

#### **Proprietary Notice**

This letter forwards proprietary information in accordance with 10CFR2.390. Upon the removal of Enclosure 1, the balance of this letter may be considered non-proprietary.

MFN 09-545

August 13, 2009

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

### **GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy**

Richard E. Kingston Vice President, ESBWR Licensing

P.O. Box 780 M/C A-65 Wilmington, NC 28402-0780 USA

T 910.675.6192 F 910.362.6192 rick.kingston@ge.com

Docket No. 52-010

Subject:

Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304 Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5 — Fuel Racks - RAI Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01

The purpose of this letter is to submit the GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy (GEH) response to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Requests for Additional Information (RAIs) 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01 sent by NRC Letter 304, Reference 1. The response to RAI Numbers 9.1-84, 9.1-85, 9.1-87 and 9.1-95 was previously submitted to the NRC via Reference 2 in response to Reference 3.

The GEH response to RAI Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01 is addressed in Enclosures 1 and 2. Enclosure 1 contains GEH proprietary information as defined by 10 CFR 2.390. GEH customarily maintains this information in confidence and withholds it from public disclosure. Enclosure 2 is a non-proprietary version that is suitable for public disclosure.

The affidavit contained in Enclosure 3 identifies that the information contained in Enclosure 1 has been handled and classified as proprietary to GEH. GEH hereby requests that the information in Enclosure 1 be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.390 and 9.17.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Richard E. Kingston

Vice President, ESBWR Licensing

D018

#### References:

- 1. MFN 09-227, Letter from U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Jerald G. Head, Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304 Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5, March 31, 2009
- MFN 08-912, Response to NRC Request for Additional Information Letter Number No. 217 Related to Licensing Topical Report NEDC-33374P, Revision 0, "Safety Analysis Report for Fuel Storage Racks Criticality Analysis for ESBWR Plants" - RAI Numbers 9.1-77 through 9.1-95, November 24, 2008
- 3. MFN 08-551, Letter from U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Robert E. Brown, Request for Additional Information Letter No. 217 Related to NEDC-33374P, "Safety Analysis Report for Fuel Storage Racks Criticality Analysis for ESBWR Plants", June 25, 2008

#### Enclosures:

- Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304
  Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5 Fuel Racks RAI
  Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01 GEH Proprietary
  Information
- Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304
  Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5 Fuel Racks RAI
  Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01 Public Version
- Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304
  Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5 Fuel Racks RAI
  Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01 GEH Proprietary
  Information Affidavit

cc: AE Cubbage USNRC (with enclosures)

JG Head GEH/Wilmington (with enclosures)
DH Hinds GEH/Wilmington (with enclosures)

eDRF Section 0000-0105-6289

## **Enclosure 2**

## MFN 09-545

Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304
Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5

**Fuel Racks** 

RAI Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01

**Public Version** 

## NRC RAI 9.1-84 S01

The response is sufficient. However, the labels provided in Table 2 of NEDC-33374P, Rev. 1, for the two cases appear to be incorrect. Confirm and correct.

### **GEH Response**

[[

]] This table has been removed from NEDC-33374P, Rev. 2.

### **DCD/LTR Impact**

No DCD changes will be made in response to this RAI.

## NRC RAI 9.1-85 S01

The response is not sufficient.

II

]]

## **GEH Response**

[[

]]

# **DCD/LTR Impact**

No DCD changes will be made in response to this RAI.

# NRC RAI 9.1-87 S01

II

]] -

Lacking such studies, identify and justify the use of the operating conditions utilized for the isotopic calculations.

### **GEH Response**

]]

]]

]]

]] These parameters are specified in the [[ ]] as required for use in depletion analyses. Sensitivity studies of the storage system reactivity to these depletion parameters are presented in Section 6.5 of NEDC-33374P, Rev. 2. [[

]]

### **DCD/LTR Impact**

No DCD changes will be made in response to this RAI.

# NRC RAI 9.1-95 S01

The response and included sketch provided in MFN 08-912 do satisfy the RAI, but result in two additional needed clarifications regarding content of NEDC-33374P, Rev 1.

[[

II

]]

# **GEH Response**

Significant updates to normal configuration, abnormal configuration, and tolerance evaluations have been included in NEDC-33374P, Rev. 2. The following supplemental clarifications demonstrate how the items of concern in this RAI have been incorporated in the new LTR revision:

# Supplement Clarification 1 -

(1) [[

]]

(2) [[

]]

(3) [[

]]

<u>Supplement Clarification 2 – [[</u>

]]

## **DCD/LTR Impact**

No DCD changes will be made in response to this RAI.

# **Enclosure 3**

## MFN 09-545

Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304
Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5

# **Fuel Racks**

RAI Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01

**Affidavit** 

### **GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy Americas LLC**

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

### I, Larry J. Tucker, state as follows:

- (1) I am Manager, ESBWR Engineering, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy ("GEH"), and have been delegated the function of reviewing the information described in paragraph (2) which is sought to be withheld, and have been authorized to apply for its withholding.
- (2) The information sought to be withheld is contained in enclosure 1 of GEH's letter, MFN 09-545, Mr. Richard E. Kingston to U.S. Nuclear Energy Commission, entitled "Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304 Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5 Fuel Racks RAI Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01" dated August 13, 2009. The proprietary information in enclosure 1, entitled "Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 304 Related to Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 5 Fuel Racks RAI Numbers 9.1-84 S01, 9.1-85 S01, 9.1-87 S01 and 9.1-95 S01 GEH Proprietary Information," is delineated by a [[dotted underline inside double square brackets before and after the object. In each case, the superscript notation (3) refers to Paragraph (3) of this affidavit, which provides the basis for the proprietary determination.
- (3) In making this application for withholding of proprietary information of which it is the owner or licensee, GEH relies upon the exemption from disclosure set forth in the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC Sec. 552(b)(4), and the Trade Secrets Act, 18 USC Sec. 1905, and NRC regulations 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), and 2.390(a)(4) for "trade secrets" (Exemption 4). The material for which exemption from disclosure is here sought also qualify under the narrower definition of "trade secret", within the meanings assigned to those terms for purposes of FOIA Exemption 4 in, respectively, Critical Mass Energy Project v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 975F2d871 (DC Cir. 1992), and Public Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA, 704F2d1280 (DC Cir. 1983).
- (4) Some examples of categories of information which fit into the definition of proprietary information are:
  - Information that discloses a process, method, or apparatus, including supporting data and analyses, where prevention of its use by GEH's competitors without license from GEH constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies;

MFN 09-545 Affidavit Page 1 of 3

- b. Information which, if used by a competitor, would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product;
- Information which reveals aspects of past, present, or future GEH customerfunded development plans and programs, resulting in potential products to GEH;
- d. Information which discloses patentable subject matter for which it may be desirable to obtain patent protection.

The information sought to be withheld is considered to be proprietary for the reasons set forth in paragraphs (4)a. and (4)b. above.

- (5) To address 10 CFR 2.390(b)(4), the information sought to be withheld is being submitted to NRC in confidence. The information is of a sort customarily held in confidence by GEH, and is in fact so held. The information sought to be withheld has, to the best of my knowledge and belief, consistently been held in confidence by GEH, no public disclosure has been made, and it is not available in public sources. All disclosures to third parties, including any required transmittals to NRC, have been made, or must be made, pursuant to regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements which provide for maintenance of the information in confidence. Its initial designation as proprietary information, and the subsequent steps taken to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, are as set forth in paragraphs (6) and (7) following.
- (6) Initial approval of proprietary treatment of a document is made by the manager of the originating component, the person most likely to be acquainted with the value and sensitivity of the information in relation to industry knowledge, or subject to the terms under which it was licensed to GEH. Access to such documents within GEH is limited on a "need to know" basis.
- (7) The procedure for approval of external release of such a document typically requires review by the staff manager, project manager, principal scientist, or other equivalent authority for technical content, competitive effect, and determination of the accuracy of the proprietary designation. Disclosures outside GEH are limited to regulatory bodies, customers, and potential customers, and their agents, suppliers, and licensees, and others with a legitimate need for the information, and then only in accordance with appropriate regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements.
- (8) The information identified in paragraph (2) is classified as proprietary because it contains details of GEH's design and licensing methodology. The development of the methods used in these analyses, along with the testing, development and approval of the supporting methodology was achieved at a significant cost to GEH.
- (9) Public disclosure of the information sought to be withheld is likely to cause substantial harm to GEH's competitive position and foreclose or reduce the availability of profit-making opportunities. The information is part of GEH's

MFN 09-545 Affidavit Page 2 of 3

comprehensive BWR safety and technology base, and its commercial value extends beyond the original development cost. The value of the technology base goes beyond the extensive physical database and analytical methodology and includes development of the expertise to determine and apply the appropriate evaluation process. In addition, the technology base includes the value derived from providing analyses done with NRC-approved methods.

The research, development, engineering, analytical and NRC review costs comprise a substantial investment of time and money by GEH.

The precise value of the expertise to devise an evaluation process and apply the correct analytical methodology is difficult to quantify, but it clearly is substantial.

GEH's competitive advantage will be lost if its competitors are able to use the results of the GEH experience to normalize or verify their own process or if they are able to claim an equivalent understanding by demonstrating that they can arrive at the same or similar conclusions.

The value of this information to GEH would be lost if the information were disclosed to the public. Making such information available to competitors without their having been required to undertake a similar expenditure of resources would unfairly provide competitors with a windfall, and deprive GEH of the opportunity to exercise its competitive advantage to seek an adequate return on its large investment in developing and obtaining these very valuable analytical tools.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing affidavit and the matters stated therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of August 2009.

Larry J. Tucker

GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy Americas LLC

Tucker.