

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Decatur, Alabama 35609-2000

December 2, 2013

10 CFR 50.73

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

> Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2, and 3 Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-33, DPR-52, and DPR-68 NRC Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296

Subject: Licensee Event Report 50-259/2013-005-00

The enclosed Licensee Event Report (LER) provides details of a condition which resulted in shift staffing that was inadequate to meet regulatory requirements associated with implementation of fire safe shutdown instructions. The Tennessee Valley Authority is submitting this report in accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B).

Further analysis of this condition is ongoing. Upon completion of the analysis, a supplement to this LER will be submitted with the results of the additional analysis of the safety consequences.

There are no new regulatory commitments contained in this letter. Should you have any questions concerning this submittal, please contact J. L. Paul, Nuclear Site Licensing Manager, at (256) 729-2636.

Respectfully , BONO FOR ice President

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report 50-259/2013-005-00 – Inadequate Shift Staffing to Support Implementation of the Safe Shutdown Instructions

cc (w/ Enclosure):

NRC Regional Administrator - Region II NRC Senior Resident Inspector - Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant

ENCLOSURE

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Units 1, 2, and 3

Licensee Event Report 50-259/2013-005-00

Inadequate Shift Staffing to Support Implementation of the Safe Shutdown Instructions

See Enclosed

NRC FORM	366	U.	S. NUCL	EAR REGL	LATOR	Y COMN	IISSIC	APP	ROVED	BY OMB NO.	3150-0104		E	XPIRE	S 10/3	1/2013
(10-2010)	LICEN	SEE EV	/ENT R	EPORT (LER)			80 bao 5 F e-n Re Wa dis	hours. If k to indu 53), U.S nail to inf gulatory shington play a cu	burden per res Reported lesson: Istry. Send com Nuclear Regula ocollects.resourd Affairs, NEOB- , DC 20503. If Inrently valid OM not required to re	s learned are intents regard atory Commis ce@nrc.gov, 10202, (315 a means us IB control nur	e incorp ding bu ssion, V and to 50-010- ed to i mber, 1	porated into urden estima Washington, the Desk Of 4), Office o impose an is the NRC ma	the licer Ite to FO DC 2055 fficer, Off of Manag nformatic ly not co	ising pi IA/Priva 5-0001 ice of li gement on colle	rocess and fed acy Section (T- , or by internet nformation and and Budget, ction does not
1. FACILITY			Diant (2. D		TNUMBER		3. P	AGE		6	
Browns			_					<u> </u>		5000259		<u> </u>		1 of	0	
4. TITLE: 1	nadequa	ate Shi	ft Staffi	ng to Su	pport	Impler	nent	atio	n of t	he Safe S	hutdow	n In	structio	ons		
5. EVENT	DATE	6.			7. R	EPORT	DATE		ACILITY		OTHER FA			DOCKET		FR
MONTH DAY	YEAR	YEAR			MONTH	DAY	YE4	AR	BFN	, Unit 2				0	500	0260
10 03	2013	2013	- 005	- 00	12	02	20'	13	BFN	, Unit 3				DOCKET		er 0296
9. OPERATI	NG	1	1. THIS F	EPORT IS	SUBMI	ITED PU	JRSU	ANT	O THE	REQUIREN	ENTS OF	10 C	FR§: (Ch	neck all	that a	apply)
MODE		20	.2201(b)		20).2203(a)(3)(i)			50.73(a)(2)	(i)(C)		50.7	3(a)(2)	(vii)	
1			.2201(d)).2203(a)		50.73(a)(2)			50.7			
			.2203(a)(*			0.2203(a				50.73(a)(2) 50.73(a)(2)			50.7			
10. POWER			.2203(a)(2 .2203(a)(2			0.36(c)(1 0.36(c)(1				50.73(a)(2)			50.7 50.7	3(a)(2) 3(a)(2)		
10. POWER	LEVEL		.2203(a)(2).36(c)(2		<i>'</i>		50.73(a)(2)			73.7			
10	`		.2203(a)(2).46(a)(3				50.73(a)(2)			73.7			
100)		.2203(a)(2 .2203(a)(2).73(a)(2).73(a)(2				50.73(a)(2) 50.73(a)(2)			OTH Specif		ct below (or in NRC Form
			.2203(a)(4							HIS LER	(v)(D)		366A			<u> </u>
FACILITY NAME					12. LIU	ENGEE	CONT	ACT			TELE	PHONE	E NUMBER (Include A	rea Coo	le)
Eric Bate	s, Licen	sing Ei	ngineer										256-6	<u>514-7</u>	180	
	T	<u>13. CO</u>	OMPLETE	ONE LINE	FORE	ACH CO		NENT	FAILL	JRE DESCRI	BED IN TH	HIS R	EPORT			
CAUSE	SYSTEM			MANU- FACTURE		ORTABLE O EPIX		CAUS	E	SYSTEM	COMPONE	ENT			REP	ORTABLE TO EPIX
			MCNITA	REPORT										T		
							_				PECTED	ļ	MONTH	DA	Y	YEAR
YES (If	es, comple	ete 15. E.	XPECTEL	SUBMISS	SION DA	TE)		NO		DA			02	27	7	2014
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400	spaces, i.	e., approxi	mately 15 sir	gle-space	ed typewri	tten lin	es)								
Nu ulti eva me	clear Pla mately I aluation	ant (BF eads to reveal nt licer	N) Ope o entry ed that	erations into App the Ope	minim endix eration	um sh R Safe s minir	ift st e Sh mum	affin outdo o shi	g for wn li ft sta	ompleted response nstruction ffing does 2013, thi	to a fire s (SSIs) not pro	e in). T ovide	the Co he resu e suffici	ntrol ult of ient s	Bay this taffir	that ng to
the do	Operat	ions m were	inimum not cor	ı shift sta	affing e for the	evalua e Oper	tion atio	was ns m	inad inimi	he followi equate in um shift si ram.	that all	арр	propriate	e sou		th
pe	forming	an Op	eration	is minim	um sh	ift staf	fing	eval	uatio	and impler n. The pr ired licens	ocess s	shou	uld inclu	ide a		ζ

NRC FORM 366 (10-2010)

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

COI	NTINUATION	SHEET			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000259	2013	005	00	2 of 6

NARRATIVE

I. Plant Operating Conditions Before the Event

At the time of discovery, Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) Units 1, 2, and 3 were operating in Mode 1 at 100 percent power.

II. Description of Events

A. Event:

On September 6, 2013, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) completed an evaluation of BFN minimum Operations shift staffing for response to a fire in the Control Bay that ultimately leads to entry into Appendix R Safe Shutdown Instructions (SSIs). This evaluation was performed in response to a Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) question. The result of this evaluation revealed that the minimum Operations shift staffing does not provide sufficient staffing to support both SSI required staffing levels and Emergency Response Organization staffing levels. On October 3, 2013, this condition was determined to be reportable.

B. Status of Structures, Components, or Systems that were inoperable at the start of the event and contributed to the event:

There were no inoperable structures, components, or systems that were inoperable at the start of the event and contributed to this condition.

C. Dates and approximate times of occurrences:

September 6, 2013	An assessment of Operations shift staffing was completed. The assessment concluded for a SSI event Operations minimum shift staffing as prescribed in procedure OPDP-1, Conduct of Operations, is not capable of providing required staffing levels.
October 3, 2013, at 2010 hours Central Daylight Time	BFN reported condition to the NRC.

D. Manufacture and model number (or other identification) of each component that failed during the event:

There were no component failures related to this condition.

E Other systems or secondary functions affected:

There were no systems or secondary functions affected by this condition.

F. Method of discovery of each component or system failure or procedural error:

The condition was discovered during an evaluation of the Operations shift staffing for response to a fire in the Control Bay that ultimately leads to entry into the Appendix R SSIs.

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CO	NTINUATION	I SHEET			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000259	2013	005	00	3 of 6

NARRATIVE

G. The failure mode, mechanism, and effect of each component, if known:

There were no component failures related to this condition.

H. Operator actions:

There were no operator actions related to this condition.

I. Automatically and manually initiated safety system responses:

There were no automatically or manually initiated safety system responses for this condition.

III. Cause of the event

A. The cause of each component or system failure or personnel error, if known:

There were no causes related to a component/system failure or personnel error.

B. The cause(s) and circumstances for each human performance related root cause:

Direct Cause

On June 25, 2010, an Operations shift staffing evaluation was conducted to support maintaining the Operations staffing levels in procedure OPDP-1. The results of this evaluation were inconsistent with those described in NRC Safety Evaluation (SE) dated April 25, 2007. The Operations shift staffing evaluation incorrectly concluded that a staffing level of three Unit Supervisors (USs), with one of these SROs holding a dual Shift Technical Assistant (STA) role, was adequate.

Root Causes

- 1. The rigor involved with the Operations minimum shift staffing evaluation was inadequate in that all appropriate source documents were not considered for the Operations minimum shift staffing evaluation.
- 2. Ineffective implementation of the corrective action program.

IV. Analysis of the event:

The TVA is submitting this report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as any event or condition that resulted in the nuclear power plant being in an unanalyzed condition that significantly degraded plant safety.

BFN submitted a License Amendment Request (LAR) to the NRC to allow three unit operations on November 15, 2006. This LAR changed the minimum staffing to have an additional US for a total of four USs and a STA position. Procedure OPDP-1 was changed to meet this requirement on October 4, 2006, but still allowed one of the four USs to hold a dual STA role. However, US requirements in OPDP-1 were inappropriately changed back to three USs on January 10, 2007.

On February 17, 2010, it was identified that BFN did not meet the requirement for four USs and a STA position as described in the NRC's SE dated April 25, 2007. As a result,

0-2010)		E EVENT R		/I EP\						
		NTINUATION		• •						
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER		PAGE (3)				
Browns F	erry Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000259	YEAR 2013	SEQUENTIA NUMBER	L REVISION NUMBER 00	4 of 6				
NARRA										
	BFN sent a letter to the NRC on Ja and accurate reporting of informat submitted staffing requirement wa staffing evaluation, and that three an Appendix R fire. Additionally, i dual role of a STA. A Commitmer change the staffing requirement. evaluation as a basis for the chang License Condition Impact Evaluation	ion issue. Thi s not needed, USs would be t was conclud t Evaluation v The Commitm ge. This chan	is letter s based o able to ed that o vas com ent Eval	stated that t on an Opera implement one of the L pleted on A luation relie	the originally ations minim safe shutdo JSs could ho JSs could ho JSs 26, 2 d on the sta	y num own during old the 010, to affing				
	The Operations shift staffing evaluation did assumptions. The evaluation did Protection Report, the licensing re Radiological Emergency Plan.	lation, dated J not address al	II staffing	, requireme	ents such as					
	The root cause analysis for this co performance of the Operations mi that there was a knowledge gap re documents.	nimum staffing	g evalua	tion. Additi	onally, it co	ncluded				
	BFN determined this condition wa TVA had incorrectly informed the required was three USs, when in f the SE prior to BFN, Unit 1, restar 10 CFR 50.9(b) violation on Nove	NRC that the act, four USs t. The NRC w	Operatio are requ /as notifi	ns minimur iired as orig	n shift staffi jinally subm	ng				
V.	Assessment of safety consequences:									
	The causes of this event resulted in a condition were the Operations minimum shift staffing could have been insufficient to support SSI implementation. An assessment revealed that during an Appendix R SSI response minimal shift staffing was inadequate to meet regulatory requirements associated with implementation of fire safe shutdown instructions. Analysis of the event is ongoing, including tabletop walkthroughs of the SSIs and performance of SSI simulator scenarios. This analysis will determine the safety consequences of the inadequate minimum shift staffing. Upon completion of the analysis, TVA will submit a supplement to this LER with the results of the safety consequences analysis.									
	A. Availability of systems or components that could have performed the same function as the components and systems that failed during the event:									
	This condition is related to Op	erations minin	num shif	t staffing; tł ilure.	nerefore, thi	S				

0-2010)		LICENSE		EPORT	(LER)					
<u>.</u>				SHEET			DACE (2)			
		FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	YEAR	LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)			
Browns Fe	erry	Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000259	2013		MBER 00	5 of 6			
NARRAT	ÎVE				·· ··	l				
	В.	For events that occurred whe systems or components need shutdown conditions, remove material, or mitigate the cons	led to shutd e residual he	own the at, con	e reactor and ma trol the release	aintain sa				
		The BFN, Units 1, 2, and 3, we the NRC.	re not shut do	wn whe	en this condition	was report	ed to			
	C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system inoperable, an estimate of the elapsed time from discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service:									
		This condition is related to Ope condition is not related to a systematic sys				ore, this				
VI.	Co	rrective Actions								
	Corrective Actions are being managed by TVA's corrective action program under Problem Evaluation Report (PER) 790109.									
	<u>int</u>	erim Corrective Actions								
	Ор	erations personnel issued a Sta	nding Order 1	o includ	e the following a	ctions:				
	1.	 Verify that shift staffing includes four USs and an additional person as a STA. Since all STAs are licensed, this will effectively require five SROs, one of whom is STA gualified. 								
	2.	The additional SRO will serve a	is the Incider	t Comm	ander (IC).					
	3.	In the case of an unexpected va procedure OPDP-1, Attachmen position, and an IC qualified RC	t 1, Notificati	on of Ab	sences, will be fo		fill the			
	<u>Co</u>	rrective Actions								
		vise procedure OPDP-1 to ensu rels are consistent with the licens					ffing			
		prrective Actions to Prevent Recu curring in the Future	irrence or to	Reduce	Probability of Sir	<u>nilar Even</u> t	t <u>s</u>			
	sta	velop and implement a formal part offing evaluation. The process shi ich considers all required licensi	nould include	a task a						
VII.	Ad	Iditional Information:								
	A.	Previous Similar Events at th	e same plan	t:						
		A search of BFN Licensee Ever years did not identify any previo				3 for the la	st five			

	NSEE EVENT R CONTINUATION		· ·		
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000259	2013	005	00	6 of 6
NARRATIVE		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

PERs identified for this condition. The most applicable PER was PER 217578. The corrective action to correct inadequate staffing for this PER was to make the Operations minimum shift staffing at BFN match the SE requirements. BFN failed to modify the Operations minimum shift staffing to match the SE.

B. Additional Information:

There is no additional information.

C. Safety System Functional Failure Consideration:

In accordance with Nuclear Energy Institute 99-02, this condition is not considered a safety system functional failure.

D. Scram with Complications Consideration:

This condition did not result in an unplanned scram with complications.

VIII. Commitments

There are no commitments.