


~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Seaborg



~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

SECY-R 143

January 28, 1971

AMENDMENT TO 10 CFR 50 - GENERAL DESIGN
CRITERIA FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

Note by the Secretary

The Director of Regulation has requested that the attached report by the Director of Reactor Standards be circulated for consideration by the Commission at an early Meeting.

W. B. McCool

Secretary of the Commission

DISTRIBUTION

NO. OF COPIES

Secretary	10
Chairman Seaborg	4
Commissioner Ramey	2
Commissioner Johnson	2
Commissioner Larson	2
Commissioner	1
General Manager	2
Deputy Gen. Mgr.	1
Director of Regulation	1
Deputy Dir. of Regulation	1
Asst. Dir. of Reg. for Admn.	2
Tech. Adv. to the Dir. of Reg.	1
Asst. Dir. of Reg. for Reactors	1
Asst. Gen. Mgr.	1
Exec. Asst. to GM	1
Spec. Asst. to GM	1
Asst. GM for Admn.	1
Asst. GM for Operations	1
Asst. GM for Plans	1
Asst. GM for Reactors	1
General Counsel	6
Compliance	6
Congr. Relations	1
Controller	5
Inspection	1
Materials Licensing	2
Operational Safety	2
Plans & Reports	2
Public Information	2
Reactor Dev. & Tech.	10
Reactor Licensing	2
Reactor Standards	2
State & Lic. Relations	2
Chairman, AS&LBP	2

B11

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

LEGAL 13. Rev 50

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
AMENDMENT TO 10 CFR 50
GENERAL DESIGN CRITERIA FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

Report to the Director of Regulation
by the
Director, Division of Reactor Standards

THE PROBLEM

1. To consider publication in effective form of an amendment to 10 CFR Part 50, "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," which would add an Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants".

BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY

2. At Regulatory Meeting 255 on June 28, 1967, the Commission approved publication of a Notice of Proposed Rule Making to amend 10 CFR Part 50 by adding an Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plant Construction Permits" (AEC-R 2/57). That proposed amendment was published in the Federal Register on July 11, 1967, with a 60-day comment period.

3. Comments from twenty-one organizations and individuals, as listed in Appendix "B", were received in response to the previously proposed amendment. Because of the volume, the comments are not attached. Copies of all comments received have been placed in the Public Document Room.

4. The general reaction to the proposed criteria was favorable. The published proposed criteria were regarded as a considerable improvement over those originally released in Press Release H-252 dated November 22, 1965.* None of the commentators objected to the issuance of General Design Criteria. Most of the comments received were in the form of suggested improvements in language to facilitate understanding of the intent of the criteria, with few

*Secretariat Note: A copy of AEC Press Release H-252, November 22, 1965, is on file in the Office of the Secretary.

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

suggestions to change or delete many requirements. The more significant comments and our resolution of them were:

a. Published Criterion 1 - Quality Standards

- Comment** - It should not be necessary for each applicant to show that an applicable code or standard is sufficient. A showing of sufficiency should be required only for those items not covered by an applicable code or standard.
- Resolution** - This criterion has been modified to provide that a showing of sufficiency is not necessarily required, but an evaluation by the applicant of the applicable codes and standards to determine sufficiency is necessary (see New Criterion 1). Nuclear codes and standards have not been developed to the degree where it can be assumed that they are sufficient. The number of codes that has remained in an "Issued for Trial Use and Comment" status for long periods of time and the additional requirements contained in the addenda to accepted codes indicate the need for an applicant to evaluate applicable codes and standards to assure their sufficiency.

b. Published Criterion 11 - Control Room

- Comments** - (1) The criterion as published could be interpreted to require two control rooms and (2) Part 20 is not applicable to accidents.
- Resolution** - The criterion has been rewritten to make it clear that only one control room is required and reference to Part 20 has been deleted (see New Criterion 19). It should be noted that we have discussed control

room requirements with industry representatives in order to understand better their views. One reactor manufacturer, supported by several utilities, made a presentation to the regulatory staff on this subject. The new wording of the criterion is in agreement with the industry position expressed in these discussions.

c. Published Criterion 28 - Reactivity Hot Shutdown Capability

Comment - The criterion can be interpreted to require two reactivity control systems capable of fast shutdown.

Resolution - The criterion has been rewritten to make it clear that only one system must be capable of fast shutdown (see New Criterion 26).

d. Published Criterion 35 - Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Brittle Fracture Prevention

Comment - The requirements of this criterion are too specific and should be deleted.

Resolution - The criterion has been rewritten in a more general form. All references to specific margins above NDT temperature have been deleted (see New Criterion 31). Interim draft revisions of the criterion on fracture prevention were discussed with the major reactor manufacturers. This resulted in a change in their position from recommending that the criterion be deleted to recommending that it be retained in the revised form.

e. Published Criterion 39 - Emergency Power for Engineered Safety Features

Comment - (1) The requirement that offsite power must satisfy the "single failure criterion" is impractical and (2) eliminate all reference to offsite power.

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Resolution - The criterion has been rewritten to make it clear that the offsite power system need not meet the "single failure criterion." Reference to offsite power has not been deleted because we believe that offsite power is required to provide adequate assurance of safety (see New Criterion 17). New Criterion 17 has been discussed with the IEEE Subcommittee which is developing criteria for power requirements for nuclear power units. The members of the subcommittee indicated that the new criterion is acceptable and consistent with their requirements.

f. Published Criterion 44 - Emergency Core Cooling Systems Capability

Comment - Two independent emergency core cooling systems are not necessary.

Resolution - The criterion has been rewritten so that one system with sufficient redundancy is acceptable (see New Criterion 35). An interim version of the revised criterion for emergency core cooling was discussed with the ANS Systems Engineering Subcommittee. This subcommittee is in the process of developing criteria applicable to pressurized-water reactors. This interim version, which presented the one system concept, was acceptable to the ANS group with minor suggestions for changes in wording.

g. Published Criterion 49 - Containment Design Basis

Comment - Functioning of the emergency core cooling system is required for containment integrity; therefore,

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

it is inconsistent to require that the containment design be based on the assumed failure of emergency core cooling systems.

Resolution - The criterion has been rewritten so that for containments a design margin which reflects consideration of the possible effects of degraded emergency core cooling performance is required (see New Criterion 50).

5. The staff met in February 1970 with an ad hoc AIF group, which included representatives of reactor manufacturers, utilities and architect engineers to discuss the revised General Design Criteria. The comments of this group were reflected in a June 4, 1970 draft of the revised General Design Criteria that was forwarded to the AIF for comment. The AIF forwarded comments and stated it believed the criteria should be published as an effective rule after reflecting its comments. These comments have been reflected in the General Design Criteria in Appendix "A".

6. The revised criteria establish minimum requirements for the design of water-cooled nuclear power units and provide guidance for the design of other nuclear power units whereas the previously proposed criteria provided guidance for applicants for construction permits for all types of nuclear power plants.

7. The revised criteria include definitions in accordance with comments received from industry that certain crucial terms should be defined. In addition, the criteria have been rearranged to increase their usefulness to designers and evaluators.

8. The Category A or B designation for each criterion which was included in the previously proposed amendment has been deleted. These categories had been included to provide guidance on the quantity and detail of information required for individual items at the construction permit stage. The amendment to § 50.34 of 10 CFR Part 50, published December 17, 1968, gives sufficient guidance in this area.

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

9. The revised criteria do not include the term "engineered safety features." The requirements in the previously proposed criteria for these features have been incorporated in the revised criteria for the individual systems which are used for this purpose.

10. There are new criteria which do not have direct counterparts in the previously proposed criteria. Most of these do not represent new requirements but represent more specific guidance on requirements that were included in the previously proposed criteria in a more general form.

11. The regulatory staff has considered all comments received in revising the criteria and has worked closely with the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in the development of the criteria. The criteria in Appendix "A" reflect ACRS review and comments.

STAFF JUDGMENTS

12. The Divisions of Reactor Licensing and Compliance and the Office of the General Counsel concur in the recommendation of this paper. The draft public announcement was prepared by the Division of Public Information. The Office of Congressional Relations concurs in the draft letter to the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy.

RECOMMENDATION

13. The Director of Regulation recommends that the Atomic Energy Commission:

- a. Approve publication in effective form of the amendment to 10 CFR Part 50 which would add an Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants" establishing minimum requirements for water-cooled nuclear power plants similar in design and location to plants for which construction permits have been previously issued by the Commission and providing guidance to the applicants for construction permits for establishing the principal design criteria for other types of nuclear power plants;

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

b. Note that the amendment to 10 CFR Part 50 set forth in Appendix "A" will be published in the Federal Register to be effective 90 days after publication.

c. Note that the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy will be informed by letter such as Appendix "C";

d. Note that a public announcement such as Appendix "D" will be issued when the amendment is filed with the Federal Register.

LIST OF ENCLOSURES

<u>APPENDIX</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
"A"	Notice of Rule Making.....	9
"B"	List of Comments on Notice of Proposed Rule Making published in the <u>Federal Register</u> , July 11, 1967 (32 FR 10213).....	48
"C"	Draft Letter to the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy.....	49
"D"	Draft Public Announcement.....	50

APPENDIX "A"

TITLE 10 - ATOMIC ENERGY

CHAPTER 1 - ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

PART 50 - LICENSING OF PRODUCTION AND UTILIZATION FACILITIES

General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants

The Atomic Energy Commission has adopted an amendment to its regulations, 10 CFR Part 50, "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," which adds an Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."

Paragraph 50.34(a) of Part 50 requires that each application for a construction permit include the preliminary design of the facility. The following information is specified for inclusion as part of the preliminary design of the facility:

- (i) The principal design criteria for the facility
- (ii) The design bases and the relation of the design bases to the principal design criteria
- (iii) Information relative to materials of construction, general arrangement, and the approximate dimensions, sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that the final design will conform to the design bases with adequate margin for safety.

The "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants" added as Appendix A to Part 50 establish the minimum requirements for the principal design criteria for water-cooled nuclear power plants

similar in design and location to plants for which construction permits have been issued by the Commission. They also provide guidance in establishing the principal design criteria for other types of nuclear power plants. Principal design criteria established by an applicant and accepted by the Commission will be incorporated by reference in the construction permit. In considering the issuance of an operating license under Part 50, the Commission will require assurance that these criteria have been satisfied in the detailed design and construction of the facility and that any changes in such criteria are justified.

A proposed Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plant Construction Permits" to 10 CFR Part 50 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (32 FR 10213) on July 11, 1967. The comments and suggestions received in response to the notice of proposed rule making and subsequent developments in the technology and in the licensing process have been considered in developing the revised criteria which follow.

The revised criteria establish minimum requirements for water-cooled nuclear power plants similar in design and location to plants for which construction permits have been issued by the Commission, whereas the previously proposed criteria would have provided guidance for applicants for construction permits for all types of nuclear power plants. The revised criteria have been reduced to

- (ii) Consideration of redundancy and diversity requirements for fluid systems important to safety. A "system" could consist of a number of subsystems each of which is separately capable of performing the specified system safety function. The minimum acceptable redundancy and diversity of subsystems and components within a subsystem and the required interconnection and independence of the subsystems have not yet been developed or defined.
- (iii) Consideration of the type, size, and orientation of possible breaks in the components of the reactor coolant pressure boundary in determining design requirements to suitably protect against postulated loss of coolant accidents.
- (iv) Consideration of the possibility of systematic, non-random, concurrent failures of redundant elements in the design of the protection systems and reactivity control systems.

In addition, the Commission is giving consideration to the need for development of criteria relating to protection against industrial sabotage and protection against common mode failures in systems, other than the protection and reactivity control systems, that are important to safety and have extremely high reliability requirements.

It is expected that these criteria will be augmented or changed when specific requirements related to these and other considerations are suitably identified and developed.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and sections 552 and 553 of Title 5 of the United States Code, the following amendment to 10 CFR Part 50 is published as a document subject to codification to be effective 90 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The Commission invites all interested persons who desire to submit written comments or suggestions in connection with the amendment to send them to the Secretary, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C., 20545, Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Branch, within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Such submissions will be given consideration with the view to possible further amendments. Copies of comments may be examined in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C.

1. Subdivision 50.34(a)(3)(1) is amended to read as follows:

§ 50.34 Contents of applications; technical information.

(a) Preliminary safety analysis report. Each application for a construction permit shall include a preliminary safety analysis report. The minimum information to be included shall consist of the following:

* * * * *

(3) The preliminary design of the facility including:

(1) The principal design criteria for the facility. Appendix A, General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants, establishes minimum requirements for the principal design criteria for water-cooled nuclear power plants similar in design and location to

plants for which construction permits have previously been issued by the Commission and provides guidance to applicants for construction permits in establishing principal design criteria for other types of nuclear power units;

* * * * *

2. Footnote² to § 50.34 is amended to read as follows:

²General design criteria for chemical processing facilities are being developed.

* * * * *

3. A new Appendix A is added to read as follows:

APPENDIX A

GENERAL DESIGN CRITERIA FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

Table of Contents

	<u>Number</u>
INTRODUCTION	
DEFINITIONS	
Nuclear Power Unit	
Loss of Coolant Accidents	
Single Failure	
Anticipated Operational Occurrences	
CRITERIA	
I. <u>OVERALL REQUIREMENTS</u>	
Quality Standards and Records	1
Design Bases for Protection Against Natural Phenomena	2
Fire Protection	3
Environmental and Missile Design Bases	4
Sharing of Structures, Systems, and Components	5
II. <u>PROTECTION BY MULTIPLE FISSION PRODUCT BARRIERS</u>	
Reactor Design	10
Reactor Inherent Protection	11
Suppression of Reactor Power Oscillations	12
Instrumentation and Control	13
Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary	14
Reactor Coolant System Design	15
Containment Design	16
Electrical Power Systems	17
Inspection and Testing of Electrical Power Systems	18
Control Room	19
III. <u>PROTECTION AND REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS</u>	
Protection System Functions	20

Protection System Reliability and Testability	21
Protection System Independence	22
Protection System Failure Modes	23
Separation of Protection and Control Systems	24
Protection System Requirements for Reactivity Control Malfunctions	25
Reactivity Control System Redundancy and Capability	26
Combined Reactivity Control Systems Capability	27
Reactivity Limits	28
Protection Against Anticipated Operational Occurrences	29
IV. <u>FLUID SYSTEMS</u>	
Quality of Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary	30
Fracture Prevention of Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary	31
Inspection of Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary	32
Reactor Coolant Makeup	33
Residual Heat Removal	34
Emergency Core Cooling	35
Inspection of Emergency Core Cooling System	36
Testing of Emergency Core Cooling System	37
Containment Heat Removal	38
Inspection of Containment Heat Removal System	39
Testing of Containment Heat Removal System	40
Containment Atmosphere Cleanup	41
Inspection of Containment Atmosphere Cleanup Systems	42
Testing of Containment Atmosphere Cleanup Systems	43
Cooling Water	44
Inspection of Cooling Water System	45
Testing of Cooling Water System	46
V. <u>REACTOR CONTAINMENT</u>	
Containment Design Basis	50
Fracture Prevention of Containment Pressure Boundary	51
Capability for Containment Leakage Rate Testing	52
Provisions for Containment Inspection and Testing Systems Penetrating Containment	53
Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Penetrating Containment	54
Primary Containment Isolation	55
Closed Systems Isolation Valves	56
VI. <u>FUEL AND RADIOACTIVITY CONTROL</u>	
Control of Releases of Radioactive Materials to the Environment	60

Fuel Storage and Handling and Radioactivity Control	61
Prevention of Criticality in Fuel Storage and Handling	62
Monitoring Fuel and Waste Storage	63
Monitoring Radioactivity Releases	64

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the provisions of § 50.34, an application for a construction permit must include the principal design criteria for a proposed facility. The principal design criteria establish the necessary design, fabrication, construction, testing, and performance requirements for structures, systems, and components important to safety; that is, structures, systems, and components that provide reasonable assurance that the facility can be operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

These General Design Criteria establish minimum requirements for the principal design criteria for water-cooled nuclear power plants similar in design and location to plants for which construction permits have been issued by the Commission. The General Design Criteria are also considered to be generally applicable to other types of nuclear power units and are intended to provide guidance in establishing the principal design criteria for such other units.

The development of these General Design Criteria is not yet complete. For example, some of the definitions need further amplification. Also, some of the specific design requirements for structures, systems, and components important to safety have not as yet been suitably defined. Their omission does not relieve any applicant from considering these matters in the design of a specific facility and satisfying the necessary safety requirements. These matters include:

- (1) Consideration of the need to design against single failures of passive components in fluid systems important to safety. (See Definition of Single Failure.)
- (2) Consideration of redundancy and diversity requirements for fluid systems important to safety. A "system" could consist of a number of subsystems each of which is separately capable of performing the specified system safety function. The minimum acceptable redundancy and diversity of subsystems and components within a subsystem, and the required interconnection and independence of the subsystems have not yet been developed or defined. (See Criteria 34, 35, 38, 41, and 44.)
- (3) Consideration of the type, size, and orientation of possible breaks in components of the reactor coolant pressure boundary in determining design requirements to suitably protect against postulated loss-of-coolant accidents. (See Definition of Loss of Coolant Accidents.)
- (4) Consideration of the possibility of systematic, nonrandom, concurrent failures of redundant elements in the design of protection systems and reactivity control systems. (See Criteria 22, 24, 26 and 29.)

It is expected that the criteria will be augmented and changed from time to time as important new requirements for these and other features are developed.

There will be some water-cooled nuclear power plants for which the General Design Criteria are not sufficient and for which additional criteria must be identified and satisfied in the interest of public safety. In particular, it is expected that additional or different criteria will be needed to take into account unusual sites and environmental conditions, and for water-cooled nuclear power units of advanced design. Also, there may be water-cooled nuclear power units for which fulfillment of some of the General Design Criteria may not be necessary or appropriate. For plants such as these, departures from the General Design Criteria must be identified and justified.

DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

NUCLEAR POWER UNIT

A nuclear power unit means a nuclear power reactor and associated equipment necessary for electrical power generation and includes those structures, systems, and components required to provide reasonable assurance the facility can be operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

LOSS OF COOLANT ACCIDENTS

Loss of coolant accidents mean those postulated accidents that result from the loss of reactor coolant at a rate in excess of the capability of the reactor coolant makeup system from breaks in the reactor coolant pressure boundary, up to and including a break equivalent in size to the double-ended rupture of the largest pipe

of the reactor coolant system.¹

SINGLE FAILURE

A single failure means an occurrence which results in the loss of capability of a component to perform its intended safety functions. Multiple failures resulting from a single occurrence are considered to be a single failure. Fluid and electrical systems are considered to be designed against an assumed single failure if neither (1) a single failure of any active component (assuming passive components function properly) nor (2) a single failure of a passive component (assuming active components function properly), results in a loss of the capability of the system to perform its safety functions.²

ANTICIPATED OPERATIONAL OCCURRENCES

Anticipated operational occurrences mean those conditions of normal operation which are expected to occur one or more times during the life of the nuclear power unit and include but are not limited

¹Further details relating to the type, size, and orientation of postulated breaks in specific components of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are under development.

²Single failures of passive components in electrical systems should be assumed in designing against a single failure. The conditions under which a single failure of a passive component in a fluid system should be considered in designing the system against a single failure are under development.

to loss of power to all recirculation pumps, tripping of the turbine generator set, isolation of the main condenser, and loss of all offsite power.

CRITERIA

I. OVERALL REQUIREMENTS

CRITERION 1 - QUALITY STANDARDS AND RECORDS

Structures, systems, and components important to safety shall be designed, fabricated, erected, and tested to quality standards commensurate with the importance of the safety functions to be performed. Where generally recognized codes and standards are used, they shall be identified and evaluated to determine their applicability, adequacy, and sufficiency and shall be supplemented or modified as necessary to assure a quality product in keeping with the required safety function. A quality assurance program shall be established and implemented in order to provide adequate assurance that these structures, systems, and components will satisfactorily perform their safety functions. Appropriate records of the design, fabrication, erection, and testing of structures, systems, and components important to safety shall be maintained by or under the control of the nuclear power unit licensee throughout the life of the unit.

CRITERION 2 - DESIGN BASES FOR PROTECTION AGAINST NATURAL PHENOMENA

Structures, systems, and components important to safety shall be designed to withstand the effects of natural phenomena such as

earthquakes, tornadoes, hurricanes, floods, tsunami, and seiches without loss of capability to perform their safety functions. The design bases for these structures, systems, and components shall reflect: (1) appropriate consideration of the most severe of the natural phenomena that have been historically reported for the site and surrounding area, with sufficient margin for the limited accuracy, quantity, and period of time in which the historical data have been accumulated, (2) appropriate combinations of the effects of normal and accident conditions with the effects of the natural phenomena and (3) the importance of the safety functions to be performed.

CRITERION 3 - FIRE PROTECTION

Structures, systems, and components important to safety shall be designed and located to minimize, consistent with other safety requirements, the probability and effect of fires and explosions. Noncombustible and heat resistant materials shall be used wherever practical throughout the unit, particularly in locations such as the containment and control room. Fire detection and fighting systems of appropriate capacity and capability shall be provided and designed to minimize the adverse effects of fires on structures, systems, and components important to safety. Fire fighting systems shall be designed to assure that their rupture or inadvertent operation does not significantly impair the safety capability of these structures, systems, and components.

CRITERION 4 - ENVIRONMENTAL AND MISSILE DESIGN BASES

Structures, systems, and components important to safety shall be designed to accommodate the effects of and to be compatible with the environmental conditions associated with normal operation, maintenance, testing, and postulated accidents, including loss-of-coolant accidents. These structures, systems, and components shall be appropriately protected against dynamic effects, including the effects of missiles, pipe whipping, and discharging fluids, that may result from equipment failures and from events and conditions outside the nuclear power unit.

CRITERION 5 - SHARING OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, AND COMPONENTS

Structures, systems, and components important to safety shall not be shared between nuclear power units unless it is shown that their ability to perform their safety functions is not significantly impaired by the sharing.

II. PROTECTION BY MULTIPLE FISSION PRODUCT BARRIERS

CRITERION 10 - REACTOR DESIGN

The reactor core and associated coolant, control, and protection systems shall be designed with appropriate margin to assure that specified acceptable fuel design limits are not exceeded during any condition of normal operation, including the effects of anticipated operational occurrences.

CRITERION 11 - REACTOR INHERENT PROTECTION

The reactor core and associated coolant systems shall be designed so that in the power operating range the net effect of the prompt inherent nuclear feedback characteristics tends to compensate for a rapid increase in reactivity.

CRITERION 12 - SUPPRESSION OF REACTOR POWER OSCILLATIONS

The reactor core and associated coolant, control, and protection systems shall be designed to assure that power oscillations which can result in conditions exceeding specified acceptable fuel design limits are not possible or can be reliably and readily detected and suppressed.

CRITERION 13 - INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL

Instrumentation and control shall be provided to monitor variables and systems over their anticipated range for normal operation and accident conditions, and to maintain them within prescribed operating ranges, including those variables and systems which can affect the fission process, the integrity of the reactor core, the reactor coolant pressure boundary, and the containment and its associated systems.

CRITERION 14 - REACTOR COOLANT PRESSURE BOUNDARY

The reactor coolant pressure boundary shall be designed, fabricated, erected, and tested so as to have an extremely low probability of abnormal leakage, of rapidly propagating failure, and of gross rupture.

CRITERION 15 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM DESIGN

The reactor coolant system and associated auxiliary, control, and protection systems shall be designed with sufficient margin to assure that the design conditions of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are not exceeded during any condition of normal operation, including anticipated operational occurrences.

CRITERION 16 - CONTAINMENT DESIGN

Reactor containment and associated systems shall be provided to establish an essentially leaktight barrier against the uncontrolled release of radioactivity to the environment and to assure that the containment design conditions important to safety are not exceeded for as long as postulated accident conditions require.

CRITERION 17 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

An onsite electrical power system and an offsite electrical power system shall be provided to permit functioning of structures, systems, and components important to safety. The safety function for each system (assuming the other system is not functioning) shall be to provide sufficient capacity and capability to assure that (1) specified acceptable fuel design limits and design conditions of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are not exceeded as a result of anticipated operational occurrences and (2) the core is cooled and containment integrity and other vital functions are maintained in the event of postulated accidents.

The onsite electrical power sources, including the batteries, and the onsite electrical distribution system, shall have sufficient independence, redundancy, and testability to perform their safety functions assuming a single failure.

Electrical power from the transmission network to the switchyard shall be supplied by two physically independent transmission lines (not necessarily on separate rights of way) designed and located so as to suitably minimize the likelihood of their simultaneous failure under operating and postulated accident and environmental conditions. Two physically independent circuits from the switchyard to the onsite electrical distribution system shall be provided. Each of these circuits shall be designed to be available in sufficient time following a loss of all onsite alternating current power sources and the other offsite electrical power circuit, to assure that specified acceptable fuel design limits and design conditions of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are not exceeded. One of these circuits shall be designed to be available within a few seconds following a loss-of-coolant accident to assure that core cooling, containment integrity, and other vital safety functions are maintained.

Provisions shall be included to minimize the probability of losing electrical power from any of the remaining sources as a result of, or coincident with, the loss of power generated by the nuclear power unit, the loss of power from the transmission network, or the loss of power from the onsite electrical power sources.

CRITERION 18 - INSPECTION AND TESTING OF ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

Electrical power systems important to safety shall be designed to permit periodic inspection and testing of important areas and features, such as wiring, insulation, connections, and switchboards, to assess the continuity of the systems and the condition of their components. The systems shall be designed with a capability to test periodically (1) the operability and functional performance of the components of the systems, such as onsite power sources, relays, switches, and buses, and (2) the operability of the systems as a whole and, under conditions as close to design as practical, the full operational sequence that brings the systems into operation, including operation of applicable portions of the protection system, and the transfer of power among the nuclear power unit, the offsite power system, and the onsite power system.

CRITERION 19 - CONTROL ROOM

A control room shall be provided from which actions can be taken to operate the nuclear power unit safely under normal conditions and to maintain it in a safe condition under accident conditions, including loss-of-coolant accidents. Adequate radiation protection shall be provided to permit access and occupancy of the control room under accident conditions without personnel receiving radiation exposures in excess of 5 rem whole body, or its equivalent to any part of the body, for the duration of the accident.

Equipment at appropriate locations outside the control room shall be provided (1) with a design capability for prompt hot shutdown of the reactor, including necessary instrumentation and controls to maintain the unit in a safe condition during hot shutdown, and (2) with a potential capability for subsequent cold shutdown of the reactor through the use of suitable procedures.

III. PROTECTION AND REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

CRITERION 20 - PROTECTION SYSTEM FUNCTIONS

The protection system shall be designed (1) to initiate automatically the operation of appropriate systems including the reactivity control systems, to assure that specified acceptable fuel design limits are not exceeded as a result of anticipated operational occurrences and (2) to sense accident conditions and to initiate the operation of systems and components important to safety.

CRITERION 21 - PROTECTION SYSTEM RELIABILITY AND TESTABILITY

The protection system shall be designed for high functional reliability and inservice testability commensurate with the safety functions to be performed. Redundancy and independence designed into the protection system shall be sufficient to assure that (1) no single failure results in loss of the protection function and (2) removal from service of any component or channel does not result in loss of the required minimum redundancy unless the acceptable

reliability of operation of the protection system can be otherwise demonstrated. The protection system shall be designed to permit periodic testing of its functioning when the reactor is in operation, including a capability to test channels independently to determine failures and losses of redundancy that may have occurred.

CRITERION 22 - PROTECTION SYSTEM INDEPENDENCE

The protection system shall be designed to assure that the effects of natural phenomena, and of normal operating, maintenance, testing, and postulated accident conditions on redundant channels do not result in loss of the protection function, or shall be demonstrated to be acceptable on some other defined basis. Design techniques, such as functional diversity or diversity in component design and principles of operation, shall be used to the extent practical to prevent loss of the protection function.

CRITERION 23 - PROTECTION SYSTEM FAILURE MODES

The protection system shall be designed to fail into a safe state or into a state demonstrated to be acceptable on some other defined basis if conditions such as disconnection of the system, loss of energy (e.g., electric power, instrument air), or postulated adverse environments (e.g., extreme heat or cold, fire, pressure, steam, water, and radiation) are experienced.

CRITERION 24 - SEPARATION OF PROTECTION AND CONTROL SYSTEMS

The protection system shall be separated from control systems

to the extent that failure of any single control system component or channel, or failure or removal from service of any single protection system component or channel which is common to the control and protection systems leaves intact a system satisfying all reliability, redundancy, and independence requirements of the protection system. Interconnection of the protection and control systems shall be limited so as to assure that safety is not significantly impaired.

CRITERION 25 - PROTECTION SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS FOR REACTIVITY CONTROL MALFUNCTIONS

The protection system shall be designed to assure that specified acceptable fuel design limits are not exceeded for any single malfunction of the reactivity control systems, such as accidental withdrawal (not ejection or dropout) of control rods or unplanned dilution of soluble poison.

CRITERION 26 - REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEM REDUNDANCY AND CAPABILITY

Two independent reactivity control systems of different design principles and preferably including a positive mechanical means for inserting control rods, shall be provided. Each system shall have the capability to control the rate of reactivity changes resulting from planned, normal power changes (including xenon burnout) to assure acceptable fuel design limits are not exceeded. One of the systems shall be capable of reliably controlling reactivity changes to assure that under conditions of normal operations,

including anticipated operational occurrences, and with appropriate margin for malfunctions such as stuck rods, specified acceptable fuel design limits are not exceeded. One of the systems shall be capable of holding the reactor core subcritical under cold conditions.

CRITERION 27 - COMBINED REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS CAPABILITY

The reactivity control systems shall be designed to have a combined capability, in conjunction with poison addition by the emergency core cooling system, of reliably controlling reactivity changes to assure that under postulated accident conditions and with appropriate margin for stuck rods the capability to cool the core is maintained.

CRITERION 28 - REACTIVITY LIMITS

The reactivity control systems shall be designed with appropriate limits on the potential amount and rate of reactivity increase to assure that the effects of postulated reactivity accidents can neither (1) result in damage to the reactor coolant pressure boundary greater than limited local yielding nor (2) sufficiently disturb the core, its support structures or other reactor pressure vessel internals to impair significantly the capability to cool the core. These postulated reactivity accidents shall include consideration of rod ejection (unless prevented by positive means), rod dropout, steam line rupture, changes in reactor coolant temperature and pressure, and cold water addition.

CRITERION 29 - PROTECTION AGAINST ANTICIPATED OPERATIONAL OCCURRENCES

The protection and reactivity control systems shall be designed to assure an extremely high probability of accomplishing their safety functions in the event of anticipated operational occurrences.

IV. FLUID SYSTEMS

CRITERION 30 - QUALITY OF REACTOR COOLANT PRESSURE BOUNDARY

Components which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall be designed, fabricated, erected, and tested to the highest quality standards practical. Means shall be provided for detecting and, to the extent practical, identifying the location of the source of reactor coolant leakage.

CRITERION 31 - FRACTURE PREVENTION OF REACTOR COOLANT PRESSURE BOUNDARY

The reactor coolant pressure boundary shall be designed with sufficient margin to assure that when stressed under operating, maintenance, testing, and postulated accident conditions (1) the boundary behaves in a nonbrittle manner and (2) the probability of rapidly propagating fracture is minimized. The design shall reflect consideration of service temperatures and other conditions of the boundary material under operating, maintenance, testing, and postulated accident conditions and the uncertainties in determining (1) material properties, (2) the effects of irradiation on material properties, (3) residual, steady-state and transient stresses, and (4) size of flaws.

CRITERION 32 - INSPECTION OF REACTOR COOLANT PRESSURE BOUNDARY

Components which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall be designed to permit (1) periodic inspection and testing of important areas and features to assess their structural and leaktight integrity, and (2) an appropriate material surveillance program for the reactor pressure vessel.

CRITERION 33 - REACTOR COOLANT MAKEUP

A system to supply reactor coolant makeup for protection against small breaks in the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall be provided. The system safety function shall be to assure that specified acceptable fuel design limits are not exceeded as a result of reactor coolant loss due to leakage from the reactor coolant pressure boundary and rupture of small piping or other small components which are part of the boundary. The system shall be designed to assure that for onsite electrical power system operation (assuming offsite power is not available) and for offsite electrical power system operation (assuming onsite power is not available) the system safety function can be accomplished using the piping, pumps, and valves used to maintain coolant inventory during normal reactor operation.

CRITERION 34 - RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL

A system to remove residual heat shall be provided. The system safety function shall be to transfer fission product decay heat and other residual heat from the reactor core at a rate such that

specified acceptable fuel design limits and the design conditions of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are not exceeded.

Suitable redundancy in components and features, and suitable interconnections, leak detection, and isolation capabilities shall be provided to assure that for onsite electrical power system operation (assuming offsite power is not available) and for off-site electrical power system operation (assuming onsite power is not available) the system safety function can be accomplished, assuming a single failure.

CRITERION 35 - EMERGENCY CORE COOLING

A system to provide abundant emergency core cooling shall be provided. The system safety function shall be to transfer heat from the reactor core following any loss of coolant accident at a rate such that (1) fuel and clad damage that could interfere with continued effective core cooling is prevented and (2) clad metal-water reaction is limited to negligible amounts.

Suitable redundancy in components and features, and suitable interconnections, leak detection, isolation, and containment capabilities shall be provided to assure that for onsite electrical power system operation (assuming offsite power is not available) and for offsite electrical power system operation (assuming onsite power is not available) the system safety function can be accomplished, assuming a single failure.

CRITERION 36 - INSPECTION OF EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM

The emergency core cooling system shall be designed to permit periodic inspection of important components, such as spray rings in

the reactor pressure vessel, water injection nozzles, and piping, to assure the integrity and capability of the system.

CRITERION 37 - TESTING OF EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM

The emergency core cooling system shall be designed to permit appropriate periodic pressure and functional testing to assure (1) the structural and leaktight integrity of its components, (2) the operability and performance of the active components of the system, and (3) the operability of the system as a whole and, under conditions as close to design as practical, the performance of the full operational sequence that brings the system into operation, including operation of applicable portions of the protection system, the transfer between normal and emergency power sources, and the operation of the associated cooling water system.

CRITERION 38 - CONTAINMENT HEAT REMOVAL

A system to remove heat from the reactor containment shall be provided. The system safety function shall be to reduce rapidly, consistent with the functioning of other associated systems, the containment pressure and temperature following any loss-of-coolant accident and maintain them at acceptably low levels.

Suitable redundancy in components and features, and suitable interconnections, leak detection, isolation, and containment capabilities shall be provided to assure that for onsite electrical power system operation (assuming offsite power is not available) and for offsite electrical power system operation (assuming onsite power is not available) the system safety function can be accomplished, assuming a single failure.

CRITERION 39 - INSPECTION OF CONTAINMENT HEAT REMOVAL SYSTEM

The containment heat removal system shall be designed to permit periodic inspection of important components, such as the torus, sumps, spray nozzles, and piping to assure the integrity and capability of the system.

CRITERION 40 - TESTING OF CONTAINMENT HEAT REMOVAL SYSTEM

The containment heat removal system shall be designed to permit appropriate periodic pressure and functional testing to assure (1) the structural and leaktight integrity of its components, (2) the operability and performance of the active components of the system, and (3) the operability of the system as a whole, and, under conditions as close to the design as practical, the performance of the full operational sequence that brings the system into operation, including operation of applicable portions of the protection system, the transfer between normal and emergency power sources, and the operation of the associated cooling water system.

CRITERION 41 - CONTAINMENT ATMOSPHERE CLEANUP

Systems to control fission products, hydrogen, oxygen, and other substances which may be released into the reactor containment shall be provided as necessary to reduce, consistent with the functioning of other associated systems, the concentration and quantity of fission products released to the environment following postulated accidents, and to control the concentration of hydrogen or oxygen and other

substances in the containment atmosphere following postulated accidents to assure that containment integrity is maintained.

Each system shall have suitable redundancy in components and features, and suitable interconnections, leak detection, isolation, and containment capabilities to assure that for onsite electrical power system operation (assuming offsite power is not available) and for offsite electrical power system operation (assuming onsite power is not available) its safety function can be accomplished, assuming a single failure.

CRITERION 42 - INSPECTION OF CONTAINMENT ATMOSPHERE CLEANUP SYSTEMS

The containment atmosphere cleanup systems shall be designed to permit periodic inspection of important components, such as filter frames, ducts, and piping to assure the integrity and capability of the systems.

CRITERION 43 - TESTING OF CONTAINMENT ATMOSPHERE CLEANUP SYSTEMS

The containment atmosphere cleanup systems shall be designed to permit appropriate periodic pressure and functional testing to assure (1) the structural and leaktight integrity of its components, (2) the operability and performance of the active components of the systems such as fans, filters, dampers, pumps, and valves and (3) the operability of the systems as a whole and, under conditions as close to design as practical, the performance of the full operational sequence that brings the systems into operation, including operation of applicable portions of the protection system, the transfer between normal and emergency power sources, and the operation of associated systems.

CRITERION 44 - COOLING WATER

A system to transfer heat from structures, systems, and components important to safety, to an ultimate heat sink shall be provided. The system safety function shall be to transfer the combined heat load of these structures, systems, and components under normal operating and accident conditions.

Suitable redundancy in components and features, and suitable interconnections, leak detection, and isolation capabilities shall be provided to assure that for onsite electrical power system operation (assuming offsite power is not available) and for offsite electrical power system operation (assuming onsite power is not available) the system safety function can be accomplished, assuming a single failure.

CRITERION 45 - INSPECTION OF COOLING WATER SYSTEM

The cooling water system shall be designed to permit periodic inspection of important components, such as heat exchangers and piping, to assure the integrity and capability of the system.

CRITERION 46 - TESTING OF COOLING WATER SYSTEM

The cooling water system shall be designed to permit appropriate periodic pressure and functional testing to assure (1) the structural and leaktight integrity of its components, (2) the operability and the performance of the active components of the system, and (3) the operability of the system as a whole and, under conditions as close to design as practical, the performance of the full operational sequence that brings the system into operation for reactor shutdown and for

loss-of-coolant accidents, including operation of applicable portions of the protection system and the transfer between normal and emergency power sources.

V. REACTOR CONTAINMENT

CRITERION 50 - CONTAINMENT DESIGN BASIS

The reactor containment structure, including access openings, penetrations, and the containment heat removal system shall be designed so that the containment structure and its internal compartments can accommodate, without exceeding the design leakage rate and, with sufficient margin, the calculated pressure and temperature conditions resulting from any loss-of-coolant accident. This margin shall reflect consideration of (1) the effects of potential energy sources which have not been included in the determination of the peak conditions, such as energy in steam generators and energy from metal-water and other chemical reactions that may result from degraded emergency core cooling functioning, (2) the limited experience and experimental data available for defining accident phenomena and containment responses, and (3) the conservatism of the calculational model and input parameters.

CRITERION 51 - FRACTURE PREVENTION OF CONTAINMENT PRESSURE BOUNDARY

The reactor containment boundary shall be designed with sufficient margin to assure that under operating, maintenance, testing, and postulated accident conditions (1) its ferritic materials behave in a nonbrittle manner and (2) the probability of rapidly propagating

fracture is minimized. The design shall reflect consideration of service temperatures and other conditions of the containment boundary material during operation, maintenance, testing, and postulated accident conditions, and the uncertainties in determining (1) material properties, (2) residual, steady-state, and transient stresses, and (3) size of flaws.

CRITERION 52 - CAPABILITY FOR CONTAINMENT LEAKAGE RATE TESTING

The reactor containment and other equipment which may be subjected to containment test conditions shall be designed so that periodic integrated leakage rate testing can be conducted at containment design pressure.

CRITERION 53 - PROVISIONS FOR CONTAINMENT TESTING AND INSPECTION

The reactor containment shall be designed to permit (1) inspection of all important areas, such as penetrations, (2) an appropriate surveillance program, and (3) periodic testing at containment design pressure of the leaktightness of penetrations which have resilient seals and expansion bellows.

CRITERION 54 - PIPING SYSTEMS PENETRATING CONTAINMENT

Piping systems penetrating primary reactor containment shall be provided with leak detection, isolation, and containment capabilities having redundancy, reliability, and performance capabilities which reflect the importance to safety of isolating these piping systems. Such piping systems shall be designed with a capability to test

periodically the operability of the isolation valves and associated apparatus and to determine if valve leakage is within acceptable limits.

CRITERION 55 - REACTOR COOLANT PRESSURE BOUNDARY PENETRATING CONTAINMENT

Each line that is part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary and that penetrates primary reactor containment shall be provided with containment isolation valves as follows, unless it can be demonstrated that the containment isolation provisions for a specific class of lines, such as instrument lines, are acceptable on some other defined basis:

- (1) One locked closed isolation valve inside and one locked closed isolation valve outside containment. or
- (2) One automatic isolation valve inside and one locked closed isolation valve outside containment. or
- (3) One locked closed isolation valve inside and one automatic isolation valve outside containment. A simple check valve may not be used as the automatic isolation valve outside containment. or
- (4) One automatic isolation valve inside and one automatic isolation valve outside containment. A simple check valve may not be used as the automatic isolation valve outside containment.

Isolation valves outside containment shall be located as close to

containment as practical and upon loss of actuating power, automatic isolation valves shall be designed to take the position that provides greater safety.

Other appropriate requirements to minimize the probability or consequences of an accidental rupture of these lines or of lines connected to them shall be provided as necessary to assure adequate safety. Determination of the appropriateness of these requirements, such as higher quality in design, fabrication, and testing, additional provisions for inservice inspection, protection against more severe natural phenomena, and additional isolation valves and containment, shall include consideration of the population density, use characteristics, and physical characteristics of the site environs.

CRITERION 56 - PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION

Each line that connects directly to the containment atmosphere and penetrates primary reactor containment shall be provided with containment isolation valves as follows, unless it can be demonstrated that the containment isolation provisions for a specific class of lines, such as instrument lines, are acceptable on some other defined basis:

- (1) One locked closed isolation valve inside and one locked closed isolation valve outside containment. or
- (2) One automatic isolation valve inside and one locked closed isolation valve outside containment. or

(3) One locked closed isolation valve inside and one automatic isolation valve outside containment. A simple check valve may not be used as the automatic isolation valve outside containment. or

(4) One automatic isolation valve inside and one automatic isolation valve outside containment. A simple check valve may not be used as the automatic isolation valve outside containment.

Isolation valves outside containment shall be located as close to the containment as practical and upon loss of actuating power, automatic isolation valves shall be designed to take the position that provides greater safety.

CRITERION 57 - CLOSED SYSTEM ISOLATION VALVES

Each line that penetrates primary reactor containment and is neither part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary nor connected directly to the containment atmosphere shall have at least one containment isolation valve which shall be either automatic, or locked closed, or capable of remote manual operation. This valve shall be outside containment and located as close to the containment as practical. A simple check valve may not be used as the automatic isolation valve.

VI. FUEL AND RADIOACTIVITY CONTROL

CRITERION 60 - CONTROL OF RELEASES OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS TO THE ENVIRONMENT

The nuclear power unit design shall include means to control suitably the release of radioactive materials in gaseous and liquid effluents and to handle radioactive solid wastes produced during normal reactor operation, including anticipated operational occurrences. Sufficient holdup capacity shall be provided for retention of gaseous and liquid effluents containing radioactive materials, particularly where unfavorable site environmental conditions can be expected to impose unusual operational limitations upon the release of such effluents to the environment.

CRITERION 61 - FUEL STORAGE AND HANDLING AND RADIOACTIVITY CONTROL

The fuel storage and handling, radioactive waste, and other systems which may contain radioactivity shall be designed to assure adequate safety under normal and postulated accident conditions. These systems shall be designed (1) with a capability to permit inspection and testing of components important to safety, (2) with suitable shielding for radiation protection, (3) with appropriate containment, confinement, and filtering systems, (4) with a residual heat removal capability having reliability and testability that reflects the importance to safety of decay heat and other residual

heat removal, and (5) to prevent significant reduction in fuel storage coolant inventory under accident conditions.

CRITERION 62 - PREVENTION OF CRITICALITY IN FUEL STORAGE AND HANDLING

Criticality in the fuel storage and handling system shall be prevented by physical systems or processes, preferably by use of geometrically safe configurations.

CRITERION 63 - MONITORING FUEL AND WASTE STORAGE

Appropriate systems shall be provided in fuel storage and radioactive waste systems and associated handling areas (1) to detect conditions that may result in loss of residual heat removal capability and excessive radiation levels and (2) to initiate appropriate safety actions.

CRITERION 64 - MONITORING RADIOACTIVITY RELEASES

Means shall be provided for monitoring the reactor containment atmosphere, spaces containing components for recirculation of loss-of-coolant accident fluids, effluent discharge paths, and the plant environs for radioactivity that may be released from normal operations, including anticipated operational occurrences, and from postulated accidents.

(Secs. 161, 182, 68 Stat. 948, 953; 42 U.S.C. 2201, 2232.)

Dated at _____ this _____
day of _____ 1971.

FOR THE ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

W. B. McCool
Secretary of the Commission

APPENDIX "B"

LIST OF COMMENTS ON
PREVIOUS NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING (32 FR 10213)
PUBLISHED IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER, JULY 11, 1967

1. H. C. Paxton, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Member ASLB Panel, 7/25/67.
2. Eugene Greuling, Duke University Member, ASLB Panel, 7/26/67.
3. Stuart McLain, McLain Associates, 8/22/67.
4. Einar Swanson, Black and Veatch, 8/25/67.
5. G. J. Stathakis, General Electric Company, 9/5/67.
6. William B. Cottrell, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 9/6/67.
7. J. M. Gallagher, Jr., IEEE, Nuclear Science Group, Reactor Instrumentation and Controls Standards Subcommittee, 9/6/67.
8. David N. Barry, III, Southern California Edison Company, 9/7/67.
9. J. C. Rengel, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 9/8/67.
10. W. B. Behnke Jr., Commonwealth Edison Company, 9/8/67.
11. Sol Burstein, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, 9/8/67.
12. L. E. Minnick, Yankee Atomic Electric Company, 9/8/67.
13. D. M. Leppke, Pioneer Service and Engineering Company, 9/19/67.
14. W. R. Cooper, Tennessee Valley Authority, 9/20/67.
15. R. E. Wascher, Babcock & Wilcox, 9/20/67.
16. J. J. Flaherty, Atomics International, 9/25/67.
17. Edwin A. Wiggin, Atomics Industrial Forum, Inc., 10/2/67.
18. William S. Lee, Duke Power Company 11/2/67.
19. Charles O'D. Lee, Jr., Specifications Engineer, California, 12/20/67.
20. H. B. Stewart, Gulf General Atomic, Inc., 2/15/68.
21. J. M. West, Combustion Engineering, Inc., 2/21/68.

APPENDIX "C"

DRAFT LETTER TO THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON ATOMIC ENERGY

1. Enclosed for the information of the Joint Committee is a copy of a notice of rule making amending the Commission's regulation "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," 10 CFR Part 50 to add an Appendix A, General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants. Proposed criteria were published for comment on July 11, 1967. The criteria in the notice of rule making reflect consideration of the comments received on the proposed criteria published for comment and subsequent developments in the technology and in the licensing process.
2. The criteria establish minimum requirements for the principal design criteria for water-cooled nuclear power plants similar in design and location to plants for which construction permits have previously been issued by the Commission. They also provide guidance to applicants for construction permits for establishing the principal design criteria for other types of nuclear power plants.
3. The amendment will be effective 90 days after publication in the Federal Register.
4. Enclosed also is a copy of a public announcement we plan to issue on this matter in the next few days.

APPENDIX "D"

DRAFT PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT

AEC PUBLISHES GENERAL DESIGN CRITERIA
FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

The AEC is publishing a revised set of general design criteria for use in establishing the principal design criteria for nuclear power plants.

In July 1967 AEC published in the Federal Register for public comment "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plant Construction Permits" developed by its regulatory staff. The revision published today reflects extensive comment received from 21 groups or individuals, review within the AEC, and developments that have occurred in the nuclear industry since publication of the criteria in 1967.

The regulatory staff has worked closely with the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in developing the revised criteria.

The amendment to Part 50 of the Commission's regulations fixes minimum requirements for the principal design criteria for water-cooled nuclear power units similar in design and location to units previously approved by the Commission for construction. It provides guidance, also, for establishing the principal design criteria for other

types of nuclear power plants. Additional or different criteria are expected to be needed for unusual sites and environmental conditions, and for nuclear power plants of advanced design.

Development of these criteria is part of a longer range Commission program to develop criteria, codes, and standards applicable to nuclear power plants. This includes criteria, codes, and standards that industry is developing with AEC participation. The ultimate goal is the evolution of industry criteria, codes, and standards based on accumulated knowledge and experience in various fields of engineering and industry.

The criteria will become Appendix A to Part 50 of AEC's regulations 90 days after being published in the Federal Register on _____. Interested persons may submit comments to the Secretary of the Commission, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Branch, within 45 days. The comments will be given consideration with the view to possible further amendments. A copy of the proposed "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants" may be obtained by writing to the Director, Division of Reactor Standards, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.