

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

11/29/2011 76 FK 73738

Ņ $\overline{\circ}$

ERDS=ADM-03 SUNSI Pericir Complete Odd= Templale-ADM-013 J: fior (BSL2)

NBC -2011-0270

2011 Reactor Oversight Process External Survey

Thank you for participating in the survey. Your feedback is important to us and will be used in the ROP selfassessment program to evaluate the effectiveness of the ROP. There are 20 items in the survey and places for written comments. We seek constructive feedback to improve the program, and your comments with specific examples are welcomed. If you are filling out a hard copy, please use additional sheets for comments if needed.

Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

If you cannot fill/save the pdf file, please fill out the Word version of the survey (http://www.nrc.gov/NRR/ OVERSIGHT/ASSESS/2011ROPsurvey.doc) or print out a hard copy.

The survey ends on January 13, 2012.

Instruction: For each of the statements, please indicate if it's reasonably true. If you don't have enough knowledge/experience, please select U/A (unable to answer).

1. The performance indicator (PI) program provides useful insights, particularly when combined with the inspection program, to help ensure plant safety and/or security.

• Yes Can you

4

O No recommend any

improvements? \bigcirc U/A

Include industry quartile values so plants can assess how they are doing with respect to the rest of the industry.

2. Appropriate overlap exists between the PI and the inspection programs to provide for a comprehensive indication of licensee performance.

۲	Yes	Can you
\bigcirc	No	recommen

recommend any improvements? \bigcirc U/A

3. NEI 99-02, "Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guideline," provides clear guidance regarding performance indicators.

O Yes	Can you	
💿 No	recommend any	
O U/A	improvements?	-

4. PI program effectively contributes to the identification of performance outliers based on risk-informed, objective, and predictable indicators.

O Yes	Can you	
	recommend any	Only MSPI hardware includes a risked informed element. The PIs are historically base and there is no predictive element.

5. Information contained in inspection reports is relevant, useful, and written in plain English.

• Yes	Can you	·	
O No	recommend any		
O U/A	improvements?		

6. The inspection program adequately covers areas that are important to plant safety and/or security and is effective in identifying and ensuring the prompt correction of performance deficiencies.

Yes	Can you	
No	recommend any	
	•	
O U/A	improvements?	

7. The Significance Determination Process (SDP) results in an appropriate regulatory response to performance issues.

• Yes	Can you	
O No	recommend any	
O U/A	improvements?	· ·

8. The NRC takes appropriate actions to address performance issues for those plants outside the Licensee Response Column of the Action Matrix.

O Yes	0	
	Can you	
O No	recommend any	ĺ
• U/A	improvements?	

9. Information contained in assessment reports is relevant, useful, and written in plain English.

O Yes	Can you	
O No	recommend any	
• U/A	improvements?	

10. The ROP safety culture enhancements help in identifying licensee safety culture weaknesses and focusing licensee and the NRC attention appropriately.

O Yes	Can you	
O No	recommend any	
• U/A	improvements?	

11. ROP oversight activities are predictable (i.e., controlled by the process) and reasonably objective (i.e., based on supported facts, rather than relying on subjective judgment).

O Yes

Can you O No recommend any

improvements? • U/A

12. The ROP is risk-informed, in that actions and outcomes are appropriately graduated on the basis of increased significance. r

 Yes No U/A 	recommend any	
9 0/1	A mprovementor	
13. The	ROP is understandab	ble and the processes, procedures, and products are clear and written in plain English
O Yes	Can you	
O No	recommend any	
• U/A	improvements?	
	ROP provides adequ d and maintained safe	ate assurance, when combined with other NRC regulatory processes, that plants are ely and securely.
• Yes		
O No	Can you recommend any	
O U/A		
0 011	XP	
15. NR	C actions related to th	ne ROP are high quality, efficient, realistic, and timely.
• Yes	Can you	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
O No	recommend any	
O U/A	improvements?	
16. The	e ROP ensures openne	ess in the regulatory process.
• Yes	Convey	
O No	Can you recommend any	
O U/A		
17. The	ere are sufficient oppo	ortunities for the public to participate in the process.
• Yes	Can you	
O No		
O U/A		
9 O.I	-	L
18. NR	C is responsive to pul	blic's comments and inputs on the ROP.
• Yes	Can you	
O No		
O U/A		

1

÷

19. The ROP has been implemented as defined by program documents.

• Yes	Can you		
O No	recommend any		
O U/A	improvements?		

20. The ROP does NOT result in unintended consequences.

• Yes Can you

. . 6

- O No recommend any
- \bigcirc U/A improvements?

Which of the following groups best describe your affiliation/interest?

○ State/Local Government

- Public (interested member of the public or public interest groups)
- Industry (licensee and its employees, INPO, NEI, etc)
- Other:

Please press the Submit Survey button, or mail a hard copy (with docket ID NRC-2011-0270) to:

Cindy Bladey Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch Office of Administration (Mail Stop: TWB-05-B01M) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 0001

If you submit this survey by email, you will receive an acknowledge email. If you do not receive such email in two business days, please contact us at ROPSurvey@nrc.gov. Please save a copy of the filled survey for your record.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This survey contains information collections that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). These information collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0197, which expires August 31, 2012.

The burden to the public for these voluntary information collections is estimated to be 45 minutes per response. The information gathered will be used in the NRC's self-assessment of the reactor oversight process. Send comments regarding this burden estimate to the Information Services Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by Internet electronic mail to INFOCOLLECTS.RESOURCE@NRC.GOV; and to the Desk Officer, Chad Whiteman, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0197), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Public Protection Notification

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

