

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37379-2000

June 3, 2008

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of Docket No. 50-328 Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT (SQN) - UNIT 2 CYCLE 16 CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR)

In accordance with SQN Unit 2 Technical Specification 6.9.1.14.c, enclosed is the Unit 2 Cycle 16 COLR.

Please direct questions concerning this issue to me at (423) 843-7170 or Russell R. Thompson at (423) 843-6672.

Sincerely,

James D. Smith

Manager, Site Licensing and

Industry Affairs

Enclosure

cc (Enclosure):

Luis A. Reyes

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30323-8931

NRC Senior Resident Inspector Sequoyah Nuclear Plant 2600 Igou Ferry Road Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379-3624

SEQUOYAH UNIT 2 CYCLE 16

CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

REVISION 0

May 2008

Prepared by: PWR Fuel Engineering	5/27/08 Date
Verified by: Am Smill	5/27/2008
PWR Fuel Engineering	Date
Reviewed by:	5/27/2008
PWR Fuel Engineering Manager	Date
P. M. Noe	5/27/2008
Reactor Engineering Supervisor	Date
Approved by:	5/21/2008
PORC Chairman	Date
Plant Manager A. Church Plant Manager	5/27/2008 Date
Revision 0	
Pages affected	
Reason for Revision:	

1.0 CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

This Core Operating Limits Report (COLR) for Sequoyah Unit 1 Cycle 16 has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Technical Specification (TS) 6.9.1.14.

The TSs affected by this report are listed below:

- TABLE 2.2-1 $f_1(\Delta I)$ trip reset function for OT ΔT Trip (QTNL, QTPL) and rates of trip setpoint decrease per percent ΔI (QTNS, QTPS)
- TABLE 2.2-1 $f_2(\Delta I)$ trip reset function for OP ΔT Trip (QPNL, QPPL) and rates of trip setpoint decrease per percent ΔI (QPNS, QPPS)
- 3/4.1.1.3 Moderator Temperature Coefficient (MTC)
- 3/4.1.3.5 Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit
- 3/4.1.3.6 Control Rod Insertion Limits
- 3/4.2.1 Axial Flux Difference (AFD)
- 3/4.2.2 Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor $(F_Q(X,Y,Z))$
- 3/4.2.3 Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor $(F_{AH}(X,Y))$

2.0 **OPERATING LIMITS**

The cycle-specific parameter limits for the specifications listed in section 1.0 are presented in the following subsections. These limits have been developed using the NRC approved methodologies specified in TS 6.9.1.14. The versions of the topical reports which describe the methodologies used for this cycle are listed in Table 1.

The following abbreviations are used in this section:

BOL stands for Beginning of Cycle Life ARO stands for All Rods Out HZP stands for Hot Zero THERMAL POWER EOL stands for End of Cycle Life RTP stands for RATED THERMAL POWER

2.1 <u>Moderator Temperature Coefficient - MTC (Specification 3/4.1.1.3)</u>

2.1.1 The MTC limits are:

The BOL/ARO/HZP MTC shall be less positive than 0 Δ k/k/°F (BOL limit). With the measured BOL/ARO/HZP MTC more positive than -0.04 x 10⁻⁵ Δ k/k/°F (as-measured MTC limit), establish control rod withdrawal limits to ensure the MTC remains less positive than 0 Δ k/k/°F for all times in core life.

The EOL/ARO/RTP MTC shall be less negative than or equal to -4.5 x 10⁻⁴ $\Delta k/k/^{\circ}F$.

2.1.2 The 300 ppm surveillance limit is:

The measured 300 ppm/ARO/RTP MTC should be less negative than or equal to $-3.75 \times 10^{-4} \Delta k/k$ °F.

- 2.2 Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit (Specification 3/4.1.3.5)
 - 2.2.1 The shutdown rods shall be withdrawn to a position as defined below:

- 2.3 <u>Control Rod Insertion Limits</u> (Specification 3/4.1.3.6)
 - 2.3.1 The control rod banks shall be limited in physical insertion as shown in Figure 1.
- 2.4 <u>Axial Flux Difference AFD</u> (Specification 3/4.2.1)
 - 2.4.1 The axial flux difference (AFD) limits (AFD^{Limit}) are provided in Figures 2A, 2B, and 2C.
- 2.5 <u>Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor F_Q (X,Y,Z)</u> (Specification 3/4.2.2)

 F_Q (X,Y,Z) shall be limited by the following relationships:

$$F_{Q}(X,Y,Z) \leq \frac{F_{Q}^{(1)}}{P} * K(Z) \qquad \text{for } P > 0.5$$

$$F_Q(X,Y,Z) \le \frac{F_{Q}^{****}}{0.5} * K(Z)$$
 for $P \le 0.5$

where P = Thermal Power / Rated Thermal Power

$$2.5.1 \quad F_{Q}^{RTP} = 2.48$$

2.5.2 K(Z) is provided in Figure 3.

The following parameters are required for core monitoring per the Surveillance Requirements of Specification 3/4.2.2:

- 2.5.3 $NSLOPE^{AFD} = 1.10$
 - where NSLOPE^{AFD} = Negative AFD limit adjustment required to compensate for each 1% that $F_O(X,Y,Z)$ exceeds BQDES.
- 2.5.4 $PSLOPE^{AFD} = 2.20$
 - where PSLOPE^{AFD} = Positive AFD limit adjustment required to compensate for each 1% that $F_O(X,Y,Z)$ exceeds BQDES.
- 2.5.5 NSLOPE $f_2^{(\Delta I)} = 1.27$
 - where NSLOPE $f_2^{(\Delta I)}$ = Adjustment to negative OP Δ T $f_2(\Delta I)$ limit required to compensate for each 1% that $F_0(X,Y,Z)$ exceeds BCDES.
- 2.5.6 PSLOPE $f_2(\Delta I) = 2.41$
 - where PSLOPE $f_2(\Delta I)$ = Adjustment to positive OP Δ T $f_2(\Delta I)$ limit required to compensate for each 1% that $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ exceeds BCDES.
- 2.5.7 BQNOM(X,Y,Z) = Nominal design peaking factor, increased by an allowance for the expected deviation between the nominal design power distribution and the measurement.
- 2.5.8 BQDES(X,Y,Z) = Maximum allowable design peaking factor which ensures that the $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits, including allowances for calculational and measurement uncertainties.
- 2.5.9 BCDES(X,Y,Z) = Maximum allowable design peaking factor which ensures that the centerline fuel melt limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits, including allowances for calculational and measurement uncertainties.

BQNOM(X,Y,Z), BQDES(X,Y,Z), and BCDES(X,Y,Z) data bases are provided for input to the plant power distribution analysis codes on a cycle specific basis and are determined using the methodology for core limit generation described in the references in Specification 6.9.1.14.

2.5.10 The increase in F_Q^M (X,Y,Z) for compliance with the 4.2.2.2.e Surveillance Requirements is defined as follows:

For cycle burnups 0 to 6686 MWd/mtU, use 2.0% For cycle burnups 6686 to 7591 MWd/mtU, use 2.3% For cycle burnups > 7591 MWd/mtU, use 2.0%

2.6 Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor - FAH (X,Y) (Specification 3/4.2.3)

 $F_{\Delta H}$ (X,Y) shall be limited by the following relationship:

$$F_{\Delta H}(X,Y) \leq MAP(X,Y,Z) / AXIAL(X,Y)$$

2.6.1 MAP(X,Y,Z) is provided in Table 2.

AXIAL(X,Y) is the axial peak from the normalized axial power shape.

The following parameters are required for core monitoring per the Surveillance Requirements of Specification 3/4.2.3:

$$F\Delta HR^{M}(X,Y) \leq BHNOM(X,Y)$$

where
$$F\Delta HR^{M}(X,Y) = F_{\Delta H}^{M}(X,Y) / [MAP^{M} / AXIAL(X,Y)]$$

 $F_{\Delta H}^{M}(X,Y)$ is the measured radial peak at location X,Y.

 MAP^{M} is the value of MAP(X,Y,Z) obtained from Table 2 for the measured peak.

2.6.2 BHNOM(X,Y) = Nominal design radial peaking factor, increased by an allowance for the expected deviation between the nominal design power distribution and

the measurement.

2.6.3 BHDES(X,Y) = Maximum allowable design radial peaking factor which ensures that the

 $F_{\Delta H}$ (X,Y) limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits, including allowances for calculational and measurement uncertainties.

2.6.4 BRDES(X,Y) = Maximum allowable design radial peaking factor which ensures that the steady state DNBR limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO

steady state DNBH limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits, including allowances for calculational and measurement

Revision 0

uncertainties.

BHNOM(X,Y), BHDES(X,Y) and BRDES(X,Y) data bases are provided for input to the plant power distribution analysis computer codes on a cycle specific basis and are determined using the methodology for core limit generation described in the references in Specification 6.9.1.14.

2.6.5 RRH = 3.34 when 0.8 < P < 1.0

RRH = 1.67 when P < 0.8

where RRH = Thermal power reduction required to compensate for each 1% that

 $F_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$ exceeds its limit.

P = Thermal Power / Rated Thermal Power

2.6.6 TRH = 0.0334 when $0.8 < P \le 1.0$

TRH = 0.0167 when P ≤ 0.8

where TRH = Reduction in OT Δ T K₁ setpoint required to compensate for each 1%

 $F_{AH}(X,Y)$ exceeds its limit.

P = Thermal Power / Rated Thermal Power

2.6.7 All cycle burnups shall use a 2% increase in $F_{\Delta H}^{M}$ (X,Y) margin for compliance with the 4.2.3.2.d.1 Surveillance Requirement.

3.0 REACTOR CORE PROTECTIVE LIMITS

3.1 Trip Reset Term [$f_1(\Delta I)$] for Overtemperature Delta T-Trip (Specification 2.2.1)

The following parameters are required to specify the power level-dependent $f_1(\Delta I)$ trip reset term limits for the Overtemperature Delta-T trip function:

3.1.1 QTNL = -20%

where QTNL = Maximum negative ∆I setpoint at rated thermal power at which the trip setpoint is not reduced by the axial power distribution.

3.1.2 QTPL = +5%

where QTPL = Maximum positive ΔI setpoint at rated thermal power at which the trip setpoint is not reduced by the axial power distribution.

3.1.3 QTNS = 2.50%

where QTNS = Percent reduction in Overtemperature Delta-T trip setpoint for each percent that the magnitude of ΔI exceeds its negative limit at rated thermal power (QTNL).

3.1.4 QTPS = 1.40%

where QTPS = Percent reduction in Overtemperature Delta-T trip setpoint for each percent that the magnitude of ΔI exceeds its positive limit at rated thermal power (QTPL).

3.2 <u>Trip Reset Term [$f_2(\Delta I)$] for Overpower Delta-T Trip</u> (Specification 2.2.1)

The following parameters are required to specify the power level-dependent $f_2(\Delta I)$ trip reset term limits for the Overpower Delta-T trip function:

3.2.1 QPNL = -25%

where QPNL = Maximum negative ΔI setpoint at rated thermal power at which the trip setpoint is not reduced by the axial power distribution.

3.2.2 QPPL = +25%

where QPPL = Maximum positive ΔI setpoint at rated thermal power at which the trip setpoint is not reduced by the axial power distribution.

3.2.3 QPNS = 1.70%

where QPNS = Percent reduction in Overpower Delta-T trip setpoint for each percent that the magnitude of ΔI exceeds its negative limit at rated thermal power (QPNL).

3.2.4 QPPS = 1.70%

where QPPS = Percent reduction in Overpower Delta-T trip setpoint for each percent that the magnitude of ΔI exceeds its positive limit at rated thermal power (QPPL).

Table 1

COLR Methodology Topical Reports

- BAW-10180-A, Revision 1, "NEMO Nodal Expansion Method Optimized," March 1993.
 (Methodology for Specification 3/4.1.1.3 Moderator Temperature Coefficient)
- 2. BAW-10169P-A, Revision 0, "RSG Plant Safety Analysis B&W Safety Analysis Methodology for Recirculating Steam Generator Plants," October 1989.

(Methodology for Specification 3/4.1.1.3 - Moderator Temperature Coefficient)

3. BAW-10163P-A, Revision 0, "Core Operating Limit Methodology for Westinghouse-Designed PWRs," June 1989.

(Methodology for Specifications 2.2.1 – Reactor Trip System Instrumentation Setpoints [$f_1(\Delta I)$, $f_2(\Delta I)$ limits], 3/4.1.3.5 - Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit, 3/4.1.3.6 - Control Rod Insertion Limits, 3/4.2.1 - Axial Flux Difference, 3/4.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, 3/4.2.3 - Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor)

4. BAW-10168P-A, Revision 3, "RSG LOCA – BWNT Loss of Coolant Accident Evaluation Model for Recirculating Steam Generator Plants," December 1996.

(Methodology for Specification 3/4.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor)

5. BAW-10227P-A, Revision 1, "Evaluation of Advanced Cladding and Structural Material (M5) in PWR Reactor Fuel," June 2003.

(Methodology for Specification 3/4.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor)

- 6. BAW-10186P-A, Revision 2, "Extended Burnup Evaluation," June 2003.
 - (Methodology for Specification 3/4.2.2 Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor)
- 7. EMF-2103P-A, "Realistic Large Break LOCA Methodology for Pressurized Water Reactors", April 2003.

(Methodology for Specification 3/4.2.2-Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor)

Table 2

Maximum Allowable Peaking Limits MAP(X,Y,Z)

AXIAL(X,Y)	ELEVATION (ft)	MAP(X,Y,Z)		AXIAL(X,Y)	ELEVATION (ft)	MAP(X,Y,Z)
1.1	2	1.9540		1.9	2	2.8169
	4	1.9494			4	3.1537
	6	1.9431			4 6 8	3.0026
	8	1.9337			-	2.8465
	10	1.9147			10	2.6987
1.2	2	2.1780		>1.9	2	2.5377
	4	2.1682			4	2.8412
	6	2.1543			6 8	2.7051
	8	2.1317				2.5644
	10	2.0855			10	2.4313
1.3	2	2.4025	1	2.2	2	2.6873
	4	2.3875			4	3.3150
	6	2.3672			6 8	3.1660
	8	2.3029			8	3.0227
	10	2.1902			10	2.7136
1.4	2	2.6264	1	2.6	2	2.6965
	4	2.6047	ļ		4	3.5807
	6	2.5629	ļ		6	3.5514
	8	2.4204			8	3.3102
	10	2.2893			10	2.9726
1.5	2	2.8525		3.0	2	2.9517
	4	2.8119			4	3.8016
	6	2.6771			6 8	4.1225
	8	2.5251				3.6877
	10	2.3839			10	3.3466
1.7	2	2.7765	7	3.5	2	3.1500
	4	3.0191			4	4.1097
	6	2.8610			6	4.1197
	8	2.7036			8	3.7296
	10	2.5528			10	3.4811




FIGURE 1
Rod Bank Insertion Limits Versus
Thermal Power, Four Loop Operation

Fully withdrawn shall be the position as defined below,

Cycle Burnup (MWd/mtU) ≥ 0 Steps Withdrawn ≥225 to ≤231

This figure is valid for operation at a rated thermal power of 3455 MWt when the LEFM is in operation.

If the LEFM becomes inoperable, then prior to the next NIS calibration, the maximum allowable power level must be reduced by 1.3% in power, and the rod insertion limit lines must be increased by 3 steps withdrawn until the LEFM is returned to operation.

^{*} Fully withdrawn region shall be the condition where shutdown and control banks are at a position within the interval of ≥225 and ≤231 steps withdrawn, inclusive.




FIGURE 2A

Axial Flux Difference Limits As A Function of Thermal Power For Burnup Range of 0 EFPD to 356±10 EFPD

This figure is valid for operation at a rated thermal power of 3455 MWt when the LEFM is in operation.

If the LEFM becomes inoperable, then prior to the next NIS calibration, the maximum allowable power level must be reduced by 1.3% in power, and the AFD limit lines must be made more restrictive by 1% in AFD until the LEFM is returned to operation.




FIGURE 2B

Axial Flux Difference Limits As A Function of Thermal Power For Burnup Range of 356±10 EFPD to 483±10 EFPD

This figure is valid for operation at a rated thermal power of 3455 MWt when the LEFM is in operation.

If the LEFM becomes inoperable, then prior to the next NIS calibration, the maximum allowable power level must be reduced by 1.3% in power, and the AFD limit lines must be made more restrictive by 1% in AFD until the LEFM is returned to operation.





FIGURE 2C

Axial Flux Difference Limits As A Function of Thermal Power For Burnup Range of 483±10 EFPD to EOL

This figure is valid for operation at a rated thermal power of 3455 MWt when the LEFM is in operation.

If the LEFM becomes inoperable, then prior to the next NIS calibration, the maximum allowable power level must be reduced by 1.3% in power, and the AFD limit lines must be made more restrictive by 1% in AFD until the LEFM is returned to operation.



K(Z) - Normalized FQ(X,Y,Z) as a Function of Core Height