

Office of the Secretary, Rulemakings and Adjudications
 Staff, US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, DC, 20555-0001
 hearing.docket@nrc.gov

September 13, 2012

Pam Krinsky
 419 N Riverside Rd
 Highland, NY 12528

845-834-2526 pkrimsky58@gmail.com

Dear Sir or Madame:

There is no good reason the forty year old Indian Point Nuclear Plant, should operate another twenty years. As a concerned citizen within a fifty mile radius from Indian Point, I believe my government must protect the health and well-being of citizens.

Indian Point is already worn out and will not safely operate another twenty years. There is certainly deterioration in pipelines and other hard to see places. Our increasingly erratic climate with frequent, severe hurricanes, tornadoes, and a rising water level in the Hudson, is good reason to close the plant, especially since it lies at the intersection of two earthquake faults. New York State recently experienced an earthquake, a hurricane and a tornado in just one week. Our large population is impossible to evacuate...Fukushimo investigations called for safety plans to evacuate a radius of fifty miles.

Nuclear power creates health hazards. In the late 1980's and 1990's, studies showed alower infant mortality rates when nuclear power plants were closed, particularly within a fifty mile radius. Nuclear power is dirty,(with no way to properly dispose of spent, radioactive tanks, even if there are no leaks or explosions). It makes more sense to replace nuclear powers with new green energy solutions. Replacing nuclear fuel with green energy will create needed diverse, well-paying jobs.

DOCKETED
 USNRC

September 17, 2012 (8:30 a.m.)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
 RULEMAKINGS AND
 ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Docket, Hearing

From: Pam Krinsky [pkrinsky58@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 15, 2012 9:21 AM
To: Docket, Hearing
Subject: Office of the Secretary
Attachments: Office of the Secretary.pdf

To Whom it May Concern:

Please consider this letter in opposition to giving an additional 20 year operating license to Indian Point Nuclear Power Station.

Thank you,
Pam Krinsky

Docket, Hearing

From: Pam Krimsky [pkrimsky58@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 15, 2012 1:13 PM
To: Docket, Hearing
Subject: Re: Office of the Secretary

**Office of the Secretary,
Nuclear Regulatory Commission**

**Thank you for considering placing my Letter on the docket not to extend the license for Indian Point.
Pam Krimsky**

On Sat, Sep 15, 2012 at 9:21 AM, Docket, Hearing <Hearing.Docket@nrc.gov> wrote:

THIS IS AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT OF YOUR SUBMISSION TO THE ADJUDICATORY DOCKET OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, MAINTAINED BY THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY. YOUR SUBMISSION WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR PLACEMENT ON THE APPROPRIATE ADJUDICATION DOCKET.

RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

--

Pam Krimsky