on the VCC top interior components prevents continued degradation that could potentially affect the ability of the SCCs to perform their intended functions during the extended storage period.</p>
Parameters Monitored or Inspected	<p>Degradation of the VCC cask lid, liner flange, shield ring plates, lid gasket, and lid bolts, and the MSB structural lid, valve covers, and closure weld.</p>
<p>Detection of Aging Effects</p> <p>-Method or Technique:</p> <p>-Frequency:</p> <p>-Sample Size:</p> <p>-Data Collection:</p> <p>-Timing of Inspections:</p>	<p>Identification of unanticipated degradation on the VCC top interior surfaces; Identification of unanticipated degradation on the top surfaces of the MSB assembly.</p> <p>Direct or remote VT-3 visual examination of readily accessible surfaces. VT-3 visual examination performed and evaluated by personnel qualified in accordance with industry guidelines for implementing the requirements of the Maintenance Rule (10 CFR 50.56). Qualifications for personnel performing the VT-3 visual examinations of the coated steel surfaces of the VCC and MSB assemblies in accordance with the requirements of IWE-2330 [3.37] are acceptable.</p> <p>10-year (± 1 year).</p> <p>One cask at each site.</p> <p>Documentation of examination, including condition of VCC top interior surfaces. Records of corrective actions.</p> <p>Completed the initial inspection within 2-years following the later of the 20th anniversary of the first cask loaded at the site or the effective date of the CoC renewal.</p>
Monitoring and Trending	<p>Coating degradation and corrosion shall be compared with those from previous inspections to identify accelerated degradation of the structure during the period of extended storage. A baseline should be developed from the initial inspection during the extended storage</p>

Table 17 - Examination of VSC Top End Steel Components (3 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
	period.
Acceptance Criteria	No coating degradation on the examined surfaces that exposes the underlying steel surfaces or indicates potential corrosion of the underlying steel surfaces (e.g., coating that is blistered, bubbled, or peeling). Corrosion on the underlying steel shall not exceed 1/16 inch in depth. Corrosion on any VCC lid bolt must not reduce its cross section area by more than 5%.
Corrective Actions	<p>All examination results that do not satisfy the applicable acceptance criteria shall be evaluated in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program, including extent of condition.</p> <p>Degraded coating that indicates potential corrosion of the underlying steel surfaces shall be removed by appropriate means (e.g., a scraper, wire brush, or grinder) to expose the underlying steel surface, which shall be visually examined for corrosion. Corrosion products identified on the underlying metal surface shall be removed by appropriate means (e.g., a scraper, wire brush, or grinder) to reveal "clean" metal and the depth of corrosion (relative to the adjacent uncoated surface) shall be measured using a suitable measure device (e.g., a depth probe) and recorded.</p> <p>A VCC or MSB assembly with corrosion that exceeds the acceptance criteria shall be evaluated for continued storage in accordance with the GL's corrective action program. Steel components with corrosion that exceeds the allowable depth acceptance criteria shall be repaired or replaced in accordance with the GL's procedures. Coating that is degraded or that has been removed to examine the underlying steel surface shall be repaired in accordance with the GL's procedures. Any VCC lid bolt(s) that do not satisfy the corrosion acceptance criteria shall be replaced.</p> <p>The extent of condition evaluation shall include visual examination of at least two additional casks for coating degradation and/or corrosion. The GL shall select the additional casks to be inspected based upon the factors that contribute most significantly to the observed degradation on the first cask (e.g., time in service, heat load, or fabrication differences). If coating degradation and/or corrosion is identified in either of the additional cask inspections that do not satisfy the acceptance criteria, it shall be entered into the GL's corrective action program and the extent of condition evaluation shall be expanded to include visual examination of all casks at the site for coating degradation and/or corrosion.</p>
Confirmation Process	Ensure that corrective actions are completed and effective in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.

Table 17 - Examination of VSC Top End Steel Components (3 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Administrative Controls	Formal review and approval of Corrective Actions in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Operating Experience	The results of the initial lead cask inspection performed on Palisades Cask No. VSC-15 show that there has been no unanticipated degradation of the VCC top interior during the initial storage period. The top end of the MSB assembly (structural lid and closure weld) had no evidence of significant corrosion, although small areas of coating were scraped off when temporary shielding used during the inspection was removed. The steel surfaces under the damaged coating showed no signs of significant corrosion. The areas of damaged coating were subsequently cleaned and recoated.

Notes:

- (1) If the VCC Shielding Ring Plate (Shield Ring) is lifted to expose the MSB closure weld and shell top edge for visual examination, then the top portion of the outer radial surface on the VCC Shielding Ring Plate (Shield Ring) that is exposed when lifted shall also be visually inspected for coating degradation and corrosion.
- (2) The VCC lid gasket shall be replaced with a new gasket prior to re-installation of the VCC cask lid following the inspection, regardless of its condition.

Table 18 - Examination of MTC Assembly (2 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Scope	Visual examination of all readily accessible interior and exterior surfaces of the MTC assembly and functional testing of the MTC shield doors.
Preventative Actions	Identification and repair of unacceptable coating degradation and corrosion on the exposed surfaces of the MTC assembly prevents continued degradation that could potentially affect the ability of the SCCs to perform their intended functions during the extended storage period, protects pool chemistry during fuel loading/unloading operations, and facilitates decontamination of the exposed MTC surfaces. Maintenance of the hydraulic assemblies and sliding surfaces of the MTC shield door assembly assures that the shield doors will function.
Parameters Monitored or Inspected	Degradation of the coating and corrosion of the underlying carbon steel on all readily accessible surfaces. Degradation of the hydraulic assemblies and sliding surfaces of the MTC shield door assembly.
Detection of Aging Effects -Method or Technique: -Frequency: -Sample Size: -Data Collection: -Timing of inspections:	Identification of unanticipated degradation of coatings, corrosion of the MTC assembly subcomponents. Visual examination. 10-years (\pm 1 year) when not in use and within 1-year prior to use. Each MTC assembly. Photographs of examination. Completed the initial inspection within 2-years following the later of the 20 th anniversary of the first cask loaded at the site or the effective date of the CoC renewal.
Monitoring and Trending	Areas of degraded coating and corrosion that the GL determines do not require repair before MTC use must be recorded and monitored during subsequent examinations to identify potential accelerated degradation of the structure during the extended storage period.
Acceptance Criteria	Individual local areas of coating loss may not expose more than 2 in ² of underlying steel and the total combined coating loss may not expose more than a total of 40 in ² of underlying steel. Corrosion must not exceed 10% of a component's nominal thickness (or depth) or reduce a bolts nominal cross-sectional area by more than 5%. MTC shield door must be capable of being opened and closed with the MTC hydraulic assemblies.
Corrective Actions	Areas of degraded coating that exceed the acceptance criteria shall be repaired by re-coating in accordance with coating manufacturer's instructions. If corrosion has resulted loss of material that exceeds that acceptance criteria, evaluate the MTC assembly for continued use. Repair or replace degraded hydraulic assemblies.

Table 18 - Examination of MTC Assembly (2 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Confirmation Process	Ensure that corrective actions are completed and effective in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Administrative Controls	Formal review and approval of Corrective Actions in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Operating Experience	N/A.

Table 19 - Lead Cask Inspection (4 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
Scope	<p>Direct visual examination of the following readily accessible surfaces of the lead cask:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All surfaces of VCC Cask Lid and VCC Lid Bolts; • All exposed surfaces of optional VSC Lifting Lugs (if present); • Readily accessible top and inner radial surfaces of VCC Liner Flange; • Top surface (chamfer and weld) of VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly); • Top and inner radial surface of VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring);⁽¹⁾ • Top surfaces of MSB Structural Lid, MSB Valve Covers, MSB Closure Weld, and top edge of the MSB Shell; <p>Remote visual examination of the following readily accessible surfaces⁽¹⁾ of the lead cask:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All readily accessible⁽¹⁾ duct-facing surfaces of all VCC air inlets and outlets; • All readily accessible⁽¹⁾ annulus-facing surfaces of VCC Cask Liner Shell and MSB Shell; • All readily accessible annulus-facing surfaces (i.e., bottom surfaces) of VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly) and VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shielding Ring). <p>Remote visual examination of the normally inaccessible surfaces⁽¹⁾ of the lead cask:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bottom surface of VCC Bottom Plate Assembly (requires VCC assembly to be lifted); • Bottom surface of MSB Bottom Plate and top surface of VCC Cask Liner Bottom (requires MSB assembly to be lifted). <p>Replacement of VCC Lid Gasket and locking wire.⁽²⁾</p>
Preventative Actions	<p>Identify and remove any unacceptable blockage in VCC ventilation ducts and annulus to prevent system temperatures from exceeding the applicable temperature limits. Identify and repair, as applicable, of any unacceptable coating degradation or corrosion on the coated carbon steel components to prevent continued degradation that could potentially affect the ability of the SCCs to perform their intended functions during the extended storage period.</p>
Parameters Monitored or Inspected	<p>Degradation of the VCC bottom surface; blockage of the VCC internal ventilation flow path; degradation of the coated carbon steel surfaces that line the VCC ventilation flow path (i.e., VCC Air Inlet Assemblies and VCC Air Outlet Weldments, VCC Cask Liner Shell, and MSB Shell); degradation of the coated carbon steel surfaces on the MSB Bottom Plate and VCC Cask Liner Bottom; and degradation of the VCC Cask Lid, VCC Liner Flange, VCC Lid Bolts, VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly), VCC Shielding</p>

Table 19 - Lead Cask Inspection (4 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
	Ring Plates (Shield Ring), MSB Structural Lid, MSB Lid Valve Covers, and MSB Closure Weld.
<p>Detection of Aging Effects</p> <p>-Method or Technique:</p> <p>-Frequency:</p> <p>-Sample Size:</p> <p>-Data Collection:</p> <p>-Timing of inspections:</p>	<p>Identification of unacceptable blockage in the ventilation flow path and unacceptable coating degradation and/or corrosion on all metal surfaces.</p> <p>Direct VT-3 visual examination of VSC top end steel components and remote VT-3 visual examination of the VCC ventilation flow path, MSB bottom plate, VCC cask liner bottom, and VCC bottom surface. VT-3 visual examination performed and evaluated by personnel qualified in accordance with industry guidelines for implementing the requirements of the Maintenance Rule (10 CFR 50.56). Qualifications for personnel performing the VT-3 visual examinations of the coated steel surfaces of the VCC and MSB assemblies in accordance with the requirements of IWE-2330 are acceptable.</p> <p>20-year (\pm 1-year).</p> <p>One or more casks at each site.⁽³⁾</p> <p>Video/photographs of examination.</p> <p>Completed the initial lead cask inspection activities within 2-years following the end of the initial storage period.⁽⁴⁾ Repeat lead cask inspections of the same cask(s) in accordance with the specified frequency.</p>
Monitoring and Trending	Coating degradation and corrosion shall be compared with those from previous inspections to identify accelerated degradation of the structure during the period of extended storage. A baseline should be developed from the initial inspection during the extended storage period.
Acceptance Criteria	<p><u>Blockage</u>: No significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of any air flow paths.</p> <p><u>Coating Degradation and Corrosion</u>: Coating degradation and corrosion on the bottom end of the VCC assembly (i.e., the bottom surface of the VCC Bottom Plate Assembly) is expected and acceptable provided that it does not results in significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of the air inlets.</p> <p>Coating degradation and any type of corrosion on the inlet or outlet duct-facing steel surfaces of the VCC air inlets and air outlets is acceptable provided that it does not result in significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of any air flow path.</p> <p>General (e.g., atmospheric) corrosion on the annulus-facing coated steel surfaces of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, VCC Cask Liner Shell, VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly), VCC Shielding</p>

Table 19 - Lead Cask Inspection (4 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
	<p>Ring Plates (Shield Ring), and MSB Shell that does not result in significant blockage (i.e., >10% of segment cross-section area) of the annulus is acceptable.</p> <p>Any localized corrosion (e.g., galvanic, crevice, or pitting corrosion) on the annulus-facing coated steel surfaces of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom, VCC Cask Liner Shell, VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly), VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring), and MSB Shell, and on the bottom surface of the MSB Bottom Plate or top surface of the VCC Cask Liner Bottom is unacceptable. Indication of crevice corrosion in the gap between the inner and outer VCC Shielding Ring Plates is also unacceptable.</p> <p>Coating degradation on the VSC top end steel components (i.e., VCC Cask Lid, VCC Liner Flange, VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assembly), VCC Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring), MSB Structural Lid, MSB Lid Valve Covers, MSB Closure Weld, MSB Shell (top end), and optional VSC Lifting Lugs) that exposes the underlying steel surfaces or indicates potential corrosion of the underlying steel surfaces (e.g., coating that is blistered, bubbled, or peeling) is unacceptable. Corrosion on the underlying steel surfaces of these components shall not exceed 1/16 inch in depth. Corrosion on any VCC lid bolt must not reduce its cross section area by more than 5%.</p>
Corrective Actions	<p>Blockage: Blockage that exceeds the acceptance criteria shall be evaluated in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, including extent of condition (discussed below), and any blockage that can be removed by reasonable means shall be removed.</p> <p>Coating Degradation and Corrosion: A VCC or MSB assembly with unacceptable coating degradation or corrosion shall be evaluated for continued storage in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, including extent of condition (discussed below). If localized corrosion is identified on the MSB Shell or MSB Bottom Plate, additional NDE, such as eddy current or ultrasonic measurements, may be required to determine the depth of localized corrosion, if that information is required by the qualified VT-3 inspector to evaluate the condition. NDE methods used to determine corrosion depth shall be qualified for use by the GL. If the results of the visual examination indicate unacceptable localized corrosion (e.g., crevice corrosion) in the gap between the inner and outer VCC shielding rings, then the inner shield ring shall be lifted to allow inspection of the gap surfaces. A VCC and/or MSB assembly that is not acceptable for continued storage shall be repaired or replaced. An MSB assembly with a shell or bottom plate that is determined to be unacceptable for continued storage shall be</p>

Table 19 - Lead Cask Inspection (4 Pages)

AMP Element	AMP Activity
	<p>removed from service and the used fuel must be retrieved from the MSB assembly.</p> <p><u>Extent of Condition:</u> The extent of condition evaluation shall include examination of at least two additional VCC assemblies at the site for the unacceptable degradation identified in the lead cask inspection. The GL shall select the additional VCC assemblies based upon those factors that are most relevant the type of unacceptable degradation observed (e.g., location or orientation of the VCC assembly on the ISFSI pad or time in service). If unacceptable degradation is identified in any of the additional VCC examinations, then the condition shall be evaluated in accordance with the GL's corrective action program, the cask shall be repaired or replaced, as necessary, and all remaining VCC assemblies at the site shall be examined for the identified degradation.</p>
Confirmation Process	Ensure that corrective actions are completed and effective in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Administrative Controls	Documentation of lead cask selection, basis for relying on lead cask inspections performed as other sites as bounding, and lead cask inspection results are documented in the GL's 10 CFR 72.212 report. Formal review and approval of Corrective Actions are performed in accordance with the GL's Corrective Action Program.
Operating Experience	The results of the initial lead cask inspection performed on Palisades Cask No. VSC-15 show that there has been no unanticipated degradation of the inspected components during the initial storage period. The bottom surface of the VCC did not show any evidence of significant corrosion or degradation. The readily accessible surfaces of the MSB shell and VCC liner, inlets, and outlets had no evidence of significant corrosion and all air flow paths were free of blockage. Finally, the top end of the MSB assembly (structural lid and closure weld) showed no evidence of significant coating degradation and no corrosion.

Notes:

- (1) Surfaces that require inspection are those that may be inspected using reasonable means given the specified method or technique, considering the inspection equipment used. A surface that cannot be viewed with sufficient resolution or lighting for a qualified inspector to evaluate is not considered readily accessible.
- (2) The VCC lid gasket shall be replaced prior to re-installation of the VCC cask lid following the inspection, regardless of its condition.
- (3) The process for selecting the cask on which the Lead Cask Inspection is performed is discussed in Section 3.4.4. As discussed in Section 3.4.4, each GL must perform lead cask inspection(s) at their site unless they provide justification that their casks are bounded by lead cask inspection(s) performed for similar storage systems at other site(s).
- (4) Since the VSC-24 CoC renewal application is in under timely-submittal-review and the initial storage period has already ended, each GL must complete lead cask inspection activities within 2-years of the CoC renewal effective date.

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix A
VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix A: VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

The proposed changes to the VSC-24 Storage System FSAR to support the VSC-24 Storage System CoC renewal are summarized in Table A-1.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change⁽¹⁾	Basis
1.1	Change 2 nd paragraph, 1 st sentence on pg. 1-2 to read: "The VSC-24 System has been designed and analyzed for a lifetime of 50 60 years."	Extended storage period.
1.2.1.1	Change 2 nd paragraph, 5 th sentence on pg. 1-4 to read: "The MSB shell and bottom plate thicknesses was are designed to withstand more than 50 years 60 years of corrosion in an uncoated condition in a coastal, marine environment."	MSB corrosion TLAA updated. (Section 3.3.3.3)
Table 1.2-4	Change service life to read: " >50 years 60 years "	Extended storage period.
3.3	Add the following sentences at the end of the section: " <u>Gamma and neutron radiation exposure of the VSC-24 storage system materials over the 60-year storage period are below the levels at which the material properties are adversely affected.</u> "	Address radiation effects for extended storage period.
3.4.4.1.5	<p>Change "(a) Full Range Pressure Cycles" to read: "The normal operating pressure for the MSB is 14.7 psia (0 psig). The full range pressure cycles are due to: vacuum drying, two pressure tests, postulated failure of all fuel rods and significant ambient temperature changes (conservatively assumed to occur 10 times per year during 50 years of the cask lifetime)unloading (backfill).</p> <p>Therefore, the total number of fluctuations of this type is (a) = 1 + 2 + 1 + 1 40 * 50 = 504 5."</p> <p>Change "(b) Expected Number of Pressure Cycles" to read: "The expected number of pressure cycles of this type is 0 since fluctuations in weather conditions need not be considered here. MSB service pressure fluctuations in which the range of pressure variation is expected to exceed 20% of the design pressure will result from seasonal variations in ambient temperature. The normal full range of seasonal variation in ambient temperature is expected to occur once annually. However, it is conservatively assumed in this evaluation that ten (10) of these service pressure cycles occur each year. Therefore, the expected number of pressure cycles for the MSB assembly in which the range of pressure variation exceeds 20% of the design pressure is determined to be 600 cycles over a 60-year storage period."</p>	MSB fatigue TLAA updated. (Section 3.3.3.2)

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change ⁽¹⁾	Basis
	Change 1 st sentence of the last paragraph to read: "The discussion presented in the preceding paragraphs shows that (a) + (b) + (c) + (d) = 504-605 and less than 1000."	
9.3	Add heading " <u>Section 9.3 Aging Management</u> ".	New SAR section.
9.3.1	<p>Add heading "<u>Section 9.3.1 Aging Management Review</u>".</p> <p>Add text: "<u>The Aging Management Review (AMR) of the VSC-24 Ventilated Storage Cask System provides an assessment of aging effects that could adversely affect the ability of in-scope SSC to perform their intended functions during the extended storage period. Aging effects, and the mechanisms that cause them, are evaluated for the combinations of materials and environments identified for the subcomponent of the in-scope SSC based upon a comprehensive review of known literature, industry operating experience, and maintenance and inspection records. Aging effects that could adversely affect the ability of the in-scope SSC to perform their safety function(s) require additional Aging Management Activity (AMA) to address potential degradation that may occur during the extended storage period. Aging effects that require AMA, either by a Time-Limited Aging Analysis (TLAA) or an Aging Management Program (AMP) are summarized in Table 9.3-1 through Table 9.3-4. The TLAA's and AMP's that are credited with managing aging effects during the extended storage period are discussed in Sections 9.3.2 and 9.3.3, respectively.</u>".</p> <p>Add AMR summary tables: (See Tables 9.3-1 through 9.3-4).</p>	New section describing AMR.
9.3.2	<p>Add heading "<u>Section 9.3.2 Time-Limited Aging Analysis</u>".</p> <p>Add text: "<u>A comprehensive review of the TLAA's for the in-scope SSC of the VSC-24 Storage System was performed to identify the analyses that could be credited with managing aging effects over the extended storage period. The TLAA's identified involved the in-scope SSC, considered the effects of aging, involved explicit time-limited assumptions, provided conclusions regarding the capability of the SSC to perform its</u>".</p>	New section discusses TLAA's that are credited with managing aging effects during the extended storage

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change ⁽¹⁾	Basis
	<p><u>intended function through the operating term, and were contained or incorporated in the licensing basis. The TLAA's identified include: (1) MSB helium leakage evaluation, (2) MSB fatigue evaluation, (3) MSB corrosion evaluation, (4) Radiation effects analysis, (5) Fuel cladding creep evaluation, and (6) MSB lid RX-277 neutron shielding degradation evaluation. Each of TLAA's identified was further evaluated and dispositioned for the extended period of operation to demonstrate that the TLAA adequately manages the aging effects on intended safety functions for the extended period of operation, as discussed in the following sections.</u></p>	<p>period.</p>
<p>9.3.2.1</p>	<p>Add heading "<u>Section 9.3.2.1 MSB Helium Leakage Evaluation</u>".</p> <p>Add text: "<u>The MSB helium leakage evaluation has been projected to the end of the 60-year service period. The evaluation postulates different sized leak paths through the MSB confinement boundary that produce a flow rate of 1.0x10⁻⁴ standard cubic centimeter (std. cc) per second (i.e., the maximum permissible MSB helium leak rate per TS 1.2.2) under the limiting helium leak test conditions. The helium leakage rates for normal, off-normal, and accident storage conditions are determined for postulated leak paths using the maximum upstream pressure and temperature. The maximum helium leakage rate is then used to determine the total volume of helium gas leaked over the 60-year extended storage period, conservatively assuming a constant leakage rate. The results show that the maximum amount of helium gas leaked from the MSB cavity during the 60-year extended storage period is approximately 2.7%, which will have a smaller effect on the MSB thermal performance than the decay of the SNF heat-generation rate.</u>"</p>	<p>New section to discuss TLAA.</p>
<p>9.3.2.2</p>	<p>Add heading "<u>Section 9.3.2.2 MSB Fatigue Evaluation</u>".</p> <p>Add text: "<u>The MSB fatigue analysis presented in Section 3.4.4.1.5 has been projected to the end of the 60-year service period. The total number of expected cycles remains below 1,000, thus satisfying Condition A of NC-3219.2 of the ASME Code.</u>"</p>	<p>New section to discuss TLAA.</p>
<p>9.3.2.3</p>	<p>Add heading "<u>Section 9.3.2.3 MSB Corrosion Evaluation</u>".</p>	<p>New section to</p>

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change ⁽¹⁾	Basis
	<p><u>Add text: "As discussed in Section 1.2.1.1, the MSB shell and bottom plate thicknesses are designed to withstand 60 years of corrosion in an uncoated condition in a coastal, marine environment. The maximum corrosion loss on the external surfaces of the MSB shell and bottom plate under these conditions is conservatively estimated to be 0.18-inch over a 60-year period based on a uniform rate of 0.003-inch/year. The maximum stresses in the corroded MSB shell and bottom plate are determined for the controlling load combinations using a combination of hand-calculations and finite element analysis and shown to satisfy the corresponding allowable stress design criteria.</u></p> <p><u>The controlling load combination for the MSB shell is vertical drop plus internal pressure. The MSB vertical drop analysis described in Section 11.2.2.2 is repeated for the corroded material condition. The maximum membrane (P_m) stress and membrane plus bending ($P_L + P_b$) stress intensities in the corroded MSB shell for the vertical drop plus internal pressure loading are 47.0 ksi and 47.3 ksi, respectively, compared to the corresponding ASME Code allowable stress intensity limits of 49.0 ksi and 63.0 ksi, respectively.</u></p> <p><u>The controlling load combinations for the MSB bottom plate are horizontal drop plus internal pressure for primary membrane (P_m) stress intensity and dead load plus off-normal pressure plus off-normal handling for membrane plus bending ($P_L + P_b$) stress intensity. The maximum primary membrane (P_m) stress intensity in the corroded MSB bottom plate for the combined horizontal drop plus internal pressure loading is determined by linearly scaling the stresses by the ratio of the plate thickness (i.e., by 0.75"/0.57"). The resulting maximum primary membrane (P_m) stress intensity in the corroded MSB bottom plate is 43.6 ksi, compared to the ASME Code allowable stress intensity limit of 49.0 ksi. The maximum membrane plus bending ($P_L + P_b$) stress intensity in the corroded MSB bottom plate for the combined dead load plus off-normal pressure plus off-normal handling loading is determined by repeating the analysis described in Section 3.4.4.1.2. The resulting maximum membrane plus bending ($P_L + P_b$) stress intensity in the corroded MSB bottom plate is 35.5 ksi, compared to the ASME Code allowable stress intensity limit of 40.5 ksi."</u></p>	<p>discuss TLAA.</p>

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change ⁽¹⁾	Basis
9.3.2.4	<p>Add heading "<u>Section 9.3.2.4 Radiation Effects Analysis</u>".</p> <p>Add text: <u>"The cumulative effect of neutron and gamma radiation on the structural and shielding properties of the VSC-24 storage system materials is evaluated for an extended storage period of 60-years. The cumulative neutron and gamma radiation levels in all components of the VSC-24 storage system over 60-years of storage are much lower than the radiation levels at which the structural and shielding properties of the carbon steel, concrete, and neutron shielding materials are adversely affected.</u></p> <p><u>The cumulative neutron and gamma radiation exposures for the inner steel components of the VSC-24 storage system (i.e., the MSB assembly and VCC steel liner) over 60-years are estimated to be approximately 1.3×10^{14} n/cm² and 1×10^{10} rads, respectively. The damaging effects of neutron radiation on steel are seen at a fast neutron (i.e., > 1.0 MeV) fluence level above 1×10^{17} n/cm², or approximately three orders of magnitude greater than the total neutron exposure for the steel components of the VSC-24 storage system. Gamma radiation has no measureable impact on the mechanical properties of steel.</u></p> <p><u>The cumulative neutron and gamma radiation doses on the VCC concrete over the 60-year extended storage period are estimated to be 1.3×10^{14} n/cm² and 1×10^9 rads, respectively, considering the dose attenuation provided by the 2.75-inch combined thickness of carbon steel MSB shell and VCC liner shell. The neutron and gamma radiation doses at which concrete properties are adversely affected are 1×10^{17} n/cm² and 1×10^{10} rads, respectively. Thus, the VCC concrete will not be adversely affected by neutron and gamma radiation doses over the 60-year extended storage period.</u></p> <p><u>The cumulative neutron and gamma radiation doses over the 60-year extended storage period on the RX-277 neutron shielding material in the MSB shield lid, considering the dose attenuation provided by the MSB shield lid support plate and bottom plate, are estimated to be 1.3×10^{14} n/cm² and 7×10^7 rads, respectively. The RX-277 neutron shielding material can withstand neutron and gamma radiation levels of 5×10^{19} n/cm² and 1×10^{10}</u></p>	New section to discuss TLAA.

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change ⁽¹⁾	Basis
	<u>rads, respectively. Therefore, the cumulative neutron and gamma radiation doses will not adversely affect the properties of RX-277 during the extended storage period.</u>	
9.3.2.5	<p>Add heading "Section 9.3.2.5 Fuel Cladding Creep Evaluation".</p> <p>Add text: <u>"The maximum allowable cladding temperatures for PWR fuel assemblies stored in the VSC-24 storage system are based on the cladding creep methodology described in PNL-6364 (Reference 4.1), as discussed in Appendix C. The criterion applied limits the total strain in the fuel cladding due to creep to 1% over a 40-year storage period. The creep methodology accounts for the decrease in cladding temperature and hoop stress that are expected to occur during the storage period.</u></p> <p><u>After the initial 40-year storage period, the peak cladding temperature for design basis fuel will reduce to approximately 150°C and the corresponding cladding hoop stress will be approximately 67 megapascals (MPa). Based on PNL-6364, the cladding strain rate under these conditions is estimated to be approximately 10⁻¹⁷ s⁻¹. Conservatively assuming the cladding strain rate remains constant for the extended storage period, the additional accumulated strain for the additional 20-year period is 6.3x10⁻⁹ in/in; an insignificant fraction of the allowable total strain (1%). Therefore, it is concluded that the maximum allowable cladding temperatures for PWR fuel assemblies stored in the VSC-24 storage system remain applicable for the 60-year extended storage period.</u></p>	New section to discuss TLAA.
9.3.2.6	<p>Add heading "Section 9.3.2.6 MSB Lid RX-277 Neutron Shielding Degradation Evaluation".</p> <p>Add text: <u>"ORIGEN2 calculations show that for all fuel assemblies that may be loaded into the MSB, the neutron source strength decreases by at least 44.4% over the initial 20-year license period. MCNP5 shielding analyses show that complete removal of the MSB lid RX-277 neutron shielding material would result in a 75% increase in VCC top surface average neutron dose rates; not enough to offset the decrease in neutron source strength after the initial 20 years of storage. Gamma source strengths (in the fuel and assembly hardware) decrease to an even greater degree over the initial 20 year storage period, and</u></p>	New section to discuss TLAA.

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change ⁽¹⁾	Basis
	<p><u>also more than offset any gamma attenuation from the MSB lid RX-277 neutron shield.</u></p> <p><u>Therefore, it is concluded that the MSB lid RX-277 neutron shielding material is not needed, during the extended storage period, to ensure that VCC top surface dose rates remain below the limit of 200 mrem/hr given in Technical Specification 1.2.4. Thus, the RX-277 material has no design function during the extended storage period.</u></p>	
9.3.3	<p>Add heading: <u>"Section 9.3.3 Aging Management Program"</u>.</p> <p>Add text: <u>"Aging effects that could result in loss of in-scope SSC's intended function(s) are managed during the extended storage period. The aging effects that require management are summarized in Table 9.3-1 through Table 9.3-4. Many aging effects are adequately managed for the extended storage period using TLAA, as discussed in Section 9.3.2. An AMP is used to manage those aging effects that are not managed by TLAA. The AMP that manage each of the identified aging effects for all in-scope SSC include of the following:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>(1) Examination of VCC Assembly Air Inlets and Outlets,</u> <u>(2) Examination of the VCC Assembly Exterior Concrete,</u> <u>(3) Examination of the VCC Assembly Ventilation Ducts and Annulus,</u> <u>(4) Examination of VSC Top End Steel Components, and</u> <u>(5) Examination of the MTC Assembly.</u> <p><u>In addition, the lead cask inspection provides additional assurance that the VCC and MSB assemblies do not experience any unanticipated degradation during the extended storage period. The AMP and lead cask inspection are described in Tables 9.3-5 through 9.3-10."</u></p> <p>Add table heading: <u>"Table 9.3-5 - Examination of VCC Assembly Air Inlets and Outlets"</u> and table content (same as Table 14).</p> <p>Add table heading: <u>"Table 9.3-6 - Examination of the VCC Assembly Exterior Concrete"</u> and</p>	<p>New section summarizing AMP credited with managing aging effects during the extended storage period.</p>

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change⁽¹⁾	Basis
	<p>table content (same as Table 15).</p> <p>Add table heading: "<u>Table 9.3-7 - Examination of the VCC Assembly Ventilation Ducts and Annulus</u>" and table content (same as Table 16).</p> <p>Add table heading: "<u>Table 9.3-8 - Examination of VSC Top End Steel Components</u>" and table content (same as Table 17).</p> <p>Add table heading: "<u>Table 9.3-9 - Examination of the MTC Assembly</u>" and table content (same as Table 18)</p> <p>Add table heading: "<u>Table 9.3-10 - Lead Cask Inspection</u>" and table content (same as Table 19).</p>	

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change ⁽¹⁾	Basis
9.3.4	<p>Add heading "<u>Section 9.3.4 Retrievability</u>".</p> <p>Add text: "<u>The VSC-24 storage system is designed to allow ready retrieval of the SNF assemblies for further processing and disposal, in accordance with 10 CFR 72.122(I). As discussed in ISG-2 [3.27], ready retrieval of the SNF assemblies from the MSB assembly requires: (1) the ability to transfer the sealed MSB assembly to a spent fuel pool (or other facility), and (2) the ability to unload the SNF assemblies from the MSB assemblies for repackaging to allow removal from the reactor site, transportation, and ultimate disposition.</u></p> <p><u>The results of the AMR show that there are no credible aging effects in the SNF assemblies that require management during the extended storage period. Only low burnup (≤ 45 GWd/MTU), intact, zircaloy-clad PWR SNF assemblies are stored in the VSC-24 storage system. Degradation of the cladding of low burnup fuel will not occur during extended storage because the inert atmosphere inside the MSB assembly is maintained. Corrosion of the MSB assembly structural lid and closure weld are managed by AMP during the extended storage period to ensure that no aging effect result in the loss of their intended functions (primarily confinement and structural support.) This provides reasonable assurance that the MSB assembly will be able to be transferred to a spent fuel pool and the SNF assemblies will be capable of being removed from the MSB assembly by normal means.</u></p>	Add new section addressing the requirements for fuel retrievability during the extended storage period.
12.2	Change 1 st sentence of the last paragraph to read: "Each of these design features contributes significantly to the ability of the VSC to meet the requirements of 10CFR72 for at least fifty <u>a storage period of 60 years.</u> "	Extended storage period.
12.4 (B.1.2.2)	Change 1 st sentence of B.1.2.2 to read: "If the MSB leaked at the largest undetectable leak rate (10^{-4} scc/sec), then only 4 percent <u>2.7%</u> of the helium would escape over a 20-year span <u>60-year extended storage period.</u> "	Incorporate the results of the MSB helium leakage evaluation (Section 3.3.3.1).

Table A-1 - Summary of VSC-24 Storage System FSAR Changes

FSAR Section	Description of Change⁽¹⁾	Basis
Appendix C, Section C.1	Add the following sentence to the end of the 2 nd paragraph: <u>“Additional strain (or creep) that occurs after 40 years of dry storage is negligible due to the reduced clad temperatures and hoop stress.”</u>	Revised to incorporate the results of the fuel cladding creep TLAA evaluation (Section 3.3.3.5).
Appendix C, Section C.3	Revise the 2 nd sentence to read: “Hence, a temperature limit of 712°F after 5 years cooling is adequate to ensure a less than 0.5% per rod probability of stress induced clad failure over a storage period of 40 <u>60</u> years.”	

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Proposed deletions are shown in strikethrough text (~~deletion~~) and proposed insertions are shown in bold underlined text (**insertion**).

Table 9.3-1 - MSB Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent	Intended Function ⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Shell	HT, RS, PR, SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
				Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
			Sheltered	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
				Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
Bottom Plate	HT, RS, PR, SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
				Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
			Sheltered	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
				Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
Shield Lid Support Ring	SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
				Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
Lifting Lug	SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Structural Lid	HT, RS, PR, SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
				Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
Shield Lid Top Plate	RS, PR, SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Shield Lid Bottom Plate	RS, PR, SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Shield Lid Side Ring	PR, SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA

Table 9.3-1 - MSB Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent	Intended Function⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Shield Lid Neutron Shield	RS	RX-277	Embedded	Loss of Shielding Effectiveness	Radiation	TLAA
Structural Lid Valve Covers	PR, RS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Sheltered	Loss of Material	General Corrosion	AMP
				Crack Growth	Fatigue	TLAA
Shield Lid Support Plate	PR, RS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Storage Sleeve	CC, HT, RS, SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Basket Edge Structure	SS	Coated CS	Inert Gas	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Criticality Control (CC), Heat Transfer (HT), Radiation Shielding (RS), Confinement (PR), and Structural Support (SS).

Table 9.3-2 - VCC Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent	Intended Function ⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Concrete Shell	HT, RS, SS	Concrete	Exposed	Loss of Strength	ASR	AMP
					CaOH Leaching	
					Radiation	TLAA
				Scaling, Cracking, & Spalling	Freeze/Thaw	AMP
					ASR	AMP
					Corrosion (Rebar)	AMP
Rebar	SS	CS	Embedded	Loss of Material	Corrosion (Rebar)	AMP
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Cask Liner Shell	HT, RS, SS	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Cask Liner Bottom	HT, RS, SS	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Liner Flange	SS	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Cask Lid	RS, SS	Coated CS	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
			Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
				Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA

Table 9.3-2 - VCC Assembly AMR Results (2 Pages)

Subcomponent	Intended Function⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Lid Bolts, Nuts, Lockwashers	SS	Coated CS	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Shielding Ring Plates (Liner Assy.)	RS	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Shielding Ring Plates (Shield Ring)	RS	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Air Inlet Assembly	HT	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Air Outlet Weldment	HT	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Air Inlet Screen/Hardware	HT	Galvanized Steel	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Air Outlet Screen/Hardware	HT	Varies	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Bottom Plate Assembly	HT	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
VSC Lifting Lugs (Optional)	SS	Coated CS ⁽²⁾	Exposed	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLLA

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Criticality Control (CC), Heat Transfer (HT), Radiation Shielding (RS), Confinement (PR), and Structural Support (SS).

⁽²⁾ Coatings are only applied to the air-facing surfaces of these steel components. The embedded surfaces are not coated.

Table 9.3-3 - MTC Assembly AMR Results

Subcomponent	Intended Function ⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Outer Shell	SS, RS, HT	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Inner Shell	SS, RS, HT	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Middle Shell ⁽²⁾	SS, RS, HT	CS	Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Top Ring	SS	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Bottom Ring	SS	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
			Embedded	Loss of Fracture Toughness	Radiation	TLAA
Neutron Absorber Shield	RS, HT	RX-277	Embedded	Loss of Shielding Effectiveness	Radiation	TLAA
Trunnion	SS	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	General Corrosion	AMP
Trunnion Cylinder / End Covers	---	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	General Corrosion	AMP
Trunnion Inner & Outer Plate ⁽²⁾	SS, RS	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	General Corrosion	AMP
Trunnion Lead/Neutron Shields ⁽²⁾	RS	Lead/ RX-277	Embedded	Loss of Shielding Effectiveness	Radiation	TLAA
MTC Lid	SS	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Lid Bolts	SS	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP

Table 9.3-3 - MTC Assembly AMR Results

Subcomponent	Intended Function⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Shim/Flange	RS	CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Rail Shield	SS, RS	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Rail Lower Plate	SS	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP
Shield Door	SS, RS, HT	Coated CS	Sheltered	Loss of Material	Corrosion	AMP

Notes:

- ⁽¹⁾ Criticality Control (CC), Heat Transfer (HT), Radiation Shielding (RS), Confinement (PR), and Structural Support (SS).
- ⁽²⁾ Subcomponents removed by GL in accordance with 10 CFR 72.48 and subsequently adopted by CoC amendment 4 and incorporated in FSAR Revision 5. None of the existing MTCs include these removed components.

Table 9.3-4 - SNF Assembly AMR Results

Subcomponent	Intended Function⁽¹⁾	Material	Environment	Aging Effect	Aging Mechanism	Aging Management Activities
Fuel Cladding	CC, RS, PR, SS	Zircaloy	Inert Gas	Change in Dimension	Cladding Creep	TLAA

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Criticality Control (CC), Heat Transfer (HT), Radiation Shielding (RS), Confinement (PR), and Structural Support (SS).

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix B
VSC-24 Storage System Technical Specification Changes

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix B: VSC-24 Storage System Technical Specification Changes

The following changes¹⁷ are proposed to the Technical Specifications associated with the CoC initial issue and Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to support the VSC-24 Storage System CoC renewal:

CoC Revision 0: Revise Section 1.1.2, “Operating Procedures” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use,” as follows:

“5. Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained for each AMP and the lead cask inspection described in Section 9.3.3 of the FSAR. The programs shall include provisions for changing the AMP elements, as necessary, to address new information on aging effects based on inspection finding and/or industry operating experience identified during the renewal period.”

CoC Revision 0: Change Table 1, “Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored In the VSC-24 System,” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use,” as follows:

“Decay Power Per Assembly \leq 1 kW **(2)**”

Add Note**“(2) For casks loaded after the initial storage period, the maximum decay power per assembly is limited to 0.625 kW to preclude possible zinc-zircaloy interactions.”**

CoC Revision 0: Change Note (1) of Table 1, “Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored In the VSC-24 System,” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use,” as follows:

“(1) For casks loaded with fuel assemblies having burnups greater than 35,000 MWd/MTU, specific analyses must be performed, as described in SAR Section 2.1, to demonstrate that initial fuel clad temperature criteria are not exceeded and that neutron and gamma source strengths do not exceed the specified criteria tabulated above. **The maximum storage period for casks loaded with fuel assemblies having burnups greater than 45,000 MWd/MTU may not exceed 20 years.**”

CoC Amendment 1: Revise Section 1.1.2, “Operating Procedures” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications,” as follows:

“5. Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and

¹⁷ Proposed deletions are shown in strikethrough text (~~deletion~~) and proposed insertions are shown in bold underlined text (**insertion**).

maintained for each AMP and the lead cask inspection described in Section 9.3.3 of the FSAR. The programs shall include provisions for changing the AMP elements, as necessary, to address new information on aging effects based on inspection finding and/or industry operating experience identified during the renewal period.

CoC Amendment 1: Change Table 1, “Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored in the VSC-24 System,” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications,” as follows:

“Decay Power Per Assembly \leq 1 kW **(2)**”

Add Note“**(2) For casks loaded after the initial storage period, the maximum decay power per assembly is limited to 0.625 kW to preclude possible zinc-zircaloy interactions.**”

CoC Amendment 1: Change Note (1) of Table 1, “Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored in the VSC-24 System,” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications” as follows:

“(1) For casks loaded with fuel assemblies having burnups greater than 35,000 MWd/MTU, specific analyses must be performed, as described in SAR Section 2.1, to demonstrate that initial fuel clad temperature criteria are not exceeded and that neutron and gamma source strengths do not exceed the specified criteria tabulated above. **The maximum storage period for casks loaded with fuel assemblies having burnups greater than 45,000 MWd/MTU may not exceed 20 years.**”

CoC Amendment 2: Revise Section 1.1.2, “Operating Procedures” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications,” as follows:

5. Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained for each AMP and the lead cask inspection described in Section 9.3.3 of the FSAR. The programs shall include provisions for changing the AMP elements, as necessary, to address new information on aging effects based on inspection finding and/or industry operating experience identified during the renewal period.

CoC Amendment 2: Change Table 1, “Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored in the VSC-24 System,” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications,” as follows:

“Decay Power Per Assembly - Less than or equal to 1 kW **(2)**”

Add Note“**(2) For casks loaded after the initial storage period, the**

maximum decay power per assembly is limited to 0.625 kW to preclude possible zinc-zircaloy interactions.

CoC Amendment 2: Change Note (1) of Table 1, "Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored in the VSC-24 System," of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"(1) For casks loaded with fuel assemblies having burnups greater than 35,000 MWd/MTU, specific analyses must be performed, as described in SAR Section 2.1, to demonstrate that initial fuel clad temperature criteria are not exceeded and that neutron and gamma source strengths do not exceed the specified criteria tabulated above. **The maximum storage period for casks loaded with fuel assemblies having burnups greater than 45,000 MWd/MTU may not exceed 20 years.**"

CoC Amendment 3: Revise Section 1.1.2, "Operating Procedures" of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"5. Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained for each AMP and the lead cask inspection described in Section 9.3.3 of the FSAR. The programs shall include provisions for changing the AMP elements, as necessary, to address new information on aging effects based on inspection finding and/or industry operating experience identified during the renewal period."

CoC Amendment 3: Change Table 1, "Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored in the VSC-24 System," of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"Decay Power Per Assembly - Less than or equal to 1 kW **(2)**"

Add Note "**(2) For casks loaded after the initial storage period, the maximum decay power per assembly is limited to 0.625 kW to preclude possible zinc-zircaloy interactions.**"

CoC Amendment 3: Change Note (1) of Table 1, "Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored In the VSC-24 System," of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"(1) For casks loaded with fuel assemblies having burnups greater than 35,000 MWd/MTU, specific analyses must be performed, as described in SAR Section 2.1, to demonstrate that initial fuel clad temperature criteria are not exceeded and that neutron and gamma source strengths do not exceed the specified criteria tabulated above. **The maximum storage period for casks loaded with fuel assemblies having burnups greater than 45,000 MWd/MTU may not exceed 20 years.**"

CoC Amendment 4: Revise Section 1.1.2, "Operating Procedures" of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"5. Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained for each AMP and the lead cask inspection described in Section 9.3.3 of the FSAR. The programs shall include provisions for changing the AMP elements, as necessary, to address new information on aging effects based on inspection finding and/or industry operating experience identified during the renewal period."

CoC Amendment 4: Change Table 1, "Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored in the VSC-24 System," of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"Decay Power Per Assembly (see Note 3) - Less than or equal to 1 kW"

Add Note "**Note 3: For casks loaded after the initial storage period, the maximum decay power per assembly is limited to 0.625 kW to preclude possible zinc-zircaloy interactions.**"

CoC Amendment 5: Revise Section 1.1.2, "Operating Procedures" of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"5. Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained for each AMP and the lead cask inspection described in Section 9.3.3 of the FSAR. The programs shall include provisions for changing the AMP elements, as necessary, to address new information on aging effects based on inspection finding and/or industry operating experience identified during the renewal period."

CoC Amendment 5: Change Table 1, "Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored in the VSC-24 System," of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"Decay Power Per Assembly (see Note 3) - Less than or equal to 1 kW"

Add Note "**Note 3: For casks loaded after the initial storage period, the maximum decay power per assembly is limited to 0.625 kW to preclude possible zinc-zircaloy interactions.**"

CoC Amendment 6: Revise Section 1.1.2, "Operating Procedures" of Attachment A, "Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications," as follows:

"5. Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained for each AMP and the lead cask inspection described in Section 9.3.3 of the FSAR. The programs shall include provisions for

changing the AMP elements, as necessary, to address new information on aging effects based on inspection finding and/or industry operating experience identified during the renewal period.

CoC Amendment 6: Change Table 1, “Characteristics of Spent Fuel To Be Stored in the VSC-24 System,” of Attachment A, “Conditions for System Use and Technical Specifications,” as follows:

“Decay Power Per Assembly - Less than or equal to 1 kW²”

Add Note“(3) **For casks loaded after the initial storage period, the maximum decay power per assembly is limited to 0.625 kW to preclude possible zinc-zircaloy interactions.**”

This page intentionally left blank.