Parks, Jazel

From: Bayssie, Mekonen
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2010 3:04 PM
To: Lin, Bruce
Subject: RE: Files Attached. DO#349a RE: Technical Editing Request for Regulatory Guide 1.54, Revision 2 and the Regulatory Analysis (Eloise Ziegler, RES)

Good thanks,

Mekonen

From: Lin, Bruce
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2010 3:03 PM
To: Bayssie, Mekonen
Subject: RE: Files Attached. DO#349a RE: Technical Editing Request for Regulatory Guide 1.54, Revision 2 and the Regulatory Analysis (Eloise Ziegler, RES)

Mekonen,

Use this:

This guide describes a method that the staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) considers acceptable for the selection, application, qualification, inspection, and maintenance of protective coatings applied to Nuclear Power Plants.

Bruce

From: Bayssie, Mekonen
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2010 2:28 PM
To: Lin, Bruce
Subject: FW: Files Attached. DO#349a RE: Technical Editing Request for Regulatory Guide 1.54, Revision 2 and the Regulatory Analysis (Eloise Ziegler, RES)

Bruce,

Apparently, we changed the template and we added a paragraph that states the following. Just modify the statement to fit the description. The statement goes on top of the introduction before ....Title of 10 CFR...

This guide describes a method that the staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) considers acceptable to implement GDC 44 and 2 with regard to a particular feature of the cooling water system, particularly the _____ cooling water system. This guide applies to all types of nuclear power plants that use water as the primary means of cooling _____. [Revise the text to be appropriate for the subject.]

From: Lin, Bruce
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2010 1:37 PM
To: Bayssie, Mekonen
Cc: Burke, John
Subject: RE: Files Attached. DO#349a RE: Technical Editing Request for Regulatory Guide 1.54, Revision 2 and the Regulatory Analysis (Eloise Ziegler, RES)

B-28

Mekonen,

I have no issues with the changes made by the tech editor. I added the references as requested by the tech editor and renumbered the reference list. Attached is the final clean version of the RG. I have also attached the compare version in case you want to see the changes I made.

Thanks,
Bruce

From: Bayssie, Mekonen
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 4:12 PM
To: Lin, Bruce
Cc: Burke, John
Subject: FW: Files Attached. DO#349a RE: Technical Editing Request for Regulatory Guide 1.54, Revision 2 and the Regulatory Analysis (Eloise Ziegler, RES)

Bruce,

The attached document is the edited version of RG 1.54. Please find both the Compare (Redlinestrikeout) and check for changes for possible changes in the intent of the meaning. Please check and send me a clean version of the final guide and analysis.

Mekonen

I have attached the clean and compare files for your document: **2 files:**
(1) Regulatory Guide 1.54, Revision 2 (~19 double-spaced pages) (RG 1 54 Rev 2 fina clean RGDB.doc)
(2) Regulatory Analysis (~2 double-spaced pages) (RG 1 54 REGULATORY ANALYSIS.docx)
For Eloise Ziegler, RES.

Please use the clean file for future revisions. The compare file details all recommended changes. **The Author Notes file includes additional comments.**

Please consider this e-mail evidence of technical editor concurrence with the suggested changes. The author may accept or reject any of these changes. Please feel free to contact QTE with any questions or concerns.