

From: Khanna, Meena -NRC  
To: Boyle, Patrick; Martin, Robert  
Subject: FW: Database Draft  
Date: Monday, September 19, 2011 12:35:00 PM  
Attachments: NRC Q&R Database.doc

---

Fyi...let me know what you think, pls..thanks

---

From: David Sommers [mailto:david.sommers@dom.com]  
Sent: Monday, September 19, 2011 11:50 AM  
To: Khanna, Meena  
Subject: Database Draft

For your consideration as a database that can be used to encompass status of questions

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This electronic message contains information which may be legally confidential and/or privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENERGY COMMODITY bid or offer relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender that you have received the message in error, and delete it. Thank you.

182

RR

D/182

NRC Questions/RAIs on North Anna Seismic Event

NRC Branch & ST / LT Designation	NRC Questions/RAIs	Docketed Response Yes/No	Dominion Responsible Department	Dominion Response date & Serial No.	Comments
<b>Fuels</b>					
NRC letter dated September 14, 2011					
<p>Fuels</p> <p>ST</p> <p>ST</p> <p>ST</p>	<p>1. FANP Topical Report, BAW-10239(P)(A), Revision 0 (Reference 2), provides an evaluation of the Advanced Mark-BW fuel assembly in a sample reactor against the criteria defined in the Section 4.2 of the Standard Review Plan (SRP). Section 5.3.4. of the topical report discusses fuel assembly structural damage from external forces, such as the operating basis earthquake (OBE), the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE), as well as SSE + loss-of-coolant-accident (LOCA) loads. The evaluation of faulted conditions also addresses both horizontal (LOCA and seismic) and vertical LOCA effects. Based on the availability of information to date from VEPCO's presentation and the Advanced Mark-BW fuel mechanical design report, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is unable to verify the operability condition for the core internals, specifically for the fuel assemblies (grids, fuel rods, guide tubes) and control rods.</p> <p>a) Please provide a comprehensive strategy and qualifying criteria for determining the operability of these components.</p> <p>b) Provide a comparison of the predicted design basis loads (e.g., local acceleration) on the core internals and fuel assemblies against the predicted loads derived from the measured ground motion data during the seismic event. In addition, compare these predicted loads against the measured yielding load and deflection from the fuel assembly grid crush testing.</p> <p>c) Describe all sources of technical information considered in determining the operability and integrity of the fuel, including involvement of the fuel vendors.</p>	Yes	NA&F		
<p>Fuels</p> <p>ST</p>	<p>2. Describe the extent of fuel assembly inspections which will be performed to confirm the structural integrity of the fuel. Provide specific information on how the inspections will determine that there is no distortion of the fuel lattice array or rod cluster control assembly (RCCA) guide tubes that occurred as a result of the seismic event. Also provide information on guide tube drag and rod drop testing.</p>	Yes	NA&F		
<p>Fuels</p> <p>ST</p>	<p>3. Describe the extent of fuel assembly inspections and supporting analyses which will be performed to confirm the thermal-hydraulic performance of the fuel. Provide specific information on how the inspections will determine that there is no deflection of any fuel grid mixing vanes or any other component that will alter the thermal-hydraulic performance of the fuel bundle as a result of the seismic event. If any deficiencies are detected, provide information on the impact on the fuel departure from nucleate boiling ratio (DNBR).</p>	Yes	NA&F		
<p>Fuels</p>	<p>4. Describe the extent of inspections and testing which will be performed to demonstrate the operability of the control element drive mechanisms.</p>	Yes	Station Engineering		

NRC Questions/RAIs on North Anna Seismic Event

NRC Branch & ST / LT Designation	NRC Questions/RAIs	Docketed Response Yes/No	Dominion Responsible Department	Dominion Response date & Serial No.	Comments
ST					
Fuels ST	5. Provide any nuclear fuel related information that has been gathered considering the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) guidance and recommendations found in EPRI report NP-6695 (reference 3). Specific information of interest is control rod drive mechanism operability as related to changes in core instrumentation readouts; changes in primary coolant radiation monitor values; changes in other parameters such as primary coolant flow, temperature, and pressure; loose parts monitoring equipment noise signatures; and primary coolant chemistry sample results.	Yes	Station Engineering		
Fuels ST	6. Explain the rationale and extent of the operability determinations for the core components (fuel and control rods) and their support systems.				
Fuels ST	7. Provide the final root cause analysis report on the cause of the reactor trips.	Yes	Station Engineering		
Fuels ST	8. Describe the extent of inspections on the core shroud to investigate possible changes in local flow conditions (e.g., baffle jetting, change in core bypass flow).	Yes	Station Engineering		
Fuels ST	9. Third-burned fuel assemblies are generally located along the core periphery in locations where seismic loading may be limiting. These assemblies are at end-of-life and would be discharged to the spent fuel pool (SFP) (no reinsertion). Are there any plans to do detailed investigations and measurements (including rod pulls, dismantling, hot cell examinations) on any of the third-burned fuel assemblies located at the core periphery of Unit 2?	Yes	NA&F		
Reactor Systems NRC letter dated September 14, 2011					
Reactor Systems ST	1. Describe the evaluations, inspections and analyses of the steam generators (SG) to ensure SG tube integrity?	Yes	Station Engineering		
Reactor Systems ST	2. Discuss provisions to ensure that system pressure relief capabilities are maintained.	Yes	Station Engineering		
Reactor Systems ST	3. Discuss measures to verify overall reactor coolant system (RCS) pressure boundary integrity.	Yes	Station Engineering		
Reactor Systems ST	4. Describe the inspections, examinations and evaluations of the emergency core cooling systems (ECCS) that have been or will be performed to show that the ECCS will continue to perform as designed, especially under simultaneous design basis earthquake loading and ECCS design basis seismic requirements.	Yes	Station Engineering		
Reactor Systems ST	5. Were there any complications in residual heat removal (RHR) following the earthquake? Did all RHR equipment perform as intended?	Yes	Station Engineering		

NRC Questions/RAIs on North Anna Seismic Event

NRC Branch & ST / LT Designation	NRC Questions/RAIs	Docketed Response Yes/No	Dominion Responsible Department	Dominion Response date & Serial No.	Comments