

From: Getachew Tesfaye
Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 12:58 PM
To: 'Pederson Ronda M (AREVA NP INC)'
Cc: John Rycyna; Kenneth See; Mark Thaggard; Joseph Colaccino
Subject: Draft RAI No. 13
Attachments: Draft RAI 13 RHEB 260,261,262,263,264.doc

Ronda,

Attached please find the subject draft RAI. If you have any question or need clarifications regarding this RAI, please let me know as soon as possible, I will arrange a telecon with our technical staff.

Thanks,
Getachew Tesfaye
Sr. Project Manager
NRO/DNRL/NARP

E-mail Properties

Mail Envelope Properties (C56E360E9D804F4B95BC673F886381E70A937CFC5A)

Subject: Draft RAI No. 13
Sent Date: 5/18/2008 12:58:14 PM
Received Date: 5/18/2008 12:57:00 PM
From: Getachew Tesfaye

Created By: Getachew.Tesfaye@nrc.gov

Recipients:

Ronda.Pederson@areva.com ('Pederson Ronda M (AREVA NP INC)')

Tracking Status: None

John.Rycyna@nrc.gov (John Rycyna)

Tracking Status: None

Kenneth.See@nrc.gov (Kenneth See)

Tracking Status: None

Mark.Thaggard@nrc.gov (Mark Thaggard)

Tracking Status: None

Joseph.Colaccino@nrc.gov (Joseph Colaccino)

Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	40147	5/18/2008

Draft RAI 13 RHEB 260,261,262,263,264.doc 30538

Options

Expiration Date:

Priority: olImportanceNormal

ReplyRequested: False

Return Notification: False

Sensitivity: olNormal

Recipients received:

Draft

Request for Additional Information No. 13, Revision 0

5/18/2008

U. S. EPR Standard Design Certification
Alternate Energy Holdings
Docket No. 52-020
SRP Section: 02.04 - Hydrology
SRP Section: 02.04.04 - Potential Dam Failures
SRP Section: 02.04.06 - Probable Maximum Tsunami Flooding
SRP Section: 02.04.09 - Channel Diversions
SRP Section: 09.02.05 - Ultimate Heat Sink
Application Section: 2.4
RHEB Branch

QUESTIONS

02.04-1

Table 5.0-1 (Tier 1) states the maximum rainfall rate parameters as being for roof design while Table 2.1-1 (Tier 2) does not mention roof design. Is the rainfall rate of 19.4 in/hr to be used only for roof design?

02.04.04-1

Section 2.4.4 of the EPR DCD appears to only address seismically induced dam failures. SRP 2.4.4 addresses both seismic and non-seismic causes of dam failure. Please provide a basis for excluding non-seismic causes?

02.04.06-1

Section 2.4.6.2 states that the COL applicant will "...determine the extent to which safety-related facilities require protection from tsunami effects ". Clarify that "tsunami effects" includes the Probable Maximum Tsunami? If not, please provide a basis for excluding the Probable Maximum Tsunami.

02.04.09-1

Section 2.4.9.2 states that the COL applicant will "demonstrate in the event of upstream diversion or rerouting of the source of cooling water, alternate water supplies will be available to safety-related equipment." Explain why nearby down-stream diversions, which could lead to a backwater effect and therefore alter the water surface elevation at the site, not considered.

09.02.05-1

Section 9.2.5 UHS, Table 9.2.5 Ultimate Heat Sink Design Parameters. Were the values given based on the most severe meteorological conditions expected at a site? If so, what assures that they are representative of a reasonable number of sites?