

PMFermiCOLPEm Resource

From: Wentzel, Michael
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 9:38 AM
To: Patel, Chandu
Cc: Hale, Jerry
Subject: FW: Fermi 13.3 Schedule

Chandu,

I met with Dan today to address his issues.

Thanks,
Mike

From: Dan Barss
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 4:24 PM
To: Michael Montague
Cc: Michael Wentzel; Chandu Patel; Jerry Hale; Eric Schrader; Kevin Williams
Subject: RE: Fermi 13.3 Schedule

Mick, Jerry,

I looked through what Mick sent on the referenced email of this reply, assuming that was the latest schedule information. (I do have one or two other iterations of this as well.) Great work Mick in get this all figured out.

I understand the reason for 26 day duration on line # 219 for FEMA, and that is okay.

I do have several other concerns with the schedule as it looks now.

1.) When we drafted this the assumption was our Task Order for contractor work would be in place by 3/2/09. That turned out to be a bad assumption. It now looks like the earliest for contractor work to start is 3/23/09 if all goes well. That means we need to change the start date for line # 218, and 220, or the end date, to allow for the 65 work days the contractor needs. That will impact dates and move them out by 3 weeks for all the items that are linked to those tasks.

2.) Line # 220, ETE review, shows 65 work days, it should only be 25 work days. Start date should be the same as Line # 218, as it is, and should change as Line # 218 changes.

3.) Line # 221, something is wrong with the logic for that line as it shows a start date of 7/20/09. The start date should be tied to completion of Line #s 218, 219, 220, which would make it 6/1/09 in the schedule as it is now, (and change accordingly as those lines are corrected). (This could give back the time we are losing in starting contractor task late, and not result in extending the schedule.)

4.) There is a logic problem with finish date for Line # 236, and start date for Line # 632. There is no time built in for PM and OGC to process the supplemental RAIs before they are issued.

We have not adjusted the hours allotted to each line. If we are going to publish the detailed schedule on website as other projects have, we should clean up the hours first. We would want the best available information on the website. It will also help as we try to use EPM to balance resources.

If we need a meeting in T7F7 to go through this I am available on Friday, and most any time next week.

Dan

From: Michael Montague
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 10:02 AM
To: Dan Barss
Cc: Michael Wentzel; Chandu Patel; Jerry Hale
Subject: Fermi 13.3 Schedule

Dan,

Projects have reviewed 13.3 for Fermi and have found the schedule acceptable. They would like you to check the attached file to make sure that the schedule meets your expectations.

There is one difference between this schedule and other schedules. You may note that it appears that FEMA only has 26d to prepare their initial RAIs. This is calculated this way because Fermi was actually docketed on 11/25/08 and 65d from 11/25/08 is 4/6/09. However the schedule start was not until 3/2/09 so to attain the 4/6/09 date it was calculated that a duration of 26d was needed.

If you think there could be a problem with this please let us know so that we can review any potential issue.

Thanks

Mick Montague
Planning and Scheduling Analyst
NRO/DNRL/NPLS
Tel: 301-415-0004
E-mail: michael.montague@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: Fermi_COL_Public
Email Number: 420

Mail Envelope Properties (419623E8C7444444BF132DA3AE69EDA21994071AF5)

Subject: FW: Fermi 13.3 Schedule
Sent Date: 3/13/2009 9:38:04 AM
Received Date: 3/13/2009 9:38:03 AM
From: Wentzel, Michael

Created By: Michael.Wentzel@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Hale, Jerry" <Jerry.Hale@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Patel, Chandu" <Chandu.Patel@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3459	3/13/2009 9:38:03 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: