

Ref: AFS-12-0040

February 9, 2012

ATTN: Document Control Desk Director, Spent Fuel Project Office Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555–0001

SUBJECT: TRUPACT-III Amendment Request, Docket No. 71-9305, SAR Revision 8

AREVA Federal Services LLC (AFS) hereby submits Revision 8 of the Safety Analysis Report for the TRUPACT–III packaging, Docket No. 71-9305. This revision to the application is to respond to comments provided by NRC staff in telephone conversations over the last week. The change consists of a revision to SAR drawing note 48, clarifying the meaning of the note in regards to the use of ultrasonic inspection of containment boundary welds. Included with this letter is one paper copy of the revised pages and SAR drawings and one CD containing the entire SAR, Revision 8, in PDF file format. The CD is contained within an envelope labeled, "TRUPACT–III Docket 71–9305 Electronic Copy of Documents".

AFS and the U. S. Department of Energy (DOE) appreciate the NRC's timely response to this matter. To support the waste transportation needs of the DOE, AFS requests that this revision be approved and the revised TRUPACT–III Certificate of Conformance be issued by February 17, 2012. The urgency is to support the DOE agreements with the State of South Carolina for shipping of the large box TRU waste at the Savannah River Site (SRS).

Should you have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact me at (253) 552–1321 or via E-mail (<u>phil.noss@areva.com</u>).

Very Truly Yours, AREVA Federal Services LLC

Phil Nars

Phil Noss Licensing Manager

cc: Huda Akhavannik, NRC (including six paper copies and one CD) Andy Kee, AFS Project Manager

NMSSOI

**AREVA Federal Services LLC** 



#### **Contents of Electronic Media**

This submission is composed of both paper copies and an electronic copy. The electronic copy is contained within an envelope labeled, "TRUPACT–III Docket 71–9305 Electronic Copy of Documents". The envelope contains one disc as follows:

Title	Media Type:	Contents
TRUPACT–III SAR	CD–R	One file of the complete text of the submittal: TRUPACT–III SAR, Complete, Rev. 8.pdf (19,197 kb) (578 pages)

#### Delete and Insert Instructions for Updating TRUPACT–III Safety Analysis Report Docket Number 71–9305

SAR Section	Delete Rev. 7	Insert Rev. 8
Cover and Spline	Cover Page and Spine	Cover Page and Spine
Table of Contents	Pages i to ix	Pages i to ix
1.3.1	Pages 1.3.1-1 – 1.3.1-2	Pages 1.3.1-1 – 1.3.1-2
2.3	Pages 2.3-1 – 2.3-2	Pages 2.3-1 – 2.3-2
General Arrangement Drawings	51199–SAR, Rev. 7	51199–SAR, Rev. 8
8.1	Pages 8.1-1 – 8.1-2	Pages 8.1-1 – 8.1-2





# TRUPACT-III

## Safety Analysis Report

Docket 71-9305

**Revision 8** February 2012

**AREVA Federal Services LLC** 





# TRUPACT-III

## Safety Analysis Report

Revision 8 February 2012

**AREVA Federal Services LLC** 

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	GEr	
	1.1	Introduction1.1-1
	1.2	Package Description1.2-1
		1.2.1 Packaging
		1.2.1.1 Body
		1.2.1.2 Closure Lid1.2-4
		1.2.1.3 Overpack Cover
		1.2.1.4 Gross Weight
		1.2.1.5 Neutron Moderation and Absorption
		1.2.1.6 Receptacles, Valves, Testing, and Sampling Ports
		1.2.1.7 Heat Dissipation1.2-7
		1.2.1.8 Lifting and Tie-down Devices1.2-7
		1.2.1.9 Pressure Relief System
		1.2.1.10 Shielding
		1.2.2 Contents
		1.2.3 Special Requirements for Plutonium1.2-8
		1.2.4 Operational Features
	1.3	Appendices
		1.3.1 Packaging General Arrangement Drawings
		1.3.2 Glossony of Terms and Acronyms 1.3.2.1
2.0	STR	CONSTRUCTURAL EVALUATION
2.0	STR 2.1	1.5.2       Glossary of Terms and Actohyms         RUCTURAL EVALUATION       2.1-1         Description of Structural Design       2.1-1
2.0	STR 2.1	1.3.2       Glossary of Terms and Actohyms       1.3.2-1         CUCTURAL EVALUATION       2.1-1         Description of Structural Design       2.1-1         2.1.1       Discussion       2.1-1
2.0	STR 2.1	<b>COUNTINE AND ACTORYTICS</b> 1.3.2-1 <b>COUNTAL EVALUATION</b> 2.1-1 <b>Description of Structural Design</b> 2.1-1         2.1.1       Discussion       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1
2.0	STR 2.1	<b>CONSTRUCTURAL EVALUATION</b> 2.1-1 <b>Description of Structural Design</b> 2.1-1         2.1.1       Discussion       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1         2.1.2.1       Analytic Design Criteria (Allowable Stresses)       2.1-2
2.0	STR 2.1	1.3.2       Glossary of Terms and Actonyms       1.3.2-1 <b>CUCTURAL EVALUATION</b> 2.1-1 <b>Description of Structural Design</b> 2.1-1         2.1.1       Discussion       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1         2.1.2.1       Analytic Design Criteria (Allowable Stresses)       2.1-2         2.1.2.2       Miscellaneous Structural Failure Modes       2.1-2
2.0	STR 2.1	1.3.2       Glossary of Terms and Actoryms       1.3.2-1         RUCTURAL EVALUATION       2.1-1         Description of Structural Design       2.1-1         2.1.1       Discussion       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1         2.1.2.1       Analytic Design Criteria (Allowable Stresses)       2.1-2         2.1.2.2       Miscellaneous Structural Failure Modes       2.1-2         2.1.3       Weights and Centers of Gravity       2.1-7
2.0	STR 2.1	<b>RUCTURAL EVALUATION</b>
2.0	STR 2.1 2.2	1.3.2       Glossary of Terms and Actoryms       1.3.2-1         RUCTURAL EVALUATION       2.1-1         Description of Structural Design       2.1-1         2.1.1       Discussion       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1         2.1.2.1       Analytic Design Criteria (Allowable Stresses)       2.1-2         2.1.2.2       Miscellaneous Structural Failure Modes       2.1-2         2.1.3       Weights and Centers of Gravity       2.1-7         2.1.4       Identification of Codes and Standards for Package Design       2.1-7         Materials       2.2-1
2.0	STR 2.1 2.2	1.3.2       Glossary of Terms and Actoryms       1.3.2-1         RUCTURAL EVALUATION       2.1-1         Description of Structural Design       2.1-1         2.1.1       Discussion       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1         2.1.2.1       Analytic Design Criteria (Allowable Stresses)       2.1-2         2.1.2.2       Miscellaneous Structural Failure Modes       2.1-2         2.1.3       Weights and Centers of Gravity       2.1-7         2.1.4       Identification of Codes and Standards for Package Design       2.1-7         Materials       2.2-1         2.2.1       Material Properties and Specifications       2.2-1
2.0	STR 2.1 2.2	1.3.2       Glossaly of Terms and Actorryins       1.3.2-1         RUCTURAL EVALUATION       2.1-1         Description of Structural Design       2.1-1         2.1.1       Discussion       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1         2.1.2.1       Analytic Design Criteria (Allowable Stresses)       2.1-2         2.1.2.2       Miscellaneous Structural Failure Modes       2.1-2         2.1.3       Weights and Centers of Gravity       2.1-7         2.1.4       Identification of Codes and Standards for Package Design       2.1-7         Materials       2.2-1         2.2.1       Material Properties and Specifications       2.2-1         2.2.2       Chemical, Galvanic, or Other Reactions       2.2-3
2.0	STR 2.1 2.2	1.3.2       Glossaly of Terms and Actorynis       1.3.2-1         RUCTURAL EVALUATION       2.1-1         2.1.1       Description of Structural Design       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1         2.1.2       Design Criteria       2.1-1         2.1.2.1       Analytic Design Criteria (Allowable Stresses)       2.1-2         2.1.2.2       Miscellaneous Structural Failure Modes       2.1-2         2.1.3       Weights and Centers of Gravity       2.1-7         2.1.4       Identification of Codes and Standards for Package Design       2.1-7         Materials       2.2-1       2.2-1         2.2.1       Material Properties and Specifications       2.2-1         2.2.2       Chemical, Galvanic, or Other Reactions       2.2-3         2.2.1       Packaging Materials of Construction       2.2-4

<ul> <li>2.3 Fabrication and Examination</li></ul>	<ul> <li><b>2.3-1</b></li> <li><b>2.3-1</b></li> <li><b>2.4-1</b></li> </ul>
<ul> <li>2.3.1 Fabrication</li></ul>	2.3-1 2.3-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1
<ul> <li>2.3.2 Examination</li> <li>2.4 General Requirements for All Packages</li></ul>	2.3-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1
<ul> <li>2.4 General Requirements for All Packages</li> <li>2.4.1 Minimum Package Size</li> <li>2.4.2 Tamper-Indicating Feature</li> <li>2.4.3 Positive Closure</li> <li>2.4.4 Valves</li> <li>2.4.5 Package Design</li> </ul>	<b>2.4-1</b> 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1
<ul> <li>2.4.1 Minimum Package Size</li></ul>	2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1
<ul> <li>2.4.2 Tamper-Indicating Feature</li></ul>	2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1
<ul><li>2.4.3 Positive Closure</li><li>2.4.4 Valves</li><li>2.4.5 Package Design</li></ul>	2.4-1 2.4-1 2.4-1
<ul><li>2.4.4 Valves</li><li>2.4.5 Package Design</li></ul>	2.4-1 2.4-1
2.4.5 Package Design	2.4-1
	212
2.4.6 External Temperatures	2.4-2
2.4.7 Venting	2.4-2
2.5 Lifting and Tie-down Standards for All Packages	2.5-1
2.5.1 Lifting Devices	2.5-1
2.5.1.1 Lifting Forces	2.5-1
2.5.1.2 Lifting Failure Modes	2.5-2
2.5.1.3 Summary	2.5-4
2.5.2 Tie-down Devices	2.5-5
2.6 Normal Conditions of Transport	2.6-1
2.6.1 Heat	2.6-1
2.6.1.1 Summary of Pressures and Temperatures	2.6-2
2.6.1.2 Differential Thermal Expansion	2.6-2
2.6.1.3 Stress Calculations	2.6-2
2.6.1.4 Comparison with Allowable Stresses	2.6-9
2.6.1.5 Range of Primary–Plus–Secondary Stress Intensities	6-10
2.6.1.6 Closure Bolts	6-10
2.6.2 Cold	6-15
2.6.3 Reduced External Pressure	
2.6.4 Increased External Pressure	.0-10
2.6.5 VIDFATION	
2.6.7 Free Drop	0-20
2.6.8 Corner Drop	
2.6.9 Compression	6-20
2.6.10 Penetration	.6-20

2.7	Hypothetical Accident Conditions 2.7-1
	2.7.1 Free Drop
	2.7.1.1 Technical Basis for the Free Drop Tests
	2.7.1.2 Certification Test Unit and Test Conditions
	2.7.1.3 Test Criteria
	2.7.1.4 Summary of Results of the Free Drop Analyses and Tests2.7-9
	2.7.1.5 Crush Deformation Extrapolations
	2.7.2 Crush
	2.7.3 Puncture
	2.7.3.1 Technical Basis for the Puncture Drop Tests
	2.7.3.2 Temperature of Puncture Drops
	2.7.3.3 Summary of Results from Puncture Drop Tests
	2.7.4 Thermal
	2.7.4.1 Summary of Pressures and Temperatures
	2.7.4.2 Differential Thermal Expansion
	2.7.4.3 Stress Calculations
	2.7.4.4 Comparison with Allowable Stresses
	2.7.5 Immersion – Fissile Material
	2.7.6 Immersion – All Packages
	2.7.7 Deep Water Immersion Test (for Type B Packages Containing
	More than $10^{3} A_{2}$ )
	2.7.8 Summary of Damage
	2.7.8.1 Debris Contamination of the Containment Seal on CTU-1 2.7-41
	2.7.8.2 Closure Bolts
2.8	Accident Conditions for Air Transport of Plutonium2.8-1
2.9	Accident Conditions for Fissile Material Packages for Air Transport
2.10	Special Form
2.11	Fuel Rods
2.12	Appendices
	2.12.1 Engineering Tests
	2.12.1.1 Introduction
	2.12.1.2 Test Facilities
	2.12.1.3 Test Unit Configuration
	2.12.1.4 Scale Model Testing 2.12.1.5
	2.12.1.5 Test Conditions and Measurement 2.12.1.7
	2.12.1.6 Engineering Tests Performed 2.12.1-8
	2.12.1.7 Engineering Test Results

iii

2.12.2 Elastomer O-ring Seal Performance Tests	
2.12.2.1 Introduction	
2.12.2.2 Test Specimen and Equipment	
2.12.2.3 Test Conditions	2.12.2-1
2.12.2.4 Test Procedure	
2.12.2.5 Example of O-ring Seal Compression Calculation	2.12.2-2
2.12.2.6 Test Results	
2.12.3 Certification Tests on CTU-1	2.12.3-1
2.12.3.1 Introduction	2.12.3-1
2.12.3.2 Test Facilities	2.12.3-1
2.12.3.3 Test Unit Configuration	2.12.3-2
2.12.3.4 Instrumentation	
2.12.3.5 Initial Test Conditions	
2.12.3.6 Certification Tests Performed	
2.12.3.7 Test Results	
2.12.3.8 Leakage Rate Tests and Post-Test Measurements	
2.12.3.9 Acceleration Time History Plots	
2.12.4 HAC Immersion Buckling Evaluation	2.12.4-1
2.12.4.1 Introduction	2.12.4-1
2.12.4.2 Mechanical Properties	2.12.4-1
2.12.4.3 Conditions Analyzed	2.12.4-1
2.12.4.4 Calculations	2.12.4-1
2.12.4.5 References	
2.12.5 Closure Lid Debris Shield	2.12.5-1
2.12.5.1 Introduction	
2.12.5.2 Contamination of the Containment O-ring Seal	
During Certification Testing	
2.12.5.3 Debris Shield Design Criteria	
2.12.5.4 Debris Shield Design	
2.12.5.5 Finite Element End Drop Analysis	
2.12.5.6 Finite Element Side Drop Analysis	
2.12.5.7 Finite Element Payload Interaction Analysis	2.12.5-24
2.12.6 Certification Tests on CTU-2	
2.12.6.1 Introduction	2.12.6-1
2.12.6.2 Test Facilities	2.12.6-1
2.12.6.3 Test Unit Configuration	2.12.6-1
2.12.6.4 Instrumentation	2.12.6-4
2.12.6.5 Initial Test Conditions	2 12 6-5

	2.12.6.6 Certification Tests Performed	
	2.12.6.7 Test Results	
	2.12.6.8 Leakage Rate Tests and Post-Test Measurements	
	2.12.6.9 Acceleration Time History Plots (Free Drop Test LD91).	2.12.6-23
	2.12.7 Closure Lid, Bolt, and Washer Interaction	2.12.7-1
	2.12.7.1 Introduction	
	2.12.7.2 Finite Element Analysis Methodology	
	2.12.7.3 Finite Element Analysis Results	
	2.12.7.4 Closure Lid, Bolt, and Washer Interaction Summary	
3.0 THI	ERMAL EVALUATION	
3.1	Description of Thermal Design	
	3.1.1 Design Features	
	3.1.1.1 TRUPACT-III Packaging	
	• • 3.1.1.2 Payload Configuration	
	3.1.2 Content's Decay Heat	
	3.1.3 Summary Tables of Temperatures	
	3.1.4 Summary Tables of Maximum Pressures	
3.2	Material Properties and Component Specifications	
	3.2.1 Material Properties	
	3.2.2 Technical Specifications of Components	
3.3	Thermal Evaluation for Normal Conditions of Transport	
	3.3.1 Heat and Cold	
	3.3.1.1 Maximum NCT Temperatures	
	3.3.1.2 Minimum NCT Temperatures	
	3.3.2 Maximum Normal Operating Pressure	
3.4	Thermal Evaluation for Hypothetical Accident Conditions	
	3.4.1 Initial Conditions	
	3.4.2 Fire Test Conditions	
	3.4.3 Maximum Temperatures and Pressure	
	3.4.3.1 Maximum HAC Temperatures	
	3.4.3.2 Maximum HAC Pressure	
	3.4.4 Maximum Thermal Stresses	
3.5	Appendices	
	3.5.1 Computer Analysis Results	
	3.5.2 Thermal Model Details	
	3.5.2.1 Description of Thermal Model for NCT Conditions	

		3	3.5.2.2 Convection Coefficient Calculation	3.5.2-10
		3	3.5.2.3 Insolation Loads	3.5.2-12
		3	3.5.2.4 Effective Thermal Properties for Corrugated	
			Wall/Lid Structures	3.5.2-12
		3	3.5.2.5 Effective Thermal Properties for CSA	
			End Detail & Lid Perimeter	3.5.2-16
		3	3.5.2.6 Description of Thermal Model for HAC Conditions	3.5.2-22
		3.5.3	Review of TRUPACT-III Package Full-Scale Drop Test Results	3.5.3-1
		3.5.4	'Last-A-Foam' Response under HAC Conditions	3.5.4-1
4.0	CON	ITAIN	MENT	4 1-1
	4.1	Descr	iption of the Containment System	4.1-1
		4.1.1	Containment Vessel	
		4.1.2	Containment Penetrations	4.1-1
		4.1.3	Seals and Welds	
		4.1.4	Closure	4.1-3
	4.2	Conta	inment Under Normal Conditions of Transport	4.2-1
		4.2.1	Containment of Radioactive Material	4.2-1
		4.2.2	Pressurization of Containment Vessel	4.2-1
		4.2.3	Containment Criterion	4.2-1
	4.3	Conta	inment Under Hypothetical Accident Conditions	4.3-1
		4.3.1	Fission Gas Products	4.3-1
		4.3.2	Containment of Radioactive Material	4.3-1
	4.4	Leaka	age Rate Tests for Type B Packages	4.4-1
5.0	SHI	ELDIN	G EVALUATION	5-1
<b>(</b> )	CDI	TICAL		(11
0.0	CRI	IICAL	TIY EVALUATION	0.1-1
	6.1	Descri	iption of Criticality Design	6.1-1
		6.1.1	Design Features	6.1-1
		6.1.2	Summary Table of Criticality Evaluation	6.1-1
		6.1.3	Criticality Safety Index	6.1-2
	6.2	Fissile	e Material Contents	6.2-1
		6.2.1	General	6.2-1
		6.2.2	Special Reflectors	6.2-2
		6.2.3	Fissile Material Modeling	6.2-4

vi

	6.3	Gener	al Considerations
		6.3.1	Model Configuration
		6.3.2	Material Properties
		6.3.3	Computer Codes and Cross-Section Libraries
		6.3.4	Demonstration of Maximum Reactivity
	6.4	Single	Package Evaluation6.4-1
		6.4.1	Configuration
		6	6.4.1.1 NCT Single Package Configuration
		6	6.4.1.2 HAC Single Package Configuration
		6.4.2	Results
	6.5	Evalu	ation of Package Arrays under Normal Conditions of Transport
		6.5.1	Configuration
		6.5.2	Results
	6.6	Packa	ge Arrays under Hypothetical Accident Conditions
		6.6.1	Configuration
		6.6.2	Results
	6.7	Fissile	Material Packages for Air Transport6.7-1
	6.8	Bench	mark Evaluations
		6.8.1	Applicability of Benchmark Experiments
		6.8.2	Bias Determination
	6.9	Apper	1dix 6.9.1-1
		6.9.1	Sample Input File
7.0	OPE	RATIN	NG PROCEDURES
	7.1	Proce	dures for Loading the Package7.1-1
		7.1.1	Removal of the TRUPACT-III Package from the Transport
			Trailer/Railcar
		7.1.2	Overpack Cover Removal
		7.1.3	Closure Lid Removal
		7.1.4	Loading the Payload into the TRUPACT-III Package
		7.1.5	Closure Lid Installation
		7.1.6	Overpack Cover Installation
		7.1.7	Final Package Preparations for Transport (Loaded)7.1-4
	7.2	Proce	dures for Unloading the Package7.2-1
		7.2.1	Removal of the TRUPACT-III Package from the Transport
			Trailer/Railcar

		7.2.2	Overpack Cover Removal
		7.2.3	Closure Lid Removal
		7.2.4	Unloading the Payload from the TRUPACT-III Package7.2-2
		7.2.5	Closure Lid Installation
		7.2.6	Overpack Cover Installation7.2-3
		7.2.7	Final Package Preparations for Transport (Unloaded)7.2-3
	7.3	Prepar	ration of an Empty Package for Transport7.3-1
	7.4	Preshi	pment Leakage Rate Test7.4-1
		7.4.1	Gas Pressure Rise Leakage Rate Test Acceptance Criteria
		7.4.2	Determining the Test Volume and Test Time7.4-1
		7.4.3	Performing the Gas Pressure Rise Leakage Rate Test7.4-2
		7.4.4	Optional Preshipment Leakage Rate Test
8.0	ACC	EPTA	ICE TESTS AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM
	8.1	Accept	ance Tests
		8.1.1	Visual Inspections and Measurements
		8.1.2	Weld Examinations
		8.1.3	Structural and Pressure Tests
		8.	1.3.1 Lifting Device Load Testing
		8.	1.3.2 Containment Vessel Pressure Testing
		8.1.4	Fabrication Leakage Rate Tests
		8	1.4.1 Fabrication Leakage Rate Test Acceptance Criteria
		8.	1.4.2 Helium Leakage Rate Testing the Containment Structure Integrity 8.1-3
		8.	1.4.3 Helium Leakage Rate Testing the Main Containment O-ring Seal 8.1-4
		8.	1.4.4 Helium Leakage Rate Testing the Vent Port Insert O-ring Seal 8.1-4
		8.1.5	Component Tests 8.1-5
		8.	1.5.1 Polyurethane Foam
		8.	1.5.2 Balsa Wood
		8.	1.5.3 Butyl Rubber O-rings
		8.	1.5.4 Calcium Silicate Insulation Board
		8.1.6	Tests for Shielding Integrity
		8.1.7	Thermal Acceptance Test
	8.2	Mainte	nance Program
		8.2.1	Structural and Pressure Tests
		8.	2.1.1 Containment Vessel Pressure Testing
		8.	2.1.2 Interior Cavity Surfaces Inspection
		8.2.2	Maintenance/Periodic Leakage Rate Tests

	8.2.2.1	Maintenance/Periodic Leakage Rate Test Acceptance Criteria	8.2-2
	8.2.2.2	Helium Leakage Rate Testing the Main Containment O-ring Seal	8.2-2
	8.2.2.3	Helium Leakage Rate Testing the Vent Port Insert O-ring Seal	8.2-3
8.2.3	Comp	ponent and Material Tests	8.2-4
	8.2.3.1	Fasteners	8.2-4
	8.2.3.2	Seal Areas and Grooves	8.2-4
8.2.4	Thern	nal Tests	8.2-5
8.2.5	5 Misce	ellaneous Tests	8.2-5
	8.2.5.1	Valves and Rupture Discs	8.2-5
	8.2.5.2	Gaskets	8.2-5
	8.2.5.3	Shielding	8.2-5
	8.2.5.4	Passive Filters	8.2-5

This page intentionally left blank.

#### **1.3.1 Packaging General Arrangement Drawings**

This section presents the TRUPACT–III packaging general arrangement drawing<sup>1</sup>, consisting of 21 sheets entitled, *TRUPACT–III Packaging SAR Drawing*, Drawing Number 51199–SAR, Rev. 8.

Within the packaging general arrangement drawing, dimensions important to the packaging's safety are dimensioned and toleranced (e.g., sealing regions on the seal flanges). All other dimensions are provided as a reference dimension, and are toleranced in accordance with the general tolerance block.

<sup>1</sup> The TRUPACT-III packaging general arrangement drawing utilizes the uniform standard practices of ASME Y14.5M-1994, *Dimensioning and Tolerancing*, American National Standards Institute, Inc. (ANSI).

This page intentionally left blank.

#### 2.3 Fabrication and Examination

#### 2.3.1 Fabrication

The TRUPACT–III packaging is fabricated using conventional metal forming and joining techniques. All welding procedures and welding personnel must be qualified in accordance with Section IX of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.<sup>1</sup> Where possible, containment boundary weld joints are made in flat sections and are full penetration butt joints. Where a corner joint is necessary, such as at the closed end of the containment boundary or at the body flange inner corner joints, the joint is a full penetration corner joint. Threaded parts are fabricated according to ASME B1.13M.<sup>2</sup> All non-containment joints, such as those connecting the CSA outer sheets, are fabricated in accordance with the requirements delineated on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*.

The polyurethane foam, calcium silicate insulation, balsa wood, and butyl rubber O-rings are procured using written procedures. See Section 8.1.5, *Component Tests*, for details of the fabrication and performance requirements of these components.

#### 2.3.2 Examination

Each of the materials performing a significant safety function must meet the ASTM specifications delineated on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*. Safety-significant materials not having an ASTM designation are controlled by means of written procedures whose requirements are summarized in Section 8.1.5, *Component Tests*.

All welds are subject to visual examination per AWS D1.6.<sup>3</sup> Welds of the containment boundary plates and flanges are examined additionally by radiographic inspection in accordance with the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NB, Article NB-5000, and Section V, Article 2,<sup>4</sup> and by liquid penetrant inspection on the final pass in accordance with the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NB, Article NB-5000, and Section V, Article 6.<sup>5</sup> Fillet welds attaching the V-stiffeners to the containment sheets, and all other welds of the CSA outboard of that location, are inspected in the same way, omitting the radiographic inspection. Welds between components of the overpack structures (including welds to the outside of the CSA) are inspected visually as noted above, and additionally using liquid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section V, *Nondestructive Examination*, Article 6, *Liquid Penetrant Examination*, 2004 Edition, 2005 and 2006 Addenda.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section IX, *Qualification Standard for Welding and Brazing Procedures, Welders, Brazers, and Welding and Brazing Operators*, 2004 Edition, 2005 and 2006 Addenda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) B1.13M, Metric Screw Threads – M Profile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ANSI/AWS D1.6:1999, Structural Welding Code--Stainless Steel, American Welding Society (AWS).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, *Rules for Construction of Nuclear Facility Components*, Division 1 - Subsection NB, *Class 1 Components*, and Section V, *Nondestructive Examination*, Article 2, *Radiographic Examination*, 2004 Edition, 2005 and 2006 Addenda.

penetrant inspection on the final pass in accordance with the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Article NF-5000, and Section V, Article 6.<sup>6</sup>

In cases where radiographic examination cannot be performed, containment boundary welds are inspected by ultrasonic examination in accordance with the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NB, Article NB-5000, and Section V, Article 4<sup>8</sup>. The use of multi-pass liquid penetrant examination for repair of containment boundary welds is identified in General Note 48 on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*. Subsequent to repairs of containment boundary welds, the CSA containment boundary will be subjected to the pressure and leakage rate tests described below.

Each TRUPACT-III packaging will also be subjected to the following three tests:

- CSA internal pressure test, in which the containment boundary is pressurized to at least 150% of the MNOP. The pressure test requirements are described in Section 8.1.3.2, *Containment Vessel Pressure Testing*.
- Containment boundary leakage rate test, which includes helium leakage rate tests of the structural containment boundary, the containment O-ring seal, and the vent port containment O-ring seal. The requirements are described in Section 8.1.4, *Fabrication Leakage Rate Tests*.
- Load test of the upper ISO lift fittings, in which each fitting is tested to 150% of its maximum working load. The load test requirements are described in Section 8.1.3.1, *Lifting Device Load Testing*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, *Rules for Construction of Nuclear Facility Components*, Division 1 - Subsection NF, *Supports*, 2004 Edition, 2005 and 2006 Addenda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, *Rules for Construction of Nuclear Facility Components*, Division 1 - Subsection NB, *Class 1 Components*, and Section V, *Nondestructive Examination*, Article 4, *Ultrasonic Examination*, 2004 Edition, 2005 and 2006 Addenda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 71 (10 CFR 71), *Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material*, 01–01–09 Edition.

51199-SAR 1 8

AREVA	AREVA Federal Services LLC Packaging Projects Tacoma, WA 98402
TRUF	ACT-III PACKAGING SAR DRAWING
	SCALE: NONE WT. N/A REV: 8 SHEET 1 OF 21 DWG DWG ND.
	D 51199-SAR



51199-SAR 3 8



4 8 51199-5AR

SHEET 4 OF 21 DWC 51199-SAR D

51199-SAR 5 8



51199-SAR 6 8



51199-SAR 7 8



51199-SAR 8 8



51199-SAR 9 8



51199-SAR 10 8

.



51199-SAR	11
-----------	----



	112	1 5
51199-SAR	14	1 -



13 8 51199-SAR



51199-SAR 14 8



51199-SAR 15 B



51199-SAR 16 8



51199-SAR 17 8



51199-SAR 18 8



51199-SAR 19 8



8 07	
	8YS-5611C





#### 8.0 ACCEPTANCE TESTS AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

#### 8.1 Acceptance Tests

Per the requirements of 10 CFR §71.85<sup>1</sup>, this section discusses the inspections and tests to be performed prior to first use of the TRUPACT–III packaging. Acceptance criteria for all inspections and tests are found either on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*, or in the sections that follow. Deviations from requirements will be recorded and dispositioned in accordance with the cognizant quality assurance program.

#### 8.1.1 Visual Inspections and Measurements

Each TRUPACT–III will be visually inspected and measured to ensure that all of the requirements delineated on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*, including but not limited to such items as materials, physical arrangement of components, quantities, dimensions, welds, and measurements, are satisfied.

#### 8.1.2 Weld Examinations

The locations, types, and sizes of all welds will be identified and recorded to ensure compliance with the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*. All welds in each TRUPACT–III packaging will be visually examined. With the exception of seal and specific non–structural welds, all welds will be liquid penetrant examined. In addition, all welds in the containment boundary will be examined by radiography. Alternatives to radiographic examination of containment boundary welds are delineated in General Note 48 on the drawings provided in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*. Visual examination is performed according to AWS Specification D1.6<sup>2</sup>. Liquid penetrant, ultrasonic, and radiograph examinations are performed according to the relevant sections of the ASME code, as specified for each weld on the drawings.

#### 8.1.3 Structural and Pressure Tests

#### 8.1.3.1 Lifting Device Load Testing

From Section 2.1.3, *Weights and Center of Gravity*, the maximum weight of the TRUPACT–III is 25,000 kilograms (55,116 pounds). Each upper ISO corner fitting is designed to carry approximately 59% of the maximum lifted load, or 14,650 kg (32,298 lb). Each ISO corner fitting shall be load tested to 150% of the maximum working load, or at least 21,975 kg (48,447 lb), per ANSI N14.6<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ANSI N14.6–1993, American National Standard for Radioactive Materials – Special Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 Pounds (4,500 kg) or More, American National Standard Institute, Inc. (ANSI).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 71 (10 CFR 71), *Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material*, 01–01–09 Edition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ANSI/AWS D1.6:1999, Structural Welding Code – Stainless Steel, American Welding Society (AWS).

Following load testing of the ISO corner fittings, all welds and adjacent base metal (minimum 13 mm [0.5 in.] on each side of the weld) directly related to the load testing of the fitting shall be visually inspected for plastic deformation or cracking in accordance with AWS D1.6, and liquid penetrant inspected per ASME B & PV Code, Section III<sup>4</sup>, Division 1, Subsection NF, Article NF–5000, and Section V<sup>5</sup>, Article 6, as delineated on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*. Indications of cracking or distortion shall be recorded and evaluated in accordance with the cognizant quality assurance program.

#### 8.1.3.2 Containment Vessel Pressure Testing

Per the requirements of 10 CFR §71.85(b), the containment structural assembly (CSA) shall be pressure tested to 150% of the maximum normal operating pressure (MNOP) to verify structural integrity. The MNOP of the TRUPACT–III package is equal to 172 kPa (25 psig). Thus, the CSA shall be pressure tested to at least  $172 \times 1.5 = 258$  kPa (37.5 psig).

Following pressure testing of the CSA, accessible base material and welds directly related to the pressure testing of the containment boundary sheets of the CSA shall be visually inspected for plastic deformation or cracking in accordance with AWS D.1.6, and liquid penetrant inspected per ASME B & PV Code, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NB, Article NB–5000, and Section V, Article 6, as delineated on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging General Arrangement Drawings*. Indications of cracking or distortion shall be recorded and evaluated in accordance with the cognizant quality assurance program.

Leakage rate testing per Section 8.1.4, *Fabrication Leakage Rate Tests*, shall be performed after completion of pressure testing to verify package configuration and performance to design criteria.

#### 8.1.4 Fabrication Leakage Rate Tests

This section provides the generalized procedure for fabrication leakage rate testing of the containment vessel boundaries and penetrations following the completion of fabrication. Fabrication leakage rate testing shall follow the guidelines of Section 7.3, *Fabrication Leakage Rate Test*, of ANSI N14.5<sup>6</sup>.

Prior to leakage rate testing, internal components that are not permanently affixed to the containment plate, such as the payload, roller floor, and payload pallet, shall be removed. For ease of leakage rate testing, the interior surfaces of the CSA should be thoroughly cleaned. As an option, the debris shield insert may be omitted from the assembly for fabrication leakage rate tests.

Fabrication leakage rate testing shall be performed on the CSA. Three separate tests comprise the series. Each test shall meet the acceptance criteria delineated in Section 8.1.4.1, *Fabrication Leakage Rate Test Acceptance Criteria*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, *Rules for Construction of Nuclear Power Plant Components*, 2004 Edition, 2005 and 2006 Addenda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section V, *Nondestructive Examination*, 2004 Edition, 2005 and 2006 Addenda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> ANSI N14.5–1997 (or later), American National Standard for Radioactive Materials – Leakage Tests on Packages for Shipment, American National Standards Institute, Inc. (ANSI).