

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Decatur, Alabama 35609-2000

May 20, 2011

10 CFR 50.73

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

> Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 1 Facility Operating License No. DPR-33 NRC Docket No. 50-259

Subject: Licensee Event Report 50-259/2010-002-01

Reference: Letter from TVA to NRC, "Licensee Event Report 50-259/2010-002-00,"

dated November 15, 2010.

On November 15, 2010, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) submitted Licensee Event Report (LER) 2010-002 (Reference) which provides details of plant operation with inoperable drywell pressure channels for longer than allowed by the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Technical Specifications. TVA is submitting this report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), any operation or condition which was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

This revision to LER 50-259/2010-002 is administrative in nature, and primarily provides consistent nomenclature and corrected event dates.

There are no new regulatory commitments contained in this letter. Should you have any questions concerning this submittal, please contact J. E. Emens, Jr., Nuclear Site Licensing Manager, at (256) 729-2636.

Respectfully,

K. J. Polson Vice President

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report - Unit 1, Drywell Pressure Instrument Channel

Inoperability Due to Improper Instrument Tubing Slope

TEDD

Printed on recycled paper

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 May 20, 2011

cc (w/ Enclosure):

NRC Regional Administrator - Region II NRC Senior Resident Inspector - Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant

Enclosure

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1

Licensee Event Report - Unit 1, Drywell Pressure Instrument Channel Inoperability
Due to Improper Instrument Tubing Slope

See Attached

NRC FC (10-2010)	RM 36	6	U.S	. NUCLE/	AR REGULA	TORY C	COMMISS	E	Stimated	D BY OMB NO. 3	nse to	comply w	ith this manda	itory colle	10/31/2013 ection request:
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)						fi S C M	80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to FOIA/Privacy Section (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects.resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.							
		AME erry Nucle	ear Pla	nt Unit 1				2.	2. DOCKET NUMBER					-	
4. TITL	E: Dry	well Pres	ssure Ir	nstrume	nt Channe	l Inope	erability	Due to	Impro	per Instrume	ent Tu	ubing S	Slope		
5. E	VENT [DATE	6.	LER NUM	/BER	7. R	EPORT D	ATE		8. OT	HER F	ACILITI	ES INVOL	/ED	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUEN NUMBI		монтн	DAY	YEAR	FACILIT N/A	Y NAME					NUMBER 5000
09	16	2010	2010	- 002	01	05	20	2011	FACILIT N/A	YNAME					NUMBER 5000
9. OPEI	RATING	MODE	11	. THIS RE	PORT IS S	UBMITTI	ED PURS	UANT T	O THE	REQUIREMEN	TS OF	10 CFF	R§: (Check	all that	apply)
			□ 20.	.2201(b)		□ 20).2203(a)	(3)(i)	1	50.73(a)(2)	(i)(C)		50.7	3(a)(2)(vii)
	1			.2201(d)			D.2203(a)			50.73(a)(2)				3(a)(2)(
	•			.2203(a)(1	•		D.2203(a)			50.73(a)(2)				3(a)(2)(
				.2203(a)(2			0.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)				3(a)(2)(
10. POV	VER LE	EVEL		.2203(a)(2			0.36(c)(1)	(II)(A)		50.73(a)(2)				3(a)(2)(x)
				☐ 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) ☐ 50.36(c)(2)				en.		50.73(a)(2)			73.71(a)(4)		
	100		20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 50.46(a)(3)(ii)				• •	50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			73.71(a)(5)				
	100				2203(a)(2)(v)							OTHER Specify in Abstract below or in NRC			
			□ 20	.2203(a)(2			J. / 3(a)(2)	(I)(B)		☐ 50.73(a)(2)	(V)(D)		Form		
					12	LICENS	SEE CON	TACT F	OR THIS	S LER					
FACILITY Eric Ba		_icensing	ı Engin	eer	12	. LICEN	SEE CON	ITACT FO	OR THIS	SLER		TELEPHO	NE NUMBER (1. 256-61		
		_icensing								E DESCRIBED	IN TH		256-61		
	ates, L	Licensing	13. CON			OR EAC		ONENT F					256-61	4-7180	
Eric B	ates, L		13. CON	APLETE C	ONE LINE FO	OR EAC	H COMPO	ONENT F	AILURI	E DESCRIBED		IIS REP	256-61	4-7180	REPORTABLE
Eric B	ates, L	SYSTEM	13. CON	MPLETE C	ONE LINE FO	OR EAC	H COMP(ORTABLE O EPIX	ONENT F	AILURI	SYSTEM	COM	IIS REP	256-61	4-718(REPORTABLE TO EPIX
caus	ates, L	SYSTEM	13. COM	MPLETE C	MANU- FACTURER	REPORTO	H COMPO	CA	AILURI	SYSTEM 15. EXI	COM	IIS REP	256-61- ORT MANU- FACTURE MONTH	4-718(ER F	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	ates, L	SYSTEM 14. s, complete	SUPPLIE	MPLETE O MPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S	MANU- FACTURER REPORT E	REPORTO	H COMPO	CA NO	AILURI	SYSTEM 15. EXI	COM	IIS REP	256-61	4-718(REPORTABLE TO EPIX
CAUS	S (If yes	SYSTEM 14. s, complete	SUPPLI Daces, i.e.,	MPLETE C MPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approxima	MANU- FACTURER REPORT I	REPUTO	H COMPO	NO ines)	AILUR I USE	SYSTEM 15. EXI SUBM	PECTI IISSIO ATE	IIS REP	256-61 ORT MANU- FACTURI MONTH N/A	4-7180 ER F	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	S (If yes	system 14. s, complete nit to 1400 sp	SUPPLIED 15. EXP	MPLETE C MPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approxima 010, it v	MANU- FACTURER REPORT I	REPUTO TO THE PROPERTY OF T	H COMPO	NO ines)	AILURI USE	SYSTEM 15. EXI SUBM D/	PECTI IISSIO ATE	IIS REP	256-610 ORT MANU- FACTURE MONTH N/A Per 8, 2008	4-7180 ER F DAY N/A	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	S (If yes	system 14. s, complete nit to 1400 sp Septembe	SUPPLI supplied 15. EXP	MPLETE COMPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approximation 010, it was a displayed a	MANU- FACTURER REPORT I	EXPECTION DATE)	H COMPO	NO ines)	initialler tha	SYSTEM 15. EXI SUBM D/	PECTI IISSIO ATE	IIS REP	256-61 ORT MANU- FACTURE MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specifica	4-7180 ER F DAY N/A	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	S (If yes	system 14. s, complete nit to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r	SUPPLI Daces, i.e., er 16, 2 erabilit	MPLETE COMPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approximation 010, it was a difference of a difference o	DNE LINE FOR MANU-FACTURER REPORT IS SUBMISSION Stely 15 single was deterrinywell present of this control	EXPECTION DATE: spaced by nined the source condition	H COMPO	NO ines) andition for long ied that	initiall er tha	SYSTEM 15. EXI SUBM D/ y identified on allowed by	PECTI IISSIO ATE	ED N ecembe	MANU-FACTURE MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from	DAY N/A	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	S (If yes	system 14. s, complete bit to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20	SUPPLI 2 15. EXP paces, i.e., per 16, 2 perabilit review 1	MPLETE COMPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approximation O10, it was a different correction to the correction of the correcti	MANU- FACTURER REPORT II SUBMISSION Stely 15 single vas deterr ywell present of this cotted on De	EXPECTION DATE) spaced ty nined the sure condition ecember	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED pewritten II hat a co hannel n identif er 9, 200	NO ines) indition for long ied that 08. Add	initiallier thait the ir	SYSTEM 15. EXI SUBM DA y identified on allowed by operable coully, a subsection	PECTI IISSIO ATE on De Tecondition	ED N ecember hnical on exist occurring the companion o	MANU-FACTURI MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specifical ted from rence of a	DAY N/A	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	S (If yes CT (Lim On S reflect Subs Octo inope	system 14. s, complete bit to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr	SUPPLI 2 15. EXF paces, i.e., per 16, 2 perability review 1 1008, unity ywell p	MPLETE COMPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approximation O10, it was a differ exteritic correction ressure	MANU- FACTURER REPORT II SUBMISSION Itely 15 single vas deterr ywell present of this cotted on Dechannel is	EXPECTION DATE) spaced ty nined the saure condition ecember setween	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED pewritten II that a co channel in identif er 9, 200 in May 2	NO ines) ined that 08. Add 5, 2010	initiallier thait the ir ditional, and	SYSTEM 15. EXI SUBM DA y identified of allowed by operable could, a subsection of a subsecti	PECTIUSSION De la Technologia	ED N ecembe hnical on exist occurring was d	MANU-FACTURE MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specifical ted from rence of a iscovered	DAY N/A	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	On S reflect Substitution of the Correct Corre	system 14. s, complete bit to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac	SUPPLIE 15. EXPosaces, i.e., per 16, 2 perabilities will be 15. EXPosaces, i.e., per 16, 2 perabilities will be 1608, unity well per 16	MPLETE COMPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approximation on one of a difference of	PART LINE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF THE SUBMISSION O	EXPECTION DATE) spaced ty mined the saure condition ecember 19, 200	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX Proewritten III That a could be a could b	NO ines) indition for long ied that 08, 2010 Octobe	initiallier that the ir ditional, and or 6, 20	system 15. EX SUBM Do y identified on allowed by operable could be october 6, 2010, involved.	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Ondition Quent 2010,	ecembe hnical on exis occuri was d	MANU-FACTURE MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from rence of a iscovered apped wa	DAY N/A tions. in ter in	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	on S reflect Substitute of the interest of the	system 14. s, complete bit to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac nstrumer	SUPPLIE 15. EXPosees, i.e., er 16, 2 perabilit review 1008, unitywell ptions tantation stations	MPLETE OF MPONENT SEMENTAL SECTED SEC	MANU- FACTURER MEPORT IS SUBMISSION Itely 15 single vas deterr rywell present of this conted on Decembe line. The	EXPECTION DATE) spaced by mined the saure condition ecember between r 9, 200 immed	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED Vipewritten III hat a co- hannel n identif er 9, 200 n May 2 08, and diate cau	NO ines) indition for long ied that 08, Ado Cotobe use was	initiallier that the ir ditional, and er 6, 20 impressions	system 15. EX SUBM Do y identified of allowed by coperable co cliy, a subsect October 6, 2 10, involved operly slope	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pured d insi	ecembe hnical occuri was d ging tra	MANU-FACTURE MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from rence of a iscovered apped wat sensing	DAY N/A tions. in ter in lines	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	on S reflect Substitute of the interest of the	system 14. s, complete ait to 1400 sp sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac nstrumer allowed v	SUPPLIE 15. EXPorted 16. 2 (a) 15. EXPorted 16. 2 (a) 15. EXPorted 16. 2 (a) 16. EXPORTED 16. EX	MPLETE OF MPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approximation and the series of the	PACTURER MANU- FACTURER REPORT II SUBMISSIO Itely 15 single vas deterr ywell present of this co cted on December line. The nse and co	EXPECTION DATE) spaced by mined the saure condition ecember 19, 200 immed billect in	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED Apewritten II that a count identifier 9, 200 n May 2 08, and diate caunt the sell	NO ines) indition for long ied that 08. Add 5, 2010 Octobe use was nsing lii	initiallier that the ir 6, 20 s imprones, re	y identified on allowed by operable cooling, a subsection involved operly slopersulting in no	PECTI IISSIO ATE on De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pund d insi	ecembe hnical occuri was d ging tra	MANU-FACTURE MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from rence of a iscovered apped wa t sensing tively bias	DAY N/A tions. in ter in lines	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	on S reflect Substitute of the interest of the interest of the interest of the instru	system 14. s, complete ait to 1400 sp sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac nstrumer allowed v umentation	SUPPLIED ASSESSED ASS	MPLETE OF MPONENT EMENTAL PECTED S approximation approximation of a difference of the correct	PACTURER MANU- FACTURER AREPORT II SUBMISSIO Itely 15 single Vas deterr Tywell present of this conted on December Inchannel it December Inchannel it December Inchannel it The incha	EXPECTION DATE) spaced by mined the saure condition ecember 19, 200 immed billect in astruments.	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED Apewritten II that a co channel n identifer 9, 200 n May 2 08, and diate cau n the selent sens	NO ines) indition for long ied that 08. Add 5, 2010 Octobe use was nsing line	initiallier that the ir 6, 20 s imprones, res were	y identified on allowed by operable cooling, a subsection of the cooling of the c	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pure d insi-	ecembe hnical occurr was d ging tra trumen nserva the Cy	MANU-FACTURE MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specifical ted from rence of a iscovered apped wa t sensing tively bias ycle 8 refu	DAY N/A tions. in ter in lines	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	On S reflect Substitute in that a instru	system 14. s, complete if to 1400 sp ceptembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac estrumer allowed v umentatio ge (Fall 2	supplied to the state of the st	EMENTAL PECTED S approximation of a differ exteressure aken on seensing of condering of cond	PACTURER MANU- FACTURER LA REPORT II SUBMISSION Ately 15 single Vas deterr Tywell present of this conted on December Inchannel it December Inchannel it December Inchannel it The in	EXPECTION DATE) spaced ty mined the same condition ecember of the same condition immediately and the same condition immediately are same conditions trums are same constructions.	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED That a conhannel in identifier 9, 200 in May 2 08, and diate cause the sensent sensend provi	NO ines) indition for long ied that 08. Add 05, 2010 Octobe use was nsing line ide prop	initiallier that the ir 6, 20 s imprones, res were ser slo	y identified on allowed by operable colly, a subsection of the colly, a subsection of the colly, and the colly sloper sulting in note modified dipertolesure.	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pure d inside pure pon-couring e no a	ecember occurring transcrivation in the Cyaccumia in the	MANU-FACTURE MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from rence of a iscovered apped wa at sensing tively biasycle 8 refulation of	DAY N/A tions. In lines sed ueling	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	on S reflect Substitute in that a instruction outage condition of the instruction outage condition in the instruction outage condition outage conditions.	system 14. s, complete bit to 1400 sp cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac estrumer allowed v umentation ge (Fall 2 lensed w	supplied a 15. EXP a 15. EXP paces, i.e., er 16, 2 perability eview 1 008, universely ywell p tions ta attation s water to on outp 2010) to ater. T	EMENTAL DECTED S approximation of a difference	AREPORT IS SUBMISSION Tely 15 single Was determined to the control to the cont	EXPECTION DATE: Inspaced by the same of t	H COMPONIES O EPIX ED hat a conhannel in identifier 9, 200 in May 200 in the sendent sensent sensent proving modifier	NO ines) indition for long ied that 08. Add 5, 2010 Octobe use was nsing line ide prop fication	initiallier that the inditional, and er 6, 20 s improper sions in 20 s in 20 s in 20	y identified on allowed by operable colly, a subsect October 6, 2010, involved esulting in no emodified deperto assure 105 which dispersements.	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pure d insi-	ecember hical on exist occurring was diging traction in the Cyaccumus assure	MANU-FACTURI MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specifical ted from rence of a iscovered apped wa t sensing vice 8 refulation of eadherer	DAY N/A tions. In lines sed deling	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	On S reflect Substitute in that a condition of the desired conditions	system 14. s, complete set to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac nstrumer allowed v umentation ge (Fall 2 lensed w lesign sp	supplied 15. EXP paces, i.e., er 16, 20 perabilitreview 1008, unity ywell p tions ta intation s water to on outp 2010) to ater. To pecifical	EMENTAL DECTED S approxima O10, it way of a differ exter it corrections for external exte	AREPORT IS SUBMISSION Tely 15 single Was deterring well present of this conted on December line. The insee and content is the insee and content	EXPECTION DATE: In spaced by the same of	H COMPONICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	NO ines) indition for long fied that 08. Add 5, 2010 Octobe use was nsing line ide prop fication ng line	initiallier that the inditional, and er 6, 20 s improper slos in 20 slope.	y identified on allowed by operable colly, a subsect October 6, 2010, involved esulting in note modified dipe to assure 105 which direcause	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pure d insi- con-co- uring e no a d not for ir	ecember hical on exist occurring was diging tracticument assuremproper	MANU-FACTURI MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from rence of a iscovered apped wa t sensing vice 8 refulation of eadherer er instrum	DAY N/A tions. In lines sed ueling ace to ent	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	On S reflect Substitute in that a instruction of the distriction of th	system 14. s, complete bit to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac nstrumer allowed v umentation ge (Fall 2 lensed w lesign sp e is attrib	supplied 15. EXP a 15. EXP paces, i.e., er 16, 2 perability eview 1 008, unive 1 con outp 2010) to pater. To ecificat uted to	EMENTAL PECTED S approximation of a difference of the condent o	REPORT IS SUBMISSION To the proper incomplete in the proper in the proper incomplete in the proper incomplete in the proper in	EXPECTION DATE) spaced ty nined the saure condition ecombe etweer r 9, 200 immed billect in astrument raps and lited from strument uncleans.	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED That a co- channel In identifier 9, 200 In May 2 In May 2 In the sell In the sell In the sell In the sell In modifier proces In proces	NO ines) indition for long fied that 08. Add 5, 2010 Octobe use was nsing line ide prop fication ng line dural gu	initiallater than the inditional the	y identified on allowed by operable colly, a subsect October 6, 2010, involved by experity sloper is ulting in note modified dipe to assure 005 which direcause e. The Brow	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pure d insi- con-co- couring e no a d not for ir	ecember hical on exist occurring was diging traction in the Cyaccumic assuremproper to the Cy	MANU-FACTURI MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from rence of a iscovered apped wa t sensing vice 8 refulation of eadherer er instrum	DAY N/A tions. In lines sed ueling ace to ent	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	On S reflect Substitute in that a instruction of the distriction of th	system 14. s, complete bit to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac nstrumer allowed v umentation ge (Fall 2 lensed w lesign sp e is attrib	supplied 15. EXP a 15. EXP paces, i.e., er 16, 2 perability eview 1 008, unive 1 con outp 2010) to pater. To ecificat uted to	EMENTAL PECTED S approximation of a difference of the condent o	REPORT IS SUBMISSION To the proper incomplete in the proper in the proper incomplete in the proper incomplete in the proper in	EXPECTION DATE) spaced ty nined the saure condition ecombe etweer r 9, 200 immed billect in astrument raps and lited from strument uncleans.	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED That a co- channel In identifier 9, 200 In May 2 In May 2 In the sell In the sell In the sell In the sell In modifier proces In proces	NO ines) indition for long fied that 08. Add 5, 2010 Octobe use was nsing line ide prop fication ng line dural gu	initiallater than the inditional the	y identified on allowed by operable colly, a subsect October 6, 2010, involved esulting in note modified dipe to assure 105 which direcause	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pure d insi- con-co- couring e no a d not for ir	ecember hical on exist occurring was diging traction in the Cyaccumic assuremproper to the Cy	MANU-FACTURI MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from rence of a iscovered apped wa t sensing vice 8 refulation of eadherer er instrum	DAY N/A tions. In lines sed ueling ace to ent	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR
CAUS	On S reflect Substitute in that a instruction of the distriction of th	system 14. s, complete bit to 1400 sp Septembe cted inop sequent r ber 2, 20 erable dr ective ac nstrumer allowed v umentation ge (Fall 2 lensed w lesign sp e is attrib	supplied 15. EXP a 15. EXP paces, i.e., er 16, 2 perability eview 1 008, unive 1 con outp 2010) to pater. To ecificat uted to	EMENTAL PECTED S approximation of a difference of the condent o	REPORT IS SUBMISSION To the proper incomplete in the proper in the proper incomplete in the proper incomplete in the proper in	EXPECTION DATE) spaced ty nined the saure condition ecombe etweer r 9, 200 immed billect in astrument raps and lited from strument uncleans.	H COMPO ORTABLE O EPIX ED That a co- channel In identifier 9, 200 In May 2 In May 2 In the sell In the sell In the sell In the sell In modifier proces In proces	NO ines) indition for long fied that 08. Add 5, 2010 Octobe use was nsing line ide prop fication ng line dural gu	initiallater than the inditional the	y identified on allowed by operable colly, a subsect October 6, 2010, involved by experity sloper is ulting in note modified dipe to assure 005 which direcause e. The Brow	PECTI IISSIO ATE On De Tecondition Quent 2010, d pure d insi- con-co- couring e no a d not for ir	ecember hical on exist occurring was diging traction in the Cyaccumic assuremproper to the Cy	MANU-FACTURI MONTH N/A er 8, 2008 Specificated from rence of a iscovered apped wa t sensing vice 8 refulation of eadherer er instrum	DAY N/A tions. In lines sed ueling ace to ent	REPORTABLE TO EPIX YEAR

LIGENSEE EVENT BEBORT (LED)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(10-2010)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

COTTATION SIME								
DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER					
05000259	2010	002	01	2 of 8				
	DOCKET (2)	YEAR	DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6 YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6) YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REVISION NUMBER				

NARRATIVE

I. PLANT CONDITION(S)

At the time of discovery, Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN), Unit 1 was at approximately 100 percent power (3458 MWT).

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

A. Event

Event No.1: 1-PT-064-56C (October - December 2008)

On December 8, 2008, at 1130 hours Central Standard Time (CST), during the performance of shift instrument checks, operations personnel noted that a drywell pressure transmitter, 1-PT-064-56C [JC], was reading 0.25 psig lower than redundant channels 1-PT-064-56A, 1-PT-064-56B, and 1-PT-064-56D. Troubleshooting was initiated on the drywell pressure transmitter loop. 1-PT-064-56C and 1-PT-064-58F [JE] share a common instrument line from the drywell penetration. Comparisons of meter readings (1-PT-064-56C = 0.8 psig and 1-PT-064-58F = 1.25 psig) indicated the potential for moisture in the sensing line leading to 1-PT-064-56C.

On December 9, 2008, at 1825 hours CST, troubleshooting personnel recorded as-found readings reflecting 1-PT-064-56C reading 0.5 psig lower than redundant channels 1-PT-064-56A, 1-PT-064-56B, and 1-PT-064-56D. Operations declared 1-PT-064-56C and 1-PT-064-58F inoperable. Operations entered Technical Specification (TS) Actions for the following Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs): LCO 3.3.1.1 Reactor Protection System (RPS) Instrumentation, LCO 3.3.6.1 Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) Instrumentation, LCO 3.3.6.2 Secondary Containment Isolation System (SCIS) Instrumentation, and LCO 3.3.7.1 Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS) Instrumentation. Each of these TS Actions requires placing the inoperable channel in trip within 12 hours.

While performing the troubleshooting, a "trap" was found where moisture or condensate could collect. The sensing line between 1-PT-064-56C and 1-PT-064-58F was blown down with nitrogen. Between 1/2 and 3/4 a cup of water was removed from the sensing line.

On December 9, 2008, at 2214 hours CST, 1-PT-064-56C and 1-PT-064-58F were unisolated and readings returned to normal; at that time operations personnel declared 1-PT-064-56C and 1-PT-064-58F operable and Actions for LCO 3.3.1.1, LCO 3.3.6.1, LCO 3.3.6.2, and LCO 3.3.7.1 were exited.

The functional evaluation for this condition was completed July 19, 2010, and concluded that the 0.5 psig bias resulted in a condition where 1-PT-064-56C and 1-PT-064-58F would not have performed its TS required function; the non-conservative bias would have resulted in the channel not reaching its trip setpoint until actual drywell pressure would exceed the TS allowable value as well as the analytical limit of the analyses. This evaluation, however, did not provide adequate basis to determine specifically when operability would not be maintained during the period when the bias was less than the peak of 0.5 psig.

Further analysis of the readings and uncertainties established a past operability criteria that allowed the determination that the water trap bias affecting 1-PT-064-56C had rendered the associated functions inoperable for longer than allowed by the TS. This conclusion was formally made on September 16, 2010. The period of inoperability without the channel being placed in trip within 12 hours is judged to have existed beginning October 2, 2008, until resolved on December 9, 2008. This period included a unit startup and associated Mode

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	Լ ւ	<u>.ER NUMBER (6</u>)	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER					
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000259	2010	002	01	3 of 8				

NARRATIVE

changes, which would have required performance of a risk assessment addressing the inoperable components.

Event No.2: 1-PT-064-56B (May - October 2010)

On October 5, 2010, at 1630 hours Central Daylight Time (CDT), operations personnel declared 1-PT-064-56B [JC], 1-PT-064-50 [IP], and 1-PT-064-58G [JE] (all associated with a common sensing line) inoperable. This resulted in entering Actions for LCO 3.3.1.1, RPS Instrumentation; LCO 3.3.6.1, PCIS Instrumentation; LCO 3.3.6.2, SCIS Instrumentation; and LCO 3.3.7.1 CREVS Instrumentation. Each of these TS Actions requires placing the inoperable channel in trip within 12 hours. The condition also resulted in entering Actions for LCO 3.3.3.1, Post Accident Monitoring (PAM) Instrumentation, and LCO 3.3.3.2, Backup Control System; each of these actions requiring restoration within 30 days.

This declaration was a result of engineering notifying the Operations Shift Manager that based on a similar potential for a water trap and an ongoing non-conservative bias in the reading, 1-PT-064-56B had been determined to be not capable of tripping within its TS required Allowable Value. The monitoring instrumentation requirements associated with PAM and Backup Control System were conservatively considered inoperable for the indication function.

On October 6, 2010, at 0348 hours CDT, the issue was corrected by purging trapped water in the common sensing line back into the drywell, at which time operations personnel declared 1-PT-064-56B, 1-PT-064-50, and 1-PT-064-58G operable and Actions for LCO 3.3.1.1, LCO 3.3.3.1, LCO 3.3.3.2, LCO 3.3.6.1, LCO 3.3.6.2, and LCO 3.3.7.1 were exited.

Utilizing the established past operability criteria for the evaluation of the 2008 event, it was determined that the water trap bias affecting 1-PT-064-56B, 1-PT-064-50, and 1-PT-064-58G rendered the associated functions inoperable for longer than allowed by the TS. The period of inoperability is judged to have existed beginning May 25, 2010, until resolved on October 6, 2010. This period also included an instance where 1-PT-064-56D was removed from service (on August 17, 2010, at 2347 hours to August 18, 2010, at 0116 hours) for surveillance testing. With both 1-PT-064-56B and 1-PT-064-56D inoperable, the associated automatic RPS [JC], PCIS [JE], SCIS [JE], and CREVS [JE] functions would have been incapable of actuating within the analytical limit; however, since the instrumentation continued to track normal variations in drywell pressure, the 1-PT-064-56B channel would have tripped on increasing drywell pressure such that the actual impact would have been to the response time and not a complete failure to actuate.

The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is submitting this report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), any operation or condition which was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Event

During the Event No.1 period of inoperability, there were no inoperable structures, components, or systems that contributed to the event. During the Event No.2 period of inoperability, normally scheduled surveillance testing rendered redundant instrument channels inoperable for a brief period such that the safety function was not capable of being actuated as assumed in the safety analysis.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(10-2010)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000259	2010	002	01	4 of 8	

NARRATIVE

C. Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences

October 2, 2008 The beginning of greater than or equal to 3

consecutive days with a non-conservative deviation on a 1-PT-064-56C instrument channel

greater than or equal to 0.10 psig

November 30, 2008, at 1755 hours CST Unit startup commenced by entering MODE 2

(STARTUP).

December 1, 2008, at 1433 hours CST Unit entered MODE 1 (RUN).

December 8, 2008, at 1130 hours CST Operations noted that 1-PT-064-56C was reading

0.25 psig lower than channels 56A, 56B, and 56D.

December 9, 2008, at 1825 hours CST Troubleshooting activities resulted in isolating

1-PT-064-56C and 1-PT-064-58F. Operations

personnel declared 1-PT-064-56C and

1-PT-064-58F inoperable and entered TS Actions for LCO 3.3.1.1, LCO 3.3.6.1, LCO 3.3.6.2, and LCO 3.3.7.1 that require placing the inoperable

channel in trip in 12 hours.

December 9, 2008, at 2214 hours CST Water was purged from sensing line for

1-PT-064-56C. Operations declared

1-PT-064-56C and 1-PT-064-58F operable and exited Actions for LCO 3.3.1.1, LCO 3.3.6.1,

LCO 3.3.6.2, and LCO 3.3.7.1.

May 25, 2010 The beginning of greater than or equal to 3 consecutive days with a non-conservative

deviation on a 1-PT-064-56B instrument channel

greater than or equal to 0.10 psig

October 5, 2010, at 1630 hours CDT Engineering notified Operations Shift Manager that

1-PT-064-56B has been determined to be not capable of tripping at its TS required Allowable Value. 1-PT-064-56B, 1-PT-064-50, and 1-PT-064-58G are declared inoperable and TS

Actions for LCO 3.3.1.1, LCO 3.3.3.1,

LCO 3.3.3.2, LCO 3.3.6.1, LCO 3.3.6.2, and LCO 3.3.7.1 are entered that require placing the

inoperable channel in trip in 12 hours.

October 6, 2010, at 0348 hours CDT Water was purged from sensing line for

1-PT-064-56B. Operations declared

1-PT-064-56B, 1-PT-064-50, and 1-PT-064-58G operable and exited Actions for LCO 3.3.1.1, LCO 3.3.3.1, LCO 3.3.3.2, LCO 3.3.6.1.

LCO 3.3.6.2, and LCO 3.3.7.1.

D. Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected

None

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

CONTINUATION SILLET								
DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER					
05000259	2010	002	01	5 of 8	İ			
	DOCKET (2)	DOCKET (2) YEAR	DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6 YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6) YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER	DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6) YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER NUMBER PAGE (3)			

NARRATIVE

E. Method of Discovery

Engineering evaluation of the impact of drywell pressure reading deviations greater than 0.2 psig determined the non-conservative bias resulted in affected instruments not meeting TS operability criteria.

F. Operator Actions

None

G. Safety System Responses

None

III. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

A. Immediate Cause

The immediate cause for the non-conservative bias affecting operability of the drywell pressure transmitters was improperly sloped instrument sensing lines. The improperly sloped sensing lines allowed water to condense and collect in the sensing lines, which resulted in non-conservatively biased instrumentation output signals.

B. Root Cause

The cause of the improperly sloped instrument sensing lines is attributed to human error and unclear procedural guidance to assure modification installation meets engineering design specifications.

C. Contributing Factors

None

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

During 2005, as part of BFN Unit 1 restart, there were various design change notices (DCN) that included refurbishment of the instrument panels affecting 1-PT-064-56A, 1-PT-064-56B, 1-PT-064-56C, and 1-PT-064-56D. One DCN provided a design information package for the refurbishment of components and cabling to the affected panels. A second DCN replaced various valves and fittings on the affected panels, and a third provided sensing line installation and modification in accordance with sensing line support drawings for small bore piping.

Adherence to TVA Engineering Specifications for instrument and instrument line installation criteria for most of the routing of the sensing lines was evident. Specifically, the routing of piping, going from the instrument panels through the floor penetrations (adjacent to the local panels) to the primary containment wall penetration, was detailed in isometric drawings and does not exhibit instances of "water trap" routing. However, the piping sensing lines from the floor penetration to the local panels did not adhere to the applicable specification and thus did not preclude the presence of water traps. The Unit 1 piping configurations were duplicated from the Unit 3 design (Panel 3-25-5B and Panel 3-25-6B), which have also been found to not adhere to the design specifications to preclude water traps. (Note that no instances of actual trapped water and related instrument bias impact have been identified during Unit 3 operation.) Unit 2 sensing line configurations conform to the applicable instrument slope design specifications.

The piping "water traps" in Unit 3 were not previously reported as a non-conformance. These non-conformances can be attributed to human error and inadequate procedural guidance, which included not properly reviewing the completed work. The duplication during the Unit 1 Restart

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

CONTINUATION SIEEE								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		ER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000259	2010	002	01	6 of 8			

NARRATIVE

effort can also be attributed to human error and inadequate procedural guidance, which included not properly reviewing the completed work. The as-implemented design should be verified to preclude "water traps" per the design specification criteria.

Furthermore, the Unit 1 panel instrument tubing also presented routing that did not adhere to the applicable specification and thus did not preclude the presence of water traps. The Unit 1 panel tubing is field routed (not routed in accordance with isometrics) and therefore, while Unit 3 tubing does conform to the design specifications, Unit 1 tubing routing exhibited additional human error and inadequate procedural guidance, which included not properly reviewing the completed work.

With the presence of improperly sloped sensing lines, natural condensation within the lines would allow the formation of trapped water.

The only available data to review for past operability are the twice-daily remote readings on 1-PT-064-56A, 1-PT-064-56B, 1-PT-064-56C, and 1-PT-064-56D recorded on operator rounds. The remote readings are displayed on analog meters with 0.1 psig scaling divisions and some potential for parallax inaccuracies that engineering judgment suggests could approach 0.05 psig. The manufacturer stated accuracy for these indicators is +/- 0.5% (= 0.02 psig). As such, based on engineering judgment, a maximum-to-minimum deviation between the four readings that occasionally reaches 0.10 psig would be within the overall combined accuracy of a single instance of readings. Historical trending shows the majority of the time that maximum-to-minimum deviation of 0.10 psig is reported, subsequent readings return to differences less than 0.10 psig. The random nature of these occurrences also tends to coincide with differing instruments or more than one instrument reflecting the minimum value. These random occurrences are judged not to reflect evidence of a water trap bias.

On two occasions, extended periods of a maximum-to-minimum deviation at or above 0.10 psig occurred, where one instrument was consistently reading the minimum value. In each of these occurrences a maintenance activity to purge a potential water trap in the sensing line of that instrument resulted in a return to normal and continual reading differences less than 0.10 psig. As such, it is reasonable to conclude that continued periods of maximum-to-minimum differences of 0.10 psig and greater (with occasional drops below 0.10 psig for similar uncertainty reasoning) where a single reading was consistently the minimum value, reflect a basis for identifying a historical non-conservative water-trap-induced bias. A period of 3 consecutive days with the majority of maximum-to-minimum deviations greater than or equal to 0.10 psig is selected. This criterion is consistent with both the limitations of the accuracy of these readings, and the historical data associated with positive finding of trapped water.

Since an actual water-trap bias creates a non-conservative instrument reading, and a non-conservative bias of 0.05 psig exceeds the difference between setpoint calculation determination of the nominal trip and allowable value, it is assumed, based on engineering judgment, that any past extended period (3 or more days) where a majority of the maximum-to-minimum deviations are greater than or equal to 0.10 psig and where a single reading was consistently the minimum value, also reflected inoperability of that instrument channel.

There were no adverse indications, due to water entrapment, observed on the Unit 1 drywell pressure transmitters from the time period subsequent to the immediate corrective action of purging the water out of the sensing line, until the implementation of the DCN which reconfigured the sensing lines to eliminate any water traps. Additionally, there have been no adverse indications, due to water entrapment, observed on the Unit 3 drywell pressure transmitters.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

CONTINUATION SILLET									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	.ER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)					
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER					
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000259	2010	002	01	7 of 8				

NARRATIVE

V. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

The affected drywell pressure instrumentation provides a drywell high pressure input for automatic functions in RPS, PCIS, SCIS, Standby Gas Treatment [JE] (SBGT), and CREVS. The Event No.2 affected instrumentation also provides indication-only for the PAM and Backup Control System. Since the negative bias that resulted in the automatic initiation being inoperable caused less than a 0.25 psig error in the indication-only functions, there is judged to be no appreciable safety consequence for the PAM or Backup Control System function.

The high drywell nominal setpoint is 2.45 psig. With the analytical limit of 2.6 psig and TS Allowable Value of less than or equal to 2.5 psig, there is a very small margin between the setpoint and these limits. A non-conservative bias on the order of 0.05 psig would result in a channel tripping at drywell pressures above the TS required Allowable Value. A non-conservative bias on the order of 0.15 psig would result in a channel tripping at drywell pressures above the Analytical Limit. The majority of the indicated negative bias for both Event No.1 and Event No.2 ranged between 0.15 psig and 0.20 psig. It is conservatively assumed that the affected channels would not have tripped within the analytically assumed drywell pressure value. However, since the instrumentation continued to track normal variations in drywell pressure, these channels would have tripped on increasing drywell pressure such that the actual impact would have been to the response time and not to a complete failure to actuate.

Note that the drywell high pressure input for automatic functions in RPS and SCIS (including SBGT) are not credited in the accident analysis. While the PCIS and CREVS automatic functions do take credit for being actuated on high drywell pressure, the response time for the protection afforded is not immediate in nature. The primary containment isolation total response time includes signal delay, diesel generator startup (for loss of offsite power), and isolation valve stroke times. The CREVS initiation on drywell pressure is performed as a precursor to a potential radiation release and subsequent radiation exposure to control room personnel. As such, the delayed channel trip is judged to not have a significant impact on the credited safety functions.

The logic for each function described above is arranged in a one-out-of-two, taken-twice logic, such that the failure of one pressure transmitter (1-PT-064-56A, 1-PT-064-56B, 1-PT-064-56C, or 1-PT-064-56D) would not prevent the associated reactor trip, primary or secondary containment isolation, SBGT, and CREVS initiation functions. However, for brief periods on August 12, 2010 and August 17, 2010, both channels of one division of logic (one of the "taken-twice" logics) were inoperable; one channel (1-PT-064-56B) inoperable for the negative bias, and one channel (1-PT-064-56D) inoperable for scheduled surveillance testing. The scheduled surveillance testing periods were 5 hours and 15 minutes (August 12, 2010) and 1 hour and 29 minutes (August 17, 2010). While these brief periods reflect an inoperable condition that potentially impacted the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to control the release of radioactive material (PCIS inoperable), and mitigate the consequences of an accident (CREVS initiation inoperable), as discussed above the actual impact was to the response time of the negative bias channel (1-PT-064-56B). This condition would have still provided the function delayed by the time for increasing drywell pressure to exceed the small negative bias.

As such, TVA concludes that there was no significant reduction in the protection of the public by this event.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

OCIVITION SIMBLE								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000259	2010	002	01	8 of 8			

NARRATIVE

VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The corrective actions are being managed within TVA's Corrective Action Program.

A. <u>Immediate Corrective Actions</u>

Instrument sensing lines were purged of water to restore operability.

B. Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence

- 1. Implement Unit 1 and Unit 3 design changes that reconfigure sensing lines to eliminate water traps. Unit 1 modifications were made during the Cycle 8 refueling outage (Fall 2010). Unit 3 modifications will be scheduled within the corrective action program.
- Revised the general requirements for modifications procedure to specifically address implementation requirements to assure the design specification standards for instrument sensing line slopes are met.
- 3. Engineering design specifications were revised to require Quality Control inspection of sensing lines associated with instruments which perform a primary safety function.

 This change was completed subsequent to Unit 1 Restart modifications.

VII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Failed Components

None

B. Previous Similar Events

None

C. Additional Information

The corrective action documents for this report are PER 159710, PER 219150, PER 242068, and PER 279760. The BFN corrective action program is also addressing issues associated with untimely evaluations of the condition and operability determination, as documented in PER 285603. PER 333024 documents typographical errors that were found in the original issue of LER 50-259/2010-002.

D. Safety System Functional Failure Consideration

This event is not classified as a safety system functional failure according to NEI 99-02.

E. Scram With Complications Consideration

This event did not include a reactor scram.

VIII. COMMITMENTS

None