

**Park, James**

---

**From:** Park, James *-FSME*  
**Sent:** Monday, October 05, 2009 8:30 AM  
**To:** 'Pete\_Sokolosky@blm.gov'  
**Subject:** RE: SEIS high level issues

I look forward to the email and our conversations today.

"Park, James"  
<James.Park@nrc.gov>  
To  
"Pete\_Sokolosky@blm.gov"  
10/01/2009 12:26 PM <Pete\_Sokolosky@blm.gov>  
cc  
Subject  
RE: SEIS high level issues

*F/11*

Dear Pete,

Thanks for the flexibility on this. And re the NRC PMs using their judgement, I'll ask them to contact their BLM commenter for any clarification and if the PM has any questions on the comment.

And based on your earlier email, if you're ready to talk at 8:30am your time on Monday, I can do that. Otherwise, 1pm your time works for me too.

Thanks,  
Jim

"Park, James"  
<James.Park@nrc.gov>

To

"Pete\_Sokolosky@blm.gov"

10/01/2009 11:36 AM

<Pete\_Sokolosky@blm.gov>

cc

Subject

RE: Few may be able to participate  
in a teleconference next Monday re:  
SEIS preliminary drafts

Dear Pete,

Thanks for getting back to me. Sorry that Monday won't work for folks.

Before we go to Tuesday, I was wondering if there is a need to discuss things at too fine a level. I'll be the only one on the call, and not the PM for each of the sites as they will be continuing work on their respective SEIS. With that in mind, would it be possible for you to have your folks provide you with the high level issues they see, especially ones that cross-cut each of the documents, and that you and I could discuss those issues? Given the short time we have left before the draft SEISs begin our management and legal review, we want to make sure that, to the extent we can, address the big ticket items at this time. In the intermim between issuance of the draft and end of the comment period, we can begin working on the rest of the issues/concerns that your folks see, so that the final SEISs will do a more complete job of addressing everything.

We are interested in addressing all that your folks see need to be done, but again, given the short time before us before the drafts are issued, we have to prioritize our work and I would like that to be focused on the high order items (cross-cutting or not) that your people see.

We are aware that this isn't exactly how we want our MOU to work, and the earlier coordination between NRC and BLM will be in mind for future projects.

Let me know about this approach.

Thanks,  
Jim