

OHara, Timothy

From: OHara, Timothy *ny*
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 2:26 PM
To: Cahill, Christopher
Subject: RE: AFW Missile Barrier

No issue.

Thanks,

Tim

-----Original Message-----

From: Cahill, Christopher
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 2:21 PM
To: OHara, Timothy; Conte, Richard; Arner, Frank
Cc: Cline, Leonard; Burritt, Arthur
Subject: RE: AFW Missile Barrier

Tim,

This seem to be a consistent approach as described in USFAR, page 3.5-4 for unprotected openings. From my read on the attachment you sent, they concluded that it wasn't needed but they were including it to be conservative, a nice-to-have vs a need-to-have. It seems that the deviation from the 50.59 is in their corrective action in the form of Order 000060089848. Do you agree that their approach is acceptable IAW the USFAR? If the approach is acceptable in accordance with the USFAR, what is the issue?

Chris

-----Original Message-----

From: OHara, Timothy *ny*
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 12:01 PM
To: Arner, Frank; Cahill, Christopher
Cc: Conte, Richard; Schroeder, Daniel
Subject: FW: AFW Missile Barrier

Chris & Frank,

The DCP and the 50.59 for this piping mod. says they need a missile shield. The attached document is PSEG's evaluation that they don't need to do what the DCP and 50.59 said because the probability of damage is low. This has not been entered into the corrective action process and they don't intend to do so.

Any thoughts or opinions on this?

Tim OHara

-----Original Message-----

From: Berrick, Howard G. [mailto:Howard.Berrick@pseg.com]
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 11:55 AM
To: OHara, Timothy
Subject: AFW Missile Barrier

Per your request

<<AFW Missile Barrier.rtf>>

The information contained in this e-mail, including any attachment(s), is intended solely for use by the named addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or a person designated as responsible for delivering such messages to the intended recipient, you are not authorized to disclose, copy, distribute or retain this message, in whole or in part, without written authorization from PSEG. This e-mail may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately. This notice is included in all e-mail messages leaving PSEG. Thank you for your cooperation.