

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 16, 2013

Mr. Joseph W. Shea Vice President, Nuclear Licensing Tennessee Valley Authority 3R Lookout Place LP 3D-C 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

SUBJECT: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3 - CORRECTION TO

ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION RE: AMENDMENT

(TAC NOS. MF0877, MF0878, AND MF0879)

Dear Mr. Shea:

By letter dated February 28, 2013, Tennessee Valley Authority submitted a license amendment request for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) Units 1, 2, and 3. The proposed license amendment would add three AREVA NP analysis methodologies to the list of approved methods to be used in determining core operating limits in the core operating limits report in Technical Specification 5.6.5. This proposed change would support a planned transition to AREVA ATRIUM-10XM (XM) fuel design. In addition, the amendment would revise TS 2.1.1.2 to change the safety limit minimum critical power ratio value for BFN Unit 2.

By letter dated April 8, 2013, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) provided you the results of the NRC staff's acceptance review of this request. Subsequently, Mr. Tom Hess of your staff informed the NRC staff that the letter may contain some editorial errors. Consequently, the NRC staff noted that page 2 of the letter dated April 8, 2013 contained editorial errors that required correction. The NRC staff reviewed the content of that letter and found that the editorial errors do not impact the results of the NRC staff acceptance review.

Page 2 of the April 8, 2013, letter has been revised. The revised text is identified and marked by a maginal line indicating the area of change. The revised April 8, 2013 letter, in its entirety, is enclosed for your convenience.

J. Shea - 2 -

The NRC staff regrets any inconvenience caused by this error. Please contact me at (301) 415-1447 or e-mail farideh.saba@nrc.gov, if you have any questions on this issue.

Sincerely,

Farideh E. Saba, Senior Project Manager

Pridet E. Sis.

Plant Licensing Branch II-2

Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: Distribution via Listserv

### **ENCLOSURE**

Revised Acceptance Review Letter dated April 8, 2013



# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 8, 2013

Mr. Joseph W. Shea Vice President, Nuclear Licensing Tennessee Valley Authority 3R Lookout Place LP 3D-C 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

SUBJECT: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 - ACCEPTANCE OF

REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION RE: AMENDMENT (TAC NOS. MF0877,

MF0878, AND MF0879)

Dear Mr. Shea:

By letter dated February 28, 2013, Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), submitted a license amendment request for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) Units 1, 2, and 3. The proposed license amendment would add 3 AREVA NP analysis methodologies to the list of approved methods to be used in determining core operating limits in the core operating limits report in Technical Specification (TS) 5.6.5. This proposed change would support a planned transition to AREVA ATRIUM-10XM (XM) fuel design. In addition, the amendment would revise TS 2.1.1.2 to change the safety limit minimum critical power ratio value for BFN Unit 2. TVA intends to transition to XM fuel design starting with Unit 2's Cycle 19 (in spring 2015), Unit 3 in spring 2016, and followed by Unit 1 in fall 2016.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the TSs) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate

acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1447.

Sincerely,

Farideh E. Saba, Senior Project Manager

Plant Licensing Branch II-2

Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

fridet E. Selne

Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296

cc: Distribution via Listserv

- 2 -J. Shea

acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1447.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Farideh E. Saba, Senior Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch II-2 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296

cc: Distribution via Listsery

#### **DISTRIBUTION:**

**PUBLIC** RidsNrrDorl RidsNrrDorlLpill-2 RidsRgn2MailCenter RidsNrrDssStsb

C. Schulten, NRR

A. Proffitt, NRR

LPLII-2 Reading File RidsNrrDorlDpr

RidsOgcMailCenter RidsNrrDssSrxb RidsNrrDraAadb

M. Razzaque, NRR

RidsAcrsAcnw MailCTR

RidsNrrLABClayton RidsNrrPM Browns Ferry RidsNrrDssSnpb

M. Panicker, NRR J. Parilo, NRR

ADAMS Accession No.: ML13094A386

\* by memorandum

OFFICE	LPLII-2/PM	LPLII-2/LA	DSS/SNPB/BC*	DORL/LPLII-2/BC	LPLII-2/PM
NAME	FSaba	BClayton	SWhaley	JQuichocho	FSaba
DATE	04/05/13	04/05/13	04/03/13	04/08/13	04/08/13

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

- 2 -

The NRC staff regrets any inconvenience caused by this error. Please contact me at (301) 415-1447 or e-mail farideh.saba@nrc.gov, if you have any questions on this issue.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Farideh E. Saba, Senior Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch II-2 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: Distribution via Listserv

### **DISTRIBUTION:**

RidsRgn2MailCenter

PUBLIC LPLII-2 Reading File RidsNrrDorl RidsNrrDorlDpr RidsNrrDorlLplII-2 RidsOgcMailCenter

RidsOgcMailCenter RidsNrrDssSrxb RidsNrrDraAadb M. Razzaque, NRR RidsAcrsAcnw\_MailCTR RidsNrrLABClayton RidsNrrPM Browns Ferry RidsNrrDssSnpb M. Panicker, NRR J. Parilo, NRR

A. Proffitt, NRR

RidsNrrDssStsb

C. Schulten, NRR

ADAMS Accession No.: ML13099A228

OFFICE	LPLII-2/PM	LPLII-2/LA	DORL/LPLII-2/BC	LPLII-2/PM
NAME	FSaba	BClayton	JQuichocho	FSaba
DATE	04/15/13	04/15/13	04/16/13	04/16/13

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY