

## GROUP B

FOIA/PA NO: 2014-0320

### RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN PART

The following types of information are being withheld:

- Ex. 1:  Records properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 13526
- Ex. 2:  Records regarding personnel rules and/or human capital administration
- Ex. 3:  Information about the design, manufacture, or utilization of nuclear weapons  
 Information about the protection or security of reactors and nuclear materials  
 Contractor proposals not incorporated into a final contract with the NRC  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_
- Ex. 4:  Proprietary information provided by a submitter to the NRC  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_
- Ex. 5:  Draft documents or other pre-decisional deliberative documents (D.P. Privilege)  
 Records prepared by counsel in anticipation of litigation (A.W.P. Privilege)  
 Privileged communications between counsel and a client (A.C. Privilege)  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_
- Ex. 6:  Agency employee PII, including SSN, contact information, birthdates, etc.  
 Third party PII, including names, phone numbers, or other personal information
- Ex. 7(A):  Copies of ongoing investigation case files, exhibits, notes, ROI's, etc.  
 Records that reference or are related to a separate ongoing investigation(s)
- Ex. 7(C):  Special Agent or other law enforcement PII  
 PII of third parties referenced in records compiled for law enforcement purposes
- Ex. 7(D):  Witnesses' and Allegers' PII in law enforcement records  
 Confidential Informant or law enforcement information provided by other entity
- Ex. 7(E):  Law Enforcement Technique/Procedure used for criminal investigations  
 Technique or procedure used for security or prevention of criminal activity
- Ex. 7(F):  Information that could aid a terrorist or compromise security

Other/Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Blaney, Stephanie**

---

**From:** Lyon, Fred  
**Sent:** Friday, May 30, 2014 1:05 PM  
**To:** Walker(NRR), Sandra  
**Subject:** FW: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)

---

**From:** Blount, Tom  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 06, 2013 4:37 PM  
**To:** Lyon, Fred; (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** Markley, Michael; OKeefe, Neil; Gepford, Heather  
**Subject:** Re: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)

Fred- I support the comments you have received and do not have any others. I have shared and discussed the contents of the letter with Elmo and I did not receive any adverse input, comment or feedback. Please consider this concurrence and alignment from R-IV. Should that change I will advise you.

Thanks for all the work on this.

Tom

Thomas Blount

(b)(6)

Sent from NRC Blackberry

---

**From:** Lyon, Fred  
**To:** (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** Markley, Michael; OKeefe, Neil; Blount, Tom; Gepford, Heather  
**Sent:** Sat Jan 05 19:29:53 2013  
**Subject:** RE: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)

Excellent! Thanks (b)(7)(C) Fred

---

**From:** (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 05, 2013 12:58 PM  
**To:** Lyon, Fred; Blount, Tom; Gepford, Heather  
**Cc:** Markley, Michael; OKeefe, Neil  
**Subject:** RE: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)

ALL: our comments with input from DI:HQ are as follows for your consideration... draft #2 at the end of the 3<sup>rd</sup> full paragraph on page 2...add this sentence...The shift manager's testimony was corroborated not only by the testimony of the reactor personnel in the control room, but by other documentary evidence.

One possible error. The sentence that transitions from page 1 to page 2 reads "Since the NRC has already reviewed, evaluated, and resolved issues that Mr. Criscione has raised..." Should the word "resolved" be "resolved?"

Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks (b)(7)(C)

(b)(7)(C)  
(b)(7)(C)

**Office of Investigations**

B/1

(D) (b)(7)(C) (C) (b)(7)(C)

**U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region RIV**  
**(O) 817-200-1110 (F) 817-200-1125**  
**1600 E. Lamar Boulevard**  
**Arlington, Texas 76011-4511**

---

**From:** Lyon, Fred  
**Sent:** Friday, January 04, 2013 6:50 AM  
**To:** Blount, Tom; Gepford, Heather; (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** Markley, Michael; OKeefe, Neil  
**Subject:** G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)  
**Importance:** High

Attached is draft 2 of the response letter for your review, comments, and concurrence. The letter includes the QTE and LA reviews. I've also tried to reduce the potential inflammatory words and to address Elmo's 11/17/11 letter, without going into myriad detail or explanation.

I've also attached draft 1 and a compare version, Ms. Oxford's letter, and Elmo's 11/17/11 letter (thanks, Heather!) for reference.

Thanks, Fred (301-415-2296)