POLICY ISSUE NOTATION VOTE

<u>January 23, 2012</u> <u>SECY-12-0010</u>

FOR: The Commissioners

FROM: R. W. Borchardt

Executive Director for Operations

SUBJECT: ENGAGEMENT OF STAKEHOLDERS REGARDING THE EVENTS IN

JAPAN

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this paper is to respond to the staff requirements memorandum (SRM) entitled, "Staff Requirements—COMWDM-11-0001/COMWCO-11-0001—Engagement of Stakeholders Regarding the Events in Japan," dated August 22, 2011 (ML112340693). As requested, the staff is updating the Commission on efforts to: (1) provide the public with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) most up-to-date understanding of the chronology of events at the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant and with the agency's ongoing understanding of the plant's status, (2) obtain stakeholder input on the recommendations provided in the Near-Term Task Force (NTTF) report and brief the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) on the NTTF recommendations and agency plans going forward, and (3) obtain feedback from public citizens on the readability and understandability of the final NTTF report.

This paper puts the above efforts into context and provides the Commission with recommendations for enhancing the agency's ability to provide comprehensive, transparent, and clear communication with its stakeholders. Following the numbering used in the SRM, these recommendations relate to (4(a)) enhancements that the agency should make to assure ongoing engagement with the public and stakeholders concerning matters related to events at Fukushima Dai-ichi, (4(b)) the potential of convening a one-time group of experts or standing advisory committee to advise the agency on how it might improve the NRC's external communications on significant regulatory issues, and (4(c)) recommendations for engaging other organizations to establish partnerships to advance public communication and education on topics associated with radiological safety.

CONTACT: Lance Rakovan, OEDO

301-415-2589

SUMMARY:

The purpose of this paper is to respond to the SRM entitled, "Staff Requirements— COMWDM-11-0001/COMWCO-11-0001—Engagement of Stakeholders Regarding the Events in Japan," dated August 22, 2011 (ML112340693).

The staff is implementing a plan to provide up-to-date information on the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi to both external and internal stakeholders. As additional information becomes known about the accident, staff will communicate its understanding of the chronology of events and plant's status. The staff continues to receive stakeholder input on the recommendations provided in the NTTF report and the NRC's subsequent actions and has interacted with the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) as part of the review of lessons learned from the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi. The staff reached out to its Federal partners to obtain feedback on the readability and understandability of the final NTTF report. Although a number of responders thought the report was satisfactory, general feedback on the NTTF report stated that it was written for a technical audience and that the general public would probably not understand it.

The Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate (JLD) has assembled a communications team to ensure proper communication with various stakeholders involving follow-up actions associated with the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi. The staff believes that the agency could make a number of enhancements to assure ongoing engagement with the public and stakeholders concerning matters related to Fukushima Dai-ichi, including a number of initiatives detailed by the recent Stakeholder Confidence Working Group (SCWG). The staff has already begun taking action on some of these initiatives. The staff expects to accomplish these activities as part of normal responsibilities. The staff does not see the value in convening a one-time group of experts to advise the agency on how it might improve its external communications on significant regulatory issues in the short term. The staff recommends that the agency leverage existing mechanisms for engaging other organizations. These engagements have been successful and fruitful. The staff also recommends that the agency take full advantage of social media to share informative materials with appropriate stakeholders.

The staff recommends that the agency take no further action other than those already underway or described in this paper.

BACKGROUND:

On Friday, March 11, 2011, a 9.0-magnitude earthquake struck Japan about 372 kilometers (231 miles) northeast of Tokyo off the coast of Honshu Island. The earthquake led to the automatic shutdown of 11 reactors at four sites (Onagawa, Fukushima Dai-ichi, Fukushima Dai-ni, and Tokai) along the northeast coast. Approximately 40 minutes later, a tsunami, estimated to have exceeded 14 meters (45 feet) in height, hit the same coast.

The NRC established the NTTF in response to the Commission's direction to conduct a systematic and methodical review of NRC processes and regulations to determine whether the agency should make additional improvements to its regulatory system and to offer recommendations to the Commission for its policy direction in light of the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi. In examining the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi to gain insights for U.S. reactors, the NTTF addressed actions necessary to protect against accidents resulting from natural

phenomena, mitigate the consequences of such accidents, and ensure emergency preparedness. The NTTF issued its report on July 12, 2011.

In SRM SECY-11-0093, "Near-Term Report and Recommendations for Agency Actions Following the Events in Japan," dated August 19, 2011 (ML112310021), the Commission directed the staff to provide a proposed charter for the longer term review of the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi. The staff submitted the proposed charter to the Commission on August 26, 2011, and the Commission approved it in an SRM SECY-11-0117, "Proposed Charter for the Longer-Term Review of Lessons Learned from the March 11, 2011, Japanese Earthquake and Tsunami," dated October 19, 2011 (ML112920034). This charter established a senior level steering committee to lead the agency's longer term response to the accident.

SRM SECY-11-0093 also directed the staff to engage with stakeholders to review and assess the NTTF recommendations and provide the Commission with two notation vote papers with the results of that assessment. First, the Commission directed the staff to provide a notation vote paper with recommendations on actions that can and, in the staff's judgment, should be implemented, in whole or in part, without unnecessary delay. The staff issued this paper to the Commission on September 9, 2011 (ML11245A127). Second, the Commission directed the staff to prioritize the NTTF recommendations and include implementation challenges, additional recommendations, and a schedule and milestones with recommendations for stakeholder engagement and ACRS involvement. The staff issued this paper to the Commission on October 3, 2011 (ML11269A204). In SRMs dated October 19, 2011, and December 15, 2011, the Commission approved the staff's proposals, subject to specific comments from the Commission.

As of the date of this paper, the staff, under the direction of the steering committee, is engaging with stakeholders, including the public, industry, and State and local governments, to implement lessons learned from the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi consistent with the Commission's direction.

Since the events at Fukushima began to unfold, the NRC has attempted to address the challenging task of appropriately communicating with internal and external stakeholders about the events themselves and about the NRC's and nuclear industry's follow-up actions.

DISCUSSION:

(1) Communication Plan on Chronology of Events

The "Fukushima-Related After Actions" communications plan related to the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi explains how the NRC will provide up-to-date information on those events to both external and internal stakeholders. For example, external stakeholders can continue to access information through the Japan Nuclear Accident—NRC Actions Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/japan/japan-info.html and to receive additional information through the NRC blog and at NRC public meetings. As additional information becomes known about the accident, staff will communicate its understanding of the chronology of events and plant's status. External stakeholders also have access to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, responses and released records through the *FOIAs Related to Japan's Emergency* website (http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/foia/japan-foia-info.html). Further, the NRC recently added Twitter to its communications toolbox. The agency can and will use Twitter to reach a broader

audience when important updates concerning Fukushima Dai-ichi are available. The communications plan is located on the NRC Intranet at http://www.internal.nrc.gov/communications/plans/active/Comm%20Plan%20122211.pdf.

The NRC staff has additional mechanisms at its disposal to ensure consistent communication. The agency uses the *NRC Reporter* and the *EDO Update*, for example, to communicate the latest news to employees. The agency's communications staff has leveraged these tools since the accident occurred and intends to continue using them to support openness and transparency.

(2) Obtaining Stakeholder Input and Holding ACRS Briefings

The staff continues to receive stakeholder input on the recommendations provided in the NTTF report and the NRC's subsequent actions. The staff held public meetings to discuss the report, including meetings on July 28, 2011, and August 31, 2011, and it continues to hold public meetings on actions involving individual topics. Additionally, for a limited time, the public was able to provide comments through Regulations.gov and as of the writing of this paper the public has the opportunity to provide comments on Tier I Recommendations through the JLD_Public.Resource@nrc.gov e-mail address. Specific details on the meetings held and comments obtained appear at http://www.nrc.gov/japan/japan-meeting-briefing.html.

Consistent with Commission direction, the staff has interacted with ACRS as part of the review of lessons learned from the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi. Specifically, the staff briefed ACRS on the agency's response to the accident on April 7, 2011, and June 23, 2011; the NTTF met with ACRS on August 16, 2011, and September 8, 2011, to describe the progress and results of its review; and the staff discussed plans for addressing the NTTF's recommendations at a meeting on October 7, 2011. ACRS also met with representatives from the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) on July 12, 2011, and with the Nuclear Energy Institute, INPO, and the U.S. Department of Energy on May 26, 2011.

In letters dated October 13, 2011, and November 8, 2011 (ML11284A136 and ML11311A264) ACRS provided the results of its ongoing review of the agency's response to the accident, including a number of additional recommendations for the agency to consider. The staff has developed a process for evaluating and dispositioning the ACRS recommendations and those provided by other stakeholders. In a letter dated December 8, 2011, the staff provided ACRS with an update on its progress in using this screening process to evaluate the Committee's recommendations. The staff committed to provide further updates to ACRS as it completes the screening process. In addition, the staff will continue to engage with ACRS during the course of the longer term review to obtain the Committee's insights and recommendations.

(3) Public Feedback on the NTTF Report

To obtain feedback in a short timeframe on the readability and understandability of the final NTTF report from public citizens who are not knowledgeable in nuclear/radiological matters, the NRC reached out to its Federal partners. The NRC contacted members of a wide spectrum of groups that are not directly related to nuclear/radiological topics, including the Federal Plain Language Group, environmental conflict resolution facilitators, and Federal librarians, and asked them to provide feedback on the report. Additionally, the staff requested public feedback through a blog on December 1, 2011, entitled "The Near-Term Task Force Report – Readable

for all?" (http://public-blog.nrc-gateway.gov/2011/12/01/the-near-term-task-force-report-readable-for-all/). A sampling of the feedback received is included as an enclosure to this paper.

Although a number of responders thought the report was satisfactory, general feedback on the NTTF report stated that it was written for a technical audience and that the general public would probably not understand it.

4(a) Ongoing Engagement Enhancements

The JLD has assembled a communications team with members from the JLD, the Office of the Executive Director for Operations (OEDO) the Office of Public Affairs (OPA) and the Office of Congressional Affairs (OCA). The purpose of this team is to ensure proper communication with various stakeholders involving follow-up actions associated with the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi.

The NRC published NUREG/CR-7033: "Guidance on Developing Effective Radiological Risk Communication Messages: Effective Message Mapping and Risk Communication with the Public in Nuclear Plant Emergency Planning Zones" in February 2011 (http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1104/ML110490120.pdf). The document provides guidance for nuclear power plant licensees and local response organizations on message development for radiological emergencies.

The staff believes that some of the initiatives taken in response to previous events involving groundwater contamination should be applied toward ongoing engagements with the public and other stakeholders concerning significant regulatory issues, such as matters related to Fukushima Dai-ichi. The June 2010 Groundwater Task Force Report (ML101680435) contained a recommendation to develop a strategy on how the agency can strengthen stakeholder confidence in NRC actions related to reported low risk incidents that have high stakeholder interest. In response, the Communications Council (a group established several years ago to address both internal and external communication challenges) created the SCWG to develop a draft strategy for the agency to strengthen confidence in NRC actions. The SCWG provided its report to Martin Virgilio, Deputy Executive Director for Reactor Operations and Preparedness Programs, through a memorandum dated June 28, 2011 (ML11173A129). Although the strategies discussed in the report were created with an eye toward low-risk/high-interest topics, such as groundwater contamination, the NRC believes that agency communications on all topics, including interactions involving the NTTF report, would be improved by taking action on a number of the various strategies discussed in the report. The remainder of this section describes a number of these initiatives and their bearing on communications related to high-profile topics, such as the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi.

Other staff initiatives already underway include the following relevant examples:

<u>Using Plain Language</u>. The staff is working on several Plain Writing initiatives, including
 (1) issuing a task to all offices, informing them about the Plain Writing Act of 2010,
 indicating which documents are covered, and encouraging the staff to take appropriate
 actions, (2) devoting a section of the public Web site to plain writing
 (http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/open/plain-writing.html), (3) sponsoring a plain writing
 contest in the *NRC Reporter* and publishing several follow-up articles on the importance

of plain writing, (4) encouraging the staff to take plain language training, and (5) briefing segments of the staff on the Plain Writing Act of 2010. All of these initiatives will collectively improve agency communications about topics such as the events at Fukushima Dai-ichi.

A new 2-day, instructor led course entitled, "Writing in Plain English," is now available to the staff, in addition to the 1-hour online course entitled, "How To Write Clearly and Concisely." The Office of Human Resources recently launched several new or revamped courses in the communications area, including a course entitled, "Effective Risk Communication and Public Outreach." These courses are oriented toward communicating information on risk perspectives and enhancing stakeholder confidence. The NRC must ensure that staff members who interact with the public on complex and sensitive topics of high stakeholder interest have the communication skills and talent necessary to build confidence in the agency's regulatory activities. The agency should incorporate such training into existing qualification and developmental programs and possibly into elements and standards. The NRC should monitor these courses to determine whether they are successful in adequately preparing the staff to communicate with the public in a manner that improves stakeholder confidence.

The agency will produce a plain language executive summary or a high-level plain language version of high-interest NRC materials to provide the public with a better, clearer understanding of the events that have occurred. Although the NRC certainly does not need to take such actions for all topics, highly visible issues require that the staff take additional steps to include some summary or version of communications in plain language. The OEDO will consult with OPA, and OCA to accomplish this in the future.

In addition, the Plain Writing section of our public website provides access to a *Contact Us* page (http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/open/plain-writing/contactus.html), through which we invite site visitors to offer comments or questions about the use of plain writing in our documents, public Web site, and public meetings. Feedback provided using this form is directed to PlainWriting.Resource@nrc.gov, and the form includes a drop-down menu that enables site visitors to select the specific type of communication addressed in the comment or question, using the following options:

- Plain Writing Action Plan
- Public Web Site Information
- Public Meeting
- Inspection Report
- License/Amendment
- Performance Assessment
- Regulatory Guide/Guidance Document
- Significant Enforcement Action
- Other

Our public website also provides an online form (http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doccomment/form.html) to enable site visitors to contact us about publications we've issued

for public comment, or provide feedback regarding information collection requirements. Another online form (http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/info-quality/contactus.html) enables site visitors to contact us to seek correction of NRC information, in accordance with our Information Quality Guidelines. In addition, we've invited public feedback in a blog posting, entitled "Are We Writing in Plain English?", dated February 15, 2011 (http://public-blog.nrc-gateway.gov/2011/02/15/are-we-writing-in-plain-english/).

Ensuring Proper Use of Web Pages. Although the agency uses the public website to communicate the current status of events, the SCWG noted that it is difficult to keep Web pages for high profile issues current. In many cases, the site does not list the sponsor of the Web page for these issues. Moreover, NRC key documents used to communicate with the public are text heavy; are presented at a high reading level; and the lack graphics, charts, and tables for ready comparison and quick communication. These attributes are particularly notable in an age of text messages, tweets of less than 140 characters, and 2-minute YouTube videos.

All NRC public website pages involving high-profile, ongoing topics, such as the events in Japan, and the agency's responses to those events will have an active sponsor. The sponsor will be expected to review Web pages quarterly and update them, as necessary, to ensure accuracy at the time the review was conducted. Pages without sponsors will be removed from the Web site. The agency will target sponsors of Web pages that involve high-profile topics and will work with them to provide language and graphics that are improved and easier to understand. The agency will place greater emphasis on the widespread use of graphics, charts, and tables and on short, easily understandable narratives, for perspective and ease of comparison.

- <u>Using Social Media</u>. The NRC continues to take great strides in the use of social media. Appropriately, the NRC blog focused on the events in Japan and the agency's actions in response for months following the event. The NRC has also begun using YouTube and Twitter as ways to communicate about high-profile issues, such as the agency's continued follow-up to the events in Japan. Such videos can also be shared with selected stakeholders for their use.
- <u>Updating Communications Guidance</u>. Although still relevant, the NRC's internal communications guidance provided through the agency's main Intranet communications page (http://www.internal.nrc.gov/communications/) is outdated and unknown to many staff members who could benefit from its use. Communications specialists will review the pages for updates and improvements, work with the Web Content Services Group to incorporate those updates and improvements, and publicize the page using various agency communication vehicles (e.g., the NRC Reporter and the internal blog). The staff completing this task will specifically focus on (1) taking greater advantage of existing communications guidance both within the agency and from outside sources and (2) using new social media techniques, as appropriate.

The staff believes that these initiatives will strengthen the agency's ability to communicate with its stakeholders and that they are the appropriate actions to take at this time.

4(b) Convening a Group of Experts

The staff continually receives input from external stakeholders on improving the NRC's external communications on regulatory issues. The agency provides NRC Form 659, "Public Meeting Feedback Form," (http://portal.nrc.gov/nrcformsportal/Shared%20Documents/NRC%20659.pdf) at all public meetings to allow members of the public a simple way to provide input on how the NRC is communicating about a particular issue. The staff is currently revising the form and streamlining the feedback process.

SRM – COMWDM-11-0001/COMWCO-11-0001 directed the staff to use the insights gained from stakeholder and public input to provide to the Commission a notation vote paper considering, among other things, the potential of convening a one-time group of experts to advise the agency on how it might improve NRC's external communications on significant regulatory issues. Such a group could be operated in a manner similar to a public workshop held with external stakeholders on October 4, 2010 (ML102861795). As mentioned above, this workshop was held to solicit input on the Groundwater Task Force's findings. One of the four sessions focused specifically on strengthening trust in the NRC. The discussions during this session addressed the following question: "How can the NRC increase confidence in its actions and communications related to groundwater protection?" At the workshop, each panelist provided his or her individual input/ideas on actions NRC should take (see page 5 of the SECY paper). This would be an efficient and resource-effective way to obtain the individual views of members of a group of experts providing advice to the NRC on how to improve the agency's external communications on significant regulatory issues. In lieu of a charter, details on such a meeting are enclosed. The Commission could be provided with the individual views expressed by the group members, without having to follow the procedures required by a group that is subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA).

SRM – COMWDM-11-0001/COMWCO-11-0001 also directed that the expert group mentioned above should be invited to "opine" regarding potential actions the NRC might take in the long term to improve stakeholder involvement, such as the establishment of a "standing advisory committee" for that purpose. Presumably, this means that the new group that could be established might be a permanent NRC advisory committee subject to the FACA. That would likely be a more resource intensive undertaking than the type of committee that would be modeled on the example of the panelists at the workshop held to solicit input on the potential policy changes being considered as part of the Groundwater Task Force's findings mentioned above. This is because of the necessity to comply with FACA procedures. Important FACA advisory committees usually have one or more support staff assigned to them. Advisory committees subject to the FACA require the following, among other things:

• Charters must (1) be filed with the standing committees of the Senate and House of Representatives; (2) be reviewed by GSA's Committee Management Secretariat: and (3) contain the estimated annual operating costs of the committee: 1

¹ A meeting, whether it is a public meeting, workshop, group, or briefing is generally not subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) if all the participants do is to provide their individual views on the subjects that are the focus of their meeting together. If, in addition to providing their individual views, the participants deliberate together or negotiate over what should be their recommendations or advice to the agency that created the meeting, workshop, group, or briefing opportunity, FACA may be implicated.

- The head of an agency must make a determination, as a matter of formal record, that
 the establishment of an agency advisory committee is in the public interest in
 connection with the performance of duties imposed on the agency by law;
- Meetings must be open to the public, unless a Sunshine Act exemption applies;
- Documents made available to or prepared for or by an advisory committee must be available for public inspection and copying, unless a FOIA exemption applies;
- Detailed minutes must be kept of each meeting, and their accuracy must be certified by the chairman of the committee;
- A designated officer or employee of the Federal Government must chair or attend each meeting, and such individual has authority to adjourn a meeting of the committee;
- A meeting may not be held by a committee unless the agenda for the meeting has been approved by a designated officer or employee of the Federal Government;
- The committee's agency must keep records to fully disclose the disposition of any funds that may be at the disposal of the advisory committee and the nature and extent of their activities:
- Each agency is responsible for providing support services for its advisory committees, unless it provides otherwise.

NRC staff initiatives are already underway to ensure that communications about topics such as the events at Fukushima will be improved. These initiatives include (1) ensuring proper use of web pages; (2) using social media, and (3) updating NRC's internal communications guidance provided through the agency's main intranet Communications page (see page 7 of the SECY paper). It is important this work is not duplicated by any new group efforts that may be under consideration.

4(c) Recommendations for Engaging Other Organizations

The NRC currently partners with many other organizations, including Federal agencies, State and local governments, nonprofits, educational institutions, and other entities, to advance public communication and education on topics associated with radiological safety.

The NRC staff met with State organizations over the past year in various forums, such as teleconferences, workshops, and annual meetings, to address the lack of federal coordination and communication early on during the Fukushima Dai-ichi event. State views and recommendations were noted in NRC's overall after action report and also provided to the White House national security staff. Organizations NRC staff met with include the Governor-appointed State Liaison Officers, the Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors, the Organization of Agreement States, and the National Governors Association. NRC staff continues to keep States informed about NTTF activities and related meetings, as well as opportunities to participate and comment on those activities.

One new organization with which NRC recently developed a relationship is the National Alliance for Radiation Readiness (NARR). NARR is a relatively new coalition of representatives from State and local public health preparedness, State public health laboratories, State and territorial epidemiologists, State and local radiation control programs, disaster medicine, and emergency preparedness organizations. NRC, like other federal representatives, participates in NARR as an ex officio member. NARR seeks to address the problems associated with radiation

emergency preparedness, including (1) identifying gaps and barriers at the state, local and federal levels, (2) sharing best practices, lessons learned, and practical applications to enhance the planning for, response to, and recovery from radiation emergencies, (3) creating a professional network of public health professionals and other stakeholders invested in advancing the field of radiation emergency preparedness, and (4) developing tools, improving communication, and raising awareness of radiological issues. NRC's working relationship and collaboration with NARR, as with other State contacts and organizations, will help facilitate radiological preparedness, incident, and response communications. NRC staff also believes collaboration with these organizations can help the public better understand and put into perspective radiation health effects.

The NRC is part of a Federal Government working group, headed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, to update the public affairs annex to the National Response Framework (http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/basic-ref/glossary/national-response-framework-nrf.html) to ensure that the Federal Government has a coordinated communication strategy for events that do not trigger the framework (i.e., an event that is not covered under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act).

The NRC should consider enhancing partnerships with other organizations through various forms of social media. A YouTube video that explains specific topics can be shared with multiple audiences easily, quickly, and inexpensively. The staff should be encouraged to more fully use social media technologies to communicate nuclear/radiological issues of importance.

The staff should consider bringing other organizations or individuals "into the conversion" when attempting to communicate about high-profile topics. The NRC could invite organizations such as the Health Physics Society and individuals such as local medical professionals to provide their input and perspective on specific topics on a case-by-case basis. The staff expects that the public will be receptive to these additional perspectives, especially if they come from trusted sources not directly affiliated with the NRC or the industry involved with the respective topic.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Ongoing Engagement Enhancements

The staff believes that the agency could make a number of enhancements to assure ongoing engagement with the public and stakeholders concerning matters related to Fukushima Dai-ichi, including a number of initiatives detailed by the SCWG. Many of these initiatives are discussed above, and the staff has already begun taking action in some cases. The staff expects to accomplish these activities as part of normal responsibilities. For example, the Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate's communications team continues to enhance outreach involving the NRC's progress on the NTTF's recommendations. The staff recommends continuing to take appropriate steps to improve communications and outreach.

Group of Experts

The staff does not see the value in convening a one-time group of experts to advise the agency on how it might improve its external communications on significant regulatory issues in the short term. As noted in the discussion above, the SCWG analyzed the input received at the groundwater workshop on October 4, 2010, and provided a series of initiatives that the agency

is considering to improve its ongoing engagement with the public and others concerning significant regulatory issues. In addition, the NRC has obtained feedback from the public during public meetings on issues that specifically involve the agency's response and intended actions involving Fukushima Dai-ichi, and it expects that it will continue to do so.

Engaging Other Organizations

The staff recommends that the agency leverage existing mechanisms for engaging other organizations. These engagements have been successful and fruitful. The staff also recommends that the agency take full advantage of social media to share informative materials with appropriate stakeholders.

The staff recommends that the agency take no further action other than those already underway or described above.

RESOURCES:

The staff does not require any additional resources to complete the recommended actions. These actions are functions that the staff should routinely perform in conducting meaningful stakeholder engagement on regulatory issues of significance.

COORDINATION:

The Office of the General Counsel has reviewed this paper and has no legal objection. OIS has reviewed the paper for Paperwork Reduction Act implications and concurs in it. The Office of the Chief Financial Officer declined to review and concur on the paper as it has no resource implications.

/RA Darren Ash for/

R. W. Borchardt Executive Director for Operations

Enclosures:

- Sampling of Feedback on the NTTF Report
- 2. Details on External Communications Group

is considering to improve its ongoing engagement with the public and others concerning significant regulatory issues. In addition, the NRC has obtained feedback from the public during public meetings on issues that specifically involve the agency's response and intended actions involving Fukushima Dai-ichi, and it expects that it will continue to do so.

Engaging Other Organizations

The staff recommends that the agency leverage existing mechanisms for engaging other organizations. These engagements have been successful and fruitful. The staff also recommends that the agency take full advantage of social media to share informative materials with appropriate stakeholders.

The staff recommends that the agency take no further action other than those already underway or described above.

RESOURCES:

The staff does not require any additional resources to complete the recommended actions. These actions are functions that the staff should routinely perform in conducting meaningful stakeholder engagement on regulatory issues of significance.

COORDINATION:

The Office of the General Counsel has reviewed this paper and has no legal objection. OIS has reviewed the paper for Paperwork Reduction Act implications and concurs in it. The Office of the Chief Financial Officer declined to review and concur on the paper as it has no resource implications.

/RA Darren Ash for/

R. W. Borchardt Executive Director for Operations

Enclosures:

- Sampling of Feedback on the NTTF Report
- 2. Details on External Communications Group

ADAMS Package No.: ML12006A006 WITS 201100232/EDATS: SECY-2011-0544

OFFICE	OEDO/CPM	OEDO/DAO	Tech Editor	OPA	OGC
NAME	LRakovan	MLandau (RRihm for)	JDougherty (via email)	HHarrington (via email)	MSpencer (via email)
DATE	01/20/12	01/20/12	01/11/12	01/20/12	01/20/12
OFFICE	OIS	AO	DEDR	EDO	
NAME	TDonnell (via email)	NMamish (DAsh for)	MVirgilio (DAsh for)	RWBorchardt (DAsh for)	
DATE	01/18/12	01/23/12	01/23/12	01/23/12	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY