February 28, 2012

Memo To: File

From: Michael Wentzel

Subject: Comments Submitted on the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact

Statement for the Seabrook Station License Renewal Application

The following comments were submitted by Donald Tilsbury regarding the draft of Supplement 46 to NUREG-1437, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants" regarding Seabrook Station.



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

| Meet | | Seabrook Statio | n License F | Renewal Application | |
|--|---|-------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| Date: | 09/15/2011 Title: Draft SEIS | | | | |
| | der to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting peedback form and return it to NRC. | participants. Ple | ease take a | few minutes to fill out | |
| 1. | How did you hear about this meeting? | TI Charles | | | |
| | NRC Web Page NRC Mailing List | News | Newspaper | | |
| | Radio/TV Other | ins their | 4934-53 | | |
| | | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> (Please | Somewhat explain below) | |
| 2. | Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting? FOR MY NO RE-LICELYSE VIEW) | 1 | | | |
| 3. | Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose? | | | *1 | |
| 4. | Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic? | | | Y | |
| 5. | Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient? | | | | |
| 6. | Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views? | | | X * 2 | |
| 7. | Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting? | | | +3 | |
| COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: | | Thank yo | Thank you for answering these questions. | | |
| - | * NOT SURE I THOUGHT IT WAS | to HEAR | PUBL | ic input | |
| | BEFORE MAKING A DECISION, BUT ON LEAVING AFTER | | | | |
| THE MEETING I PICKED UP A COPY OF "PRELIMINARY SITE- | | | | | |
| SPEC RESULTS STATING ENNOWHENTER IMPACTS OF LICENSE | | | | | |
| RENEWAL FOR SEABURDY STATION NOT ENOUGH to DENY OFFICE | | | | | |
| OF RENEWAL! LOOKS LIKE THEY HAD ALREADY HADENPITHER MINDS | | | | | |
| * 2 AFTER NOON SESSION. MEANT FOR HEARING ONLY. | | | | | |
| - | \$3 NOT CONVINCED REALLY HEARING (LIE SORREY, BUTTHATS HOW I FELT. | STENLING BY | of Noi | HEARING), | |
| <u>OPTI</u> | <u>ONAL</u> | Continue | Jonnine III. | 0 on the reverse. | |
| Name DUNALD E. TILBURY Organization RETURED SALES/ENDINEERING | | | | | |
| Telephone No. 603-976-6804 E-Mail TILBURY DOWALD COUCAST. NET Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you. | | | | | |
| OMB NO | 3150-0197 | | L CALL | Expires: 08/31/2012 | |
| not requi | otection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valued to respond to, the information collection. | | | | |
| Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC. | | | | | |

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

EARTHQUAKE POSSIBILITY MATOR CONCERNO OF OTHERS AT MEETING.

IT IS NOT THAND TO UNIBERSTAND THEIR CONCERNS. SHALL WESAY,

WE WHO LIVE CLOSE TO THE PLANT CONSIDER OURSELUES LUCKY

THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO EARTHRUNGE IN THE ZO OUT SO, KENNY

THE PLANTING BEEN OPERATING, WE NOW FEEL OFERATING ANY

LONDEN IS A CAMBIE, QUIT WHILE WE'TER AHEAD!!

EVACUATION IS AND THAT IS SEEN MY CONCERN, EVACUATION IS PRACTICALLY

IMPOSSIBLE. NICE KNOWS IT. NEXTERNA KNOWS IT, IT WASN'T POSSIBLE

20 YRS AGO AND THE POPULATION IS MODE DEOUSE NOW. YET YOU

CONSIDER RELICENSING, STOP IT HOW: GO ONTO SAFERY ALTERNATIVES!!

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

MANCHESTER NH 031 29 SEP 2011 PM 4T NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL

PERMIT NO. 12904

WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

MICHAEL WENTZEL
MAIL STOP 0-11F1
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001