

CASE CHRONOLOGY

CASE NUMBER : 4-1999-016		OPENED : 04/06/1999	AGENT : (b)(7)(C)
DATE	ITEM	ACTIVITY	
04/06/1999	1	Case opened. ISR written by FOD.	
04/22/1999	1	<p>Title of case and company is Professional Services Industries, INC. TC with (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C) States he is available for interview during week of 3-7 May 99.</p> <p>TC with (b)(7)(C) Eaton Metals, Pocatello, ID. States he is available for interview during week of 3-7 May 99. Both employees involved in exposure still work at Eaton. (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C) stated he would advise them to call me for an appointment.</p> <p>TC with (b)(7)(C) PSI, Salt Lake City, UT. Available for interview during week of 3-7 May 99. Advises that (b)(7)(C) is (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C) Forwarding address unknown as of this date. (b)(7)(C) is still employed and will be available for interview during same time period.</p>	
04/23/1999	1	TC with (b)(7)(C) Agreed to be interviewed on 4 May 99 along with (b)(7)(C)	
05/03/1999	1	<p>Interviewed (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(C) both (b)(7)(C) with Eaton. Both stated they crossed the boundary assuming no radiography was being conducted. Did not observe any PSI employees in and around the boundary area. Estimated length of time in boundary area was approximately 30 seconds. (b)(7)(C) stated he approached the PSI van to find out if it was safe to cross and observed one of the PSI employees asleep in the truck. As there were no employees in the area and one of them was asleep he assumed it was safe. Shortly thereafter (b)(7)(C) was yelled at by the other PSI employee and told to get out of the area because it was still hot. They immediately exited the area. (b)(7)(C) stated he became quite ill later that evening but could not definitively attribute his illness to the potential radiation exposure.</p>	
05/04/1999	1	<p>Interviewed (b)(7)(C) who reiterated previously provided information. Interviewed (b)(7)(C) PSI who was notified of the incident the next day. Interviewed (b)(7)(C) PSI who was the third individual involved in the barricade breach and potential radiation exposure. Claims there were no PSI employees at the barricade when they crossed and as such assumed there was no radiography in progress. (b)(7)(C) was also yelled at by a PSI employee as he was crossing and immediately left the area. He experienced no sickness.</p>	

05/05/1999 1 Interview (b)(7)(C) in SLC, UT. Seemed confused on the two man rule and assistant radiographers conducting rad ops without supervision. Interviewed (b)(7)(C) involved in the September 15, 1998 incident. Admitted that (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C) PSI had (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C) and that he

D/19

CASE CHRONOLOGY

CASE NUMBER : 4-1999-016		OPENED : 04/06/1999	AGENT : (b)(7)(C)
DATE	ITEM	ACTIVITY	
05/05/1999		had actually cranked the source in by himself while (b)(7)(C) was in the van processing film. Adamantly maintained that no one crossed the barricade while the source was exposed. He stated he cranked it in and secured it after the last shot. 6 page statement taken. Inquiries determined (b)(7)(C) is no longer employed with PSI and is now living in (b)(7)(C). Possible address and tel no. provided.	
05/07/1999	1	<p>Telphonic interview of (b)(7)(C). He declined to be interviewed in person stating he was no longer in the radiography field and had provided (b)(7)(C) with all the information about the 9/15/99 incident. Admitted directing (b)(7)(C) to surveil the area while he processed film. Acknowledged receiving training regarding the two man surveillance rule and the prohibition of an (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C). Cited the late hour and desire to finish the job as early as possible as rationale for not following regulations. Claims he wasn't thinking about the regulations at the time. refused to give his physical location and advised he might be moving in the near future. Last known address: (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C). Based on lack of any other information, it appears that this was a willful violation brought on by a careless disregard of regulations. FOD advised of the results of the interview and the limited chance of attaining any further admissions or information by attempting to get (b)(7)(C) on record. FOD stated he would liaison with SANBORN, Enforcement, to obtain their position. Report of interviews with (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(C) submitted.</p>	
05/24/1999	1	Following A/L and TDY to Baton Rouge, reporting agent returns. Draft report of interview of (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(C) submitted. FOD advises he will go over ROI of (b)(7)(C) with SANBORN. FOD directs report be written.	
05/28/1999	1	(b)(7)(C) DOJ, apprised of investigation for prosecutive merit. Drafted letter to Sanborn requesting his position on utilization of telephonic interview and results of interview of (b)(7)(C) for potential enforcement action.	
06/01/1999	1	Letter to SANBORN sent.	
06/02/1999	1	Telephonic declination from (b)(7)(C) received	

6-22-99

FOD closed - Sub.