Page 1003 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 1 2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 3 + + + + + 4 ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL 5 + + + + + 6 PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE 7 8 IN THE MATTER OF: 9 SHAW AREVA MOX : Docket No. 70-3098-MLA 10 SERVICES (Mixed Oxide Fuel : 11 Fabrication Facility) : 12 13 -----X 14 Wednesday, November 16, 2011 15 16 The above-entitled matter came on for 17 prehearing conference via teleconference, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m. Eastern Daylight time 18 19 BEFORE: 2.0 MICHAEL FARRAR Administrative Judge 21 LAWRENCE McDADE Administrative Judge 22 NICHOLAS TRIKOUROS Administrative Judge 23 24 25

1 PROCEEDINGS

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 (10:33:45 a.m.)

JUDGE FARRAR: Let's go on the record.

We're here on a conference call in the MOX case. This is Mike Farrar, Chairman of the Board. My colleagues, Larry McDade and Nick Trikouros, are on. The reason for the slight delay in getting started is we're on a triple bridge. We're all in different locations, so we hope that holds up. Nick is at headquarters with our

The Applicant is on. Who's there?

two law clerks, Shelbie Lewman and Josh Kerstein.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, this is Don Silverman, and I'm here with Anna Jones and Dealis Flynn is with us today from the Company.

JUDGE FARRAR: All right, thank you.

Welcome. From the Intervenor?

MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran. Good morning. And I am by myself.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. From the Staff?

MR. KLUKIN: Your Honor, this is Brent
Klukin from the Office of General Counsel. I also have
with me Christopher Hare from the Office of General
Counsel. And then I'm going to have the Staff go
around and state their name and position.

JUDGE FARRAR: All right.

MR. CAMPBELL: Larry Campbell. I'm the 1 Chief of the Mixed Oxide and Deconversion Branch. 2 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. 3 MR. PHAM: I am Tom Pham, Senior Staff in 4 the Material Control and Accounting Branch, NRC. 5 MR. MORRISSEY: Kevin Morrissey, and I'm a 6 7 MOX PM and reviewer. MR. TATINSKY: Dave Tatinsky, the MOX PM. 8 9 MR. BRYCE: Tom Bryce, Acting Branch Chief. 10 JUDGE FARRAR: We're losing you there. You 11 have to get closer to the phone. 12 MR. KLUKIN: We'll start again, Your Honor, 13 with the last two. MR. BRYCE: Tom Bryce, Acting Branch Chief 14 with Material Control and Accounting Branch. 15 MR. HANDELMAN: Jim Handelman, Chem Safety 16 17 Reviewer, MOX Branch. MS. BAILEY: Theresa Bailey, Deputy 18 19 Director, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safequards. 20 2.1 MR. KLUKIN: And that's it, Your Honor. 22 Thank you. JUDGE FARRAR: Thank all the Staff people 23 for coming, and counsel. And we're happy to have all 24 25 of you on the call. Let me give the usual warning

because there's some information that may be discussed here that cannot be disclosed.

2.1

Let me ask if there's anyone else who has gotten the pass code and gotten on the line, and warn that if you are and you are not authorized to hear this information, it will be a violation of law for you to stay on. So, is there anyone else on the call?

(No response.)

JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Hearing none, we'll proceed.

We're here this morning pursuant to an email that Shelbie sent you all on Thursday, November 3rd at 11:52 a.m. Since that's not in the formal record, let me just briefly recap it.

We said -- we suggested the conference call yesterday or today, and asked you all to let us know what was a good time. We said, and this is our key item of business today, that we'd like to be prepared to discuss the availability for an evidentiary hearing during two different weeks in February.

We noted that the Intervenor's response to the Applicant's motion was due on November 10th, and we asked the Intervenors to deal with a particular question raised by that. And then we said that the

pendency of all this -- with the time table that we issued on September 9th, put the time table on hold pending our ruling. So, that's where we are.

2.1

Let me -- and the Board has some items we want to discuss with you, but counsel are welcome to bring up any matters that would help us move this proceeding along.

about some documents that were inadvertently disclosed. Has that all been taken care of, or does anyone need the Board to take any action? Ms. Jones?

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor, that has been resolved.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. So, there's nothing for us to do.

MS. JONES: No. Thank you.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay, thank you. On that same type of question, Ms. Curran filed her substantive response possibly containing UCNI information, and I can understand -- we can understand why she does that, because she cannot afford to make a mistake of under-classifying on that.

Unfortunately, when you call something possibly UCNI, and Mr. Reporter, that's Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information, acronym.

Unfortunately, when you file something like that, the handling and management of it for the Board, particularly when you sometimes -- and in my case, most of the time have to work offsite, becomes a problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Ms. Jones, Mr. Silverman, would it be possible for you to ask your client -- and this is really -- well, the whole nation benefits by not having wrong information disclosed, it's really your client's information that's at stake. Is there any way you could ask your client to read over Ms. Curran's filing and tell us whether or not they would deem that as containing UCNI. If they say it has UCNI, that's not ultimately binding on us, I suppose, but we would treat is as UCNI. If, on the other hand, they say oh, no, this is fine. She was overly concerned. It doesn't need to be classified as UCNI, and they would give her permission to downgrade it, and then we could handle it better. Is there any way you could get your client to do that?

MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, Don Silverman.

Just let me make sure I understand. You're referring
to Intervenor's initial Statement of Position on

Contentions 9, 10, and 11, and the direct testimony of
Dr. Lyman. Right?

1 JUDGE FARRAR: Yes, sir, exactly.

2 MR. SILVERMAN: Can you bear with me one b-

just a second?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

JUDGE FARRAR: Yes.

MR. SILVERMAN: Thank you. Judge Farrar?

JUDGE FARRAR: Yes, sir?

MR. SILVERMAN: We'd be happy to do that.

We're able to do that. And we think that we can probably get that determination made within a week,

10 maybe less.

JUDGE FARRAR: Terrific. That would be a real help to the Board. So, why don't you all undertake to do that, get back to Ms. Curran. Well, let her and us know the result of that. And then I guess, Ms. Curran, maybe you could refile it, or I guess we could just strike the markings.

MS. CURRAN: Okay.

JUDGE FARRAR: Well, we'll worry about that later. Let us know how it comes out. And if it's -- if we're able to treat it differently, we'll figure out the logistics for how to do that later.

MS. CURRAN: Judge Farrar, I also wanted to let you know, I just -- while we were waiting for the Board, we had a conversation about the next round of rebuttal, which would be due after you rule on the

Motions to Strike and Dismiss. And I'm going to do my best to figure it out before we file that. I mean, you're perfectly right, that we don't want -- we want to -- if we're going to make a mistake, we want to make a mistake on the side of over-classifying something. But I'm getting a little more comfortable with it now, so I'm hoping that we can kind of resolve it ahead of time. And I'm predicting that probably what we file won't have UCNI in it, and it might make it simpler. But, again, I'll just -- I just want to let you know we're working on that.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay.

2.1

COURT REPORTER: If you could please identify yourself.

MS. CURRAN: Oh, I'm sorry. This is Diane Curran.

JUDGE FARRAR: Unless you have someone to talk to within the Government, it's very difficult for you to say well, I'm 100 percent sure of this.

MS. CURRAN: Yes.

JUDGE FARRAR: This may be unprecedented, but could Mr. Silverman, do you think the same person who looks at the previous filing could look at Ms. Curran's next filing; obviously, not on the merits, but just to do that service for her and us? And if we

needed a little more time to make that filing, we could grant it.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I presume that Ms. Curran is going to want to file the document first, though. I don't think she's going to be interested in giving us a preliminary copy.

(Laughter.)

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. Well, let's do that.

MR. SILVERMAN: Although, we'd be happy to

10 have one.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

JUDGE FARRAR: File it as UCNI again, and then we'll go through the same process, which ought to be even easier the second time --

MR. SILVERMAN: I think so.

JUDGE FARRAR: -- than it will be the first. Well, thank you all for your cooperation on that. That would make our lives much easier in the months ahead as we have to constantly refer to these documents and have them handy.

JUDGE McDADE: This is Judge McDade. Mr. Silverman, one thing I would request, when you have the DOE review as to whether or not it's UCNI, if it could be done not just simply as a one on or off switch, but if, for example, they could review it and determine that perhaps pages X-Y contained UCNI, but

the rest didn't, that would allow us to put as much as possible into the public record, if it was only a limited portion. So, it would be most helpful if it wasn't just simply this submission does contain UCNI, but rather if the conclusion is that there is some UCNI in there, if it could be identified as on pages X-Y, so that the rest of this could be put into the public record. Does that pose a problem?

2.1

MR. SILVERMAN: Judge McDade, that's -we'd be happy to do that if we're permitted to do
that. In the back of my head, and I don't have the -I'm not positive. I think there may -- that may not
be permissible under the UCNI Rule, sort of portion
marking and identification like that. If I'm wrong,
we'd be happy to do it that way, but we will check
that out. I think, though, that the UCNI Rules are
different, and if there's any UCNI in it, the whole
document is UCNI. But we'll double check.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. That's a good point

Judge McDade made, so if you'll just bear that in mind

and accommodate us, if the law permits. And, of

course, you can -- yes, that's fine, so let's leave it

at that.

Well, the important business, with that out of the way, number one item of business is looking

at a possible hearing date. And for purposes of that, let's make the following assumptions.

2.1

That for Contentions -- we will have a ruling on the pending motions by the end of November. Now, let's look at Contentions 9, 10, and 11. We give you a ruling the end of November. There's, essentially, 60 days which would take us to the end of January for the next filing by the Intervenor and the Staff, the reply by the Applicant, and the filing of the parties' proposed questions. But if there were no -- if we get out decision out by November 30th, and if there were no further motion practice, we would be -- the record would be ready for final analysis by the Board by the end of January.

Now, I mentioned Contentions 9, 10, and 11. The Applicant has a motion on Contention 4, which could take that on a different path, but certainly would not be a longer path than the 9, 10, and 11 path.

So, let's assume there were no further motion practice, and we were done with the prefiled stuff by the end of January, we had suggested two weeks in February, the week beginning the 13th, and the week beginning the 20th. And I understand, Mr. Silverman, you have a problem with one or both of

1 those?

2.1

MR. SILVERMAN: I guess that's a question,
Your Honor. Yes, thank you.

We, actually, have been trying to look at the calendar, too, and made exactly the same assumptions that you did, that perhaps the Board would rule by November 30th.

With respect to your proposed dates of the 14th to the 17th, I have a preplanned trip out of the country that starts before that time and ends after that time. And I really cannot make that.

JUDGE FARRAR: Yes, that's -- this is -just allow me to interject. That's one reason we
wanted to have this discussion now rather than later.
We didn't want to get the record in, and then start
asking people about conflicts, because we figured
there'd be even more conflicts then.

MR. SILVERMAN: Right. So, that's my conflict as lead counsel from MOX Services. On the 21st to 24th, as we looked at this, I think we're a little concerned about the ability to do that, to achieve that goal, and I'll tell you why. There's a couple of reasons.

If we break it down a little bit more, the Board rules on November 30th on the motion. Then 20

days later the Intervenors and the Staff file their rebuttal testimony. That would be December 20th.

JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

2.1

MR. SILVERMAN: Our MOX reply testimony would be due on January 9th. Now, between December 20th and January 9th --

JUDGE FARRAR: That's not the time of year to be working.

MR. SILVERMAN: Well, it's not -- I mean, you know, we worked around the holidays, that would be fine. Our problem is that our client, MOX Services' offices are physically -- they are closed from December 26th through January 3rd. They just shut down.

anticipated, even if you hadn't said that, that we were -- at some point, we would have said to people if you can't proceed during that period, let us know.

So, you're saying that's -- so, you're saying it's almost certain you would need more time, which pushes us not to the end of January, but a little bit into February. If everything else went perfectly, we --

MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I would actually -- I would say that rather than a January 9th filing date from MOX's reply testimony, I think if we got a date

All of

have a few days later, maybe the 23rd or 24th.

25

a sudden, you've overshot your 21st to 24th date. And I can tell you just to simplify it, that we have polled all our people, and any dates between March 2nd and March 12th would work very well for us. I don't know whether they work for the Board or the other parties, but I know that time would work.

2.1

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. I appreciate your thoughtfulness in this. And given the key role that the Board assigns the -- that the Commission assigns the Board in these cases, where we do all the questioning, we certainly don't want to after all this effort sort of short change ourselves and the parties by limiting our time to be fully prepared.

The difficulty we have, Mr. Silverman, is if we don't make that date of February 20th or 21st, and you've made a compelling case not to, our law clerks are all off on a training session at the Chattanooga facility on, I guess, reactors and so forth the week of the 27th.

MR. SILVERMAN: Right. And we have restrictions in that week, also.

JUDGE FARRAR: Yes. I'm concerned about them getting back. Although, you're saying you'd get - when would the questions be due on your new time frame?

MR. SILVERMAN: Well, if our date was

January 23rd for the filing of our testimony, which

would be the final piece of testimony. Then if my

calc is correct, it's 20 days later for the

confidential questions. That would be February 13th.

2.1

JUDGE FARRAR: February 13th, so we would get a couple of weeks with ourselves and the law clerks before they go. We could even try to have a prehearing conference call before they go.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes.

JUDGE FARRAR: Maybe the 22nd is -- no, the holiday is on the 20th, I would assume.

MR. SILVERMAN: President's Day is the 20th.

JUDGE FARRAR: The 20th, okay. So, we could have a prehearing -- we could have a conference call on the -- and Nick and Larry, jump in, if I get off the reservation here. We could have a conference call on the 22nd and 23rd, let the law clerks get back and maybe have a hearing on the 7th, 8th, and 9th?

Mr. Silverman, that would meet -- so far it's just you who have been talking. That would meet your needs?

MR. SILVERMAN: It would, Your Honor.

JUDGE FARRAR: The 7th, 8th, and 9th? All

right. Before I ask the other -- well, let me ask the
Staff and Ms. Curran.

JUDGE McDADE: Well, before you do, this is Judge McDade.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay.

2.1

JUDGE McDADE: Do we have what the dates for the RIC conference are? There may be conflicts with witnesses, as well.

JUDGE FARRAR: That's the next week, beginning the 12th is, for the record, the Regulatory Information Conference that the Commission puts on, in which anybody who's -- or a great many people who are interested in nuclear power, lawyers, technical people go over to the Marriott across the street from our headquarters. So, that's a bad week. But if we did the 7th, 8th, and 9th, that would avoid that.

Nick, is that all right with you?

JUDGE TRIKOUROS: All right, let me chime
in. Mr. Silverman, Ms. Curran, how about the week of
March 19th?

MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I did not check our people on that. I can, Your Honor. It works fine for me. I have no reason to believe it wouldn't work for our witnesses, but we have to check.

MS. CURRAN: Judge Trikouros, this is Diane

Curran. I have only checked the February dates with Dr. Lyman, so I would need to get back with him.

Those dates -- those two weeks work for me.

2.1

I also want to clarify, are you saying that -- I heard March 7th to 9th proposed, and that's a Wednesday through Friday. Is that Monday and Tuesday out, too?

JUDGE FARRAR: Well, we have our -- that was, Ms. Curran, because the law clerks would be in Chattanooga.

MS. CURRAN: Oh, okay. All right. And then the week of the 19th, are we talking about potentially any days of that week?

JUDGE FARRAR: Although, I suppose we could push it up to Tuesday, the 6th, if we had to.

MS. CURRAN: Well, why don't I check the 6th through the 9th, and the 19th to the 23rd with Dr. Lyman.

JUDGE FARRAR: Yes. Well, first of all, let's hear from the Staff. Is that -- would that make sense for you?

MR. KLUKIN: Your Honor, I've polled the room, and it looks like we're generally available between -- in the middle of March, those weeks in the middle of March. The only -- the one issue that may

come up is my co-counsel's wife, her due date is the 17th, but barring that -- that would be his first child. Barring that, I think we're generally available for the times that the Board has been talking about in the middle of March.

2.1

JUDGE FARRAR: Judge Trikouros, I know you have some other cases and other commitments. Does that first week of March work for you, or should we stop thinking about it?

JUDGE TRIKOUROS: I think that I can accommodate -- and I'll verify this, the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th time frame. And I know that I can accommodate the week of the 19th. So, if we can get everybody's concurrence that both of those two time periods are acceptable, then the Board could pick one.

Mr. Silverman, I think you said you needed to check with your people, so let's drop the weeks of the 13th and 20th for the reasons you have stated -- the weeks of the 13th and 20th of February, and let's look at the weeks beginning the 5th of March, actually Tuesday, the 6th, and the 19th of March. And if it was the 19th -- well, Tuesday, the 20th.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. So, why don't you all

check that. Ms. Curran, you check that, and let's handle that informally. Send an email to Shelbie and to Josh, and we'll work through that.

2.1

MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, this is Don Silverman again. I don't think this is going to throw a monkey wrench in, but it does relate to scheduling, so there was one other thing we did want to raise.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay, go ahead.

MR. SILVERMAN: We had in all honesty, previously -- there's been the issue that's come up several times about a site visit. And we've been asked on prior occasions whether we thought that would be worthwhile. And in all honesty, a Board-sponsored site visit earlier on, we did not think would add any value.

We've reconsidered that and, frankly, the primary reason is that once we finished our testimony, there was a significant amount of information there on physical protection procedures and controls, and access and all that. And we discussed it with our client, and we concluded that a Board-sponsored site visit would, in fact, be beneficial. And, of course, the Intervenors, and Staff, and everyone would be there in accordance with standard practice. But we're recommending that. And if people are interested in

that, we -- I can't believe we can't work that in 1 2 somehow in this schedule we're talking about. JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. Ms. Curran, I think 3 4 that was your initial request. Mr. Silverman, thank you for that offer. Ms. Curran, I think that was your 5 6 initial request. Are you still interested? 7 MS. CURRAN: Yes. 8 JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. And Staff --9 JUDGE McDADE: This is Judge McDade. Can 10 you hear me? 11 JUDGE FARRAR: Yes. 12 JUDGE McDADE: My question is, Mr. 13 Silverman, would you think it would be most helpful to have that site visit prior to the hearing, or 14 15 subsequent to the hearing? 16 MR. SILVERMAN: Prior to the hearing 17 typically is the way it's done. And I think that would be better. 18 19 JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. JUDGE McDADE: So, would it be possible 20 2.1 then to schedule a site visit during that week of the 22 5th of March with the view of the hearing on the week 23 of the 19th? MR. SILVERMAN: We can look into that. 24 25 JUDGE McDADE: Could the parties check

their availability as to that and get back to us on it?

MS. CURRAN: Yes.

2.1

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes.

MS. CURRAN: I have a question. This is

Diane Curran. Is there -- does it make sense to hold

the hearing in the -- near the plant, or is it -- are

there security requirements that make that impossible?

that is if security requirements -- the whole reason to go in the vicinity of the plant is so that the neighbors can come in, and citizens can come in watch. And if you can't do that in cases where you have to protect information, we usually see no purpose in going out, and a disservice in the sense of it makes it a lot harder to protect the documents than it does in our headquarters hearing room. So, we could talk about that, but I would say without even consulting with my colleagues that it's kind of like 10-1 against us wanting to do that.

MS. CURRAN: Okay.

JUDGE FARRAR: Now, if there were no information that could not be disclosed, we would certainly consider it. But I think unless something dramatically changes, remembering our CFS case out in

Salt Lake City, we were in Salt Lake City for three months, and then when we got into safeguards information, there was just no way we could do it out there, so we did the rest of it at headquarters.

MS. CURRAN: Okay.

2.1

JUDGE FARRAR: Judge McDade, you're suggesting the site visit the week of the 6th, and the hearing the week of the 20th --

JUDGE McDADE: Correct.

JUDGE FARRAR: -- of March. Okay. Just so the record is clear, and I don't get into trouble, if we have the hearing the week of the 20th, it will not go past the 22nd, because my youngest daughter is getting married in San Diego on the 31st, and we will be out there a week ahead of then. And there's priorities, and there's priorities.

MR. SILVERMAN: And it won't go beyond the 22nd. Okay.

JUDGE FARRAR: Yes, so I'd want to make sure we were done the 21st and 22nd. Personally, I like the notion of a site visit because if the Board is the one that has to ask questions, there are things we might see there that would aid our questioning at the hearing.

MS. CURRAN: Judge Farrar, this is Diane

Curran. I haven't asked Dr. Lyman yet about his availability, but is it -- if he tells me the only week he could do a hearing is the week of the 6th to the 9th, should we talk now about the possibility of doing a site visit in February, or just that cross bridge if we get to it?

2.1

JUDGE FARRAR: Let's cross that bridge, but that would be rather than not have the site visit, I would prefer -- Mr. Silverman, looking back at those weeks in February where it was not possible for you as lead counsel to participate in the hearing, could we do a site visit in your absence?

MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really rather not do that, Your Honor. But there are days in February that would work.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. Well, then let's leave that all to you. And if we -- and I guess particularly focus on that week of the 20th of February. And let's all -- let's talk about that, see what your people's availability is, and we'll work on that in the next few weeks.

But in the first instance, we're looking at the week of the 6th for the site visit, the week of the 19th for the hearing. If there's a problem with availability, we will be notified by email promptly of

that, with the possibility then of setting a date in February for the site visit.

2.1

And the parties should notify us of their availability for site visit in February if, in fact, there's a -- we're notified of a conflict the week of the 5th or the week of the 19th.

MR. SILVERMAN: Right.

JUDGE FARRAR: And it's still possible as part of all these negotiations that the site visit would be in February, and the hearing would be the week of March 6th.

MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran. And I just want to say that if we do run into any problems, I'll definitely consult with the other parties before writing back to the Board, so that we can perhaps make it a little smoother.

JUDGE FARRAR: Yes, right. This should be an informal process where we all have the same end in mind. Get the hearing scheduled for as soon as reasonably possible, but without disaccommodating counsel and witnesses so that any party or the Board is prejudiced. Okay. We'll leave that that way.

MS. CURRAN: Judge Farrar, this is Diane

Curran again. I just wanted to add to the mix that I'd

like to take a look at the schedule -- once we kind of

figure out what the end date is, I'd just like to look back at the schedule and see if we would like to request an extension of the December 20th deadline for rebuttal testimony now that other deadlines are being pushed into the future a bit further.

2.1

JUDGE FARRAR: Right, that's fine. We were on kind of a rigid schedule, if we were going to the February dates, but with the March dates we've got a little more time. Although, remember, this assumes no further motion practice, and we don't want to discourage you if you feel there's a good reason for it. But then we'd have to rethink this whole thing, if at any of the next two stages there's further motion practice.

MS. CURRAN: Okay.

JUDGE FARRAR: As I said, our goal, the Board's goal is to get a decision out on the pending motions by the end of November. Mr. Silverman, let me ask you this.

The first part of your motion, the Motion to Dismiss Contention 4, you thought was unopposed.

We asked the Intervenor to discuss what they were thinking and the lack of opposition. There was -- it's unopposed but not quite. Would you like a chance to respond to their latest filing? I'm not saying you

1 have to, but if --

2.1

MR. SILVERMAN: Well --

JUDGE FARRAR: They went through the review of everything and how they had filed a memorandum with four concerns, and they kind of relisted that. And that fourth one kind of goes to the merits. Would you want to respond to that?

In motion practice, I guess our rules are there's a motion, then an answer. And you don't usually get a right to reply, but since this is kind of a peculiar situation, would you want to reply?

MR. SILVERMAN: Well, let me hold on the reply request for a second, Your Honor, because I'm a little confused. I mean, our view is the Intervenors have, in fact, chosen not to go forward on this contention.

JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

MR. SILVERMAN: They've made that clear now several times. They don't oppose the dismissal of the contention. They're not taking a position on the contention. We've put a lot of time and effort into this, three years of mandatory disclosures and lengthy testimony at considerable cost to the Applicant. And I'm just trying to figure out what procedural position we'd be in if we took your kind offer to respond

1 further.

2.1

JUDGE FARRAR: But the procedural position of not waiving any rights you've already mentioned and repeated just now. Those are certainly --

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. Bear with me just one second.

JUDGE FARRAR: I'm not suggesting they be abandoned.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. Bear with me just one second, please. Yes, Your Honor, I appreciate that.

Thank you.

We won't waive our rights, and we appreciate you stating that. But, yes, if you're suggesting that we could be given the opportunity to respond to, I think it's basically three arguments, or concerns that the Intervenors have raised, we would be happy to file a reply to that.

JUDGE FARRAR: Yes, and it can -- on what you filed before. It may not be new material, but to say file something slightly different than a total lack of opposition, we wanted to make sure you had a chance to -- that you hadn't been put in a bad spot.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, no, we -- yes, we could -- we'd be happy. We appreciate that, to file a response to those items.

JUDGE FARRAR: And can you do that by the end of the month?

2.

2.1

JUDGE McDADE: And before you answer that,
Mr. Silverman, this is Judge McDade. If are you going
to respond, there's one thing that I would suggest
that you address, as well.

A question arises -- the Board does not have authority in this kind of a hearing to conduct a sua sponte inquiry into an issue. We only have the authority to consider those matters put into controversy by the parties. A question is, if the Board were going to pursue it, would we need to refer it to the Commission to get authority for a sua sponte review, or in the alternative, given the fact that we have an admitted contention, would the Board be able to view this as a matter put in controversy by the parties.

And based on the submissions that have come in, your testimony and your documents, ask questions at the hearing without violating the prohibition on sua sponte review.

MR. SILVERMAN: We could speak to that, if that's your question, Your Honor. We could address those questions, yes.

JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Then, before I

1 forget --

2.1

MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, if we respond by the end of the month, you're not going to be able to rule by the end of the month.

JUDGE FARRAR: Well, what we would do then, if -- since you did take up this offer, we would rule by the end of the month on your Motion to Strike on the other three contentions. And we would wait for your reply to rule on this.

But, as I said, this contention, there will be no more evidentiary -- I would guess that no matter how we rule, no more evidentiary phases of -- on Contention 4. In other words, you put in your evidence, the Intervenors put in no evidence, so nothing more needs to be filed. By not ruling by November 30th, we would not jeopardize the schedule we've talked about earlier in this call.

MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, Don Silverman.

I think what we can commit to do is to respond

absolutely no later than the 30th, and if possible,

sooner.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay, that's fine.

All right. Let me ask, Mr. Silverman, and this may be obvious to everybody else on the call, but when you -- when in response to Contention 4 you redid

your plans and commitments and so forth, whether or not Contention 4 goes forward, those new plans are part of your current application. Is that correct?

2.1

Correct?

JUDGE FARRAR: So, that's not something that would be readily withdrawn if we say Contention 4 is over. Your application stands as it now stands.

MR. SILVERMAN: My understanding is yes.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, it was incorporated into the revised version of the ISA summary, and the LA, and the license application.

JUDGE FARRAR: Right. Okay. And let me ask the Staff, when you came out with your final report blessing that, had that gone -- you recall the ACRS had had a problem long ago with this subject. Did they sign off at this latest stage?

MR. KLUKIN: The Staff is -- this is Brent Klukin, Your Honor. The Staff has indicated to me that the ACRS reviewed at the last, I think what was it, September -- the last meeting reviewed the entire application, and has found it acceptable.

I would also add that with regards to Contention 4, as indicated in Staff's testimony, and in Applicant's testimony, as well, that the buffer space limits are captured as IROFs, or as an IROF.

And, also, there's an associated management measure, as well, regarding that buffer space.

JUDGE FARRAR: And is that by way of

indicating that it's -- that they have -- that this is

not just a promise by the Applicant, this is a formal

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. All right. I think that's all the questions I had, and that I recall discussing with my colleagues. Nick and Larry, do you have anything else you wanted to ask?

JUDGE McDADE: This is Judge McDade. No.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay, thank you.

JUDGE TRIKOUROS: This is Judge Trikouros.

No.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

commitment?

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. Ms. Curran, anything else you would like to bring up?

MS. CURRAN: Yes. I'd like to ask if this transcript of this conversation could be released publicly. It did not seem to me that it included any SUNSI or UCNI. And to the extent possible, we'd really like to get it on the public record.

JUDGE FARRAR: All right. At this point, the reporter will issue it in his usual fashion, whatever the disclaimer is on this. It may contain

whatever, but then we will -- once we get that, we will promptly send it to our reviewers, security reviewers. Unless, Mr. Klukin, Mr. Hare, there's someone there now who could make that ruling?

2.1

MR. KLUKIN: Your Honor, this is Brent
Klukin. I think the normal review should take place,
as I can't specifically recall what I said regarding
the buffer space. Not to say more and make this nonpublic. But I think that a review is necessary at this
point, though. I mean --

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay, that's fine. Then we'll do a formal review of it, and with the attempt to reissue it as an open document.

MS. CURRAN: Thank you.

JUDGE FARRAR: Does that take care of your point, Ms. Curran?

MS. CURRAN: Yes, I appreciate it.

JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. Do you have anything else we need to discuss?

MR. SILVERMAN: No.

MS. CURRAN: No.

JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Then I think we're concluded. And I want to thank you all for your presence and your thoughtful contributions. And, again, your cooperative spirit in helping us move

| | Page 1038 |
|----|--|
| 1 | along as quickly as possible. So, thank you very |
| 2 | much. |
| 3 | MR. SILVERMAN: Thank you. |
| 4 | (Whereupon, the proceedings went off the |
| 5 | record at 11:18:27 a.m.) |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| A |
|-------------------------|
| abandoned 1032:8 |
| ability 1016:21 |
| able 1011:8,20 |
| 1033:15 1034:3 |
| above-entitled |
| 1003:16 |
| absence 1028:12 |
| absolutely 1034:20 |
| acceptable 1023:15 |
| 1035:21 |
| access 1024:20 |
| accommodate |
| 1014:21 1023:11 |
| 1023:12 |
| Accounting 1005:8 |
| 1007:5,15 |
| achieve 1016:22 |
| acronym 1009:25 |
| ACRS 1035:14,19 |
| Acting 1005:7 |
| 1007:9,14 |
| action 1009:11 |
| add 1024:14 |
| 1029:24 1035:22 |
| address 1033:6,23 |
| Administrative |
| 1003:20,21,22 |
| admitted 1033:15 |
| afford 1009:21 |
| ago 1035:15 |
| ahead 1012:8 |
| 1013:18 1024:8 |
| 1027:15 |
| aid 1027:23 |
| allow 1014:1 |
| 1016:13 |
| alternative 1033:14 |
| AMERICA 1003:1 |
| amount 1024:18 |
| analysis 1015:13 |
| Analyst 1005:11 |
| Anna 1004:13 |
| 1006:13 |
| answer 1031:9 |
| 1033:3 |
| anticipated |
| |
| |

| 1017:16 |
|---------------------------|
| anybody 1021:12 |
| APPEARANCES |
| 1004:1 |
| Applicant 1006:11 |
| 1015:9,16 |
| 1031:23 1036:5 |
| Applicant's |
| 1008:23 1035:24 |
| application 1035:3 |
| 1035:7,11,21 |
| appreciate 1019:7 |
| 1032:10,13,24 |
| 1037:17 |
| AREVA 1003:9 |
| 1004:11 |
| arguments 1032:15 |
| arises 1033:7 |
| asked 1008:16,24 |
| 1024:12 1028:1 |
| 1030:22 |
| asking 1016:16 |
| assigns 1019:9,9 |
| associated 1036:1 |
| assume 1015:20 |
| 1018:19 1020:12 |
| assumes 1030:9 |
| assumptions |
| 1015:2 1016:6 |
| ATOMIC 1003:4 |
| attempt 1037:12 |
| authority 1033:8 |
| 1033:10,13 |
| authorized 1008:5 |
| availability |
| 1008:19 1026:1 |
| 1028:2,20,25 |
| 1029:4 |
| available 1022:23 |
| 1023:4 |
| Ave 1004:15 |
| avoid 1021:16 |
| a.m 1003:18 1006:2 |
| 1008:13 1038:5 |
| |
| ${f B}$ |

back 1011:13

1014:11 1019:23 1020:19 1022:2 1026:1 1028:9 1029:15 1030:2 **bad** 1021:15 1032:22 **Bailey** 1005:4 1007:18,18 **barring** 1023:2,3 **based** 1033:18 **basically** 1032:15 bear 1011:2 1014:20 1032:5,9 **beginning** 1015:23 1015:24 1021:10 1023:21 **Behalf** 1004:2,11 1004:19 **believe** 1021:23 1025:1 **beneficial** 1024:22 **benefits** 1010:8 **best** 1012:2 **better** 1010:19 1025:18 **beyond** 1027:17 **binding** 1010:14 bit 1016:24 1017:21 1030:5 **blessing** 1035:14 **Board** 1003:4 1006:5 1009:4,11 1010:2 1011:12 1011:24 1015:14 1016:6.25 1018:19 1019:5,9 1019:10 1023:4 1023:15 1027:21 1029:15,21 1033:7,12,15 **Board's** 1026:9 1030:17 **Board-sponsored** 1024:13.21 **Bockius** 1004:14 **Branch** 1005:3.8 1005:10 1007:2.5

1007:9,14,15,17 **break** 1016:24 **Brent** 1006:20 1035:17 1037:5 **BRETT** 1004:4 **bridge** 1006:8 1028:6,7 **briefly** 1008:14 **bring** 1009:6 1036:17 **Bryce** 1007:9,9,14 1007:14 **buffer** 1035:24 1036:2 1037:8 **business** 1008:18 1014:24,25 1018:7 \mathbf{C} C 1006:1 calc 1020:4 calendar 1016:5 **call** 1006:4 1007:25 1008:7,16 1009:23 1020:9 1020:16,18 1034:17,24 **Campbell** 1005:9 1007:1.1 **captured** 1035:25 care 1009:10 1037:15 case 1006:4 1010:3 1019:16 1026:25 cases 1019:10 1023:7 1026:13 **certain** 1017:20 **certainly** 1015:17 1019:11 1026:24 1032:4 **CFS** 1026:25 **Chairman** 1006:5 **chance** 1030:24 1032:22 **change** 1019:12 **changes** 1026:25

Chattanooga

1019:18 1022:10 **check** 1014:15.18 1021:21,24 1022:16 1023:17 1024:1,1 1025:25 **checked** 1022:1 **Chem** 1007:16 **Chemical** 1005:2 **Chief** 1005:7,9 1007:2,9,14 **child** 1023:3 **chime** 1021:18 **chosen** 1031:15 Christopher 1004:5 1006:22 **citizens** 1026:12 City 1027:1,1 **clarify** 1022:4 classified 1010:17 **clear** 1027:11 1031:18 **clerks** 1006:10 1019:17 1020:8 1020:19 1022:9 **client** 1010:7,11,20 1017:11 1024:21 **client's** 1010:10 **closed** 1017:12 **closer** 1007:11 **code** 1008:4 colleagues 1006:5 1026:19 1036:10 come 1023:1 1024:10 1026:12 1026:12 1033:19 **comes** 1011:19 comfortable 1012:6 **coming** 1007:24 **Commission** 1003:2 1004:3,8 1019:9 1021:11 1033:13 **commit** 1034:19 commitment 1036:6 commitments

| 1023:7 1035:1 |
|-------------------------|
| |
| Company 1006:14 |
| compelling |
| 1019:16 |
| concerned 1010:16 |
| 1016:21 1019:22 |
| concerns 1031:5 |
| 1032:16 |
| concluded 1024:21 |
| 1037:23 |
| conclusion 1014:5 |
| |
| concurrence |
| 1023:14 |
| conduct 1033:8 |
| conference 1003:6 |
| 1003:17 1006:4 |
| 1008:15 1018:24 |
| 1020:9,16,18 |
| 1021:7,11 |
| confidential 1020:5 |
| conflict 1016:19 |
| |
| 1029:5 |
| conflicts 1016:16 |
| 1016:17 1021:7 |
| confused 1031:14 |
| consider 1026:24 |
| 1033:10 |
| considerable |
| 1031:23 |
| constantly 1013:18 |
| consult 1029:14 |
| consulting 1026:18 |
| contain 1014:4 |
| |
| 1036:25 |
| contained 1013:25 |
| containing 1009:19 |
| 1010:13 |
| contention 1015:16 |
| 1030:21 1031:16 |
| 1031:20,21 |
| 1033:15 1034:10 |
| 1033:13 1034:10 |
| 1034.13,23 |
| |
| contentions |
| 1010:24 1015:3,5 |
| 1015:15 1034:8 |
| contributions |
| |
| L |

| 1037:24 |
|---------------------------|
| Control 1005:7 |
| 1007:5,15 |
| Controlled 1009:25 |
| controls 1024:19 |
| controversy |
| 1033:11,16 |
| conversation |
| 1011:24 1036:19 |
| cooperation |
| 1013:16 |
| cooperative |
| 1037:25 |
| copy 1013:6 |
| correct 1020:4 |
| 1027:9 1035:3,8 |
| cost 1031:23 |
| counsel 1004:6 |
| 1006:21,23 |
| 1007:24 1009:5 |
| 1016:19 1028:11 |
| 1029:21 |
| count 1018:6 |
| country 1016:10 |
| couple 1016:23 |
| 1020:7 |
| course 1014:22 |
| 1024:22 |
| COURT 1012:13 |
| co-counsel's 1023:1 |
| cross 1028:5,7 |
| Curran 1004:20,21 |
| 1006:17,17 |
| 1009:18 1011:13 |
| 1011:15,17,22 |
| 1012:15,16,20 |
| 1013:4 1021:2,19 |
| 1021:25 1022:1,9 |
| 1022:11,16 |
| 1024:1 1025:3,5,7 |
| 1026:3,5,6,21 |
| 1027:5,25 1028:1 |
| 1029:12,12,23,24 |
| 1030:15 1036:16 |
| 1036:18 1037:14 |
| 1037:16,17,21 |
| Curran's 1010:11 |

| 1012:24 |
|---|
| current 1035:3 |
| Cycle 1005:5 |
| 1007:19 |
| |
| D 1006.1 |
| D 1006:1 date 1015:1 |
| 1017:24,25 |
| |
| 1019:1,15 1020:1 1023:1 1029:1 |
| |
| 1030:1 dates 1016:8 |
| |
| 1019:3 1021:6 |
| 1022:1,3 1030:8,8 |
| daughter 1027:13 Dave 1007:8 |
| DAVID 1005:12 |
| |
| Day 1020:13 |
| Daylight 1003:18 days 1015:7 1017:1 |
| 1018:7,13,25 |
| 1018:7,13,23 |
| 1020:4 1022:13 |
| DC 1004:9,16,24 |
| deadline 1030:3 |
| deadlines 1030:4 |
| deal 1008:24 |
| Dealis 1006:13 |
| December 1017:2,5 |
| 1017:13 1030:3 |
| decision 1015:11 |
| 1030:17 |
| Deconversion |
| 1005:10 1007:2 |
| deem 1010:12 |
| definitely 1029:14 |
| delay 1006:7 |
| Deputy 1005:4 |
| 1007:18 |
| determination |
| 1011:9 |
| determine 1013:25 |
| Diane 1004:20 |
| 1006:17 1012:15 |
| 1021:25 1026:6 |
| 1027:25 1029:12 |
| |

1029:23 **Diego** 1027:14 different 1006:8 1008:20 1014:17 1015:17 1032:20 differently 1011:20 **difficult** 1012:18 **difficulty** 1019:14 direct 1010:24 **Director** 1005:4 1007:19 disaccommodating 1029:20 disclaimer 1036:25 disclosed 1008:2 1009:10 1010:9 1026:23 disclosures 1031:22 discourage 1030:11 **discuss** 1008:19 1009:5 1030:22 1037:19 discussed 1008:1 1024:20 discussing 1036:10 **discussion** 1016:14 1018:21 **Dismiss** 1012:1 1030:21 **dismissal** 1031:19 disservice 1026:15 **Division** 1005:4 1007:19 **Docket** 1003:9 document 1013:4 1014:18 1037:13 documents 1009:9 1013:19 1026:16 1033:19 **DOE** 1013:22 **doing** 1028:5 **Don** 1006:12 1010:21 1024:4 1034:18 **DONALD** 1004:12 **double** 1014:18

downgrade 1010:18 **Dr** 1010:25 1022:2 1022:17 1028:1 dramatically 1026:25 **drop** 1023:18 **due** 1008:23 1011:25 1017:5 1019:24 1023:1 **D21** 1004:7 \mathbf{E} **E** 1006:1.1 earlier 1024:14 1034:17 easier 1013:13,17 **Eastern** 1003:18 effort 1019:12 1031:21 **Eisenberg** 1004:21 email 1008:12 1024:2 1028:25 **ends** 1016:10 entire 1035:20 **ESQ** 1004:4,5,12 1004:13.20 essentially 1015:7 **everybody** 1034:24 everybody's 1023:13 **evidence** 1034:14 1034:14 evidentiary 1008:20 1034:11 1034:12 **exactly** 1011:1 1016:5 **example** 1013:24 extension 1030:3 **extent** 1036:21 \mathbf{F} **Fabrication** 1003:12

Fabrication 1003:12 facility 1003:12 1019:18 fact 1024:22

| 1029:4 1031:15 | file 1010:1 1012:2,9 | future 1030:5 | Handelman | 1032:10 1033:23 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1033:14 | 1013:4,11 1017:1 | | 1007:16,16 | 1034:2,18 |
| far 1020:21 | 1018:13 1032:17 | G | handle 1010:19 | 1035:18 1036:7 |
| Farrar 1003:20 | 1032:20,24 | G 1006:1 | 1024:2 | 1037:5 |
| 1006:3,5,15,19,25 | filed 1009:18 | General 1004:6 | handling 1010:2 | hope 1006:9 |
| 1007:3,10,23 | 1031:4 1032:19 | 1006:21,22 | handy 1013:19 | hoping 1012:7 |
| 1008:9 1009:14 | 1034:15 | generally 1022:23 | happy 1007:24 | |
| 1009:17 1011:1,4 | filing 1010:12 | 1023:3 | 1011:7 1013:9 | I |
| 1011:5,6,11,18,22 | 1012:23,24 | getting 1006:7 | 1014:10,15 | identification |
| 1012:12,17,21 | 1013:1 1015:8,9 | 1012:6 1019:23 | 1032:17,24 | 1014:14 |
| 1013:8,11,15 | 1017:24 1020:2 | 1027:14 | harder 1026:16 | identified 1014:6 |
| 1014:19 1016:12 | 1030:25 | give 1007:25 | Hare 1006:22 | identify 1012:14 |
| 1017:3,7,15 | final 1015:13 | 1010:18 1015:5 | 1037:3 | important 1014:24 |
| 1018:3,9,12,17,22 | 1018:23 1020:3 | given 1019:8 | Harmon 1004:21 | impossible 1026:8 |
| 1019:7,22 1020:6 | 1035:13 | 1032:14 1033:14 | head 1014:11 | inadvertently |
| 1020:11,15,25 | fine 1010:16 | giving 1013:6 | headquarters | 1009:9 |
| 1021:5,9 1022:8 | 1014:22 1017:11 | go 1006:3,23 | 1006:9 1021:15 | included 1036:20 |
| 1022:14,19 | 1021:22 1030:6 | 1013:12 1020:8,9 | 1026:17 1027:4 | incorporated |
| 1023:6,16,25 | 1034:22 1037:11 | 1021:14 1024:8 | hear 1008:5 | 1035:9 |
| 1024:8 1025:3,8 | finished 1024:17 | 1026:11 1027:13 | 1022:20 1025:10 | indicated 1035:18 |
| 1025:11,19 | first 1009:8 1013:5 | 1027:17 1031:15 | heard 1022:5 | 1035:23 |
| 1026:9,22 1027:6 | 1013:16 1022:19 | goal 1016:22 | hearing 1008:9,20 | indicating 1036:4 |
| 1027:10,19,25 | 1023:2,8 1028:22 | 1030:16,17 | 1015:1 1020:20 | informal 1029:18 |
| 1028:7,16 1029:8 | 1030:20 | goes 1031:6 1035:2 | 1025:14,15,16,22 | informally 1024:2 |
| 1029:17,23 | Flynn 1006:13 | going 1006:23 | 1026:7,17 1027:8 | information 1008:1 |
| 1030:6,16 1031:3 | focus 1028:18 | 1012:1,4 1013:4,5 | 1027:12,24 | 1008:6 1009:20 |
| 1031:17 1032:2,7 | following 1015:2 | 1024:5 1026:15 | 1028:3,11,24 | 1009:25 1010:9 |
| 1032:18 1033:1 | forget 1034:1 | 1030:7 1033:4,12 | 1029:10,19 | 1010:10 1021:11 |
| 1033:25 1034:5 | formal 1008:13 | 1034:3 | 1033:8,20 | 1024:18 1026:14 |
| 1034:22 1035:5 | 1036:5 1037:12 | good 1006:17 | help 1009:6 | 1026:23 1027:3 |
| 1035:12 1036:3,8 | forth 1019:19 | 1008:17 1014:19 | 1011:12 | initial 1010:23 |
| 1036:13,16,23 | 1035:1 | 1030:11 | helpful 1014:3 | 1025:4,6 |
| 1037:11,15,18,22 | forward 1031:15 | gotten 1008:4,4 | 1025:13 | inquiry 1033:9 |
| fashion 1036:24 | 1035:2 | Government | helping 1037:25 | instance 1028:22 |
| February 1008:21 | found 1035:21 | 1012:18 | hold 1009:2 1026:6 | interested 1013:6 |
| 1015:23 1017:22 | four 1031:5 | grant 1013:2 | 1031:12 | 1021:13 1024:25 |
| 1018:15,16,21 | fourth 1031:6 | great 1021:12 | holds 1006:9 | 1025:6 |
| 1019:15 1020:5,6 | frame 1019:25 | GRICE 1005:7 | holiday 1020:12 | interject 1016:13 |
| 1022:1 1023:20 | 1023:12 | guess 1011:15,16 | holidays 1017:10 | Intervenor 1004:19 |
| 1028:5,10,14,19 | frankly 1024:16 | 1016:2 1019:18 | honesty 1024:9,13 | 1006:16 1015:8 |
| 1029:2,4,10 | Friday 1022:6 | 1028:17 1031:8 | Honor 1006:20 | 1030:22 |
| 1030:8 | Fuel 1003:11 | 1034:11 | 1007:12,21 | Intervenors |
| feel 1030:11 | 1005:4 1007:19 | <u>H</u> | 1009:12 1010:21 | 1008:24 1017:1 |
| figure 1011:20 | fully 1019:13 | HAIR 1004:5 | 1013:3 1016:3 | 1024:23 1031:14 |
| 1012:2 1030:1 | further 1015:12,20 | HAMMELMAN | 1020:24 1021:22 | 1032:16 1034:14 |
| 1031:24 | 1030:5,10,13 | 1005:2 | 1022:22 1024:4 | Intervenor's |
| figured 1016:16 | 1032:1 | hand 1010:15 | 1028:14 1031:13 | 1008:22 1010:23 |
| | | Hallu 1010.13 | | |
| | | | | |

| IROF 1035:25 |
|-------------------------|
| IROFs 1035:25 |
| ISA 1035:10 |
| issue 1022:25 |
| 1024:10 1033:9 |
| 1036:24 |
| issued 1009:2 |
| item 1008:18 |
| 1014:25 |
| |
| items 1009:4 |
| 1032:25 |
| |
| J |
| J 1004:12 |
| JAMES 1005:2 |
| January 1015:8,1 |
| 1015:22 1017:5. |
| 1017:13,21,24 |
| 1019.1 1 1020.2 |

4 .6 1018:1,1 1020:2 jeopardize 1034:16 **Jim** 1007:16 **Jones** 1004:13 1006:13 1009:11 1009:12,16 1010:6 **Josh** 1006:10 1024:3 **Judge** 1003:20,21 1003:22 1006:3 1006:15,19,25 1007:3,10,23 1008:9 1009:14 1009:17 1011:1,4 1011:5,6,11,18,22 1012:12,17,21 1013:8.11.15.20 1013:20 1014:9 1014:19,20 1016:12 1017:3,7 1017:15 1018:3,9 1018:12,17,22 1019:7,22 1020:6 1020:11,15,25

1021:3,4,5,6,9,18

1023:6,6,10,16,25

1021:25 1022:8

1022:14,19

1024:8 1025:3,8,9 1025:9.11.12.19 1025:20,25 1026:9,22 1027:6 1027:6,9,10,19,25 1028:7,16 1029:8 1029:17,23 1030:6,16 1031:3 1031:17 1032:2,7 1032:18 1033:1,3 1033:4,25 1034:5 1034:22 1035:5 1035:12 1036:3.8 1036:12,12,13,14 1036:14,16,23 1037:11,15,18,22 **jump** 1020:17

Kerstein 1006:10 Kevin 1005:6 1007:6 key 1008:18 1019:8 kind 1012:7 1026:19 1029:25 1030:7 1031:5,6 1031:10,25 1033:8 KLUKAN 1004:4 Klukin 1006:20,21 1007:12,21 1022:22 1035:17

know 1008:17 1011:14,19,23 1012:11 1017:10 1017:18 1019:5,6 1023:6,12

1035:18 1037:3,5

1037:6

L

L 1005:9 LA 1035:11 lack 1030:23 1032:21 Lake 1027:1,1 Larry 1005:9 1006:6 1007:1 1020:17 1036:10 latest 1030:25 1035:16 Laughter 1013:7 law 1006:10 1008:6 1014:21 1019:16 1020:7,19 1022:9 LAWRENCE

1003:21
lawyers 1021:13
lead 1016:19
1028:11
leave 1014:22
1028:17 1029:22
lengthy 1031:22
let's 1006:3 1013:8
1014:22 1015:2,5
1015:20 1018:16
1022:20 1023:18
1023:20 1024:1
1028:7,16,19,19

Lewis 1004:14 Lewman 1006:10 license 1035:11 LICENSING 1003:4 limited 1014:3

limiting 1019:13

limits 1035:25 line 1008:4 little 1009:8 1012:6 1013:1 1016:21 1016:24 1017:21 1029:16 1030:9 1031:14 lives 1013:17

LLP 1004:14,22 **locations** 1006:8 **logistics** 1011:21 **long** 1035:15

longer 1015:18 look 1012:23 1015:5 1016:4

> 1023:20 1025:24 1029:25 1030:1

looked 1016:20 **looking** 1014:25

1028:9,22 looks 1012:23 1022:23 losing 1007:10 lot 1026:16 1031:21 Lyman 1010:25 1022:2,18 1028:

1022:2,18 1028:1 \mathbf{M} **M** 1004:23 **Mail** 1004:7 management 1010:2 1036:1 **Manager** 1005:6 1005:12 mandatory 1031:22 **March** 1019:3,4 1021:20 1022:5 1022:24,25 1023:5,8,21,22 1025:22 1027:10 1029:11 1030:8 **MARISSA** 1005:4 marking 1014:14 **markings** 1011:16 married 1027:14 **Marriott** 1021:14 material 1005:7 1007:5,15 1032:19 matter 1003:8,16 1033:16 1034:12 **matters** 1009:6 1033:10 **McDADE** 1003:21 1006:6 1013:20 1013:20 1014:9 1014:20 1021:3,4 1021:6 1025:9,9 1025:12,20,25 1027:6,9 1033:3,4 1036:12,12 mean 1012:2 1017:9 1031:14

1037:10

means 1018:14 measure 1036:1 meet 1020:21,22 meeting 1035:20 memorandum 1031:4 mentioned 1015:15 1032:3

merits 1012:24 1031:6

MICHAEL 1003:20

middle 1022:24,25 1023:5

Mike 1006:5 mind 1014:20 1029:19

mishap 1009:8 mistake 1009:22

1012:4,5 mix 1029:24 Mixed 1003:11 1005:9 1007:2

Monday 1018:2 1022:6

monkey 1024:6 month 1033:2 1034:3,4,7

months 1013:18 1027:2

Morgan 1004:14 MORISSEY

1005:6 **morning** 1006:18 1008:11

Morrissey 1007:6,6 motion 1008:23 1015:12,16,21 1016:25 1030:10

1030:14,20,20 1031:8,9 1034:7

motions 1012:1 1015:4 1030:18

move 1009:6 1037:25

MOX 1003:9 1005:2,6,12

1006:4 1007:7,8 1007:17 1016:19 1017:4.11 MOX's 1017:25 N N 1006:1 name 1006:24 **nation** 1010:8 near 1026:7 necessary 1037:9 need 1009:11 1010:17 1017:20 1022:2 1033:12 1037:19 **needed** 1013:1 1023:17 needs 1020:23 1034:15 negotiations 1029:9 **neighbors** 1026:12 new 1019:24 1032:19 1035:2 **NICHOLAS** 1003:22 Nick 1006:6.9 1020:17 1021:17 1036:10 non 1037:8 **normal** 1037:6 **noted** 1008:22 notice 1003:18 **notified** 1028:25 1029:5 notify 1029:3 **notion** 1027:21 **November** 1003:14 1008:12.23 1015:4,6,11 1016:7.25 1030:18 1034:16 **NRC** 1007:5 **nuclear** 1003:2 1004:2.8 1009:25 1021:13

number 1014:25

NW 1004:15.23 0

O 1006:1 **obvious** 1034:24 **obviously** 1012:24 **occasions** 1024:12 offer 1025:5 1031:25 1034:6 **Office** 1004:6 1006:21.22 offices 1017:12 **offsite** 1010:4 **oh** 1010:15 1012:15 1022:11 okay 1006:19 1009:14,17 1011:17 1012:12 1013:8 1014:19 1017:15 1018:9 1019:7 1020:15 1021:5 1022:11 1023:16.24.25 1024:8 1025:3,8 1025:19 1026:21 1027:5,10,18 1028:16 1029:22 1030:15 1032:9 1034:22 1035:12 1036:8.13.16 1037:11,18 once 1024:17 1029:25 1037:1 **open** 1037:13 opportunity 1032:14 **oppose** 1031:19 **opposition** 1030:23 1032:21 ought 1013:12 overly 1010:16 **overshot** 1019:1 over-classifying

1012:5

Oxide 1003:11

O-15 1004:7

1005:9 1007:2

P **P** 1006:1 pages 1013:25 1014:6 **PANEL** 1003:4 part 1029:9 1030:20 1035:3 participate 1028:11 particular 1008:24 particularly 1010:3 1028:18 **parties** 1015:10 1018:13 1019:6 1019:12 1025:25 1029:3,14 1033:11,17 party 1029:21 pass 1008:4 path 1015:17,18,19 **peculiar** 1031:11 pendency 1009:1 **pending** 1009:3 1015:4 1030:17 Pennsylvania 1004:15 **people** 1007:23 1016:16 1017:17 1019:3 1021:12 1021:13,22 1023:18 1024:25 **people's** 1028:20 **percent** 1012:19 perfectly 1012:3 1017:22 **period** 1017:18 **periods** 1023:14 permissible 1014:13 permission 1010:18 permits 1014:21

phases 1034:12 **phone** 1007:11 **physical** 1024:19 physically 1017:12 pick 1023:15 **piece** 1020:3 **place** 1037:6 **plans** 1035:1,2 **plant** 1026:7,11 **please** 1012:13 1032:10 **PM** 1007:7.8 **point** 1014:19 1017:17 1036:23 1037:10,16 **polled** 1019:3 1022:22 **portion** 1014:3,13 **pose** 1014:8 **position** 1006:24 1010:23 1031:20 1031:24 1032:2 **positive** 1014:12 possibility 1028:4 1029:1 **possible** 1010:7 1014:2 1015:1 1025:20 1028:10 1029:8.20 1034:20 1036:21 1038:1 **possibly** 1009:19 1009:24 potentially 1022:13 **power** 1021:13 **practice** 1015:12 1015:21 1024:24 1030:10.14 1031:8 predicting 1012:8 **prefer** 1028:9 **prefiled** 1015:21 prehearing 1003:17 1018:24 1020:9,16 prejudiced 1029:22 preliminary 1013:6

prepared 1008:19 1019:13 preplanned 1016:9 **presence** 1037:24 **PRESENT** 1005:1 **President's** 1020:13 **presume** 1013:3 **previous** 1012:23 previously 1024:10 PRE-HEARING 1003:6 **primary** 1024:17 **prior** 1024:12 1025:14,16 **priorities** 1027:16 1027:16 **probably** 1011:9 1012:8 1018:24 **problem** 1010:5 1014:8 1015:25 1017:11 1028:24 1035:15 **problems** 1029:13 procedural 1031:24 1032:2 procedures 1024:19 **proceed** 1008:10 1017:18 proceeding 1009:7 proceedings 1038:4 process 1013:12 1029:18 prohibition 1033:21 **Project** 1005:6,12 **promise** 1036:5 **promptly** 1028:25 1037:2 **proposed** 1015:10 1016:8 1022:5 **proposing** 1018:10 **protect** 1026:14,16 **protection** 1024:19 **public** 1014:2.8 1036:22 1037:9

permitted 1014:10

Personally 1027:20

person 1012:22

Pham 1005:11

1007:4,4

publicly 1036:20 **purpose** 1026:14 **purposes** 1015:1 1018:21 **pursuant** 1003:17 1008:11 **pursue** 1033:12 **push** 1022:15 **pushed** 1030:5 **pushes** 1017:20 put 1009:2 1014:1 1014:7 1031:21 1032:22 1033:10 1033:16 1034:13 1034:14 **puts** 1021:11

Q

question 1008:25 1009:18 1016:2 1025:12 1026:5 1033:7,11,23 questioning 1019:11 1027:23 questions 1015:10 1018:14,20 1019:24 1020:5 1027:22 1033:20 1033:24 1036:9 quickly 1038:1 quite 1030:24

R

R 1006:1 raise 1024:7 raised 1008:25 1032:16 **reactors** 1019:18 read 1010:11 **readily** 1035:6 ready 1015:13 real 1011:12 really 1010:8,9 1016:11 1028:13 1036:22 **reason** 1006:6 1016:13 1021:23 1024:17 1026:10

1030:11 reasonably 1029:20 reasons 1016:23 1023:19 rebuttal 1011:25 1017:2 1030:4 recall 1035:14 1036:9 1037:7 recap 1008:14 recommending 1024:25 reconsidered 1024:16 **record** 1006:3 1008:14 1014:2,8 1015:13 1016:15 1021:10 1027:11 1036:22 1038:5 redid 1034:25 refer 1013:18 1033:12 **referring** 1010:22 **refile** 1011:15 regarding 1036:2 1037:7 regards 1035:22 Regulatory 1003:2 1004:2.8 1021:10 reissue 1037:13 relate 1024:6 **released** 1036:19 **relisted** 1031:5 **remember** 1030:9 remembering

1026:25 repeated 1032:4 **reply** 1015:9 1017:4,25 1031:10,11,13 1032:17 1034:9 report 1035:13 **reporter** 1009:24 1012:13 1036:24 request 1013:21 1025:4.6 1030:3

1031:13

requirements 1026:8.10 reservation 1020:18 **resolve** 1012:7 **resolved** 1009:13 **respect** 1016:8 **respond** 1030:25 1031:7.25 1032:15 1033:5 1034:2,19 response 1008:8,22 1009:19 1032:25 1034:25 rest 1014:1,7 1027:4 restrictions 1019:21 result 1011:14 **rethink** 1030:12 review 1013:22,24 1031:3 1033:14 1033:21 1037:6.9 1037:12 **reviewed** 1035:19 1035:20 reviewer 1005:2 1007:7,17 **reviewers** 1037:2.3 **revised** 1035:10 **RIC** 1021:7 **right** 1006:15,25 1007:3 1008:9 1010:25 1012:3 1016:18 1017:3 1018:6.22 1019:20 1021:1 1021:17.18 1022:11 1029:7 1029:17 1030:6 1031:10,17 1033:25 1034:23

1035:12 1036:8 1036:23 1037:22 rights 1032:3,12

room 1022:23 1026:17 round 1011:24 rule 1011:25 1014:13 1016:7 1034:4,6,9,12 rules 1014:16 1016:25 1031:8 **ruling** 1009:3 1015:4,6 1034:15 1037:4 run 1029:13

S **S** 1006:1 safeguards 1005:5 1005:11 1007:20 1027:2 **Safety** 1003:4 1005:2,5 1007:16 1007:19 **Salt** 1027:1,1

San 1027:14 saying 1017:19,19 1019:23 1022:4 1030:25 schedule 1025:2.21 1029:25 1030:2.7

scheduled 1029:19 scheduling 1024:6 **second** 1011:3 1013:13 1031:13

1034:16

security 1026:8,10 1037:2

1032:6.10

see 1026:14 1027:23 1028:19 1030:2

send 1024:2 1037:2 Senior 1005:11,12 1007:4 sense 1022:21

1026:6,15 sent 1008:12

September 1009:2 1035:20

Services 1003:10 1016:19 1017:11 **session** 1019:17 **setting** 1029:1 **Shaw** 1003:9 1004:11 **Shelbie** 1006:10 1008:12 1024:2 **short** 1019:12 **shut** 1017:13 **side** 1012:5 sign 1035:16 significant 1024:18 **Silverman** 1004:12 1006:12.12 1010:6,21,21 1011:2.5.7

service 1012:25

1016:2.18 1017:4 1017:9,23 1018:5 1018:10,15,18,23 1019:14,20 1020:1,10,13,21 1020:24 1021:19 1021:21 1023:17 1023:24 1024:4.5 1024:9 1025:4,13 1025:16.24 1026:4 1027:17 1028:9.13 1029:7 1030:18 1031:2 1031:12.18 1032:5,9,23

1012:22 1013:3,9

1014:9 1015:25

1013:14.21

1034:18,18,23 1035:4,9 1036:7 1037:20 1038:3 **simpler** 1012:10 **simplify** 1019:2 **simply** 1013:23 1014:4 sir 1011:1,6 site 1024:11,14,21

1025:14,21

1033:4,22 1034:2

rigid 1030:7

role 1019:8

| | 1 | 1 | ı | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1027:7,21 1028:5 | strike 1011:16 | teleconference | throw 1024:5 | 1010:13,13,15,17 |
| 1028:8,12,23 | 1012:1 1034:7 | 1003:17 | Thursday 1008:12 | 1012:9 1013:11 |
| 1029:2,4,9 | study 1018:20 | tell 1010:12 | TIKTINŠKY | 1013:22,25 |
| situation 1031:11 | stuff 1015:22 | 1016:22 1019:2 | 1005:12 | 1014:4,6,13,16,17 |
| slight 1006:7 | sua 1033:9,13,21 | tells 1028:2 | time 1003:18 | 1014:18 1036:21 |
| slightly 1032:20 | subject 1035:15 | Terrific 1011:11 | 1008:17 1009:1,2 | ultimately 1010:14 |
| smoother 1029:16 | submission 1014:4 | testimony 1010:24 | 1010:4 1012:8 | Unclassified |
| soon 1029:19 | submissions | 1017:2,4,25 | 1013:1,13 | 1009:24 |
| sooner 1034:21 | 1033:18 | 1020:2,3 1024:17 | 1016:10,11 | understand |
| sorry 1012:15 | subsequent | 1030:4 1031:23 | 1017:7,20 | 1009:20,20 |
| sort 1014:13 | 1025:15 | 1033:19 1035:23 | 1018:11 1019:6 | 1010:22 1015:24 |
| 1019:12 | substantive | 1035:24 | 1019:13,24 | understanding |
| space 1035:25 | 1009:19 | thank 1006:15 | 1023:12,14 | 1035:4 |
| 1036:2 1037:8 | sudden 1019:1 | 1007:22,23 | 1030:9 1031:21 | undertake 1011:13 |
| speak 1033:22 | suggest 1033:5 | 1009:16,17 | times 1023:4 | under-classifying |
| specifically 1037:7 | suggested 1008:15 | 1011:5 1013:16 | 1024:11 1031:19 | 1009:22 |
| Spielberg 1004:21 | 1015:22 | 1016:3 1025:4 | today 1006:14 | Unfortunately |
| spirit 1037:25 | suggesting 1027:7 | 1032:11 1036:13 | 1008:16,18 | 1009:23 1010:1 |
| sponte 1033:9,13 | 1032:7,14 | 1037:14,23 | Tom 1005:7,11 | UNITED 1003:1 |
| 1033:21 | Suite 1004:23 | 1038:1,3 | 1007:4,9,14 | unopposed 1030:21 |
| spot 1032:22 | summary 1035:10 | Theresa 1007:18 | total 1032:20 | 1030:24 |
| Staff 1005:1 | SUNSI 1036:21 | thing 1009:8 | training 1019:17 | unprecedented |
| 1006:19,23 | suppose 1010:14 | 1013:21 1024:7 | transcript 1036:19 | 1012:21 |
| 1007:4,23 1015:9 | 1022:14 | 1030:12 1033:5 | treat 1010:15 | usual 1007:25 |
| 1017:1 1021:2 | sure 1010:22 | things 1027:22 | 1011:20 | 1026:9 1036:24 |
| 1022:20 1024:23 | 1012:19 1027:20 | think 1011:8 | Trikouros 1003:22 | usually 1026:14 |
| 1025:8 1035:13 | 1032:21 | 1012:22 1013:5 | 1006:6 1021:18 | 1031:10 |
| 1035:17,18 | switch 1013:24 | 1013:14 1014:12 | 1021:25 1023:6 | U.S 1004:8 |
| Staff's 1035:23 | | 1014:16 1016:20 | 1023:10 1036:14 | |
| stage 1035:16 | T | 1017:25 1018:6 | 1036:14 | V |
| stages 1030:13 | table 1009:1,2 | 1023:3,10,17 | trip 1016:9 | value 1024:15 |
| stake 1010:10 | take 1009:11 | 1024:5,14 1025:3 | triple 1006:8 | verify 1023:11 |
| standard 1024:24 | 1015:7,17 | 1025:5,13,17 | trouble 1027:11 | version 1035:10 |
| stands 1035:7,7 | 1029:25 1034:6 | 1026:24 1032:15 | try 1020:8 | vicinity 1026:11 |
| start 1007:12 | 1037:6,15 | 1034:19 1035:19 | trying 1016:4 | view 1025:22 |
| 1016:15 | taken 1009:10 | 1036:8 1037:6,9 | 1031:24 | 1026:9 1031:14 |
| started 1006:7 | takes 1018:19 | 1037:22 | Tuesday 1022:6,15 | 1033:16 |
| starts 1016:10 | talk 1012:18 | thinking 1023:9 | 1023:21,23 | violating 1033:20 |
| state 1006:24 | 1026:17 1028:4 | 1030:23 | two 1006:10 | violation 1008:6 |
| stated 1023:19 | 1028:19 | thought 1024:12 | 1007:13 1008:20 | visit 1024:11,14,22 |
| Statement 1010:23 | talked 1034:17 | 1030:21 | 1015:22 1022:3 | 1025:14,21 |
| STATES 1003:1 | talking 1020:22 | thoughtful 1037:24 | 1023:14 1030:13 | 1027:7,21 1028:5 |
| stating 1032:13 | 1022:12 1023:5 | thoughtfulness | type 1009:18 | 1028:8,12,23 |
| stay 1008:7 | 1025:2 | 1019:8 | typically 1025:17 | 1029:2,4,9 |
| stop 1004:7 1023:8 | Tatinsky 1007:8,8 | three 1027:1 | | \mathbf{w} |
| street 1004:23 | technical 1005:11 | 1031:22 1032:15 | U VICINI 1000 10 24 | |
| 1021:14 | 1021:13 | 1034:8 | UCNI 1009:19,24 | wait 1034:8 |
| | | | | waiting 1011:23 |
| | | | | |

| ******** 1022.12 |
|---|
| waive 1032:12 |
| waiving 1032:3 |
| want 1009:5 1012:3 |
| 1012:3,4,10 |
| 1013:4 1016:15 |
| 1018:24 1019:11 |
| 1022:4 1024:7 |
| 1027:19 1029:13 |
| 1030:10 1031:7 |
| 1031:11 1037:23 |
| wanted 1011:22 |
| 1016:14 1029:24 |
| 1032:21 1036:11 |
| |
| wanting 1026:20 |
| warn 1008:4 |
| warning 1007:25 |
| Washington |
| 1004:9,16,24 |
| wasn't 1014:4 |
| watch 1026:12 |
| way 1010:10,19 |
| 1014:15,25 |
| 1014.13,23 |
| |
| 1029:22 1036:3 |
| Wednesday |
| 1003:14 1022:6 |
| week 1011:9 |
| 1015:23,24 |
| 1018:1,11,19 |
| 1019:19,21 |
| 1021:9,15,19 |
| 1022:12,13 |
| 1022:12,13 |
| |
| 1025:21,22 |
| 1027:7,8,12,15 |
| 1028:3,3,18,23,23 |
| 1029:5,6,11 |
| weeks 1008:20 |
| 1015:23 1020:7 |
| 1022:3,24 |
| |
| |
| 1023:18,19,21 |
| 1023:18,19,21 1028:10,21 |
| 1023:18,19,21 1028:10,21 welcome 1006:16 |
| 1023:18,19,21 1028:10,21 welcome 1006:16 1009:5 |
| 1023:18,19,21 1028:10,21 welcome 1006:16 1009:5 went 1017:22 |
| 1023:18,19,21 1028:10,21 welcome 1006:16 1009:5 |
| 1023:18,19,21 1028:10,21 welcome 1006:16 1009:5 went 1017:22 |
| 1023:18,19,21 1028:10,21 welcome 1006:16 1009:5 went 1017:22 1031:3 1038:4 |

| 1008:10 1011:18 1011:20 1013:12 1014:18 1024:3 1028:20 1029:22 1037:12 |
|--|
| we're 1006:4,7,8 1007:10,24 1008:11 1011:8 1011:20 1012:4 1012:11 1014:10 1016:20 1018:10 |
| 1022:23 1023:3 1024:24 1025:2 1028:22 1029:5 1037:23 we've 1024:11,16 1030:8 1031:21 |
| 1034:17 wife 1023:1 withdrawn 1035:6 witnesses 1021:8 1021:24 1029:21 |
| words 1034:13 work 1010:4 1019:4,5,6 1021:23 1022:3 |
| 1023:8 1024:3 1025:1 1028:15 1028:20 worked 1017:10 working 1012:11 |
| 1017:8 works 1021:22 worry 1011:18 worthwhile 1024:13 |
| wouldn't 1021:23 wrench 1024:6 writing 1029:15 wrong 1010:9 1014:14 |
| X x 1003:7,13 X-Y 1013:25 1014:7 |
| Y |

| year 1017:7 years 1031:22 yesterday 1008:16 youngest 1027:13 |
|---|
| <u> </u> |
| þ 1011:2 |
| 1 |
| 10 1010:24 1015:5 |
| 1015:15,18 |
| 10th 1008:23 |
| 10-1 1026:19 10:30 1003:18 |
| 10:33:45 1006:2 |
| 100 1012:19 |
| 11 1010:24 1015:5 |
| 1015:16,18 |
| 11:18:27 1038:5 11:52 1008:13 |
| 11:52 1008.13 1111 1004:15 |
| 12th 1019:4 |
| 1021:10 |
| 13th 1015:23 |
| 1018:16,20 |
| 1020:5,6 1023:18 1023:20 |
| 1023.20 14th 1016:9 |
| 16 1003:14 |
| 17th 1016:9 1023:2 |
| 1726 1004:23 |
| 19th 1021:20 |
| 1022:12,17 1023:13,22,22 |
| 1025:23 1028:24 |
| 1029:6 |
| 2 |
| 2nd 1019:3 |
| 20 1016:25 1018:13 |
| 1020:4 |
| 20th 1015:24 |
| 1017:2,5 1018:20 |
| 1019:15 1020:12 1020:14,15 |
| -0-0.1.,10 |

| 20004-2541 |
|--------------------------|
| |
| 1004:16 |
| 20036 1004:24 |
| 2011 1003:14 |
| 202 1004:17,25 |
| 20555-001 1004:9 |
| 21st 1016:20 |
| 1019:1,15 |
| 1017:1,13 |
| |
| 22nd 1020:11,19 |
| 1027:13,18,20 |
| 23rd 1018:1,1,11 |
| 1018:25 1020:2 |
| 1020:19 1022:17 |
| 24th 1016:20 |
| |
| 1018:25 1019:1 |
| 26th 1017:13 |
| 27th 1019:19 |
| |
| 3 |
| 3rd 1008:13 |
| 1017:13 |
| 30th 1015:11 |
| |
| 1016:7,25 |
| 1034:16,20 |
| 31st 1027:14 |
| 328-3500 1004:25 |
| |
| 4 |
| 4 1015:16 1030:21 |
| 1034:13,25 |
| 1035:2,7,23 |
| 1033.2,7,23 |
| 5 |
| 5th 1023:21 |
| |
| 1025:22 1029:6 |
| 6 |
| 6th 1022:15,17 |
| , |
| 1023:11,22 |
| 1027:7 1028:3,23 |
| 1029:11 |
| 60 1015:7 |
| 600 1004:23 |
| |
| 7 |
| 7th 1020:20,25 |
| · / |
| 1021:16 1022:5 |

1023:11 70-3098-MLA 1003:9 **739-5502** 1004:17 8 8th 1020:20,25 1021:16 1023:11 9 **9** 1010:24 1015:5 1015:15,18 **9th** 1009:2 1017:5 1017:6,24 1018:4 1018:5 1020:20 1020:25 1021:16 1022:5,17 1023:12 1028:4

1023:19,20,23 1027:8,12

1028:18 1030:3

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

| In the Matter of |) | |
|--|--------|------------------------|
| Shaw AREVA MOX Services, LLC |) | Docket No. 70-3098-MLA |
| (Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Possession and Use License) |)) | |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing **Official Transcript of Shaw AREVA MOX Services Pre-Hearing Conference, November 16, 2011**, have been served upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class, or through NRC internal distribution.

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 Administrative Judge
Michael C. Farrar, Chair
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Administrative Judge
Nicholas G. Trikouros
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Administrative Judge Lawrence G. McDade Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Shelbie Lewman, Law Clerk

Catherine Scott, Esq.
Brett Michael Patrick Klukan, Esq.
Christopher Hair, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop - O-15 D21
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Shaw AREVA MOX Services P.O. Box 7097 Aiken, SC 29804 Attention: Dealis Gwyn Docket No. 70-3098-MLA

Transcript of Shaw AREVA MOX Services Pre-Hearing Conference, November 16, 2011

Glenn Carroll Coordinator Nuclear Watch South P.O. Box 8574 Atlanta, GA 31106 Louis A. Zeller Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League P.O. Box 88 Glendale Springs, NC 28629

Mary Olson Nuclear Information and Resource Service P.O. Box 7586 Asheville, NC 28802 Donald J. Silverman, Esq. Timothy P. Matthews, Esq. Anna L. Vinson, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

Diane Curran, Esq. Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Eisenberg, L.L.P. 1726 M Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036

[Original signed by Nancy Greathead]

Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of January 2012