42

Murphy, Martin

From: Thomas, George
Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2012 9:07 PM
To: Murphy, Martin
Subject: RE: Seabrook ASR exit

Marty,

The meeting that happened Friday morning by conference call was just the Region's Exit Meeting for the inspection report for the standalone inspections on the ASR issue conducted during the week of Sep 26, and then in Nov and Dec, 2011. I saw the email about this exit meeting on Friday morning and was on the call. This is the report that the Region had previously sent a draft and is still in the process of revising, but decided to conduct the exit. The Region is still revising it and we will see the second draft this week. The Region wants to issue this inspection report to the licensee around Feb 6 -10 and wants the TIA issue to be timed the same time as the issue of this inspection report, and possibly attach the TIA response to the inspection report..

The meeting we had talked about Thursday was a Category 1 public meeting, that was previously tentatively scheduled for Jan 19 at NRC HQ and then called off by the Region. At this meeting, the licensee was going to come and explain their latest course of action and positions/white paper they were developing on some of the ASR issues (such as shear strength, tensile strength of the ASR-affected concrete) where they have been changing their plans since the inspection.

I sent the half-page status you had requested in a separate email a little while ago.
Thanks.
George

From: Murphy, Martin
Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2012 7:38 PM
To: Thomas, George
Subject: FW: Seabrook ASR exit

Hi George,

I am a bit confused. I thought this was not happening yet based upon what we discussed on Thursday.

From: Conte, Richard
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2012 11:33 AM
To: Lund, Louise; Galloway, Melanie; Hiland, Patrick; Cheok, Michael
Cc: Sheikh, Abdul; Thomas, George; Auluck, Rajender; Murphy, Martin; Miller, Chris; Wilson, Peter; Burritt, Arthur; Modes, Michael; Chaudhary, Suresh
Subject: FW: Seabrook ASR exit

FYI (b)(5) and thanks for DORL, DE and DLR staff support in an impromptu manner. (b)(5)

(b)(5) We need to stay focused on the timing of the report and TIA to the same end date. If we can't meet Jan 31 then when Feb 6-10????

(b)(5) Remember in June there were three separate briefings with the Commissioners. (b)(5)

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 5
FOIA- 2012-0119

9/20

From: Miller, Chris
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2012 11:18 AM
To: Lew, David; Dean, Bill
Cc: Wilson, Peter; Conte, Richard
Subject: Seabrook ASR exit

The exit meeting (b)(5) Rich did a great job covering the characterization of the findings and the additional questions that would be asked of them related to the TIA, with support from NRR (DE and DLR were on the line).

(b)(5)

There is a lot of work to be done to ensure the assumptions for their operability determinations remain valid, including work to ensure that water intrusion does not continue to adversely affect the problem. Paul indicated understanding of the issues and their importance, and he understood the request that would be made for additional information in the report.

Christopher Miller
USNRC Region I
Director
Division of Reactor Safety
610-337-5128