NRC FORM 464 Part I	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	FOIA/PA	RESPONSE NUMBER
(10-2012)	RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF	2011-**** / 2012-****	See Part I.C.
	INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST		
REQUESTER See Part I.C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE AUG 1 3 2013	
	PART I INFORMATION RELEASED)	
No additional a	agency records subject to the request have been located.		
Requested rec	cords are available through another public distribution program.	See Comments section.	
	Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Ro		y available for
	Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Ro		made available for
	formation on how you may obtain access to and the charges for o om, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738.		e NRC Public
APPENDICES	Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.		
	ect to the request that contain information originated by or of inter- t agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination a		y have been
Ve are contin	uing to process your request.		
See Comment	ts.		
	PART I.A FEES		
S	You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.	None. Minimum fee thresho	ld not met.
⊅ * See comments for details	You will receive a refund for the amount listed.	Fees waived.	
	PART I.B INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELI	FROM DISCLOSURE	
categories of (2006 & Supp	cords subject to the request have been located. For your informa law enforcement and national security records from the requirem 0. IV (2010), This response is limited to those records that are sul notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be ta exist.	ents of the FOIA. See 5 U.S oject to the requirements of t	.C. § 552(c) he FOIA. This
	nation in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure asons stated in Part II.	pursuant to the exemptions	described in
	ation may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter th		
	PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continues of Group CG records relating to your 2011 or 2012 FOIA/PA relation of FOIA/PA request and corresponding response number) at	equest (Please see attached (
	eading-rm/foia/japan-foia-info/2011 (for 2011-**** FOIA/PA F eading-rm/foia/japan-foia-info/2012 (for 2012-**** FOIA/PA R		
As the NRC makes re	ecords publicly available, you will be notified in writing.		
	NFORMATION AGT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER	~	
Patricia K Hirsch	Patin Atria	8/13/12	
NRC FORM 464 Part 1 (10	-2012)		• • • •

۰. .

NRC FORM 464 Part I	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	FOIA/PA			RESPONSE NUMBER
(10-2012)	RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF	2011-*	*** / 20	012-****	See Part I.C.
	INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST (Continued)	RESP(TYI		FINAL	PARTIAL
REQUESTER See Part I.C		DATE	aug	1 3 2013	
PART I.C COMMENTS					
The released portions	of CG records relating to the following FOIA/PA request are be	ing publ	licly av	ailable;	
2011 FOIA/PA Reque FOIA/PA-2011-0140-	est(s): Bill Dedman, Response #118				
FOIA/PA-2011-0147-	Jim Riccio, Response #123				
FOIA/PA-2011-0148-	Takeshi Yamashina, Response #121				
FOIA/PA-2011-0166-	Brad Heath, Response #123				
FOIA/PA-2011-0184-	Takao Ikeuchi, Response #123				
FOIA/PA-2011-0189-	Jonathan McLaughlin/ Geoffrey H. Fettus, Response #123				
FOIA/PA-2011-0191-	Roberta Rampton, Response #126				
FOIA/PA-2011-0195-	Hannah Marie Northey, Response #123				
FOIA/PA-2011-0215-	Rebecca Smith, Response #123				
FOIA/PA-2011-0267-	Tetsuro Yamada, Response #123				
2012 FOIA/PA Reque FOIA/PA-2012-0069-	est(s): Deborah Solomon, Response #81				
FOIA/PA-2012-0172-	Takanori Eto, Response #39				
- -					

and the second second

• :

NRC FORM 464 Part 1 (10-2012)

••`

PART II.A ~ APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS Provide Privide Provide Pro	NRC FORM 464 Part II I (1-2012)	J.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	FOIA/PA	DATE
Imperiation Response subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendices are being withheld in their entirety or in p Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12958. Exemption 2: The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of NRC. Exemption 2: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated. Sections 14:145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 2:16:16). Section 14:0 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167) 41 U.S.C. Section 4702(b), prohibits the disclosure of Contractor proposals in the possesion and control of a executive agency to any person under section 52 of Tite 5. U.S.C. (the FOIA), sceepit when incorporated into the contract between the the submitter of the proposal. Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information accurate theween the the submitter of the proposal. The information is considered to be confidential business (propriatary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (propriatary) information. The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental information to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental information would feat by the probabilist the disclosure voand frame actional frame actonare or assonably gegrapa			2011-0118/0119/0120	NIG 1 3 2013
ICG Exemption Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 1235. Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 1235. Exemption 2: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated. Sections 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated. Sections 14: 1-45 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Netsticeta Data or Formeriy Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2167) 14 U.S.C., Section 4702(b), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 52 of Tite 5. U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the thre submitter of the proposal. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is oradisered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary). Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 4: The withheld information on sist of intergency or intragency cords that are not available through discovery duri Applicable process. Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of intergency or intragency cords that are not available through discover	APPENDICES			
Exemption 2: The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of NRC. Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated. Sections 141-146 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 2161-2165). Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Sequends Information (42 U.S.C. 2167). 41 U.S.C., Section 4702(b), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FONA), except when incorporated into the contract between the the submitter of the proposal. Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason The information is considered to be confidential business (propietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (propietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential pustates to concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency records that are not available through discovery duri applicable privilege. (Documents prepared by an atomey in contemplation of lidgation) Atomey work product privilege. (Documents prepared by an atomey in contemplation of lidgation) Atomey-clent privilege. (Confidential communications between an atomey and his/her clent) Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcem	Records suc	ject to the request that are described in the enci lo.(s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated bel	osed Appendices are being wi ow (5 U.S.C. 552a and/or 5 U	thheid in their entirety or in part .S.C. 552(b)).
Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerty Restricted Data (42 2161-2165). Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167) 41 U.S.C., Section 4702(b), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the the submitter of the proposal. Seemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of Interagency records that are not available through discovery duri Applicathe provess. Under set withheld intermation exclusion would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideases are instricted interested. In Context are instriction would permit an indirect inquiry int predecisional process of the agency. Atomey work-product privilege. (Condiential communications between an attorney and hisher client) Atomey vork-product privilege. (Condiential communications between an attorney and bisher client) Atomey vork-product privilege. (Condiential communications between an attorney and bisher client) Atomey vork-product privilege. (Condiential communications between an attorney and bisher client) Atomey vork-product privilege. (Condiential communications between an attorney and bisher client) Atomey vork-product privilege. (Condiential communications between an attorney and bisher client) Conditina dimption on the sexempted from public disclosure bocause is disc	Exemption 1: The withh	eld information is properly classified pursuant to	Executive Order 12958.	
Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 2161-2165). Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167) 41 U.S.C. Section 4702(b), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposalis in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the the submitter of the proposal. Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is a submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery duri Applicable privileges. Undersciental information would lend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas esses disclosure of predecisional information would lend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas esses are not easonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry in predecisional There also are no cassonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry in predecisional There also are no cassonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry in predictional information consists of interagency. Attorney-clent privilege. (Conditional information because the release of the facts would result in a clearly unware invasion of personal p	Exemption 2: The withh	eld information relates solely to the internal pers	onnel rules and practices of N	RC.
2161-2163). Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167) 41 U.S.C., Section 4702(b), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the the submitter of the proposal. Image: Contract Contrect Contrect Contract Contract Contrect Contrect Contr	Exemption 3: The withh	eld information is specifically exempted from pub	lic disclosure by statute indica	ted.
Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167) 41 U.S.C., Section 4702(b), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 652 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the the submitter of the proposal. Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information for special nuclear material parameters to 10 CFR 2.380(d)(1). Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmential interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery dur Applicable privates: Disclosure of predictional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas easy diliberative process. Where necords are withheld in their entirely, the facts are intervined with the prediccional There also are no reasonaly aggregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry int predictional privaces. Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Exemption of personal privacy. Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Exemption of personal privacy. Confidential constitut of intergence of predictional privacy. Confidential information consists of interogence or proceeding (e.g., twould result in a clearly unwarra information consists of ane second undergated invasor. Confidential science of individuals and other information the disclosure outil result in a clearly unwarra indivation of personal privacy. Confidential to minution of personal privacy. Confidential information on privacy. Confidential control privac		of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the dis	closure of Restricted Data or F	ormerly Restricted Data (42 U.
agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the the submitter of the proposal.		Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosur	e of Unclassified Safeguards	nformation (42 U.S.C. 2167).
Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information consisted to be confidential pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(1). The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency records that are not available through discovery duri Applicable privileges: Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas esset deliberative process: Oknowner records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry int predecisional process of the agency. Atorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted for public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarra invasion of personal privacy. Exemption 7: The withheld information is exempted to interfere with an enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the re indicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement purposes and is being withheld information is and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrong doing or a viol requirement from the site action unwarrated invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of law enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct indicated. (A) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information withheld information to instere or take act	agency to any pers	on under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOI		
Image: Considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). Image: Control Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency records that are not available through discovery duri Applicable privates: Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency records that are not available through discovery duri Applicable privates: Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas esses Image: Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas esses Image: Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry intipredecisional process of the agency. Attorney work-product privilege. (Conditential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarra indicated. Image: Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the re information consists of necords compiled for law enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shie			or financial information that is t	peing withheld for the reason(s)
✓ The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). ✓ Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery duri Applicable privileges: ✓ Deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextincably intervined with the predecisional There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry int predecisional process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextincably intervined with the predecisional There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry int predecisional process. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) ✓ Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) ✓ Exemption 6: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the re indicated. (A) Disclosure could creasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct focus of enforcement from state action to sheld potential wrong doing or a vior requirements from investigators). (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention				ysical protection or material cor
□ Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest. □ Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery duri Applicable privileges: □ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas esset deliberative process: Other records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional Three also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry int predecisional process of the agency. □ Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) □ Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarra invasion of personal privacy. □ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reindicade. □ (A) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. □ Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. □ (D) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. □ Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. □ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to insk circumvention of the law. □ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonabl			,	R 2.390(d)(2).
Applicable privileges: Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas esset Deliberative process: Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry int predecisional process of the agency. Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation) Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarra invasion of personal privacy. Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the re indicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct ideantities of confidential sources. (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement investigations, or guidelines that creasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. OTHER (Specify) PART II.B - DENYING OFFICIALS Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear R				
Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essered deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirely, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry int predecisional process of the agency. Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation) Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarra invasion of personal privacy. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reindicated. (A) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement investigations, or guidelines that creasonably be expected to instructure and invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to identities of confidential sources. (E) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual			gency records that are not ava	ilable through discovery during
deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirely, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry int predecisional process of the agency. Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation) Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: the withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarra invasion of personal privacy. Exemption 6: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the re indicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct indicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct indicated. (D) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement investigations to shield potential wrong doing or a viol requirements from investigators). (C) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that c reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that c reasonably be expected to risk circumvention or disclosure expected to risk circumvention or the law. (F) Disclosure could reaso			d tend to inhibit the open and :	frank exchange of ideas essenti
Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarra invasion of personal privacy. Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the re indicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct focus of enforcement and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrong doing or a viol requirements from investigators). (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to th interest. The person responsible for the denial are tho	deliberative proces There also are no	 Where records are withheld in their entirety, reasonably segregable factual portions because 	the facts are inextricably intert	wined with the predecisional inf
Image: Construction of the second of the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG Image: Construct of the second of the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG	Attorney work-prov	luct privilege. (Documents prepared by an attor	ney in contemplation of litigation	on)
✓ Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarra invasion of personal privacy. Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the re indicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct focus of enforcement from investigators). (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that content dentities of confidential sources. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that content easonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. OTHER (Specify) Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been difficate that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG ITTLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Rela				,
Invasion of personal privacy. Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reindicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direct focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrong doing or a viol requirements from investigators). (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to identities of confidential sources. (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that creasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. OTHER (Specify) PART II.B DENYING OFFICIALS Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been dithat the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG InterLED Partricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Append				ld result in a clearly unwarrante
Image: indicated. Image: indicated.	invasion	of personal privacy.		
focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrong doing or a viol requirements from investigators). (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that or reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. OTHER (Specify) PART II.B DENYING OFFICIALS Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been d that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to th interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Off enables of the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELL EDO Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG	indicated			
(D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected identities of confidential sources. (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that or reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. OTHER (Specify) Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been d that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Of denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELU EDO Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG Image: Commission comm	focus of enforce	ment efforts, and thus could possibly allow recip m investigators).	ients to take action to shield p	vould reveal the scope, direction otential wrong doing or a violation
identities of confidential sources. (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that or reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. OTHER (Specify) Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been dthat the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELL Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG Image: Commission com		·		could reasonably be expected t
reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. OTHER (Specify) Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been do that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELL Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG Image: Commission comm	identities of cont	idential sources.		- ,
OTHER (Specify) PART II.B DENYING OFFICIALS Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been de that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Of denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELL EDO Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG I	reasonably be e	xpected to risk circumvention of the law.		
PART II.B DENYING OFFICIALS Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been do that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Of denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELL EDO Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colsp		reasonably be expected to endanger the life or	physical safety of an individua	ıt.
Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been d that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to th interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Of denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG I	OTHER (Specify)			
Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been d that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to th interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Of denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG I				
Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been d that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to th interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Of denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELL EDO EDO Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG Image: Contrary to the securities of the denial are those of the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG		PART II.B DENYIN	G OFFICIALS	
Interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Of denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELL EDO EDO Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG Image: Comparison of the security of the secure security of the security of the security of	Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g),			egulations, it has been dete
DENYING OFFICIAL ITTLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED Patricia K Hirsch FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIAs Appendix CG	interest. The person response	sible for the denial are those officials identi	fied below as denving offi	cials and the FOIA/PA Office
	DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORD	S DENIED APPELLATE
	Patricia K Hirsch	FOIA/PA Officer for Japan-Related FOIA	s Appendix CG	
	·····			

: .

NRC FORM 464 Part II (1-2012)