

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Decatur, Alabama 35609-2000

July 21, 2011

10 CFR 50.73

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

> Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3 Facility Operating License No. DPR-68 NRC Docket No. 50-296

Subject: Licensee Event Report 50-296/2011-002-00

On April 27, 2011, severe weather in the Tennessee Valley Service Area caused grid instability and the loss of all 500-kV offsite power sources which resulted in a scram of all three Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) units. This resulted in an extended forced outage for all three BFN units until the 500-kV lines could be restored. On May 22, 2011, with Unit 3 in Cold Shutdown during surveillance testing, Unit 3 received a valid Reactor Protection System (RPS) actuation signal from both channels of the RPS due to Scram Discharge Volume high water level.

The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is submitting this report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), as any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B).

IE22 NRA

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 July 21, 2011

There are no new regulatory commitments contained in this letter. Should you have any questions concerning this submittal, please contact J. E. Emens, Jr., Nuclear Site Licensing Manager, at (256) 729-2636.

Respectfully,

.

,

K. J. Polson Vice President

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report 296/2011-002-00 - Reactor Scram Due to Scram Discharge Volume High Water Level

cc (w/ Enclosure):

NRC Regional Administrator - Region II NRC Senior Resident Inspector - Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Enclosure

• •

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3

Licensee Event Report 296/2011-002-00

Reactor Scram Due to Scram Discharge Volume High Water Level

See Attached

| | ORM 3 | 66 | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | | | | | APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 10/13/2013 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------|------------------------|-------|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| (10-201) | L | | EE E\ | /ENT F | REPORT | (LER | R) | | 80 hours. fed back t Section (T 0001, or b Office of I Manageme information NRC may information | burden per respo Reported lessons to industry. Sensi- 5-F53), U.S. Nur, y internet e-mail to information and R ent and Budget, V n collection does not conduct or sp n collection. | learned are comments r clear Regulat infocollects.i egulatory Aff Vashington, E not display a | incorpo regardir tory Co resource fairs, N DC 205 a curre | brated into the ng burden e brommission, \ ce@nrc.gov, IEOB-10202 503. If a me ntiv valid Ol | e licens stimate Vashing and to t (3150- ans use MB cont | to FO to FO ton, D the De 0104), ed to in trot nu | ocess and IA/Privacy OC 20555- sk Officer, , Office of mpose an imber the |
| | CILITY I | | | | | • | | | | | | 3. P | AGE | 05 | - | |
| Bro | Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) Unit 3 | | | | | | | | | 05000296 | | | 1 | OF (| 0 | |
| | 4. TITLE Reactor Scram Due to Scram Discharge Volume High Water Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DATE 8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MONTH | 1 | YEAR | YEAR | SEQUEN | TIAL REV | MONTH | | YEAR | | YNAME | | | | DOCKE | | |
| 05 | 22 | 2011 | 2011 | - 002 | | 07 | 21 | 201 | FACILIT | YNAME | | | | DOCKE | | IBER |
| | L | | | | | | | | | | | CER | E. (Chaola | | 0500 | |
| 9. OP | 9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check all that apply) 20.2201(b) 20.2203(a)(3)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) 50.73(a)(2)(vii) | | | | | | | | | | | | יי y) | | | |
| | | | _ |).2201(d) | | | 0.2203(a) | | | □ 50.73(a)(2) □ 50.73(a)(2) | | | 50.7 | | | (A) |
| | 4 | | |).2203(a)(1 |) | | 0.2203(a | | | 50.73(a)(2) | | | 50.7 | | | |
| | | | |).2203(a)(2 | | | 0.36(c)(1 | | | 🔲 50.73(a)(2) | | | 50.7 | | | ۹) |
| 10. PC | OWER L | .EVEL | |).2203(a)(2 | | | 0.36(c)(1 | | | ⊠ 50.73(a)(2) | | | | '3(a)(2 | | |
| | | | |).2203(a)(2).2203(a)(2 | | | 0.36(c)(2 0.46(a)(3 | | | □ 50.73(a)(2) □ 50.73(a)(2) | | | □ 73.7 □ 73.7 | | | |
| | 000 |) | |).2203(a)(2).2203(a)(2 | | | 0.73(a)(2 | | | □ 50.73(a)(2) □ 50.73(a)(2) | | | | | , | |
| | | | |).2203(a)(2 | | | 0.73(a)(2 | | | □50.73(a)(2) | | | Spe or in | cify in A | Abstrac | ct below 366A |
| | | | | | 1 | 2. LICEN | ISEE CO | NTACT | FOR THI | S LER | | | | | | |
| | FACILITY NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 256-729-2690 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CAUSE SYSTEM | | COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER | | REPORTABLE TO EPIX | | . | CAUSE SYSTEM COMPO | | COMPON | ENT | MANU FACTUR | | REPORTABLE TO EPIX | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| 14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED | | | | | | | | | 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION | | | | MONTH | DA | r | YEAR |
| | 'ES (If y | es, comple | te 15. EX | KPECTED | ON DATE) 🛛 NO | | | DATE | | | N/A | N// | ۹ | N/A | | |
| ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On May 22, 2011, at 17:37 hours Central Daylight Time (CDT), with Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) Unit 3 in Cold Shutdown and surveillance testing in progress, Unit 3 received a valid Reactor Protection System (RPS) actuation signal from both channels of the RPS due to Scram Discharge Volume (SDV) high water level. The scram occurred as Maintenance personnel were performing Intermediate Range Monitor (IRM) correlation for range 6 to 7. Maintenance personnel were measuring voltage during the reconnection of a high voltage cable to IRM G channel. A spike occurred on IRMs C and D channels indicating an invalid (safety function had already been completed) full reactor scram. After diagnosing the cause of the IRM scram, Operations personnel reset the scram and immediately received a valid RPS scram signal due to Scram Discharge Volume (SDV) high water level. The cause of the event was that Operations personnel did not place the SDV high water level switch in bypass as required by procedure before resetting the first RPS scram. Thus, as the initial scram was being reset, the SDV filled with water causing the second scram. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • |

· .

 NRC FORM 366A (10-2010)
 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET
 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

 1. FACILITY NAME
 2. DOCKET
 6. LER NUMBER
 3. PAGE

 YEAR
 SEQUENTIAL NUMBER
 REV NO.

05000296

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3

NARRATIVE

I. PLANT CONDITION(S)

On April 27, 2011, severe weather in the Tennessee Valley Service Area caused grid instability and the loss of all 500-kV offsite power sources that resulted in a scram of all three Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) units. This resulted in an extended forced outage for all three BFN units until the 500-kV lines could be restored. At the time of the event being reported [May 22, 2011, at 17:37 hours Central Daylight Time (CDT)], BFN Unit 3 was in Mode 4 (Cold Shutdown) with power supplied from qualified 161-kV offsite power sources.

2011

- 002

- 00

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

A. Event:

On May 22, 2011, Unit 3 was in Mode 4 (Cold Shutdown) in an extended forced outage due to the loss of all 500kV offsite power sources. Surveillance testing was being performed in support of returning the unit to power operations. At 17:35 hours CDT, Maintenance personnel were performing Intermediate Range Monitor (IRM) correlation for range 6 to 7. The IRM measures neutron flux, and correlation of the two different amplifier circuits within the IRM ensures a smooth transition when switching ranges during reactor power increases. Maintenance personnel were measuring voltage during the reconnection of a high voltage cable to IRM G channel. A spike occurred on IRMs C and D channels indicating an invalid (safety function had already been completed) full reactor scram. At 17:37 hours CDT, after diagnosing the cause of the IRM scram, Operations personnel reset the scram and immediately received a valid Reactor Protection System (RPS) [JC] scram signal due to Scram Discharge Volume (SDV) high water level. The scram occurred because Operations personnel did not place the SDV high water level switch in bypass as required by Abnormal Operating Instruction (AOI) 3-AOI-100-1, Reactor Scram, before resetting the first RPS scram.

As previously stated, the unit was in Cold Shutdown and all control rods were already fully inserted prior to the scram. The reactor water level remained within the prescribed band of 70 to 90 inches, with the highest level of 85 inches recorded. There was no impact to plant operations as a result of this scram.

The scram was not part of a preplanned sequence; therefore, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is submitting this report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), as any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B).

B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Event:

None

C. Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences:

April 27, 2011, at 16:36 hours CDT

Loss of all 500-kV offsite power sources resulting in a scram of all three BFN units and an extended forced outage until the 500-kV lines could be restored.

2 OF 5

| | EE EVENT R NTINUATIOI | | | CLEAR RE | GULATORY COMMISSION | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. FACILITY NAME | 2. DOCKET | | 6. LER NUMBER | | 3. PAGE | | | | | |
| Browno Form: Nuclear Diant Unit 2 | 05000000 | YEAR | YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | | | | | | | |
| Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3 | 05000296 | 2011 | - 002 | - 00 | 3 OF 5 | | | | | |
| NARRATIVE | | | | | • | | | | | |
| May 22, 2011, at 17:35 hour | s CDT | 6 to | le performing IRN 7 by Maintenanc ated an invalid re | e persoi | nnel, the RPS | | | | | |
| May 22, 2011, at 17:37 hour | s CDT | and and | erations personne attempted to res received a valid S channels due to | et the Ri scram a | PS scram signal ctuation by both | | | | | |
| May 22, 2011, at 17:37 hour | s CDT | 3-A | erations personne OI-100-1 and res ordance with the | et the so | | | | | | |
| D. Other Systems or Secondar | y Functions A | ffected: | | | | | | | | |
| None | | | | | | | | | | |
| E. Method of Discovery: | Method of Discovery: | | | | | | | | | |
| The event was immediately a | self revealing | to Oper | ations personnel | | | | | | | |
| F. <u>Operator Actions:</u> | J | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Operations personnel re-ent with the AOI. | ered 3-AOI-1 | 00-1 an | d reset the scram | signal i | n accordance | | | | | |
| G. Safety System Responses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| The safety systems (RPS ar | d SDV) resp | onded a | s designed. | | | | | | | |
| III. CAUSE OF THE EVENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Immediate Cause: | | | | | | | | | | |
| The immediate cause of the | event was R | PS actu | ation due to SDV | high wa | ter level. | | | | | |
| B. Root / Apparent Cause: | | | | | | | | | | |
| The cause of this event was level switch in bypass as rec | | | | | | | | | | |
| IV. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance personnel were me cable to IRM G channel. A spike (safety function had already beer of the IRM scram, Operations pe RPS scram signal due to SDV his | occurred on completed) rsonnel reset | IRMs C full reac the scra | and D channels i tor scram. After o | ndicating diagnosi | g an invalid ng the cause | | | | | |
| The RPS initiates a reactor scran specified limits. This preserves t System and minimizes the energ accident. The operability of the F | he integrity o y that must b | f both th e absori | e fuel cladding ar bed following a lo | nd React ss of co | tor Coolant olant | | | | | |

•

•

 NRC FORM 366A (10-2010)
 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET
 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

 1. FACILITY NAME
 2. DOCKET
 6. LER NUMBER
 3. PAGE

 YEAR
 SEQUENTIAL NUMBER
 REV NO.

2011

- 002

- 00

4 OF 5

05000296

NARRATIVE

instrumentation channel functions specified in the Technical Specifications. SDV is one of the RPS channel functions. The SDV receives the water displaced by the motion of the Control Rod Drive pistons during a reactor scram. Should this volume fill to a point where there is insufficient volume to accept the displaced water, control rod insertion would be hindered. Therefore, a reactor scram is initiated while the remaining free volume is still sufficient to accommodate the water from a full core scram. The SDV function of RPS operated as designed. Operations personnel re-entered 3-AOI-100-1 and reset the scram signal, within seconds, in accordance with the AOI. The Unit 3 Reactor water level remained within the prescribed band of 70 to 90 inches, with the highest level of 85 inches recorded.

V. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

The RPS and SDV both operated in accordance with the plant design. At the time of this event, BFN Unit 3 was in Mode 4 (Cold Shutdown) with all control rods fully inserted. The reactor scram from high SDV water level is part of the BFN design, and the occurrence of this event from at-power conditions has been analyzed.

Based on the above discussion, there was no adverse safety impact as a result of this event. Thus, there was no effect on the health and safety of the public.

VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3

Corrective actions are being managed within TVA's Corrective Action Program.

- A. Immediate Corrective Actions:
 - Operations personnel re-entered 3-AOI-100-1, and correctly reset the scram signal in accordance with the AOI.
 - The oncoming Operations crew reviewed 3-AOI-100-1 for resetting of scrams in outage conditions.

B. <u>Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence:</u>

The specific corrective action for this event is the following.

• Operations personnel involved were disciplined in accordance with appropriate TVA administrative procedures.

VII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Failed Components:

None

B. Previous LERS or Similar Events:

A search of BFN LERs from January 1, 2006 to the present identified two similar events.

LER 50-260/2005-003-00, Reactor Protection System Actuation from Scram Discharge Volume High Level While Shutdown. The cause of this LER was inadequate communication between Operations personnel and Maintenance personnel with regard

| | 1. FACILITY NAME | 2. DOCKET | | 3. PAGE | | | | |
|----------|---|---------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------|--------|--|--|
| Browns | Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3 | 05000296 | YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | | REV NO. | 5 OF 5 | | |
| DIOWIIS | reny Nuclear Flant Onit 5 | 05000250 | 2011 | - 002 | - 00 | 3 OF 3 | | |
| | to test equipment status. The corrective actions included that the essential nature of clear, unambiguous communication will be reinforced to site personnel involved in testing activities. Also, LER 50-260/2009-006-01, Automatic Reactor Protection System Scram While Shutdown. The cause of this LER was that Control Room Operators were unaware of SDV system configuration and work in progress. The corrective actions for this event included performance of a training needs analysis of the event for possible inclusion into Licensed Operator Regualification training. | | | | | | | |
| C. | Additional Information: | | ng. | | | | | |
| | The corrective action docu PER 335574 written to add standards. | | • | | | | | |
| D. | Safety System Functional | Failure Consi | deration: | | | | | |
| | This event is not a safety system functional failure in accordance with NEI 99-02. | | | | | | | |
| Ε. | Scram With Complications | | | | | | | |
| | This event was not a comp | licated scran | n in acco | rdance with NE | I 99-02. | | | |
| VIII. CO | MMITMENTS | | | | | | | |
| | ne | | | | | | | |

· · ·