

WCO outreachCEM Resource

From: Sophia Tiers [sophia.tiers@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2012 4:20 PM
To: WCO outreach Resource
Subject: Storage of nuclear energy

To Whom It May Concern:

. Current on-site storage in indoor pools is not safe, secure, or protective of human health and the environment. [Fukushima Daiichi has shown](#) that pools can boil or drain dry, sparking a catastrophic radioactive fire, releasing up to 100% of the hazardous Cesium-137 in decades worth of the piled up irradiated nuclear fuel densely crammed into pools. [Several storage pools in the U.S. have simply sprung leaks over the decades](#), unleashing radioactively contaminated water into soil, groundwater, and surface water. [As documented in a report by Alvarez et al.](#), NRC commissioned studies themselves have admitted that a pool fire could cause around 25,000 latent cancer fatalities downwind (2001), or even 54,000 to 143,000 latent cancer fatalities downwind, 2,000 to 7,000 square kilometers [770 to 2,700 sq. miles] of agricultural land condemned, and economic costs due to evacuation of \$117 to 566 billion [\$158 to 765 billion in 2010 dollars, when adjusted for inflation] (1997).

2. Current on-site storage in outdoor dry casks is not safe, secure, or protective of human health or the environment. [As shown by a 1998 test performed at the U.S. Army's Aberdeen Proving Ground in Maryland](#), dry casks were not designed to withstand terrorist attacks. A TOW anti-tank missile blew a hole in the side of a cask, creating the pathway for a disastrous radioactivity release. In addition, [the structural integrity of dry casks is very questionable due to non-existent quality assurance and control](#), as revealed by industry and even NRC whistleblowers over the decades. In addition, [many incidents have already occurred with dry casks over the past 25 years](#), including the [near drops of heavy loads](#) during fuel transfer that risked draining pools of their cooling water. Over time, the thermal heat and radioactivity within dry casks, as well as the elements to which they are subjected outdoors, will degrade the concrete and/or steel of which they are made. They will begin to spring leaks, releasing radioactive particles and gases into the environment, unless they are replaced. But once nuclear power plants are decommissioned, there would be no safe location in which to carry out the transfer of irradiated fuel from old, degraded casks into new replacement ones. The replacement of old casks, and the building of new pools in which to carry out the transfers, will prove very expensive, but there is no other option.

This is extremely important for the health of our world and every creature on it. I don't understand taking chances with the lives of everyone. Please adopt the HOSS solution, which at this point, appears to be the safest.

Peace and Love,

Sarah Preston Tiers (a.k.a. Sophia Tiers)

Federal Register Notice: 99FR99992
Comment Number: 88

Mail Envelope Properties (CAC7YZAaVsfKGYKqyQRucTc5xSo62vGYjAdKLJJD+0+2VyCSCbA)

Subject: Storage of nuclear energy
Sent Date: 2/24/2012 4:19:31 PM
Received Date: 2/24/2012 4:18:45 PM
From: Sophia Tiers

Created By: sophia.tiers@gmail.com

Recipients:
"WCO outreach Resource" <WCO outreach.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: mail.gmail.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3398	2/24/2012 4:18:45 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: