

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

JW

1 LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED W. A. Foote Memorial Hospital 205 N. East Ave Jackson, MI 49201 REPORT 2007001		2 NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2497 Warmville Rd Suite 210 Hillsdale, MI 48052	
3 DOCKET NUMBER(S) 03001990	4 LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 21-00258-06	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION Aug 16, 2007	

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

2. Previous violation(s) closed.

3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	G. Parker	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/07

Docket File Information
**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**



1. LICENSEE W. A. Foote Memorial Hospital		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532	
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2007-001			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-01990	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-00258-06	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 8/16/07	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87131	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 2120	2. PRIORITY 3	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Randall Bardwell	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 517/788-4800
-----------------------------------	-------------------------	--	--

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection	Next Inspection Date: 8/2010
<input type="checkbox"/> Field Office _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site _____	

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a regional hospital located in Jackson, Michigan. Licensee has a moderate sized nuclear medicine program. The licensee has three techs who perform approximately 16 procedures per day. This hospital receives its radiopharmaceuticals in the form of unit doses from Capitol Pharmacy. Occasionally, additional doses are received from Mallinckrodt. This licensee performs limited cardiac stress studies, diagnostic iodine procedures, bone studies, and gastric studies.

Performance Observations

The inspector toured the facilities and interviewed authorized users and staff members. Each appeared knowledgeable in radiation safety and isotope handling techniques. Licensee personnel demonstrated package receipt procedures for the inspector. Staff members also demonstrated proper rad waste handling practices. Independent surveys by the inspector did not detect any abnormal readings and were within the expected range.

The inspector observed members of the nuclear medicine staff perform injections of radiopharmaceuticals. Techniques employed by the staff demonstrated good handling practices as well as adequate knowledge of radiation safety. During the course of the inspection, no abnormalities were noted.