

Docket, Hearing

From: yes [yes@sover.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 11:24 AM
To: Docket, Hearing
Subject: Re: Public Input On Indian Point Relicensing

Dear Sirs:

There are so many reasons why Indian Point should not be relicensed. Here are just several.

- 1) The obvious is the proximity to NYC. Combined with the design flaws of the GE Mark I and II designs, the potentially catastrophic impact on the United State's financial center would be so far beyond the disaster at Fukushima.
- 2) By your own estimates with new seismic data, Indian Point is the most likely to suffer core damage in the event of an earthquake.
- 3) The chaos and panic caused by a massive evacuation would be something this country has never seen.
- 4) And if a natural event is a calculated risk your agency is willing to take then consider Entergy's track record. The likelihood of human error, mismanagement, improper training, a wrong response to an emergency, their concealing risks or their repeated attempts at cost management under Entergy's ownership cannot be underestimated.
- 5) The current system of maintaining spent fuel in overcrowded pools dramatically increases the likelihood of disaster similar to Fukushima. The lack of a national repository cannot be stressed enough.

I grew up near Indian Point and have numerous relatives in the area. Please carefully consider the combination of risks and proximity to the huge population of NYC when considering whether to relicense. If in doubt, don't relicense.

Michael Granger
490 Vt. Rt. 30
Newfane, VT

DOCKETED
USNRC

September 13, 2012 (11:24 a.m.)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF