

PMBelCOL PEmails

From: Robert Schaaf
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2007 8:39 AM
To: Mallecia Hood; Michael Willingham
Cc: William Burton
Subject: Re: Bellefonte SUNSI Review

Jenny Davis is looking at the cultural resource passages and will call me with suggested redactions. According to her, any info relating to specific site locations (e.g., "site located 100 feet from xx") should be redacted. Site numbers are ok to disclose.
Bob

>>> Michael Willingham 11/13/2007 2:48 PM >>>
All,

As of 11/13/2007:

Paul Kallan Reviewed Chapter 2 of the Bellefonte ER. he noted, "- Figure 2.5-7 was removed from the ER to Part 9 where all the sensitive material is located which is fine. I am questioning two areas that may be sensitive: 1. non-aboriginal cemetery (1JA348) that has not been assessed by NRHP 2. Appendix A (page 21) that gives detail information on the sensitive sites"

Mark Notich Reviewed Chapters 4 & 5 of the Bellefonte ER. He Noted, "Chapter 4 of the Bellefonte COLA does not contain any SUNSI material. Chapter 5 of the Bellefonte COLA does not contain any SUNSI material."

Dan Mussatti "...reviewed the following sections of the Bellefonte ER and did not find any SUNSI-related issues: Chapter 2.5 SOCIOECONOMICS and EJ Background; Chapter 4.4 SOCIOECONOMICS and EJ Construcion; Chapter 5.8 SOCIOECONOMICS and EJ Operations; Chapter 8 NEED FOR POWER (entire chapter); Chapter 9 ALTERNATIVES (entire chapter, but section 8.3 and all of the tables in 8-A are fully redacted)."

Tamsen Dozier reviewed Appendix A Pages 200 - end: "There were more of those same letters mentioning that same historical site with those same maps (that you had mentioned). Aug 28 06 letter to William Steele, Seminole Indians. Aug 28 06 letter to Pare Bowlegs, Seminole Indians. Aug 28 06 letter to Ron Sparkman, Shawnee Tribe. Aug 28 06 letter to Charles Coleman, Thloptlocco Tribal Town. Aug 28 06 letter to Lisa Stopp, Cherokee of Oklahoma. In Jan 31 07 letter from Alabama State Historical Society to the applicant, the sites were mentioned but not their location, so not SUNSI. last letters were some items from Fish and Wildlife mentioning endangered species possible, but no locations and not for certain - so not SUNSI. Nothing else had any sensitive topics discussed."

Laura Quinn reviewed chapter 7 of the Bellefonte ER and did not note any SUNSI Related Material.

Michael Willingham Reviewed Chapters 1, 6, 8, and 10. I did not note any SUNSI related material within those chapters. However, during my review of Appendix A, pages 1-200, I noted detailed information on cultural resource information that should be removed on pages 21, 3, 27, 38, 44, 50, 128, 134, 140, 146, 152, 158, 164, 170, 176, 182, 188, 194, and 200. The SUNSI related material is in a form letter that was sent out to several indian tribes and historic preservation offices. Tamsen Dozier Reviewed pages 200-281 and had noted similar occurances.

Tomeka Terry and Mallecia Hood are both reviewing chapter 3 and have not submitted their final analysis.

E-mails are attached with the complete documentation of the individual reviewers.

Sincerely,

Michael Willingham

Hearing Identifier: Bellefonte_COL_Public_EX
Email Number: 260

Mail Envelope Properties (C4A4C9A16294FB4CBA5A36312D05FFAC034CAA2CD6)

Subject: Re: Bellefonte SUNSI Review
Sent Date: 11/14/2007 8:39:09 AM
Received Date: 11/14/2007 8:39:09 AM
From: Robert Schaaf

Created By: Robert.Schaaf@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"William Burton" <William.Burton@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Mallecia Hood" <Mallecia.Hood@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Michael Willingham" <Michael.Willingham@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3008	11/14/2007 8:39:09 AM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: