



NRC staff responses to 3 petitions in YM proceeding - Message [HTML]

From: Powell, Amy Sent: Tue 3/30/2010 6:17 PM
 To: Powell, Amy
 Cc:
 Bcc: 'pete.modaff@mail.house.gov'; 'james.windle@mail.house.gov'; 'Jones, Kevin (Approps)'; 'Blair, Rob'; 'Doug_Clapp@appro.senate.gov'; 'Carrie Apostolou'; 'Spencer, Peter'; 'Caputo, Annie (EPW)'; 'Dedrick, Kathy (EPW)'; 'Bisconti, Giulia (Carper)'; 'Haynes, Laura (Carper)'; 'Freedhoff, Michal'; 'Matthews, Kate'; 'Spring, Andrea'; 'Neumayr, Mary'; 'Baran, Jeff'; 'Cherry, David'; 'McDonough, Alexander (Reid)'; 'Cristinzio, Davie (Reid)'; 'Story, Tod'; 'greg.facchiano@mail.house.gov'; 'john_min@ensign.senate.gov'; 'matt.morris@mail.house.gov'; 'Shaw, Andrew (Ensign)'; 'Consolvo, Brandon (Ensign)'; 'Shannon_Raborn@reid.senate.gov'; 'Agostisi, Karen'; 'Fowler, Sam (Energy)'; 'tom_valentini@energy.senate.gov';
 Subject: NRC staff responses to 3 petitions in YM proceeding

Message: Staff Answer South Carolina Intervention Petition.pdf (83 KB); Staff Answer Washington Intervention Petition.pdf (136 KB); Staff Answer Aiken County Intervention Petition.pdf (224 KB)

Attached are the three filings from the NRC staff in response to the WA, SC, and Aiken County petitions to intervene. The staff did separate responses to each to address specific issues related to standing and contentions discussed in each petition. In short, the NRC staff opposes the petitioners' requests to intervene as a party but would not object to them participating as interested government participants. This option of participation is outlined in 10 CFR Part 2.315(c) and allows "an interested State, local governmental body, and affected, Federally-recognized Indian Tribe" without standing or admissible contention. The presiding officer (in this case, the lead judge) makes the call to admit interested governmental participants. Here is a link to the full section of regulation on this option: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/part002/part002-0315.html> (c) is the relevant subsection.

To wrap up on this three volume set of e-mail, WA, SC, and Aiken County now have 10 days to reply to the parties' responses. Parties who have not yet responded to NARUC and Prairie Island Indian Community's petitions have until April 9th to do so.

Amy
 Amy Powell
 Associate Director
 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Office of Congressional Affairs
 Phone: 301-415-1673



A/74
~~AS/74~~

Powell, Amy

From: Powell, Amy
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 6:17 PM
To: Powell, Amy
Subject: NRC staff responses to 3 petitions in YM proceeding
Attachments: Staff Answer South Carolina Intervention Petition.pdf; Staff Answer Washington Intervention Petition.pdf; Staff Answer Aiken County Intervention Petition.pdf

Attached are the three filings from the NRC staff in response to the WA, SC, and Aiken County petitions to intervene. The staff did separate responses to each to address specific issues related to standing and contentions discussed in each petition. In short, the NRC staff opposes the petitioners' requests to intervene as a party but would not object to them participating as interested government participants. This option of participation is outlined in 10 CFR Part 2.315(c) and allows "an interested State, local governmental body, and affected, Federally-recognized Indian Tribe" without standing or admissible contention. The presiding officer (in this case, the lead judge) makes the call to admit interested governmental participants. Here is a link to the full section of regulation on this option: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/part002/part002-0315.html> (c) is the relevant subsection.

To wrap up on this three volume set of e-mail, WA, SC, and Aiken County now have 10 days to reply to the parties' responses. Parties who have not yet responded to NARUC and Prairie Island Indian Community's petitions have until April 9th to do so.

Amy

Amy Powell
Associate Director
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Congressional Affairs
Phone: 301-415-1673