

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station 600 Rocky Hill Road Plymouth, MA 02360

Ralph A. Dodds, III Director, Nuclear Safety Assurance

January 16, 201

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Docket No.: 50-293 License No.: DPR-35

> Licensee Event Report 2011-005-00, Technical Specification Required Shutdown Due To Inoperable Feedwater Check Valve

LETTER NUMBER: 2.12.002

Dear Sir or Madam:

The enclosed Licensee Event Report (LER) 2011-005-00, "Technical Specification Required Shutdown Due To Inoperable Feedwater Check Valve" is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73.

This letter contains no commitments.

Please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Joseph R. Lynch, (508) 830-8403, if there are any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely,

 Δ, \overline{H}

Ralph A. Dodds

RMB/rmb

Attachment 1: Licensee Event Report 2011-005-00, Technical Specification Required Shutdown Due To Inoperable Feedwater Check Valve (6 Pages)

IE22 WAR

PNPS Letter 2.12.002 Page 2 of 2

cc: Mr. William M. Dean Regional Administrator, Region 1 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

> INPO Records 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30399-5957

Mr. Richard V. Guzman, Project Manager Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-8-C2 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD. 20852

USNRC Senior Resident Inspector Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station

Attachment 1 Letter Number 2.12.002

Licensee Event Report 2011-005-00

Technical Specification Required Shutdown Due To Inoperable Feedwater Check Valve

(6 Pages)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (10-2010)					APPF Estimate Reporte Send cc Nuclear infocolle Affairs, If a me control r the infor	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 10/31/2013 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects.resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.										
1. FACILI		IE						2. DO	CKET NUMBE	R	3. PAGE					
Pilgrim	Nuc	lear Po	ower St	ation					050002	93		10	F 6			
4. TITLE Techni	cal S	pecific	cation F	Required Sh	utdc	own Du	е То	Inope	able Feed	water Che	ck Valve	3				
5. EV	ENT DA	TE	6.	LER NUMBER		7. RE	PORT	DATE	DATE 8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED							
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	RE V N O	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAM N/A	E		. (00CKE	T NUMBER		
11	17	2011	2011	005	00	1	16	2012	FACILITY NAM	E		C (юске)500	T NUMBER		
N 20.2201(b) 20.2201(d) 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(i) 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 50% 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)			20.2203 20.2203 50.36(c) 50.36(c) 50.36(c) 50.36(c) 50.46(a) 50.46(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a)	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 20.2203(a)(3)(i) & 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) \\ 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) & 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) \\ 20.2203(a)(4) & 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) \\ 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) & 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) \\ 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) & 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) \\ 50.36(c)(2) & 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) \\ 50.46(a)(3)(ii) & 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) \\ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) & 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) \\ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) & 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) \\ \end{array}$			i)(C) ii)(A) ii)(B) ii)(A) v)(A) v)(A) v)(A) v)(B) v)(C) v)(C)	50.73(a)(2)(Vii) 50.73(a)(2)(Viii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(Viii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(x) 73.71(a)(4) 73.71(a)(5) OTHER Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A								
				1	12. LI	CENSEE	CONT	ACT FOR	THIS LER					·····		
FACILITY N Joseph	AME R. Lyi	nch, Lic	ensing N	Manager						TELEPH	ONE NUMBE (508)-	R (Incl 830-1	lude Ar 8403	rea Code)		
		T	13. COMP		FOR	EACH CO	MPON	IENT FAI	URE DESCRI	BED IN THIS I	REPORT	<u> </u>				
CAUSE	SYS	втем	COMPONE	NT FACTURER		TO EPIX		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	FACTURER		RE	TO EPIX		
X	5	SJ	BFP	A391		Yes										
		14. S	SUPPLEME	INTAL REPORT	EXPE	ECTED			15. E		MONTH	D/	AΥ	YEAR		
Yes	(If y	es, comp	lete 15. <i>E)</i>	(PECTED SUBM	ISSIC	ON DATE	X	NO		N/A	N	/A	N/A			
Abstract On Thu the stati for a ma reduced inspecti schedul (6-CK-6 (LCO) f least or there w cold shu	rsday ion er ain fe d pow on of led sy 2B). or Te ie cor as no utdow	n to 1400 , Nove edwate er in o the ma rstem i The f chnica ntainm ability n with	ember 1 a 24 ho er line c rder to p ain stea inspection eedwate l Specifi ent isola to man in 24 ho ally repo	e., approximately 7, 2011, at 1 ur cold shutd check valve to perform a pla m tunnel (a r pn. The insp er check valve ication (TS) ation valve in ually isolate purs per TS 3 prited to the L	515 lowr hat anne octio ve w 3.7. 1 ea the 5.7.A	ngle-space hours, had bee ed main hally loc on iden vas dec A.2.a.5 ch line primary 5.	ed type with state en de conc ked o tified lared "All o is se y con	the rea ement of eclared lenser closed a leak l inoper contain cured in tainme	es) ctor at app lue to the i inoperable thermal back high radiation on the fee rable and the rable and the ment isolation the isolation of the isolation of the isolation of the isolation	roximately nability to p due to a ckwash. W ion area) w dwater line the Limiting tion check ted positior ion the sta	50% cor provide a leak. Th /hile at ro 'B' outb g Conditi valves a n" was en tion was	e the mai he re educ rmec oard ion f re o ntere requ	erma nual eacto ed p d as l che or C pera ed. uired	al power, isolation or was at oower an part of a eck valve operation ble or at Because I to be in		
had no	impad	ct on th	ne healtl	h and/ or safe	ety c	of the pu	ublic.		0.1 E (D)(E)		it i tepul	ι π4/		anu		

NRC FORM 366 (10-2010)

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010)		U	.S. NUCLEAR REGU	ILATORY C	OMMISSION			
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET								
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE			
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	05000293	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV N0.	2 OF 6			
	00000220	2011 -	- 005 -	- 00	2010			

NARRATIVE

EVENT DESCRIPTION:

During a planned station downpower for a main condenser thermal backwash on November 17, 2011, an inspection of the main steam tunnel found a leak through the cover plate of feedwater check valve 6-CK-62B. Because the leak was inside the containment boundary and there was no ability to manually isolate the primary containment penetration it was determined that a plant shutdown was required to repair the valve.

Prior to the planned station downpower, the following events were being monitored by plant personnel.

On 11/9/11, Operations received a steam tunnel high temperature alarm indicating 140°F while swapping the Contaminated Exhaust Fans per PNPS Procedure 2.2.40; Reactor Building Heating, Cooling, and Ventilation System. A functional failure evaluation was assigned to System Engineering and it was determined that this was not a Maintenance Rule Functional Failure (MRFF). A Condition Report (CR) was generated to perform the functional failure evaluation and was subsequently closed.

On 11/10/11, Engineering observed that steam tunnel temperatures had been steadily increasing since 10/8/11. The temperature was 129°F on 11/10/11. Subsequently a walk down of the steam tunnel was scheduled for the next downpower on 11/17/11. System Engineering continued to monitor temperature conditions in the steam tunnel.

On 11/15/11, Operations reported that the steam tunnel temperature had reached 131°F. This required operations to start a second contaminated exhaust fan to maintain steam tunnel temperatures below the alarm set point.

On 11/17/11, during the planned downpower, Operations inspected the steam tunnel and found a leak through the cover of feedwater check valve 6-CK-62B. Based on the leak location, it appeared that the bonnet pressure seal gasket had failed.

Check valve 6-CK-62B is an 18"-900 lb Anchor/Darling tilting disk check valve. The valve is assembled by first lowering the bonnet into the valve and then inserting the pressure seal gasket, the spacer ring and the retaining ring. The retainer (or cover) is installed and the nuts are tightened to draw the bonnet, gasket and spacer ring up against the retaining ring. The retainer is not sealed and is not a pressure boundary. The leak entered the space between the bonnet and the retainer and exited around the retainer periphery and through the threaded connection for the pipe plug at the center of the retainer.

A work order was initiated for inspection, disassembly and repair of check valve 6-CK-62B. During disassembly, a leak location was identified through the pressure seal gasket. The leak had cut an area approximately ¼" wide through the seal area of the gasket. Although the gasket is 1.187" high, only the bottom portion; about 3/8"; performs the sealing function. At the location of the leak on the gasket, a series of score marks were identified on the seal area of the valve body. The score marks extended through the sealing area and continued intermittently down into the valve body. The depth of the score in the seal area was measured to be about 0.004". Examination of the valve bonnet identified a small steam cut on the bonnet sealing surface that aligned with the seal leak and the body score marks. A score mark was found on the edge of the bonnet, running from the steam cut to the base of the bonnet.

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010) **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET

CONTINUA						
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		3. PAGE			
Pilorim Nuclear Power Station	05000293	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV N0.	3 OF 6	
		2011 -	- 005 ·	- 00		

The leak occurred because a portion of the score extended across the seal area between the gasket and the valve body. Although, the pressure seal gasket is made of soft steel with a thin silver coating so that it can deform and seal some imperfections in the sealing surface, it is not designed to be able to seal this type of score mark (approximately .004" deep).

Based on the alignment of the valve body score mark and the bonnet score mark, the marks were most likely caused by a small piece of foreign material (about 1/32" based on the dimensions of the body and bonnet) that was caught between body and bonnet and dragged over the body seating surface. This may not have been noticed when it occurred because of the close fit between the body and bonnet and the weight of the bonnet. Standard Foreign Material Exclusion (FME) practices were followed as part of valve disassembly, inspection, repair, and reassembly.

The Root Cause Team interviewed personnel involved in the valve maintenance during Refueling Outage (RFO) 18 (April/ May 2011) and examined the score marks to determine if the scoring occurred during disassembly or during reassembly of the valve. Task 01 of the RFO Work Order included instructions for disassembly, inspection, hinge pin bushings replacement, soft seat replacement, valve seat re-conditioning, disc alignment/re-alignment and reassembly of the valve in accordance with PNPS Procedure 3.M.4-49; Feedwater Check Valve Maintenance.

The other feedwater check valve work orders in RFO 18 were reviewed to determine how the pressure seal inspections were handled. The work orders for the inboard Feedwater check valves, 6-CK-58A & B, found the seal area to be satisfactory. There was no indication of any issues. Work orders for check valve 6-CK-62A included a Turnover sheet that noted that there were scores on the bonnet seating area. In this case, the sealing area was found satisfactory after evaluation by PNPS personnel.

The score marks on check valve 6-CK-62B were evaluated to determine if the scoring occurred during disassembly or assembly. Based on an evaluation of the marks, the score probably occurred while the bonnet was being inserted during reassembly. One of the marks is shallow at the top and digs deeper before popping out a divot at the bottom. This kind of mark could only be explained by downward motion.

Based on review of the available documentation, the interviews with the personnel involved and evaluation of the score marks, the RCE Team concluded that the scoring occurred during reassembly of the valve.

This event was reported to the USNRC via Event Report #47454 on 11/17/12 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(2)(i) - Technical Specification Required Shutdown.

ROOT CAUSE OF EVENT:

The root cause of the check valve leakage was the failure of the work crew to adequately perform a final inspection of the pressure sealing surface during the last RFO. The craft were aware of the importance of the pressure sealing surface but did not perform a final inspection or did not perform it in sufficient detail to identify the score on the valve body. In addition, Entergy did not have adequate programmatic controls for check valve inspection in place.

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) **CONTINUATION SHEET** 2. DOCKET **1. FACILITY NAME** 6. LER NUMBER 3. PAGE SEQUENTIAL REV YEAR NUMBER N0. **Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station** 05000293 4 OF 6 2011 -00 005

CONTRIBUTING CAUSES OF EVENT:

A contributing cause of the check valve leakage is the as-found inside diameter (ID) of the valve body sealing area.

Drawing M117A1-4SH2 indicates that the ID should be 20.000" +0.002". In 1991, Anchor/Darling informed PNPS that the standard size pressure seal gasket could be used on bores up to 20.017". During the forced outage, the ID was measured at 20.010" to 20.021". The leak location was near the 20.021" diameter. The increased diameter makes it more difficult for the pressure seal gasket to seat against the valve body. The body bore ID of 6-CK-62B has grown significantly (0.004") since it was last recorded in 1991.

Additionally, PNPS Procedure 3.M.4-49 relied on craftsmanship to ensure that the pressure sealing area was inspected after the bonnet was lowered into the valve.

EXTENT OF CONDITION:

The extent of the problem (leaking pressure seal) could extend to the 3 remaining feedwater (FW) check valves (6-CK-58A, 58B & 62A). All are disassembled and reassembled in every RFO following the same procedures. The work packages for the 3 remaining FW check valves were reviewed and no issues were identified and the valves were visually inspected during the forced outage for leakage and no leakage was noted. Because these valves have experienced similar operating conditions to 6-CK-62B it is very likely that if any of these valves had a propensity to leak due to scoring of the pressure seal area, some level of leakage would have already occurred. Therefore, the condition does not currently apply to these valves and there is low concern that leaks may develop during this cycle. In the unlikely event that another FW check valve exhibits leakage it will be more easily identified. If an inboard FW check valve develops leakage it will result in increased drywell unidentified leakage which is monitored daily by Operations and System Engineering

The corrective actions developed for the Root Cause relating to disassembly and assembly procedures will be applied to all 4 feed-water check valves.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

The following corrective actions have been completed:

1] Disassembled and repaired check valve 6-CK-62B.

2] Walked down the steam tunnel to identify extent of damage and completed repairs to damaged equipment.

The following corrective actions are planned and are captured in the PNPS Corrective Action Program:

1] Revise PNPS Procedure 3.M.4-49 "Feedwater Check Valve Maintenance" to require Maintenance Management to inspect the body and bonnet seating surfaces. Add a step to visually examine the bonnet for cleanliness prior to lowering it into the valve body. Add a step to perform a final inspection of the sealing surfaces after installation of the bonnet but prior to installation of the pressure seal with Maintenance Management Concurrence.

2] Revise PNPS Procedure 3.M.4-49 "Feedwater Check Valve Maintenance" to include dimensional checks of the body seal area and bonnet.

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010)	n-2-	U.	.S. NUCLEAR RE	GULATORY CO	OMMISSION			
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET								
1. FACILITY NAME 2. DOCKET 6. LER NUMBER 3. P/								
Pilorim Nuclear Power Station	05000293	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV N0.	5 OF 6			
	- 005	- 00	5010					
ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES:								
The check valve leak and subsequent reduction in nucle operability of upstream primary containment check valve	ar safety marg 6-CK-58B an	gin is mitig d the limi	gated by the o ted extent of	demonstrat the leak.	ed			
From the onset of leakage from check valve 6-CK-62B, I nuclear safety. The primary containment function of che leak, resulting in a potential path for radioactive leakage	Pilgrim operate ck valve 6-CK outside prima	ed at a re -62B was ry contair	duced margir compromise ment.	in regard d by the id	to entified			
There were no significant radiological or industrial safety concerns although additional dose was received in order to repair the valve and the risk of an industrial safety issue is increased anytime there is work required in the plant. The nuclear safety concerns were resolved by the check valve repair.								
Condition of check valve 6-CK-58B								
Feedwater check valve 6-CK-62B is in series with check isolation valves for containment penetration X-9B. Had valve, 6-CK-58B would have isolated; preventing contain containment through the 'B' feedwater line. During RFO and the valve soft seat replaced in accordance with appli- testing was successfully performed in accordance with a psig was 120 standard cubic centimeters per minute (SC	valve 6-CK-56 containment is ment and rea- 18, check valv roved station p pproved static CCM) or 0.12 s	8B to fund solation be ctor vessive 6-CK-5 procedure on proced standard o	ction as prima een required, el pressure a 58B was disas es. Post work lures. As-left cubic liters pe	ary contain the inboard nd flow fror ssembled, i local leak leakage at r minute (S	nent d check n exiting nspected rate 45.4 SLM).			
The maximum allowable test leakage (L_a) as stated in Pi is 1.0% of containment volume per day. The term L_a is a calculation. Based on the as-left leakage through check fraction of L_a .	Igrim Station 7 Jefined in PNF valve 6-CK-58	Technical PS station 8B, leaka	Specification procedures ge would hav	section 3. as 210.5 Sl ve been a s	7 bases LM by mall			
Based on the as-left condition of check valve 6-CK-58B, would not have exceeded the assumed post-accident lea Accident (DBA) Loss of Coolant Accident, coincident wit leakage from check valve 6-CK-62B, release rates would of nuclear safety was reduced, there were no actual or p limits or accident analyses.	the worst case ak rate. Had th h a Loss of Of d have remain potential conse	e leakage he worst fsite Pow ed within equences	from check to case acciden er – occurred design limits that would de	valve 6-CK t – a Desig with the ol While the viate from	-62B n Basis bserved margin design			
Other affected equipment								
Other safety related equipment in the steam tunnel could from check valve 6-CK-62B. This was investigated and Some minor repairs were performed and insulation on th Feedwater lines needed to be replaced, but no safety sig	d have been in evaluated utili ne High Pressu gnificant proble	npacted b zing the F ure Coola ems caus	by the water a PNPS Correct Int Injection (H and by the lea	Ind steam I tive Action HPCI) and k were ider	eaking Program. ntified.			
The check valve leak posed no threat to the public healt	h and safety.							

NRC	FORM	366A
(10-20)	10)	

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET

CONTINUA						
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE	
Pilorim Nuclear Power Station	05000293	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV N0.	6 OF 6	
		2011 -	- 005 -	- 00		

PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES:

The PNPS Merlin database was searched for pressure seal leakage at PNPS. Several were identified.

Problem Report 95.0595 reported a leak in the pressure seal of Reactor Feed Pump Suction Valve 6-HO-441C. The leak occurred because of an assembly error attributed to time pressure on contractor maintenance.

Problem Report 01.2188 reported that signs of steam leakage were found during disassembly of Reactor Core Cooling Isolation (RCIC) Outboard Isolation Valve MO-1301-17.

Condition Report CR-PNP-2007-3368 reported that there was a 30 dpm leak from the pressure seal of Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU) Blowdown Valve MO-1201-77.

REFERENCES:

Condition Report CR-PNP-2011-5228 associated with Root Cause Evaluation Report; Feedwater Check Valve 6-CK-62B Pressure Seal Leak contains reference to various station procedures.